

ENNIO MASI

SENIOR IOS DEV @ YOOX NET-A-PORTER

DEMYSTIFY FUNCTIONAL PROGRAMMING IN

(OR TRYING TO ... 🤔)

IN COMPUTER SCIENCE, FP IS A PROGRAMMING PARADIGM – A STYLE OF BUILDING THE STRUCTURE AND ELEMENTS OF COMPUTER PROGRAMS – THAT TREATS COMPUTATION AS THE EVALUATION OF MATHEMATICAL FUNCTIONS AND **AVOIDS CHANGING – STATE AND MUTABLE DATA.**


Someone on Wikipedia

FP HAS ITS ORIGINS IN LAMBDA CALCULUS, A FORMAL SYSTEM DEVELOPED IN THE 1930S TO INVESTIGATE COMPUTABILITY, THE ENTSCHEIDUNGSPROBLEM, FUNCTION DEFINITION, FUNCTION APPLICATION, AND **RECURSION**. MANY FUNCTIONAL PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES CAN BE VIEWED AS ELABORATIONS ON THE LAMBDA CALCULUS.

Someone else on Wikipedia



FUNCTIONAL PROGRAMMING (LOVERS)



FP IS BASED ON CATEGORY THEORY WHICH IS REALLY COMPLEX

START

FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON FUNCTION CALLS

```
func doYouLikeSnow(name: String) -> Bool {  
    if name == "ennio" {  
        return false  
    }  
  
    return true  
}
```

$F(X) \longrightarrow Y$

FP IS AN APP

OOP	FP
Single Responsibility	functions
Open Closed Principle	functions
Dependecy Inversion	functions
Factory pattern	functions
...	...

LS

FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON FUNCTION CALLS

```
func triple(value: Int) -> Int {  
    return value * 3  
}
```

► Referential Transparency

TRIPLE(VALUE: 2) == 6

TRIPLE(VALUE: 2) AND `6` ARE EQUIVALENT

FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON FUNCTION CALLS

```
func triple(value: Int) -> Int {  
    return value * 3  
}
```

- ▶ Write predictable functions
- ▶ Code easier to test
- ▶ Thread-safe codebase
- ▶ Functions chaining


FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON FUNCTION CALLS

FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON PURE FUNCTION CALLS

FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON PURE FUNCTION CALLS

$$F(X) = Y$$


```
func tellMeTheTruth(anInput: SomeData) -> Bool {  
    if anInput.currentDate > Date() {  
        return true  
    }  
  
    return false  
}
```



FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON PURE FUNCTION CALLS

$$F(X) = Y$$


```
func tellMeTheTruth(anInput: SomeData) -> Bool {  
    if anInput.currentDate > Date() {  
        return true  
    }  
  
    return false  
}
```



FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON PURE FUNCTION CALLS

$$F(X) = Y$$

```
func tellMeTheTruth(anInput: SomeData) -> Bool {  
    if anInput.currentDate > Date() {  
        return true  
    }  
  
    return false  
}
```



FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON PURE FUNCTION CALLS

$$F(X) = Y$$

```
func tellMeTheTruth(anInput: SomeData) -> Bool {  
    if anInput.currentDate > Date() {  
        return true  
    }  
  
    return false  
}
```

NOT PURE

```
func tellMeTheTruth(anInput: SomeData, compareDate: Date) -> Bool {  
    if anInput.currentDate > compareDate {  
        return true  
    }  
  
    return false  
}
```

PURE

NO SIDE EFFECTS, TESTABLE, THREAD SAFE

FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON PURE FUNCTION CALLS

$$F(X) = Y$$

```
func tellMeTheTruth(anInput: SomeData) -> Bool {  
    if anInput.currentDate > Date() {  
        return true  
    }  
  
    return false  
}
```

NOT PURE

```
func tellMeTheTruth(anInput: SomeData, compareDate: Date) -> Bool {  
    if anInput.currentDate > compareDate {  
        return true  
    }  
  
    return false  
}
```

