



Magistrates' Court  
Victoria

# MAGISTRATES CASES 1989

## INDEX

### TABLE OF CASES REPORTED IN 1989

	Case No.
Adams v Warfield (Extradition - escapee).....	61/89
Addicoat v Dillon (Drink/driving - error in certificate).....	49/89
AG for Vic v Ayres; xp Jumeau ( <i>Autrefois acquit</i> - abuse of process).....	43/89
Allen v UCM Pty Ltd (1989) VR 323 (Environmental pollution).....	3/89
Alliance Acceptance Co Ltd v O'Sullivan (Money lender's contract) .....	63/89
Ambrose v Edmonds-Wilson (1988) 48 SASR 514; 92 FLR 429; 19 ATR 1217; (1988) 88 ATC4, 173 (Tax return offence - absolute offence).....	53/89
Bogdanovski v Buckingham (1989) VR 897 (Drink/driving - compliance with Regulations).....	20/89
Bray v McAllister (Re-opening prosecution case).....	19/89
Brooks v Parente (Speedometer - presumed accurate) .....	40/89
Bush v Kemertzis (Failure to pay award rates).....	65/89
Chugg v Pacific Dunlop Ltd (Occupational safety - onus - costs).....	21/89
Coe v Murphy (Drug use - admissions - familiarity).....	5/89
Davidson v Tymensen (Date(s) of offence - specification of) .....	13/89
Davies v Waldron (1989) VR 449; 8 MVR 363 (Drink/driving - "in charge").....	4/89
Di Stefano v Hood (Bail - CBO - report) .....	1/89
Donnelly v Le Brun (Cannabis L - Bond) .....	51/89
DPP v Mai (CBO - special conditions - compensation).....	42/89
DPP v Virgo (Sentencing - theft - minimum term) .....	7/89
Edgar v Hannaker (Use of land - junk yard - onus).....	38/89
Elsum v Elsum (Maintenance arrears).....	41/89
Fairweather v Davis (Drink/driving - CBO not available for first offender).....	26/89
Finniss v Irvine (Speeding - CEO not available) .....	26/89
Fraser v Stanley (Multiple vehicle accident - onus) .....	64/89
Fraser v Vitkus [1990] VR 125 (Arbitration order subject to review) .....	31/89
Harmsworth v State Coroner (1989) VR 989 (Coroner's powers).....	22/89
Hazeldine v Grinter (Drink/driving - result of test inadmissible).....	50/89
Hewitt v Harms (Drink/driving - GBB not a prior - re-opening - identity of PBT device) .....	57/89
Kennelly v Carroll (Suspended sentence - no YTC upon restoration of) .....	10/89
Kislinsky v Spence Careless driving - certificate errors) .....	55/89
Kos v Johnston (Drink/driving - compliance with Regs) .....	56/89
Kovacevic v Muskee (Negligent driving - sneezing) .....	32/89
L, Re [1990] VR 243; 13 Fam LR 372 (Child - bail - jurisdiction).....	59/89
Lackersteen v Jones (1988) 93 FLR 442 (Bullock/Sanderson orders).....	74/89
Latoudis v Casey (Dismissal of information - costs) .....	62/89
Lewis v Hollowood (Trespassing - ownership) .....	37/89
Meeking v Mills (1989) VR 740; 9 MVR 1 (Drink/driving).....	6/89
Michell v Farrugia (1988) 8 MVR 158 (Weighbridge - presumption).....	12/89
Northland v Tulloch (Rehearing - costs).....	9/89
O'Keefe v Tankard (1989) VR 371 (CBO - procedure) .....	14/89
O'Reilly v Rooney (1989) 10 MVR 19 (Owner failing to give information).....	58/89
Parker v Todhunter (1967) 89 FLR 294; (1987) 25 A Crim R 169 (Unlawful possession).....	15/89
Potter Partners Ltd v Balanda (Estoppel by conduct) .....	48/89
R v Allen (Drug trafficking - confiscation) .....	36/89
R v Apostolides (Drugs - occupation) .....	60/89
R v Ardley (Welfare fraud - sentencing).....	67/89
R v Atamian (False report - "voluntarily").....	35/89
R v Baumer (1988) 35 A Crim R 340; 166 CLR 51; 83 ALR 8; 8 MVR 289; 63 ALJR 113 (Sentencing) .....	54/89
R v Browne-Kerr [1990] VR 78 ( <i>Voire dire</i> - disputed writing).....	34/89
R v Chibnall (Sentencing).....	69/89
R v Collier (Sentencing - theft from employer).....	28/89
R v Costa (Sentencing - Time in custody - counsel's duty) .....	70/89
R v Davies (Sentencing - Handling stolen goods).....	72/89

