(a). We know 
$$k^* = \Gamma(n+1)p7$$

$$P_X(k) = C_{K}^n p^{K} (1-p)^{n-K} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} p^{K} (1-p)^{n-K}, \quad K=0,1,...,n.$$

Now, we derive the following ratio

Hence, We know TK is decreasing with K

$$Y_{K < 1} \Leftrightarrow \frac{h-k}{K+1} \frac{P}{1-P} < 1 \Leftrightarrow P(n-k) < (K+1)(1-p)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (n+1) P < K+1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (n+1) P < K+1$$

By (1) and the fact that K is an integer,

We know  $\{Y_K < I, K_7 \Gamma(n+1)pT = K^*\}$   $\{Y_K > I, I \le K \le \Gamma(n+1)pT - I\}$ 

K= (m1)p-1

This implies:

K=[anti)p]-1 [anti)p]+1

Therefore, the PMF of 
$$X$$
,  $P_X(K) = \begin{cases} C_b \cdot (0.05)^7 \cdot (0.95)^k \\ 0 \end{cases}$ ,  $K=0,1,2,...$ 

For ease of notation, we denote this as Wz

$$W_3 = \binom{3}{2} \cdot \binom{2}{2} \cdot (1-\binom{3}{2}) + \binom{3}{3} \cdot \binom{3}{4} = -2\binom{3}{4} + 3\binom{2}{4}$$

Similarly, for the case of n=5, we have

$$W_5 = C_3^5 q^3 \cdot (1-q^2)^2 + C_4^5 q^4 \cdot (1-q^2) + C_5^5 q^5$$

Therefore, we conclude that W5>W3 if and only if 
$$\frac{1}{2} < \frac{9}{5} < 1$$
.

XIN Geometric (PI), X2~Geometric (P2), X=min(X1,X2). For any KRO, P(X>K) = P(min(X1/X2) >K) = P(X1>K and X2>K)  $= (1-p_1)^k \cdot (1-p_2)^k$ =  $((-p_1)\cdot(1-p_2))^K$  We know X is a Geometric v.v. =  $[-(p_1+p_2-p_1p_2)]^K$  With parameter  $(p_1+p_2-p_1p_2)$ Therefore, the PMF of X is:  $P_X(n) = \begin{cases} (1-(P_1+P_2-P_1P_2)) \cdot (P_1+P_2-P_1P_2), & n > 1 \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$ Originally, Amy got 1236 Votes)
Bill got 1226 Votes) a margin of 10 votes. Define a random variable  $\chi=$  \$ of illegal votes that were count towards Amy.

Define a random variable X = \* of Tllegal Votes that were count towards.Then,  $X \sim Hyper Geometric (N=2462, D=1236, N=57)$  P( the result of the election will be charged)  $= P(X>33) \approx 0.095$ 

=  $P(X>33) \approx 0.095$ by Matlab's "hygecdf" function.

Letine 
$$X = \# of I's$$
 transmitted in the interval

$$V = \# of \text{ transmitted bits } \text{ "}$$

$$V = \# of \text{ transmitted bits } \text{ "}$$

$$\# of O's \text{ transmitted}$$

$$P(X = K) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(X = K | V = K + n) \cdot P(V = K + n)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (K + n) \cdot P(K + n) \cdot P(V = K + n)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (K + n) \cdot P(K + n) \cdot P(K$$

Remark: The above procedure is usually called "Bernoulli splitting of a Poisson!

(b). The Communication chahell can be cast as follows:

By reusing the results of (a), we know Y is also Poisson, with an average rate

Hence, the PMF of 
$$Y = \begin{cases} -\lambda [px_1+(1-p)(1-x_0)]T \\ \lambda [px_1+(1-p)(1-x_0)]T \\ k! \end{cases}$$

which the pmF of  $Y = \begin{cases} -\lambda [px_1+(1-p)(1-x_0)]T \\ k! \end{cases}$ 

where  $X = \{ x = x_0 \}$ 

otherwise

Define \( \lambda\_1 = \lambda\_1 \cdot (\rangle \alpha\_1 + (\rangle \rangle \cdot) \), \( \lambda\_2 = \lambda\_2 \left( \rangle \alpha\_2 + (\rangle \rangle \cdot) \rangle \). By (b) = TI ~ Poisson (XIT), Tz ~ Poisson (XZ,T).

For any  $K_{20}$ :  $P(T_1=m) \cdot P(T_2=K-m)$ .

