Yogatattvabindu of Rāmacandra A Critical Edition and Annotated Translation

Nils Jacob Liersch

December 1, 2022

Contents

Contents						
1	The	List of the 15 Yogas	3			
2	Conventions in the Critical Apparatus					
	2.1	Sigla in the Critical Apparatus	5			
	2.2	Punctuation	6			
	2.3	Sandhi	6			
	2.4	Class Nasals	7			
	2.5	Lists	7			
3	Crit	ical Edition	9			

Chapter 1

The List of the 15 Yogas

• It's not entirely clear if the list given at the beginning of the text codifying the fifteen *yoga*s belongs to the original text or was a later addition by a another hand. One primary reason for this possibility is the structure of the *yoga*s in the actual course of the text does not equal the list. The text begins with a description of *kriyāyoga* and continues to describe *siddhakuṇḍaliniyoga* and somewhat suprisingly mentions *mantrayoga* in the same breath. One starts wondering why the structure of the text does not follow the codification. However the mention of *jñānotpattav upāyaḥ* might be a clue why the second *yoga* in the list might be *jñānayoga*. So far it seems to me that there are three options or a combination of these to explain these apparent inconsistencies: 1. The text is highly corrupted. 2. The codification was a later addition of another hand. 3. The term *jñānayoga* is listed due to the results of *siddhakuṇḍalinīyoga*, which is the generation of knowledge due to the practice of a certain *yoga* involving the central channel, as mentioned in this section of the text.

Chapter 2

Conventions in the Critical Apparatus

2.1 Sigla in the Critical Apparatus

- E: Printed Edition
- P: Pune BORI 664
- L: Lalchand Research Library LRL5876
- B: Bodleian Oxford D 4587
- N₁: NGMPP B 38-31
- N₂: NGMPP B 38-35 / A 1327-14
- D₁: IGNCA 30019
- U1: SORI 1574
- U₂: SORI 6082

The order of the readings in the critical apparatus is arranged according to the quality of readings in decending order. The critical apparatus is positive. Gemitation is not recorded.

2.2 Punctuation

The very inconsistent use of punctuation marks in the witnesses at hand makes standardization necessary. A close examination of the overall usage of punctuation suggest that in the course of the texts transmission punctuations have been dropped frequently or even have been added. Particularly in the lists given in the text the copists negliance or not properly dealing with punctuation resulted in various forms of those lists with and without punctuations. Due to missing punctuation in many instances copists either made up case endings, changed the text and combined the lists' items into compounds that weren't present in the assumed original text. Even though punctuation plays a role that should'nt be underestimated, the deviation of punctuation at the end of sentences, lists and verse-numbering will only be documented in the critical apparatus of the printed edition to meaningful extend. That means, for example that emendations of obvious mistakes in punctuation will not be recorded in the critical apparatus. However, the digital edition of this work provides a way more detailled documentation of deviations in punctuation in the form of diplomatic transcripts of each witness and even a function to display sentences cummulativly on top of each other.

In the printed edition of the *Tattvayogabindu* the standard conventions of punctuation are followed:

In verse poetry, a *daṇḍa* marks the end of a half verse, half of the *śloka*, and the double *daṇḍa* marks the end of a verse. A half verse is a *pāda*, at least in some literary works, this is concluded by a *daṇḍa* and the end of a *śloka* by a double *daṇḍa*. In prose the single *daṇḍa* indicates the end of a sentence and the double *daṇḍa* marks the end of a paragraph.

Variations in the usage of *Avagraha* will be recorded. Items of lists will be separated by a single *danda*.

2.3 Sandhi

Among the witnesses we see deviating and inconsistent application of *sandhi*. There is no clear evidence that originally *sandhi* was intentionally not applied. This edition will therefore apply *sandhi* consistently throughout the constituted text to provide a readable text sticking to contemporary conventions in Sanskrit. The variant readings concerning *sandhi* are recorded consistently in the apparatus criticus.

7

This is due to various textcritical problems arising from the inconsistent usage of punctuation which results in application or non-application of *sandhi* wheter the respective witness applied a *daṇḍa* or not. This is particularly the case within lists, which frequently occur in our compilation. Items were most likely originally separated by *danḍa*.