PURE

NO SIDE EFFECTS, TESTABLE, THREAD SAFE

FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON PURE FUNCTION CALLS

$$F(X) = Y$$

```
func tellMeTheTruth(anInput: SomeData) -> Bool {  
    if anInput.currentDate > Date() {  
        return true  
    }  
  
    return false  
}
```

NOT PURE

```
func tellMeTheTruth(anInput: SomeData, compareDate: Date) -> Bool {  
    if anInput.currentDate > compareDate {  
        return true  
    }  
  
    return false  
}
```

PURE

NO SIDE EFFECTS, TESTABLE, THREAD SAFE

FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON FUNCTION CALLS

FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON PURE FUNCTION CALLS

FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON COMPOSITION OF PURE FUNCTION CALLS


FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON COMPOSITION OF PURE FUNCTION CALLS

```
func triple(a: Int) -> Int {  
  return a * 3  
}
```

```
func square(a: Int) -> Int {  
  return a * a  
}
```

$$f(2) \rightarrow 2 * 3 = 6$$

$$g(6) \rightarrow 6 * 6 = 36$$



```
1 func triple(a: Int) -> Int { return a * 3 }  
2 func square(a: Int) -> Int { return a * a }  
3  
4 let t = triple(a: 2)  
5 let result = square(a: t)  
6  
7 square(a: triple(a: 2))  
8
```

(2 times)
(2 times)
6
36
36

$$g(f(2)) \rightarrow f(2) = 6 \rightarrow g(6) = 36$$

FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON COMPOSITION OF PURE FUNCTION CALLS

```
func triple(a: Int) -> Int {  
    return a * 3  
}
```

```
func square(a: Int) -> Int {  
    return a * a  
}
```



HIGH ORDER FUNCTIONS

```
let array = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]  
array.map{ return $0 * 3 }
```

FUNCTIONS ARE FIRST CLASS CITIZENS

```
 typealias AwesomeFunction = (Int) -> ()  
  
 let myAwesomeFunction = { input in  
     print(input)  
 }  
  
 myAwesomeFunction(3)
```


SWIFT SUPPORTS FP

FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON COMPOSITION OF PURE FUNCTION CALLS

```
func triple(a: Int) -> Int {  
  return a * 3  
}
```

```
func square(a: Int) -> Int {  
  return a * a  
}
```

COMPOSITION





FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON COMPOSITION OF PURE FUNCTION CALLS

```
func triple(a: Int) -> Int {  
    return a * 3  
}
```

```
func square(a: Int) -> Int {  
    return a * a  
}
```

COMPOSITION

```
precedencegroup FunctionComposition {  
    associativity: left  
}  
  
infix operator : FunctionComposition  
  
func  <A, B, C>(f: @escaping (A) -> B, g: @escaping (B) -> C) -> ((A) -> C) {  
    return { input in  
        return g(f(input))  
    }  
}
```

```
(triple  square)(2)
```

FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON COMPOSITION OF PURE FUNCTION CALLS

```
precedencegroup FunctionComposition {
    associativity: left
}

infix operator >>: FunctionComposition

fun >><A, B, C>(f: @escaping (A) -> B, g: @escaping (B) -> C) -> ((A) -> C) {
    return { input in
        return g(f(input))
    }
}
```

$F: A \rightarrow B$

$G: B \rightarrow C$

```
(triple >> square)(2)
```

36

$F: \text{INT} \rightarrow \text{INT}$

$G: \text{INT} \rightarrow \text{INT}$

```
(triple >> square >> String.init)(2)
```

"36"

$F: \text{INT} \rightarrow \text{INT}$

$G: \text{INT} \rightarrow \text{INT}$

$H: \text{INT} \rightarrow \text{STR}$

FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON COMPOSITION OF PURE FUNCTION CALLS

```
(triple ▶▶ square ▶▶ String.init)(2)
```

"36"

1	func triple(a: Int) -> Int { return a * 3 }	(3 times)
2	func square(a: Int) -> Int { return a * a }	(3 times)
3		
4	let t = triple(a: 2)	6
5	let result = square(a: t)	36
6		
7	square(a: triple(a: 2))	36
8	String.init(square(a: triple(a: 2)))	"36"