## SUBJECT MATTER

R v Day (Sentencing - Theft of motor cars).....	71/89
R v Dietrich (Legal Aid - Sub-poena to produce documents).....	46/89
R v Klubal (Pre-sentence reports to be disclosed).....	75/89
R v Martin (1989) 1 All ER 652; noted (1989) Crim LR 611, 622 (Defence of necessity) .....	44/89
R v Medici (1989) 40 A Crim R 413 (Drug trafficking - onus of proof).....	33/89
R v Pouloupoulos (Sentencing - burglary - drug related) .....	29/89
R v Scopilliti (Sentencing - Assaulting police) .....	27/89
R v Simsmetal Ltd (Sentencing - occupational health and safety) .....	23/89
R v Sundar (Sentencing - welfare fraud) .....	66/89
R v Trenorden (Sentencing - Theft by bank employee) .....	39/89
R v Walters (Sentencing - welfare fraud) .....	68/89
Richardson v Nicolesi (Rule in <i>Browne v Dunn</i> ).....	24/89
Sellin v Lowcock (Negligent driving - apportionment) .....	18/89
Setka v Gottliebbsen (Trespassing - adjournment).....	52/89
Shire of Swan Hill v Salvo (Health - rates, charges).....	8/89
Similar v Mannway (Rehearing - must have defence) .....	45/89
Switzerland Gen. Insur Co v Tresize (Legal professional privilege) .....	25/89
Tegge v Caldwell (1988) 15 NSWLR 266; 36 A Crim R 8 (Unlawful possession - onus).....	17/89
Tepper v Kelly (1988) 47 SASR 271; 36 A Crim R 450 (Unlawful possession - reasonableness) .....	16/89
Vaux v SE Dickins Pty Ltd (Costs upon dismissal).....	11/89
Waldie v Cook (1988) 91 FLR 413 (Careless driving).....	47/89
Wanders v Gibson (1986) 84 FLR 163 (Sentencing - welfare fraud) .....	30/89
Young v Verschuur (Adjournments) .....	2/89
Zylstra v Smith (Drink/driving - "breathalyzer") .....	73/89

## SUBJECT MATTER

### ABUSE OF PROCESS

- Delay in bringing charge - relevant considerations: 43/89
- Laying one charge rather than another - no abuse of process: 6/89
- Where sub-poena oppressively wide: 46/89

### BAIL

- Failure to answer - explanation must be given: 1/89

### CHILDREN

- Bail refused - no jurisdiction in Supreme Court: 59/89.

### CONTRACT

- Money lent - agreement terminated - no right to require copy of contract: 63/89.

### CORONER

- Powers of - not unlimited - subject to judicial review: 22/89.

### COSTS

- Bullock/Sanderson order: 74/89.
- Family Law proceedings - may be awarded: 41/89.
- Rehearing application - may follow where applicant successful: 9/89.
- Upon dismissal of information: 11, 21, 62/89.

### CRIMINAL LAW

- Assault police - injury caused - imprisonment not inappropriate: 27/89.
- Burglary - drug-related - imprisonment not inappropriate: 29/89.
- Calling evidence to rebut defence - may be permitted: 19, 20/89.
- Drugs of dependence - degree of familiarity with: 5/89
  - possession of by "occupier": 60/89.
  - possession alternative to trafficking: 5/89.
  - trafficking - legal onus on prosecution: 33/89
  - confiscation order may be made: 36/89
- Forgery/Uttering by bank employee - suitable candidate for a good-behaviour bond: 39/89.
- Suspended sentence of imprisonment - cannot be restored as detention: 10/89.
- Theft from employer - \$60,000+ - imprisonment not inappropriate: 28/89.
- Welfare fraud - imprisonment imposed: 30, 66-68/89.

### ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION

- Water pollution - absolute offence - employer liable: 3/89.

## **SUBJECT MATTER**

### **EVIDENCE**

- Calling evidence in rebuttal of defence: 19, 20/89.
- Disputed handwriting - standard must be proved: 34/89.
- Drug trafficking - legal onus on prosecution: 33/89.
- Estoppel by conduct - if no detriment no defence: 48/89.
- Presumption that speedometer accurate: 40/89.
- Rule in *Browne v Dunn* - one of fairness: 24/89.

### **EXTRADITION**

- Prison escapee - not unjust to extradite: 61/89.

### **FAMILY LAW**

- Costs may be awarded: 41/89.
- Injunctions: 41/89.
- Maintenance arrears - enforceable: 41/89.

### **HEALTH**

- Garbage collection charge - test to be applied: 8/89.

### **INCOME TAX**

- Fail to furnish return - *mens rea* not an ingredient of offence: 53/89.

### **INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS**

- Award rates not paid - time limit for prosecution: 65/89.

### **MOTOR VEHICLES**

- Careless driving - explanation not accepted - guilty: 55/89.
- no explanation - not guilty: 47/89.

#### **Drink/driving**

- "breathalyser" - averment by operator: 20/89.
- breath test - degree of compliance with Regs: 20, 56/89.
- proof of "breathalyser": 73/89.
- proof of preliminary breath test device: 57/89.
- "in charge" - "start": 4/89.
- name in certificate different - admissible: 49, 55/89.
- no CBO for first offence: 26/89.
- result of test inadmissible where person not found driving: 50/89.
- s49(1)(f) of *Road Safety Act* 1986 may be laid where no vehicle accident: 6/89.

#### **Driving**

- driving whilst disqualified - defence of necessity available: 44/89.
- overloading - weighbridge used - no presumption as to accuracy: 12/89.
- owner failure to provide information - elements of offence: 58/89.
- speeding - no CBO available: 26/89.
- speedometer presumed to be accurate: 40/89.

### **NATURAL JUSTICE**

- Adjournment - party to be given reasonable opportunity to prepare case: 2/89.
- Where adverse report for CBO: 14/89.

### **NEGLIGENCE**

- Concertina-type vehicle collision: 64/89.
- Driver sneezing - not necessarily negligent: 32/89.
- Motor vehicle collision - rear end: 18/89.

### **OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY**

- Unsafe workplace - onus of proof on informant: 21/89.
- employees killed - sentencing considerations: 23/89.

### **PRIVILEGE**

- Legal professional privilege - insurance investigator's reports privileged: 25/89.

### **PROCEDURE**

- Accused no right to legal aid: 46/89.
- Adjournment - party must have reasonable opportunity to prepare case: 2/89.
  - refusal where reasonable opportunity: 52/89.
- Arbitration - subject to judicial review: 31/89.
- CBO - adverse report - writer of may be examined: 14/89.

## SUBJECT MATTER

- CBO - not necessary to pronounce conviction: 1/89.
- CBO not available for speeding offence or first offence drink/driving: 26/89.
- CBO - terms of making discussed: 42/89.
- Counsel's duty - responsibility: 70/89.
- Deduction for pre-sentence detention if sworn information given: 70/89.
- Drink/driving bond not a prior: 57/89.
- Drug trafficking - confiscation order: 36/89.
- legal onus on prosecution: 33/89.
- Duplicity - information between dates - not duplicitous or uncertain: 13/89.
- Trespass/refusing to leave - not duplex: 52/89.
- "Guilty" plea - admission of relevant facts: 1/89. - effect on sentence: 69/89.
- Privilege - insurance investigator's reports privileged: 25/89.
- Rehearing - costs to successful applicant: 9/89.
  - must have arguable defence on merits: 45/89.
- Reopening - after close of defence: 20/89.
  - to tender formal proofs: 57/89.
- Rule in *Browne v Dunn* - one of fairness: 24/89.
- Sub-poena - too wide - may be set aside: 46/89.