2.4 Class Nasals

Again, due to inconsistent use of class nasals among the witnesses *anusvāra*s have been substituted with the respective class nasals throughout the edition.

2.5 Lists

Lists are very frequent in the *Yogatattvabindu*. In fact, the text initially gives a list of 15 Yogas in the beginning and many more lists are have been utilized throughout the text. Many witness lost punctuation in the process of copying and as a consequence applied *sandhi*, to arrive at a consistent and conveniently readable edition of the text, all list have been identified as such and normalized to the Nominativ Singular or Nominativ Plural form of the respective item. Items are separated by a double *daṇḍa*. The differences in punctuation, as well as simple emendations regarding punctuation won't be documented in the apparatus criticus.

Chapter 3

Critical Edition

[Nine Continents]

1 इदानीं नवद्वारमध्ये नवखण्डानि कथ्यन्ते । भरतखण्डः ॥ काश्मीरखंडः ॥ स्त्रीमण्डलखण्डः ॥ द्विजख 2 ण्डः ॥ एकपादखण्डः ॥ राक्षसखण्डः ॥ घान्धारखण्डः ॥ कैवर्त्तखण्डः ॥ गर्भखण्डः ॥

[Eight Mountains]

Sources: 1 navadvāra°] Ysv^{PT}: idānīn tu navadvāre nava khaṇḍāni saṃśṛṇu | pāyvādau bhārataṃ khaṇḍaṃ kāśmīraṃ trikamaṇḍalum | dvijakhaṇḍam ekapādaṃ khaṇḍaṃ vakṣye samaṇḍalam | kaivarttaṃ garttagāndhāraṃ navakhaṇḍaṃ iti sthitam | navadvāra°] SSP 3.9: navakhaṇḍāḥ nava dvāreṣu vasanti| bhāratakhaṇḍaḥ kāśmīrakhaṇḍaḥ karparakhaṇḍaḥ śrīkhaṇḍaḥ śaṅkhakhaṇḍaḥ ekapādakhaṇḍaḥ gāndhārakhaṇḍaḥ kaivartakhaṇḍaḥ mahāmerukhaṇḍaḥ evaṃ navakhaṇḍāḥ | 3 aṣṭakulaparvatāḥ] Ysv^{PT}: idānīṃ parvatāś cāṣṭau kathyante śṛṇu yatnataḥ | merudaṇḍe sumerus tu pīṭhamadhye himālayaḥ | vāmaskandhe tathā dakṣe malayo mandarācalaḥ | vindhyas tu dakṣiṇe karṇe vāme maināka īśvari | lalāṭe madhyadeśe tu śrīśailaḥ parameśvari | tathā brahmakapāṭasthaḥ kailāsaḥ parvato mahān | sumerur himavān vindhyo malayo mandaras tathā | śrīśailo mainākaś ceti kailāso 'ṣṭau ca parvatāḥ | apare parvatāḥ sarveaṅgulīmadhyavāsinaḥ | aṣṭakulaparvatāḥ] SSP 3.10: meruparvato merukhaṇḍe vasati kailāso brahmakapāṭe vasati himālayaḥ pṛṣṭhe malayo vāmakandhare mandaro dakṣiṇakandhare vindhyo dakṣiṇakarṇe mainākaḥ vāmakarṇe śrīparvato lalāṭe evam aṣṭa kulaparvatāḥ anye upaparvatāḥ sarvaṅguliṣu vasanti ||