FP IS AN APPROACH BASED ON COMPOSITION OF PURE FUNCTION CALLS

```
precedencegroup FunctionComposition {  
    associativity: left  
}  
  
infix operator >>: FunctionComposition  
  
fun >><A, B, C>(f: @escaping (A) -> B, g: @escaping (B) -> C) -> ((A) -> C) {  
    return { input in  
        return g(f(input))  
    }  
}
```

```
(triple >> square >> String.init)(2)
```

"36"

- ▶ Write predictable functions
- ▶ Code easier to test
- ▶ Thread-safe codebase
- ▶ Features chaining

FOUNDATIONS: CURRYING

CURRYING IS A WAY TO BREAKDOWN FUNCTIONS COMPLEXITY 👉

- ▶ Think to a function with N parameters as a function with N times 1 parameter 🤔
- ▶ A curried function is executed only when all the parameters are set

$F(X, Y) \longrightarrow Z$

$F(X)(Y) \longrightarrow Z$

```
typealias CurryFunction<A, B, C> = (A, B) -> C
typealias CurryOutputFunction<A, B, C> = (A) -> ((B) -> C)

func curry<A, B, C>(f: @escaping CurryFunction<A, B, C>) -> CurryOutputFunction<A, B, C> {
    return { a in { b in f(a, b) } }
}
```

FOUNDATIONS: IMMUTABILITY



Stas

@StasArtemkin

Follow



Make let not var [#functionalprogramming](#)
[#programming](#)



7:23 am · 6 Jul 2015

IMPERATIVE VS FP

```
func sum(from data: [Int]) -> Int {  
    var s = 0  
  
    for i in 0..  
data.count {  
        s = s + data[i]  
    }  
  
    return s  
}
```

```
var data = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]  
sum(from: data)
```

0

(6 times)

21

[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]
21

S + DATA[I] IS “ILLEGAL” IN FP 😈

PURE FUNCTIONS MUST BE STATELESS

OOP (IMPERATIVE) VS FP

TAIL RECURSION



```
func sum2(from data: [Int], acc: Int) -> Int {  
    if let value = data.first {  
        return acc + sum2(from: Array(data.dropFirst()), acc: value)  
    } else {  
        return acc  
    }  
}  
sum2(from: data, acc: 0)
```

(6 times)
6
21

TAIL RECURSION IS NOT OPTIMISED IN SWIFT 🙈

SWIFT PARTIALLY SUPPORTS FP

ADVANTAGES OF IMMUTABILITY

- ▶ Predictable outputs
- ▶ Thread-safe
- ▶ Chaining of functions

DISADVANTAGES OF IMMUTABILITY

- ▶ Performances
- ▶ Complex code base

“A monad is just a monoid in the category of endofunctors”

Someone on Internet

HEY BABY



**I KNOW WHAT
A MONAD IS**

SWIFT OPTIONAL

```
public enum Optional<Wrapped> : ExpressibleByNilLiteral {  
    // The compiler has special knowledge of Optional<Wrapped>, including the fact  
    // that it is an `enum` with cases named `none` and `some`.  
  
    /// The absence of a value.  
    ///  
    /// In code, the absence of a value is typically written using the `nil`  
    /// literal rather than the explicit `.none` enumeration case.  
    case none  
  
    /// The presence of a value, stored as `Wrapped`.  
    case some(Wrapped)  
  
    /// Creates an instance that stores the given value.  
    @_inlineable // FIXME(sil-serialize-all)  
    @_transparent  
    public init(_ some: Wrapped) { self = .some(some) }
```

OPTIONAL IS A “CONTEXT” THAT CAN INCLUDE A VALUE OR NOT

FUNCTORS

- ▶ SINCE **OPTIONAL** IS A "CONTEXT", WE NEED A WAY TO EXTRACT THE POSSIBLE VALUE FROM IT

▶ MAP 🥰

```
let optional1: Int? = nil
optional1.map { return $0 * 2 }
```

```
nil
nil
```

```
let optional2: Int? = 3
let mappedOptional2 = optional2.map{ return $0 * 2 }
print(mappedOptional2)
```

⚠️ Expression implicitly coerced from 'Int?' to Any

```
3
(2 times)
"Optional(6)\n"
```

