

ENEE 459-C

Computer Security

RSA and ElGamal encryption



UNIVERSITY OF
MARYLAND

Fermat's Little Theorem

Theorem

Let p be a prime. For each nonzero x of \mathbb{Z}_p , we have $x^{p-1} \bmod p = 1$

■ Example ($p = 5$):

$$1^4 \bmod 5 = 1$$

$$2^4 \bmod 5 = 16 \bmod 5 = 1$$

$$3^4 \bmod 5 = 81 \bmod 5 = 1$$

$$4^4 \bmod 5 = 256 \bmod 5 = 1$$

Corollary

Let p be a prime. For each nonzero residue x of \mathbb{Z}_p , the multiplicative inverse of x is $x^{p-2} \bmod p$

Proof

$$x(x^{p-2} \bmod p) \bmod p = xx^{p-2} \bmod p = x^{p-1} \bmod p = 1$$

Euler's Theorem

- The multiplicative group for Z_n , denoted with Z_n^* , is the subset of elements of Z_n relatively prime with n
- The totient function of n , denoted with $\phi(n)$, is the size of Z_n^*
- If $N = pq$ (p and q are primes), $\phi(N) = (p-1)(q-1)$
- Example

$$Z_{10}^* = \{ 1, 3, 7, 9 \} \quad \phi(10) = 4$$

- If p is prime, we have

$$Z_p^* = \{1, 2, \dots, (p-1)\} \quad \phi(p) = p-1$$

Euler's Theorem

For each element x of Z_n^* , we have $x^{\phi(n)} \bmod n = 1$

- Example ($n = 10$)

$$3^{\phi(10)} \bmod 10 = 3^4 \bmod 10 = 81 \bmod 10 = 1$$

$$7^{\phi(10)} \bmod 10 = 7^4 \bmod 10 = 2401 \bmod 10 = 1$$

$$9^{\phi(10)} \bmod 10 = 9^4 \bmod 10 = 6561 \bmod 10 = 1$$

Computing in the exponent

- For a generator of a group g , it is: $g^{\{\text{order of group}\}} = \text{identity}$
- For the multiplicative group $\mathbb{Z}_{n'}^*$, we can compute in the exponent modulo $\phi(n)$
- Corollary: For $\mathbb{Z}_{p'}^*$, we can compute in the exponent modulo **$p-1$**
- Example

$$\mathbb{Z}_{10}^* = \{ 1, 3, 7, 9 \} \quad \phi(10) = 4$$

$$3^{1590} \bmod 10 = 3^{(1590 \bmod 4)} \bmod 10 = 3^2 \bmod 10 = 9$$

How about $2^8 \bmod 10$?

- Example for $p=19$

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^* = \{1, 2, \dots, (p-1)\} \quad \phi(p) = p-1$$

$$15^{39} \bmod 19 = 15^{(39 \bmod 18)} \bmod 19 = 15^3 \bmod 19 = 12$$

RSA Cryptosystem

■ Setup:

- $n = pq$, with p and q primes
- e relatively prime to $\phi(n) = (p - 1)(q - 1)$
- d inverse of e in $\mathbb{Z}_{\phi(n)}$

■ Keys:

- Public key: $K_E = (n, e)$
- Private key: $K_D = d$

■ Encryption:

- Plaintext M in \mathbb{Z}_n
- $C = M^e \bmod n$

■ Decryption:

- $M = C^d \bmod n$

■ Example

■ Setup:

- ♦ $p = 7, q = 17$
- ♦ $n = 7 \cdot 17 = 119$
- ♦ $\phi(n) = 6 \cdot 16 = 96$
- ♦ $e = 5$
- ♦ $d = 77$

■ Keys:

- ♦ public key: (119, 5)
- ♦ private key: 77

■ Encryption:

- ♦ $M = 19$
- ♦ $C = 19^5 \bmod 119 = 66$

■ Decryption:

- ♦ $C = 66^{77} \bmod 119 = 19$

Complete RSA Example

■ Setup:

