

Erik Nordlund

CS 325

Homework 8

March 8, 2020

## Problem 1

a.

First-Fit Pseudocode

```
c = capacity
s = [item0 ... itemN]
b = [Bin]
function firstFit():
    for item in s:
        itemAdded = false
        for bin in b:
            if bin.capacity >= item.weight:
                bin.items.append(item)
                bin.capacity -=
item.weight

                itemAdded = true
                break
        if itemAdded == false:
            b.append(Bin)
            b.last.items.append(item)
            b.last.capacity -= item.weight
```

First-Fit-Decreasing Pseudocode

```
c = capacity
s = [item0 ... itemN]
b = [Bin]
```

```
sortGreatestToLeastWeight(s)
firstFit()
```

## Best Fit Pseudocode

```
function bestFit():
    for item in s:
        itemAdded = false
        bestBinIndex = -1
        bestBinCapacity = INF
        for bin in b:
            if (bin.capacity >= item.weight)
            && (bin.capacity < bestBinCapacity):
                bestBinIndex = bin.index
                bestBinCapacity =
                bin.capacity
        if bestBinIndex > -1:
            bin.items.append(item)
            bin.capacity -= item.weight
            itemAdded = true
        if itemAdded == false:
            b.append(Bin)
            b.last.items.append(item)
            b.last.capacity -= item.weight
```

**b.**

Zip file submitted to TEACH.

**c.**

A Python script generated 45 test cases, each with a pseudorandom bin capacity (from 1 to 100), and a pseudorandom number of items (from 1 to 100) with pseudorandom weights (from 1 to the bin capacity). For 45 test cases, the First Fit Decreasing algorithm at least tied for the most efficient outcome every time. After that, the Best Fit algorithm was at least as good as First Fit for all but

one test case.

Performance Ranking:

1. First Fit Decreasing
2. Best Fit
3. First Fit

Console output for 45 test cases:

```
Test Case 1 First Fit: 3 , First Fit Decreasing: 3 , Best Fit: 3
Test Case 2 First Fit: 36 , First Fit Decreasing: 35 , Best Fit: 35
Test Case 3 First Fit: 28 , First Fit Decreasing: 26 , Best Fit: 27
Test Case 4 First Fit: 28 , First Fit Decreasing: 26 , Best Fit: 28
Test Case 5 First Fit: 39 , First Fit Decreasing: 37 , Best Fit: 38
Test Case 6 First Fit: 17 , First Fit Decreasing: 16 , Best Fit: 17
Test Case 7 First Fit: 23 , First Fit Decreasing: 22 , Best Fit: 23
Test Case 8 First Fit: 52 , First Fit Decreasing: 49 , Best Fit: 51
Test Case 9 First Fit: 43 , First Fit Decreasing: 42 , Best Fit: 42
Test Case 10 First Fit: 10 , First Fit Decreasing: 9 , Best Fit: 10
Test Case 11 First Fit: 47 , First Fit Decreasing: 44 , Best Fit: 46
Test Case 12 First Fit: 10 , First Fit Decreasing: 10 , Best Fit: 10
Test Case 13 First Fit: 15 , First Fit Decreasing: 14 , Best Fit: 15
```

