Universal Functions & Undecidability

Goal: Construct a set that is enumerable but not decidable.

Definition 1. A function $U: \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0$ is said to be universal for th class of all computable functions of one variable if:

- (a) For any n, the function $U_n(x) = U(n,x)$ is computable. That is the sections of U are computable.
- (b) all computable functions of one variable occur among the sections U_n .

Remark 1. Be reminded that it is possible that the U and the U_n are partially defined.

Theorem 1. There exists a binary computable function universal for the class of unary computable functions.

Proof. Let's organize the class of computable unary functions into a computable sequence

$$\{p_0, p_1, p_2, \ldots\}$$

ascending by "length".

We set U(i,x) to be the output of the i^{th} program run on the input x. Then the function U is just the desired computable function computed by the unary functions p_i .

Remark 2. A universal function can simulate any computable function on an input x.

Definition 2. A set $W \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0$ is called a universal set for a certain class of sets of natural numbers, if all the sections

$$W_n = \{x \mid (n, x) \in W\}$$

of the set W belong to the class and there are no other sets in that class.

Theorem 2. There exists an enumerable set in $\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0$ for the class of all enumerable sets of natural numbers.

Proof. Consider the domain of a universal function. It is a universal enumerable set as it satisfies one of the equivalent definitions of enumerable. The sections U_n are then also enumerable for any fixed n by the same argument.

Diagonal Construction

Q: We can construct a computable universal function for the class of all computable functions in one variable. Can we do the same for the class of all total computable unary functions?

Theorem 3. There is no total computable function of two variables universal to the class of all total computable functions of one variable.

Proof. Let U be an arbitrary total computable function of two variables. Consider the diagonal function u(n) = U(n, n). The functions u(n) and d(n) = u(n) + 1 differ. The function d(n) differs from all of the sections U_n . We conclude that the function U is not universal.

Remark 3. The difference between have a general computable function and a total function? A general computable function is potentially partially defined. It is possible that d(n) = U(n, n) + 1 and u(n) have the same "value when undefined.

Theorem 4. There exists a computable function d such that no computable function $f: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0$ can differ from it everywhere.

Remark 4. There exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that either f(n) = d(n) or both are undefined.

Proof. Let U be a universal function for the class of computable functions. Any computable function f coincides with U_n for a certain n. So we should take d(n) to be $f(n) = U_n(n) = U(n, n)$.

Theorem 5. There exists a computable function that has no total computable extension.

Proof. From the previous theorem, we defined $d(n) = U_n(n)$ and saw that it is a computable function that shares a value with any other computable function. Here we define $\hat{d}(n) = d(n) + 1$. Let \bar{d} be some total extension of \hat{d} . Note the following:

$$d(n)$$
 defined $\Rightarrow \hat{d}(n) = d(n) + 1$ defined
$$= \bar{d}(n)$$

$$\neq d(n)$$

and if d(n) is undefined then $d(n) \neq \bar{d}(n)$ because \bar{d} is total. We conclude that \bar{d} differs from d everywhere. From the previous theorem \bar{d} cannot be computable.

Enumerable Undecidable Set

We fulfill our goal of finding an enumerable undecidable set. recall that we need to obtain an enumerable set with a complement that is not enumerable.

Theorem 6. There exists an enumerable undecidable set in \mathbb{N}_0 .

Proof. Let $f: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0$ be a computable function that has no total computable extension. Since f is computable we know that Dom f is enumerable. If Dom f were decidable then the characteristic function would be computable and therefore g(x) =

$$\begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{Dom } f \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \text{Dom } f \end{cases}$$

would be computable which is a total extension of f. This is a contradiction to our assumption that f has no total computable extension. We conclude that Dom f is undecidable.

Corollary 1 (Halting Problem). The decision problem: For a given algorithm M decide if M halts on x is undecidable.

Remark 5. this is a rephrasing of: The domain of the function U is also an enumerable undecidable st of pairs.

Enumerable Inseperable Sets

Theorem 7. There exists a computable function that takes only the values of 0 and 1 and has no total computable extension.

Proof. Consider the function

$$d'(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d(x) = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } d(x) > 0 \\ undef & \text{if } d(x) \text{ is undefined} \end{cases}$$

where d(x) is a computable function that shares a value with any other computable function. Any total extension of d' will differ from d everywhere and therefore not computable. (?)

Simple Sets: The Post Construction

Goal: E. Post's construction of an enumerable undecidable set.

Definition 3. 1. A set is said to be immune if it is infinite but does not contain infinitely many enumerable subsets.

2. An enumerable set is said to be simple if its complement is immune.

Definition 4. We say that a set C separates two disjoint sets X and Y if C contains one of them and has empty intersection with the other.

Theorem 8. There exist two disjoint enumerable sets X and Y that cannot be separated by any decidable set.

Proof. Let d be a computable function that only takes the values 0 and 1 with no total computable extension. Set

$$X = \{x \mid d(x) = 1\}Y = \{x \mid d(x) = 0\}$$

It is clear that both X and Y are enumerable. Suppose that they can be separated by a decidable set C. WLOG suppose $X \subseteq C$ and $C \cap Y = \emptyset$. Note that the characteristic function of C extends d which contradicts our assumption that d has not total computable extension.

Theorem 9. There exists a simple set.

We want to find / construct an enumerable set S with an immune complement. If such a set S exists then it must share a common point with any infinite enumerable subset. To guarantee this, we will add to S an element of each infinite enumerable set V. We also need to ensure that infinitely many elements are left outside of S. We will add to S only sufficiently large elements to make this happen.

Construction: Let W be a universal enumerable set of pairs. It's sections W_i are our enumerable sets in \mathbb{N}_0 . We refer to W_i as the i^{th} enumerable set. Define

$$T = \{(i, x) \mid x \in W_i \text{ and } x > 2i\}$$

The set T is enumerable as

$$W_i \cap \{x > 2i\}$$

is the intersection of an enumerable set and a decidable set. Let M enumerate the elements of T by omitting the pairs where the first element has already occurred earlier. Then a certain enumerable subset T' of T remains. Now consider the enumerable set S of the second elements of all pairs contained in T'.

Claim: The set S has nonempty intersection with any infinite enumerable set.

Any infinite section W_i contains elements larger that 2i. The set T contains at least one element with first component i. The second component of this pair belongs both to S and to W_i . On the other hand, the set S has infinite complement since at most n+1 different numbers from 0 to 2n belong to S.