

# HW07: Secure Distributed Dice Game

Course: Cybersecurity

Jacopo Rossi

*M.Sc. in Engineering in Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence  
Sapienza University of Rome*

December 11, 2025

## Abstract

This report details the design and implementation of a secure, peer-to-peer dice game played between two untrusted parties, Alice and Bob. The system is containerized using Docker to simulate two distinct virtual machines communicating over a TCP network. To ensure fairness without a Trusted Third Party (TTP), a cryptographic *Commit-then-Reveal* protocol is employed. This ensures that the outcome of the dice rolls is derived from shared entropy ( $N_A \oplus N_B$ ) and that neither party can manipulate the result once the commitment phase is complete.

**Code Availability:** The complete source code, including Docker configuration and usage instructions, is available on GitHub at:

<https://github.com/enoughpaladin00/dice-game>

## 1 Introduction

The objective of this assignment is to simulate a match of dice between two players. A match consists of  $N$  rounds, where in each round  $k$  dice are rolled simultaneously. The player with the highest sum wins the round. The core challenge is security in a distributed environment: preventing "Bit-Fixing" or "Look-Ahead" cheating, where a player waits to see the opponent's move before deciding their own to force a favorable outcome.

## 2 Protocol Design

### 2.1 Cryptographic Primitives

To secure the game, we utilize two main concepts:

- **SHA-256 Hashing:** Used as a commitment scheme. Since  $H(x)$  is a one-way function, sending  $H(x)$  commits a player to value  $x$  without revealing it.
- **XOR (Exclusive OR):** Used to mix the random nonces of both players. The property  $S = A \oplus B$  guarantees that if at least one input is uniformly random, the output  $S$  is uniformly random.

## 2.2 The Protocol Flow

For every round of the game, the following steps are executed:

1. **Generation:** Alice generates a cryptographically secure random nonce  $R_A$ . Bob generates  $R_B$ .

2. **Commitment:**

$$C_A = \text{SHA256}(R_A), \quad C_B = \text{SHA256}(R_B)$$

Alice and Bob exchange these hash values  $(C_A, C_B)$ .

3. **Reveal:** Once commitments are exchanged, players exchange the actual nonces  $R_A$  and  $R_B$ .
4. **Verification:** Alice computes  $H(R_{B\_recv})$  and compares it to  $C_B$ . Bob does the same for Alice. If the hashes do not match, the protocol aborts (Cheating Detected).
5. **Derivation:** A shared seed  $S$  is calculated:

$$S = R_A \oplus R_B$$

The dice rolls are generated deterministically using a PRNG seeded with  $S$ . To ensure distinct rolls for both players, we use offset seeds:  $S_{Alice} = S+0$ ,  $S_{Bob} = S+1$ .

## 3 System Implementation

### 3.1 Architecture

The system is implemented in Python and orchestrated via Docker Compose.

- **Alice (Server):** Acts as the game initiator. She listens on TCP port 65432 and defines the game parameters ( $k$  dice,  $n$  rounds).
- **Bob (Client):** Connects to Alice. We implemented a **robust retry mechanism**: since Alice requires manual input to start, Bob will repeatedly attempt to connect every 2 seconds until Alice's server is ready, preventing race conditions or crashes on startup.

### 3.2 Key Code Snippets

1. **Secure Nonce Generation:** We rely on the OS entropy pool ('os.urandom') rather than standard random libraries to ensure unpredictability.

```
1 def generate_nonce(length=32):  
2     """Generates a cryptographically secure random number using OS entropy.  
3     """  
4     return os.urandom(length)
```

Listing 1: Secure Random Generation

2. **Verification and Result Derivation:** This snippet demonstrates the integrity check and the XOR combination.

```

1 # Verify that the revealed nonce matches the previous commitment
2 calculated_hash = hashlib.sha256(opponent_nonce).hexdigest()
3 if calculated_hash != opponent_commitment:
4     raise ValueError("Cheating Detected! Hash mismatch.")
5
6 # XOR to derive shared randomness
7 shared_seed = bytes(a ^ b for a, b in zip(my_nonce, opponent_nonce))

```

Listing 2: Verification Logic

## 4 Execution Manual

The environment simulates two separate machines using a Docker Bridge Network. Below are the commands to reproduce the experiment.

### 4.1 1. Start the Environment

Build and start the containers in detached mode.

```

1 $ docker-compose up -d --build

```

### 4.2 2. Configure Alice (Server)

Alice's container requires interaction to set the game rules. We attach to her TTY:

```

1 $ docker attach alice_vm

```

*Input:* The system will prompt for the number of dice and rounds.

```

Enter number of dice (k): 3
Enter number of rounds: 5

```

### 4.3 3. Observe Bob (Client)

In a separate terminal window, we view Bob's logs. Thanks to the retry mechanism, Bob waits for Alice to finish configuration and then connects automatically.

```

1 $ docker logs -f bob_vm

```

### 4.4 4. Shutdown

To stop and remove the containers:

```

1 $ docker-compose down

```

## 5 Security Analysis

Why cheating is impossible:

- **Changing the Roll:** If Alice tries to change her nonce  $R_A$  after seeing Bob's value, the hash of the new nonce will not match the commitment  $C_A$  she sent earlier. Bob's verification step will fail.
- **Forcing a Result:** Even if Bob tries to pick a specific  $R_B$  to influence the XOR sum, he cannot know  $R_A$  at the time of commitment (due to the Pre-image resistance of SHA-256). Therefore, as long as Alice's nonce is uniformly random, the result  $R_A \oplus R_B$  remains uniformly random.

## 6 Conclusion

The implemented solution satisfies all requirements: it runs on isolated virtual environments (Docker), allows customizable game parameters, and guarantees game fairness through a mathematically secure Commit-then-Reveal protocol.