- $p = 5, q = 11$
- $n = 5 \cdot 11 = 55$
- $\phi(n) = 4 \cdot 10 = 40$
- $e = 3$
- $d = 27$ ($3 \cdot 27 = 81 = 2 \cdot 40 + 1$)

• Encryption

- $C = M^3 \bmod 55$

• Decryption

- $M = C^{27} \bmod 55$

M	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
C	1	8	27	9	15	51	13	17	14	10	11	23	52	49	20	26	18	2
M	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
C	39	25	21	33	12	19	5	31	48	7	24	50	36	43	22	34	30	16
M	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54
C	53	37	29	35	6	3	32	44	45	41	38	42	4	40	46	28	47	54

Questions

- Problem with RSA?
- Does it satisfy semantic security?

Security of RSA

- Security of RSA based on difficulty of factoring
 - Widely believed
 - Best known algorithm takes exponential time
- RSA Security factoring challenge (discontinued)
- In 1999, 512-bit challenge factored in 4 months using 35.7 CPU-years
 - 160 175-400 MHz SGI and Sun
 - 8 250 MHz SGI Origin
 - 120 300-450 MHz Pentium II
 - 4 500 MHz Digital/Compaq
- In 2005, a team of researchers factored the RSA-640 challenge number using 30 2.2GHz CPU years
- In 2004, the prize for factoring RSA-2048 was \$200,000
- Current practice is 2,048-bit keys
- Estimated resources needed to factor a number within one year

Length (bits)	PCs	Memory
430	1	128MB
760	215,000	4GB
1,020	342×10^6	170GB
1,620	1.6×10^{15}	120TB

Correctness

- We show the correctness of the RSA cryptosystem for the case when the plaintext M does not divide n

- Namely, we show that

$$(M^e)^d \bmod n = M$$

- Since $ed \bmod \phi(n) = 1$, there is an integer k such that

$$ed = k\phi(n) + 1$$

- Since M does not divide n , by Euler's theorem we have

$$M^{\phi(n)} \bmod n = 1$$

- Thus, we obtain

$$(M^e)^d \bmod n =$$

$$M^{ed} \bmod n =$$

$$M^{k\phi(n) + 1} \bmod n =$$

$$MM^{k\phi(n)} \bmod n =$$

$$M (M^{\phi(n)})^k \bmod n =$$

$$M (M^{\phi(n)} \bmod n)^k \bmod n =$$

$$M (1)^k \bmod n =$$

$$M \bmod n =$$

$$M$$

- Proof of correctness can be extended to the case when the plaintext M divides n

Algorithmic Issues

- The implementation of the RSA cryptosystem requires various algorithms
- Overall
 - Representation of integers of arbitrarily large size and arithmetic operations on them
- Encryption
 - Modular power
- Decryption
 - Modular power
- Setup
 - Generation of random numbers with a given number of bits (to generate candidates p and q)
 - Primality testing (to check that candidates p and q are prime)
 - Computation of the GCD (to verify that e and $\phi(n)$ are relatively prime)
 - Computation of the multiplicative inverse (to compute d from e)

Modular Power

- The repeated squaring algorithm speeds up the computation of a modular power $a^p \bmod n$
- Write the exponent p in binary

$$p = p_{b-1}p_{b-2} \cdots p_1p_0$$

- Start with

$$Q_1 = a^{p_{b-1}} \bmod n$$

- Repeatedly compute

$$Q_i = ((Q_{i-1})^2 \bmod n) a^{p_{b-i}} \bmod n$$

- We obtain

$$Q_b = a^p \bmod n$$

- The repeated squaring algorithm performs $O(\log p)$ arithmetic operations

- Example

$$\blacksquare 3^{18} \bmod 19 \quad (18 = 10010)$$

$$\blacksquare Q_1 = 3^1 \bmod 19 = 3$$

$$\blacksquare Q_2 = (3^2 \bmod 19) 3^0 \bmod 19 = 9$$

$$\blacksquare Q_3 = (9^2 \bmod 19) 3^0 \bmod 19 = 81 \bmod 19 = 5$$

$$\blacksquare Q_4 = (5^2 \bmod 19) 3^1 \bmod 19 = (25 \bmod 19) 3 \bmod 19 = 18 \bmod 19 = 18$$

