

1 Pattern Matching

A new syntactic category: patterns \mathcal{P} :

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{P} & = & \mathbb{N} \quad \text{--- number} \\ & & _ \quad \text{--- wildcard} \\ & & [\mathcal{P}^*] \quad \text{--- array} \\ & & \mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}^* \quad \text{--- S-expression} \\ & & \mathcal{X}@\mathcal{P} \quad \text{--- named pattern} \end{array}$$

Concrete syntax mainly repeats the abstract; in S-expression patterns extra round brackets are used to delimit the constructor's name from arguments (if any); arguments of array/S-expression patterns are delimited by commas, and extra round brackets can be used to group subpatterns. Additionally, one derived form is used: an identifier x is treated as a pattern $x@_$.

Pattern matching expression:

$$\mathcal{E} + = \text{case } \mathcal{E} \text{ of } (\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{E})^+ \text{ esac}$$

In a concrete syntax branches of case expression are delimited by “|”, and in each branch “ \rightarrow ” is used to delimit pattern from expression.

Well-formedness of case expressions is established in an obvious manner:

$$\frac{e : \mathbf{Val} \quad e_i : a}{\text{case } e \text{ of } p_1 \rightarrow e_1 \dots p_k \rightarrow e_k \text{ esac} : a}$$

2 Operational Semantics

There are two aspects that have to be covered in semantic description of pattern matching:

- the criterion for a scrutinee to be matched by a pattern;
- the discipline of binding support.

The latter aspect is covered by a desugaring. First, we define a mapping

$$\beta : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

in a following manner:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \beta n e & = \lambda _ . \perp \\ \beta _ e & = \lambda _ . \perp \\ \beta ([p_0 \dots p_k]) e & = \\ \beta (C p_0 \dots p_k) e & = (\beta p_0 e[0]) [\beta p_1 e[1]] \dots [\beta p_k e[k]] \\ \beta (x@p) e & = (\beta p e)[x \leftarrow e] \end{array}$$

This function determines a proper subvalue of an expression bound by an identifier in a pattern. For example, for a pattern $[x, C(_, y)]$ and scrutinee s the value of $\beta[x, C(_, y)]s$ can be described by the following table:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} x & \rightarrow & s[0] \\ y & \rightarrow & s[1][1] \end{array}$$

Then, given a pattern-matching expression *case e of ...* we, first, bind the expression *e* to a fresh variable, say, *s*:

var *s* = *e*;
case *s* of ...

Then, we transform each branch *p* → *e* into the following:

p → var *b*₁ = β *p* *s* *b*₁ ,
 ...
 *b*_{*k*} = β *p* *s* *b*_{*k*} ;
 e

where *b*₁, ..., *b*_{*k*} are all bindings in *p*.

Now, for determining the discipline of matching we need an extra relation

$$match \subseteq \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{V}$$

between patterns and values. We define it in a following way:

$$\begin{array}{c} match(_, v) \\ match(n, n) \\ \frac{match(p_i, v_i)}{match([p_1, \dots, p_k], [v_1, \dots, v_k])} \\ \frac{match(p_i, v_i)}{match(Cp_1, \dots, p_k, Cv_1, \dots, v_k)} \\ \frac{match(p, v)}{match(x@p, v)} \end{array}$$

Finally, the operational semantics of pattern-matching expression can be given by the following rules:

$$\begin{array}{c} c \xRightarrow{e} \langle c', v \rangle \\ \frac{v \vdash c' \xrightarrow{(p_1, e_1) \dots (p_k, e_k)} \mathcal{D} c''}{c \xRightarrow{\text{case } e \text{ of } p_1 \rightarrow e_1 \dots p_k \rightarrow e_k \text{ esac}} c''} \end{array}$$

where an additional transition “ $\rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ ” is defined as follows:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} match(p, v) \\ c \xRightarrow{e} c' \end{array}}{v \vdash c \xrightarrow{(p, e)ps} \wp c'}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \neg match(p, v) \\ v \vdash c \xrightarrow{ps} \wp c' \end{array}}{v \vdash c \xrightarrow{(p, e)ps} \wp c'}$$