

Peer Assessment Form

Unit of Study: ICT30005 - Professional Issues in Information Technology

Assignment: Investigative Case Study Narrated Group Presentation

The following pages have been divided into 2 sections:

1. Survey Questionnaires

Four questions to evaluate group function.

2. Evaluation Criteria

To rate fellow team members based on identified evaluation criteria.

Section 1: Survey Questionnaires

1. Does each team member have specific, even unique, responsibilities that help the team attain its goal(s)? List all team members by name and their individual responsibilities.

Name	Responsibilities
1. Muhammad Syukri Bin Abdul Rahman	He is in charge of doing wicked problem, while also use his laptop for team charter presentation
2. Winston Angginata	He is in charge of doing solution 1, while also being proactive.
3. Jonathan Liew Yew Xian	He is in charge of doing solution 2, but he is always proactive in grand scheme of assignment and ask many questions about the assignment.
4. Lam Sheng Siang	He is in charge of doing solution 3 and conclusion.

2. How many of the team members participated actively most of the time? **4**
3. How many of you were fully prepared for the teamwork most of the time? **4**
4. Overall, how effectively has your team been working together on this project? (Bold and highlight the number)

1	2	3	4	5
not at all	poorly	adequately	well	extremely well

Section 2: Evaluation Criteria

Please enter a mark, ranging from 1 to 5, for each of your group members. Identify your group members by name in the space provided.

Do not fill in the totals row. You are not required to rate your own contribution.

Please try to assign grades that reflect how you really feel about the extent to which the other members of your group contributed to your learning and/or your group's performance. If you give everyone pretty much the same score you will be hurting those who did the most and helping those who did the least. The individual who is being reviewed will not be told which team member was asked to complete the questionnaires.

Use the following scale, thinking specifically of the person whose name appears above.

1 = Never 2 = Occasionally 3 = Sometimes 4 = Most of the Time 5 = Always

Evaluation Criteria	1. Muhammad Syukri Bin Abdul Rahman	1. Winston Angginata	1. Jonathan Liew Yew Xian	1. Lam Sheng Siang
Attended planning sessions, was prompt, and participated in decision making	5	5	5	5
Participated in identifying and defining problems and working toward solutions	4	4	5	4
Made suggestions, sought feedback, showed interest in team decision making and planning	2	3	5	2
Follows through with tasks and responsibilities in an appropriate and timely manner	4	3	5	5
Shares many ideas related to the goals	3	4	5	3
Encourages all group members to share their ideas	2	4	3	2
Demonstrates respect for the work and ideas of others	3	4	5	3
Demonstrates a willingness to listen to what others have to say	5	5	5	5
Total	28	32	38	29

This evaluation is a formal component of the assessment for your group assignment.

Full Name: Chin Chun Kiat

Student No: 102765068

Signature: *Chin Chun Kiat*

Date: 17/5/2024

I hereby certify that I have provided an honest and **professional** assessment of the contribution of my teammates to our team's group project as per the rubric given. My peer assessment grades are not based on any 'in or out-of-class' agreements among my teammates and myself to distribute points in a particular way (i.e., a way that does not consider the quality or quantity of individual efforts fairly).