$$\blacksquare Q_5 = (18^2 \bmod 19) 3^0 \bmod 19 = (324 \bmod 19) \bmod 19 = 17 \cdot 19 + 1 \bmod 19 = 1$$

p_{5-i}	1	0	0	1	0
$2^{p_{5-i}}$	3	1	1	3	1
Q_i	3	9	5	18	1

Chinese remainder theorem light

- Let $N=pq$. Let
 - $x \bmod p = a_1$
 - $x \bmod q = a_2$
- Then
 - $x \bmod N = a_1 * q * \text{inverse}(q \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_p) + a_2 * p * \text{inverse}(p \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_q) \bmod N$
 - **Let's prove it**
 - This can be used to compute $W^x \bmod N$, for big W^x , more efficiently
 - How?
- Use of theorem
 - Say you want to compute $18^{25} \bmod 35$ ($35 = 5*7$)
 - Compute $18^{25} \bmod 5 = 18^{(25 \bmod 4)} \bmod 5 = 18^1 \bmod 5 = 3 = a_1$
 - Compute $18^{25} \bmod 7 = 18^{(25 \bmod 6)} \bmod 7 = 18^1 \bmod 7 = 4 = a_2$
 - Note that $\text{inverse}(5 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_7)=3$ and $\text{inverse}(7 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_5)=3$
 - Therefore the solution we are looking for is $3*7*3+4*5*3 \bmod 35= 18$
- Used in the decryption procedure of RSA: Why cannot it be used in the encryption?
- Also we can prove correctness of RSA for general message M

Pseudoprimality Testing

- Testing whether a number is prime (primality testing) is a difficult problem, though polynomial-time algorithms exist
- An integer $n \geq 2$ is said to be a base- x pseudoprime if
 - $x^{n-1} \bmod n = 1$ (Fermat's little theorem)
- Composite base- x pseudoprimes are rare:
 - A random 100-bit integer is a composite base-2 pseudoprime with probability less than 10^{-13}
 - The smallest composite base-2 pseudoprime is 341
- Base- x pseudoprimality testing for an integer n :
 - Check whether $x^{n-1} \bmod n = 1$
 - Can be performed efficiently with the repeated squaring algorithm

RSA security and properties

- Plain RSA is deterministic.
- Why is this a problem?
- Plain RSA is also homomorphic. What does this mean?
 - Multiply ciphertexts to get ciphertext of multiplication!
 - $[(m_1)^e \bmod N][(m_2)^e \bmod N] = (m_1 m_2)^e \bmod N$
- However, not additively homomorphic
- Both additively + multiplicative homomorphic (aka fully-homomorphic) encryption open problem till 2009
- A breakthrough result from IBM (Craig Gentry) answered this open problem, constructing such an encryption scheme

Real World Usage of RSA

- Randomized RSA
 - To encrypt a message M under an RSA public key (n, e) , generate a new random session AES key K , compute the cipher text as
 - $[K^e \bmod N, \text{AES}_K(M)]$
- This prevents an adversary distinguishing two encryptions of the same message since K is chosen at random every time the encryption takes place
- Could the following encryption work for arbitrary messages M ?
 - $(M||r)^e \bmod N$, for random r

ElGamal Encryption

- Encrypts messages $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$
- **Secret key:** random number $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$
- **Public key:** $A = g^a$
- **Encryption:** Pick a random $k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and set
 - $K = A^k = g^{ak}$
 - $c_1 = g^k$
 - Then **Enc(m) = (c₁, c₂)** where **c₂ = mK mod p**
 - **Dec(c₁, c₂) = c₂ * (1/K) mod p** where **K = c₁^a = g^{ak}**
- Security depends on Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) assumption: given (g, g^a, g^b) it is hard to compute g^{ab}
- Do not use same k twice