1 navadvāramadhye EU_1] navadvāreşu EPU_2 om. BL **navakhaṇḍāni** $BPLU_2$] navakhaṇḍāḥ DU_1 om. E kathyante cett.] kathyate U₁ bharatakhandah DU₁] mukhe bharatakhamdah BPL pādamadhye kūrmasthānam || mukham bhāratakhamdam U₂ om. E kāśmīrakhamdah DU₁] nāsikayoh kinnarakhamdanaraharikhamdauh E nāsikayoh kinarakhamde 3 P nāsikayor madhye kināraharikhamdā B nāsikayor madhye kinārasimhakhamdā L nāsikayoh | kinnara | harikhamda U2 strīmandalakhandah 1-2 dvijakhandah DU₁] netrayoh ketumala bhadrasyau E netrayoh ketumala bhadrāśve 4 P netrayo ketumāla bhadrāsve BL netrayoh || ketumāla || bhadraśve U₂ 2 ekapādakhandah D] yekapādakhamdah U₁ om. cett. rāksasakhandah DU₁] karnayoh hiranmayakhamda ramyakakhamdau E karnayor hiranmayaramyaka khamdah 5 P karnayor hiranyamayaramyakhamdah BL karṇayoḥ || hiraṇmaya || ramyakakaṃḍe U₂ **ghāndhārakhaṇḍaḥ** DU₁] gude kurukhaṃḍaḥ E gude kurukhamdah 6 P gude kurukhamdāh BL gudekurukhamdam U₂ kaivarttakhandah DU₁] limge ilāvṛtakhaṇḍaḥ E limge ilāvṛtaḥ 7 P ilāvṛtaṃ BL limge ulāvṛtaṃ U2 garbhakhandah DU1] evam navakhamdāh U₂ om. cett. **3 idānīm** DU₁] idānīm cett. **pindamadhye** DU₁] om. cett. $[\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{3}, \mathbf{x}_{4}, \mathbf{x}_{5}]$ $[\mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{3}, \mathbf{x}_{3}, \mathbf{x}_{4}, \mathbf{x}_{5}]$ $[\mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{3}, \mathbf{x}_{5}, \mathbf{x}_{5}]$ $[\mathbf{x}_{3}, \mathbf{x}_{5}, \mathbf{x}_{5}, \mathbf{x}_{5}]$ meruparvatah scripsi] em. merumparvatah DU_1 merumamdarah cett. kailāsaparvatah DU_1] kailāsah cett. 3-11.1 prsthamadhye EU₂] prstham madhye P prthvīamadhye BL paitimadhye D paithamadhye U_1

Philological Commentary: 1 navakhaṇḍāni: This is another highly problematic passage. We see complete divergence between the two main groups of manuscripts. Finanlly the α -group represented by witnesses D and U₁ was chosen, since their readings can be found within the source texts. The β -group represented by B,E,L,P and U₂ seems to rewrite the passage by adding the names of the nine doors which are partially lacking in one of the sources, the Ysv^{PT} and missing entirely in the SSP. The β -group assigns the names of a competing system to the areas. The β -group situates the Bharatakhaṇḍa within the mouth (1), the Kinnaraharikhaṇḍa in the two nostrils (3), the Ketumālabhadrāśva[-khaṇḍa] in the eyes (5), the Hiraṇyamayaramyakakhaṇḍa in the ears (7), the Kurukhaṇḍa at the Anus (8), and the Ilāvrta[-khanda] at the gender (9).

इदानीं पिण्डमध्ये ऽष्टकुलपर्वताः कथ्यन्ते । मेरुदंडमध्ये मेरुपर्वतः ॥ ब्रह्मकपाटमध्ये कैलासपर्वतः ॥ पृष्ठ स्प्

¹ himācalaḥ cett.] himācalaḥ || parvataḥ D himācalaparvataḥ U $_1$ 2 śrīśailaḥ cett.] śrīśailāsaḥ B parvatāḥ DU $_1$] śailāḥ EU $_2$ śailā BPL amgulīnāṃ EPD] amgulībhyāṃ U $_1$ amguli $_2$ BL mūleṣu cett.] madhye DU $_1$ vartante cett.] vartate BL parvate U $_1$

- 1 मध्ये हिमाचलः ॥ वामस्कंधे मलयाचलः ॥ दक्षिणस्कंधे मंदराचलः ॥ दक्षणकर्णे विंध्याचलः ॥ वामकर्णे 2 मैनाकः ॥ ललाटमध्ये श्रीशैलः अपरे पर्वताः पादयोगुलीनां मूलेषु वर्तन्ते ॥