



TLA⁺

Trust, but specify!

Markus Teufelberger
Graz Rust meetup 2018-07-05



TL-what?

TLA: Temporal Logic of Actions (~1980s)

TLA+: Specification language (~1993)

PlusCal: Algorithm language, “compiles”/translates to TLA+ (~2009)

TLC: Automated model checker for TLA+ specifications (~1999)

TLAPS (TLA+ proof system): Guided proof system for specifications written in TLA+ (~2008)

APALACHE: Abstraction-based parameterized TLA+ checker (~2015)

Temporal logic

Mixture of first-order and propositional logic with a few extra operators:

$\wedge \vee \neg$ TRUE FALSE $\forall \exists$ etc.

$\Box P$ P is always TRUE (at every point in time)

$\Diamond P$ P is eventually TRUE (at one or many points in time)

$\Diamond \Box P$ P will become and stay TRUE (it might be FALSE now)

$P \leadsto Q$ P leads to Q (if P is TRUE, at some point later Q must be TRUE)

Temporal Logic of Arnold

Initial state:

ArnoldIsHere = FALSE

GetsToTheChoppa = TRUE

Which ones are true, according to Arnold?

- A) $\langle \rangle$ ArnoldIsHere
- B) $[]$ ArnoldIsHere
- C) $\langle \rangle []$ ArnoldIsHere
- D) GetsToTheChoppa $\sim \rightarrow$ ArnoldIsHere



Guess the song

Initial state:

$\forall x \in \text{People}: \text{Call}(x) = \text{FALSE}$

$\text{me} \in \text{People}$

Action:

$\langle \rangle \text{Call}(\text{me})$

TLA⁺

Syntax for TLA formulas

Mostly based in math notation, not programming languages

E.g. function definition: " $\hat{=}$ ", equality checking: " $=$ ", inequality: " \neq "

Whitespace sensitive for logical junctions " \vee " and " \wedge " (to save on (), you can indent subclauses)

Example:

<https://gist.github.com/jparreira/76bb5d94de4822298a3dfddbf6bff950#file-wolf-sheep-cabbage-tla-full-tla>

PlusCal

“Ugly pseudocode”

```
EXTENDS TLC
```

```
(* --algorithm hello_world
variable s \in {"Hello", "World!"};
Begin
  A:
    print s;
end algorithm; *)
```

In header of tla files, then converted to TLA+ below

A: is a label, everything within there happens at once.

TLC

Computing proofs and checking the provided specifications automatically

Complete evaluation - checking SHA3 in TLA+ will take a while

Closer to QuickCheck

TLAPS

Interactive proof assistant (TLC will have issues with checking something for all natural numbers, TLAPS might have a shot at it)

Integrates SMT/SAT solvers, but user has to guide proof - checking SHA3 in TLA+ with TLAPS will still take a while

Closer to Coq

APALACHE

“TLC is old, let’s do state of the art model checking”

Academic, TU Wien (Forsythe, Formal Methods in Systems Engineering)

Ressources

- <https://lamport.azurewebsites.net/tla/tla.html> (general overview)
- <http://lamport.azurewebsites.net/video/videos.html> (video series)
- <https://medium.com/@jparreira/solving-the-wolf-sheep-and-cabbage-problem-using-tla-and-the-tlc-model-checker-28271a5afdfc> (solve a logical puzzle)
- <https://github.com/tlaplus> (code, examples)
- <https://learntla.com> (guide)
- <https://pron.github.io> (in-depth blog posts, theory heavy)
- <http://lamport.azurewebsites.net/tla/book.html> ("Specifying Systems" - canonical source)
- <http://forsyte.at/research/apalache/>, <https://github.com/konnov/apalache> (APALACHE)