FUNCTORS

- ▶ SINCE **OPTIONAL** IS A "CONTEXT", WE NEED A WAY TO EXTRACT THE POSSIBLE VALUE FROM IT

- ▶ **MAP** 🥰 [**<\$>**, **<!>**]


```
let optional1: Int? = nil
optional1.map { return $0 * 2 }
```

```
nil
nil
```

```
let optional2: Int? = 3
let mappedOptional2 = optional2.map{ return $0 * 2 }
print(mappedOptional2)
```


⚠ Expression implicitly coerced from 'Int?' to Any

```
3
(2 times)
"Optional(6)\n"
```



FUNCTORS

A **FUNCTOR** IS A TYPE THAT CONTAINS A VALUE AND PROVIDES A **MAP** FUNCTION AS INTERFACE



HINT: **OPTIONAL** IS A FUNCTOR

FUNCTORS

A **FUNCTOR** IS A TYPE THAT CONTAINS A VALUE AND PROVIDES A **MAP** FUNCTION AS INTERFACE

► Identity Law

```
[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6].map { $0 }
```

► Composition Law

```
93 print([1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6].map { square(triple($0)) })  
94 print([1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6].map { triple($0) }.map { square($0) })  
95
```



```
[9, 36, 81, 144, 225, 324]  
[9, 36, 81, 144, 225, 324]
```

HINT: **OPTIONAL** IS A FUNCTOR

MONADS

A **MONAD** IS JUST A MONOID IN THE BLA BLA BLA ...

- ▶ Well a monad is *just* a type on which we can apply **flatMap** (bind)

FUNCTIONS CHAINING (ON VALUES)

- ▶ flatMap [**>>=**]

HINT: **OPTIONAL** IS A MONAD 👉

MONADS IN PRACTICE

Well a monad is *just* a type on which we can apply **flatMap** (bind)

```
enum Result<T> {  
    case success(T)  
    case failure(NSError)  
}  
  
extension Result {  
    func bind<U>(f: ((T) -> Result<U>)) -> Result<U> {  
        switch self {  
        case let .success(value):  
            return f(value)  
        case let .failure(error):  
            return .failure(error)  
        }  
    }  
}
```

FOUNDATIONS: FEATURES CHAINING

MONADS

```
enum Result<T> {  
    case success(T)  
    case failure(NSError)  
}
```

```
func evaluateMyString(inputString: String) -> Result<String> {  
    print("1 evaluateMyString")  
    if inputString.count % 2 == 0 {  
        return Result.success(inputString)  
    } else {  
        return Result.failure(NSError(domain: "aDomain", code: 1, userInfo: nil))  
    }  
}
```

```
> Result<U> {
```

```
    ...  
    return .failure(error)  
}
```

```
func doSomethingWithThatString(inputString: String) -> Result<Bool> {  
    print("2 doSomethingWithThatString")  
    if inputString == "Snow" { return Result.success(true) }  
  
    return Result.failure(NSError(domain: "aDomain", code: 2, userInfo: nil))  
}
```


```
func generalFunction(inputString: String) -> Result<Bool> {  
    return evaluateMyString(inputString: inputString).bind { doSomethingWithThatString(inputString: $0)}  
}
```

```
func evaluateMyString(inputString: String) -> Result<String> {
    print("1 evaluateMyString")
    if inputString.count % 2 == 0 {
        return Result.success(inputString)
    } else {
        return Result.failure(NSError(domain: "aDomain", code: 1, userInfo: nil))
    }
}
```


```
func doSomethingWithThatString(inputString: String) -> Result<Bool> {
    print("2 doSomethingWithThatString")
    if inputString == "Snow" { return Result.success(true) }

    return Result.failure(NSError(domain: "aDomain", code: 2, userInfo: nil))
}
```

```
func generalFunction(inputString: String) -> Result<Bool> {
    return evaluateMyString(inputString: inputString).bind { doSomethingWithThatString(inputString: $0)}
}
```



FOUNDATIONS: FEATURES CHAINING



**FEATURES CHAINING (ON VALUES)
VS
ERROR MANAGEMENT**

PLAYGROUND TIME 🔥



<https://github.com/ennioma/FPTalk-Playground>