Test Case 14 First Fit: 7 , First Fit Decreasing: 6 , Best Fit: 7

Test Case 15 First Fit: 16 , First Fit Decreasing: 15 , Best Fit: 15

Test Case 16 First Fit: 31 , First Fit Decreasing: 28 , Best Fit: 30

Test Case 17 First Fit: 13 , First Fit Decreasing: 12 , Best Fit: 13

Test Case 18 First Fit: 14 , First Fit Decreasing: 14 , Best Fit: 15

Test Case 19 First Fit: 31 , First Fit Decreasing: 29 , Best Fit: 30

Test Case 20 First Fit: 46 , First Fit Decreasing: 44 , Best Fit: 45

Test Case 21 First Fit: 42 , First Fit Decreasing: 40 , Best Fit: 42

Test Case 22 First Fit: 48 , First Fit Decreasing: 45 , Best Fit: 48

Test Case 23 First Fit: 15 , First Fit Decreasing: 14 , Best Fit: 15

Test Case 24 First Fit: 3 , First Fit Decreasing: 3 , Best Fit: 3

Test Case 25 First Fit: 48 , First Fit Decreasing: 46 , Best Fit: 47

Test Case 26 First Fit: 14 , First Fit Decreasing: 13 , Best Fit: 14

Test Case 27 First Fit: 24 , First Fit Decreasing: 22 , Best Fit: 24

Test Case 28 First Fit: 28 , First Fit Decreasing: 26 , Best Fit: 27

Test Case 29 First Fit: 41 , First Fit Decreasing: 40 , Best Fit: 41

Test Case 30 First Fit: 37 , First Fit Decreasing: 35 ,

Best Fit: 37

Test Case 31 First Fit: 30 , First Fit Decreasing: 29 ,  
Best Fit: 30

Test Case 32 First Fit: 2 , First Fit Decreasing: 2 , Best  
Fit: 2

Test Case 33 First Fit: 27 , First Fit Decreasing: 25 ,  
Best Fit: 26

Test Case 34 First Fit: 29 , First Fit Decreasing: 28 ,  
Best Fit: 29

Test Case 35 First Fit: 36 , First Fit Decreasing: 36 ,  
Best Fit: 36

Test Case 36 First Fit: 48 , First Fit Decreasing: 45 ,  
Best Fit: 48

Test Case 37 First Fit: 46 , First Fit Decreasing: 43 ,  
Best Fit: 45

Test Case 38 First Fit: 21 , First Fit Decreasing: 20 ,  
Best Fit: 21

Test Case 39 First Fit: 16 , First Fit Decreasing: 15 ,  
Best Fit: 16

Test Case 40 First Fit: 23 , First Fit Decreasing: 22 ,  
Best Fit: 22

Test Case 41 First Fit: 7 , First Fit Decreasing: 7 , Best  
Fit: 7

Test Case 42 First Fit: 56 , First Fit Decreasing: 53 ,  
Best Fit: 56

Test Case 43 First Fit: 49 , First Fit Decreasing: 47 ,  
Best Fit: 48

Test Case 44 First Fit: 47 , First Fit Decreasing: 44 ,  
Best Fit: 46

Test Case 45 First Fit: 40 , First Fit Decreasing: 39 ,  
Best Fit: 39

## Problem 2

(LINDO code and output follows summaries)

**a.**

Six items  $S = \{4, 4, 4, 6, 6, 6\}$  and bin capacity of 10

The optimal solution uses three bins in the following manner:

Bin 1	Item 1, Item 6
Bin 2	Item 3, Item 4
Bin 3	Item 2, Item 5

**b.**

Five items  $S = \{20, 10, 15, 10, 5\}$  and bin capacity of 20

The optimal solution uses three bins in the following manner:

Bin 1	Item 3, Item 5
Bin 2	Item 1
Bin 3	Item 2, Item 4

**a.**

LINDO Code:

```
MIN Y1 + Y2 + Y3 + Y4 + Y5 + Y6
ST
4 X11 + 4 X12 + 4 X13 + 6 X14 + 6 X15 + 6 X16 - 10 Y1 <= 0
4 X21 + 4 X22 + 4 X23 + 6 X24 + 6 X25 + 6 X26 - 10 Y2 <= 0
4 X31 + 4 X32 + 4 X33 + 6 X34 + 6 X35 + 6 X36 - 10 Y3 <= 0
4 X41 + 4 X42 + 4 X43 + 6 X44 + 6 X45 + 6 X46 - 10 Y4 <= 0
4 X51 + 4 X52 + 4 X53 + 6 X54 + 6 X55 + 6 X56 - 10 Y5 <= 0
4 X61 + 4 X62 + 4 X63 + 6 X64 + 6 X65 + 6 X66 - 10 Y5 <= 0
X11 + X21 + X31 + X41 + X51 + X61 = 1
X12 + X22 + X32 + X42 + X52 + X62 = 1
X13 + X23 + X33 + X43 + X53 + X63 = 1
X14 + X24 + X34 + X44 + X54 + X64 = 1
X15 + X25 + X35 + X45 + X55 + X65 = 1
X16 + X26 + X36 + X46 + X56 + X66 = 1
END
```

INT Y1

INT Y2

INT Y3

INT Y4

INT Y5

INT Y6

INT X11

INT X12

INT X13

INT X14

INT X15

INT X16

INT X21

INT X22

INT X23

INT X24

INT X25

INT X26

INT X31

INT X32

INT X33

INT X34

INT X35

INT X36

INT X41

INT X42

INT X43

INT X44

INT X45

INT X46

INT X51

INT X52

INT X53

```
INT X54
INT X55
INT X56
INT X61
INT X62
INT X63
INT X64
INT X65
INT X66
```

LINDO Output:

LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 25

OBJECTIVE VALUE = 2.00000000

NEW INTEGER SOLUTION OF 2.00000000 AT BRANCH  
0 PIVOT 25  
RE-INSTALLING BEST SOLUTION...