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P(1_1=m) \cdot P(1_2=K-m).$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{K} \frac{e^{-\lambda_{1}^{*}T}}{m!} \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda_{2}^{*}T}}{(k-m)!}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-(\lambda_{1}^{*}t\lambda_{2}^{*})T}}{k!} T^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{K} C_{m}^{k} \lambda_{1}^{*m} (\lambda_{2}^{*})^{k-m}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-(\lambda_{1}^{*}t\lambda_{2}^{*})T}}{k!} T^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{K} C_{m}^{k} \lambda_{1}^{*m} (\lambda_{2}^{*})^{k-m}$$

$$=\frac{C(\chi_{1},\chi_{2})}{K!} \sum_{k=0}^{K} C_{k}^{k} \chi_{1}^{k} (\gamma_{2}^{*})_{k+m}$$

For any K<0= P(Z=K)=0

Remark:

Sum of 2 independent Poisson VIVs is Still Poisson.

Problem 3 =

R.6

(a)

Use Lagrangian to solve a constrained optimization problem

Note that H(X) is a concave function since the Hessian of (-H(X)) is positive semi-definite

$$\frac{\partial H(x)}{\partial P_{i}^{2}} = -\ln P_{i} - 1, \quad \frac{\partial H(x)}{\partial P_{j}^{2} \partial P_{i}} = 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial H(x)}{\partial P_{i}^{2}} = -\frac{1}{P_{i}^{2}}$$
Hessian of  $H(x) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{P_{j}} \\ -\frac{1}{P_{z}} \end{bmatrix}$ 

Then, this problem is essentially a convex optimization problem with a linear constrain

max 
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} \ln P_{i}$$
  
 $\{P_{i}\}\}$   $\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} = 1$ .

Next, we shall write down the Lagrangian as:

$$L(\lambda) := -\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} | n P_{i} - \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} - 1 \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial L(u)}{\partial P_i} = -\ln P_i - 1 - \lambda \qquad (*)$$

By the optimization theory, we know the maximum occurs when  $\frac{\partial L(\lambda)}{\partial P_{i}}=0$ ,  $\forall i$  Therefore, by (4), we conclude that the maximum of H(X) is achieved at

Then, the maximum possible H(x) is ln M. P\_= P\_= = P\_n = h.

7

## Lemma (Jensen's inequality):

If f is a concave function and Y is a discrete random variable

then f(E[Y]) > E[f(Y)]

$$H(X) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} \ln P_{i} = E[\ln(\frac{1}{P(X)})]$$

$$\leq \ln(E[\frac{1}{P(X)}]) = \ln(\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} \cdot \frac{1}{P_{i}}) = \ln N$$

In(i) is a concave function

It is easy to verify that this maximum is achieved at  $P_1 = P_2 = ... = P_n = \frac{1}{n}$ .

(b). We can observe that H(X) >0 as  $Pi \leq 1$ ,  $\forall i$ 

> Moreover, we know H(x) is exactly zero if there exists a je{1, z, ..., n} such that Pj=1 (and Pk=0 for all K+j).

Hence, the minimum possible value of H(X) is O, and this can

be achieved by any PMF {Pi} that satisfies Pj=1, for some je{1,2,...,n}.

(c). H(x) + \frac{n}{2} Piln fi

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{P_i \left( \frac{g_i}{P_i} \right)}{\sqrt{\frac{g_i}{P_i}}} \times \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{P_i \left( \frac{g_i}{P_i} - 1 \right)}{\sqrt{\frac{g_i}{P_i}}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{g_i - P_i}{g_i} = 0$$

use the property that In Z = Z-1, 7270

an equality if and only if  $f_i = P_i$ , for all i, (1,0)

n

we conclude that H(x)Moveover, note that the inequality (\*) is actually

Therefore, we conclude that  $H(X) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i \ln f_i$ if and only if Pi=fi, for all i \[ \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}.

Problem 4

(a). Since 
$$X \sim U_{nif}(a,b)$$
, then  $P_X(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a+1}, & k=a,a+1, \dots, b \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

Then, 
$$E[X] = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=a}^{b} \frac{1}{b-a+1}} = \frac{1}{b-a+1} \cdot \frac{(b-a+1)(a+b)}{2} = \frac{a+b}{2}$$

To find Var[X], we define another random variable Y:= X-q.

Then, we know Yn Unif (0, b-a) and Var[Y] = Var [X].