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE

1) 2.000000

VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
Y1	0.000000	1.000000
Y2	1.000000	1.000000
Y3	0.000000	1.000000
Y4	0.000000	1.000000
Y5	1.000000	1.000000
Y6	0.000000	1.000000
X11	0.000000	0.000000



x12	0.000000	0.000000
x13	0.000000	0.000000
x14	0.000000	0.000000
x15	0.000000	0.000000
x16	0.000000	0.000000
x21	1.000000	0.000000
x22	0.000000	0.000000
x23	0.000000	0.000000
x24	0.000000	0.000000
x25	0.000000	0.000000
x26	1.000000	0.000000
x31	0.000000	0.000000
x32	0.000000	0.000000
x33	0.000000	0.000000
x34	0.000000	0.000000
x35	0.000000	0.000000
x36	0.000000	0.000000
x41	0.000000	0.000000
x42	0.000000	0.000000
x43	0.000000	0.000000
x44	0.000000	0.000000
x45	0.000000	0.000000
x46	0.000000	0.000000
x51	0.000000	0.000000
x52	0.000000	0.000000
x53	1.000000	0.000000
x54	1.000000	0.000000
x55	0.000000	0.000000
x56	0.000000	0.000000
x61	0.000000	0.000000
x62	1.000000	0.000000
x63	0.000000	0.000000
x64	0.000000	0.000000

X65	1.000000	0.000000
X66	0.000000	0.000000

ROW	SLACK OR SURPLUS	DUAL PRICES
2)	0.000000	0.000000
3)	0.000000	0.000000
4)	0.000000	0.000000
5)	0.000000	0.000000
6)	0.000000	0.000000
7)	0.000000	0.000000
8)	0.000000	0.000000
9)	0.000000	0.000000
10)	0.000000	0.000000
11)	0.000000	0.000000
12)	0.000000	0.000000
13)	0.000000	0.000000

NO. ITERATIONS= 25  
 BRANCHES= 0 DETERM.= 1.000E 0

**b.**

LINDO Code:

```

MIN Y1 + Y2 + Y3 + Y4 + Y5
ST
20 X11 + 10 X12 + 15 X13 + 10 X14 + 5 X15 - 20 Y1 <= 0
20 X21 + 10 X22 + 15 X23 + 10 X24 + 5 X25 - 20 Y2 <= 0
20 X31 + 10 X32 + 15 X33 + 10 X34 + 5 X35 - 20 Y3 <= 0
20 X41 + 10 X42 + 15 X43 + 10 X44 + 5 X45 - 20 Y4 <= 0
20 X51 + 10 X52 + 15 X53 + 10 X54 + 5 X55 - 20 Y5 <= 0
X11 + X21 + X31 + X41 + X51 = 1
X12 + X22 + X32 + X42 + X52 = 1

```

$X_{13} + X_{23} + X_{33} + X_{43} + X_{53} = 1$

$X_{14} + X_{24} + X_{34} + X_{44} + X_{54} = 1$

$X_{15} + X_{25} + X_{35} + X_{45} + X_{55} = 1$

END

INT Y1

INT Y2

INT Y3

INT Y4

INT Y5

INT X11

INT X12

INT X13

INT X14

INT X15

INT X21

INT X22

INT X23

INT X24

INT X25

INT X31

INT X32

INT X33

INT X34

INT X35

INT X41

INT X42

INT X43

INT X44

INT X45

INT X51

INT X52

INT X53

INT X54

INT X55

LINDO Output:

LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 17

OBJECTIVE VALUE = 3.00000000

NEW INTEGER SOLUTION OF 3.00000000 AT BRANCH  
0 PIVOT 17

RE-INSTALLING BEST SOLUTION...

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE

1) 3.000000

VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
Y1	1.000000	1.000000
Y2	1.000000	1.000000
Y3	1.000000	1.000000
Y4	0.000000	1.000000
Y5	0.000000	1.000000
X11	0.000000	0.000000
X12	0.000000	0.000000
X13	1.000000	0.000000
X14	0.000000	0.000000
X15	1.000000	0.000000
X21	1.000000	0.000000
X22	0.000000	0.000000
X23	0.000000	0.000000
X24	0.000000	0.000000
X25	0.000000	0.000000

X31	0.000000	0.000000
X32	1.000000	0.000000
X33	0.000000	0.000000
X34	1.000000	0.000000
X35	0.000000	0.000000
X41	0.000000	0.000000
X42	0.000000	0.000000
X43	0.000000	0.000000
X44	0.000000	0.000000
X45	0.000000	0.000000
X51	0.000000	0.000000
X52	0.000000	0.000000
X53	0.000000	0.000000
X54	0.000000	0.000000
X55	0.000000	0.000000

ROW	SLACK OR SURPLUS	DUAL PRICES
2 )	0.000000	0.000000
3 )	0.000000	0.000000
4 )	0.000000	0.000000
5 )	0.000000	0.000000
6 )	0.000000	0.000000
7 )	0.000000	0.000000
8 )	0.000000	0.000000
9 )	0.000000	0.000000
10 )	0.000000	0.000000
11 )	0.000000	0.000000

NO. ITERATIONS= 17

BRANCHES= 0 DETERM.= 1.000E 0