( as translation does not change the variance).

$$E[\lambda] = \frac{p-q}{s}$$

$$E[Y^{2}] = \sum_{b-a+1}^{a} \frac{L^{2}}{b-a+1} = \frac{1}{b-a+1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{b-a} L^{2} \right) = \frac{1}{b-a+1} \frac{(b-a)(b-a+1)(z(b-a)+1)}{b}$$

$$V_{av}[Y] = E[Y^2] - (E[Y])^2$$

$$= \frac{(b-a)(z(b-a)+1)}{b^2} - \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^2$$

$$= \frac{(b-a)+2(b-a)}{12}$$

$$= \frac{(b-a+1)^2-1}{12}$$

P.10

(b). 
$$X \sim NB(p_1 Y)$$
, then  $P_X(K) = \begin{cases} C_{k-1} p^{r} (1-p)^{k-r}, & k \ge r \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$ 

$$E[X] = \sum_{k=\gamma}^{\infty} \frac{Y \cdot (x-1)!}{Y \cdot (x-1)!} \frac{P^{Y}(1-p)^{K-Y}}{P^{Y}(1-p)^{Y}}$$

$$= \frac{Y}{P} \sum_{k=\gamma}^{\infty} \frac{K!}{Y!} \frac{C^{Y}(k+\gamma)!}{(x+\gamma)-1} \frac{P^{Y+1}(1-p)}{P^{Y}(1-p)^{Y}}$$
ext YM=K+1)
$$= \frac{Y}{P} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+1)-1}{(x+1)-1} \cdot \frac{P^{Y+1}(1-p)}{(x+1)-1} \cdot \frac{P^{Y+1}(1-p)}{P^{Y}(1-p)^{Y}(1-p)} \cdot \frac{P^{Y+1}(1-p)}{P^{Y}(1-p)^{Y}(1-p)} \cdot \frac{P^{Y+1}(1-p)}{P^{Y}(1-p)^{Y}(1-p)^{Y}(1-p)}$$
sum is 1

$$E[X_{5}] = \sum_{\infty} \frac{(\lambda-1)_{1}(k-\lambda)_{1}}{(K_{5}(k-1)_{1})_{1}} b_{\lambda}(1-b)_{k-\lambda}$$

$$= \left(\frac{k^{-1}}{\sum_{k=1}^{k-1} \frac{(\lambda+1) \cdot \lambda \cdot (\lambda+1) \cdot (k+1) \cdot (k$$

$$= \frac{b_{5}}{\lambda(\lambda+1)} \cdot \sum_{\infty}^{K=\lambda} \frac{(\lambda+1)!(K-\lambda)!}{(K+1)!} b_{\lambda+5} (1-b)_{K-\lambda} - E[X]$$

$$= \frac{1}{|P|^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{k=1} \binom{(k+2)-1}{(k+2)-1} \cdot \binom{(k+2)-(k+2)}{(k+2)-(k+2)} - E[x]$$

$$= \frac{V(Y+1)}{P^2} - \frac{Y}{P}$$
Therefore,  $V_{aY}[X] = E[X^2] - (E[X])^2 = \frac{Y(Y+1)}{P^2} - \frac{Y}{P} - \frac{Y^2}{P^2} = \frac{Y(Y+1)}{P^2}$ 

女

(c). 
$$X \cap HyperGeometric (N,D,n)$$
, then  $P_X(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{C^{N-D}}{C^{N-k}}, & K=0,1,...n \\ \frac{C^{N}}{C^{N}}, & K=0,1,...n \end{cases}$ 

$$E[X] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k \cdot \frac{C^{N-D}}{C^{N-k}} \cdot \frac{C^{N-D}}{C^{N-k}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{K \cdot \frac{D!}{K!(D \cdot k)!} \cdot C^{N-D}}{C^{N-k}} \cdot \frac{C^{N-D}}{C^{N-k}}$$

$$= D \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(D+1)!}{(K+1)!(D \cdot k)!} \cdot C^{N-D}}{C^{N-1}} \cdot \frac{C^{N-1}}{C^{N-1}} \cdot \frac{C^{N-1}}{C^$$

Define P(X=ai)=Pi, P(Y=ai)=Gi, and write  $E[X]=E[Y] \triangleq C_1$ 

Since E[X]=E[Y] and Vay[X] = Vay[Y], then E[X2] = E[Y2] = C2

For X, we have a system of linear equations as:

$$P_1 + P_2 + P_3 = |$$

$$a_1P_1 + a_2P_2 + a_3P_3 = C_1$$

$$a_1^2P_1 + a_2^2P_2 + a_3^2P_3 = C_2$$

Similarly, for Y, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_1^2 & a_2^2 & a_3^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ g_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

usually called " Vandermonde matrix"

It is easy to verify that V is invertible.

Therefore, we have 
$$\begin{bmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_3 \end{bmatrix} = V \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow P_1 = G_1$$
, for all  $i \neq 1, 2, 3$ .