## SENTENCING

- Assault police - injury caused - imprisonment imposed: 27/89.
- Burglary - drug-related - imprisonment imposed: 29/89.
- Cannabis - more than small quantity - open to grant bond: 51/89.
- CBO - Corrections officer may be cross-examined: 14/89.
  - not available for speeding offence/first offence of drink/driving: 26/89.
  - terms of discussed: 42/89.
- Concurrency - no need to specify: 69/89.
- Confiscation orders in drug offences: 36/89.
- Drink/driving bond not a prior: 57/89.
- Effect of early indication of guilty plea: 69/89.
- Forgery/uttering/theft by bank employee - bond: 39/89.
- Handling stolen motor car - trader - imprisoned: 72/89.
- Motor car thefts - vehicles stripped - accused imprisoned: 71/39.
- Pre-sentence custody - deduction where sworn evidence: 70/89.
- Pre-sentence reports - disclose to counsel: 75/89.
- Punishment - must fit the crime: 54/89.
- Suspended sentence - cannot be restored as detention: 10/89.
- Theft: 7, 28/89.
- Welfare fraud: 30, 66-68/89.

## SUMMARY OFFENCES

- False report to police - "voluntarily" made: 35/89.
- Unlawful possession - evidentiary burden on defendant: 17/89.
  - not necessary for informant to state suspicion: 15/89.
  - reasonable suspicion - standard of proof of same: 16/89.
- Wilful trespass - authorised person must give warning: 37/89.
- information not duplex: 52/89.

## TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING

- Land used as junk yard - onus on defendant: 38/89.

## WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

- Goods underweight - employer's defences - *mens rea*: 11/89.

---

## WORDS AND PHRASES, CATCHWORDS, TRIGGERWORDS, KEYWORDS, CONCEPTS ETC.

[Note: Words and phrases judicially considered are in inverted commas. Ed.]

Absolute liability: 3, 53/89.

Abuse of process: 6, 43, 46/89.

Accuracy—

- of speedometer: 40/89.

- of weighbridge: 12/89.

Adjournment: 2, 52/89.

Admissions re drugs: 5/89.

Alcotest Model 80A: 57/89.

Amendment: 6, 37/89.

"any other case": 58/89.

"anything to the contrary": 26/89.  
Arbitration, judicial review of: 31/89.  
Arrears of maintenance: 41/89.  
Asleep at wheel: 47/89.  
Assault police sentencing: 27/89.  
"Attempting to start": 4/89.  
*Autrefois acquit*: 43/89.  
Averment—  
    – of operator: 20/89.  
    – of owner: 37/89.  
Award rates: 65/89  
Bail—  
    – failure to answer: 1/89.  
    – refused re child: 59/89.  
Bank employee theft: 39/89.  
Bond for drink/driving: 57/89.  
"Breath analysing instrument": 20, 73/89.  
*Browne v Dunn* rule: 24/89.  
Bullock/Sanderson order: 74/89.  
Burglary sentencing: 29/89.  
Careless driving: 47, 55/89.  
CBO—  
    – adverse report: 14/89.  
    – conviction: 1/89.  
    – limitation on making: 26/89.  
    – terms of: 42/89.  
Certificate discrepancies: 49, 55/89.  
Child: 59/89.  
Child Support Agency: 41/89.  
Competence/compellability: 58/89.  
Compliance with regs: 56/89.  
Concurrency: 69/89.  
Confiscation order: 36/89.  
"Continuance of the contract": 63/89.  
Contempt of court: 43/89.  
Coroner's powers: 22/89.  
Costs—  
    – family law cases: 41/89.  
    – on dismissal: 11, 21, 62/89.  
    – on rehearing: 9/89.  
Counsel's duty: 70/89.  
Crash and Bash: 18, 24, 32, 64/89.  
Cumulacy: 69/89.  
"Curial process": 46/89.  
Custody, discount for: 70/89.  
Dangerous—  
    – machinery: 21/89.  
    – workplace: 23/89.  
Deeming provision: 3/89.  
Defence—  
    – estoppel by conduct: 48/89.  
    – of necessity: 44/89.  
    – on merits: 45/89.  
Delay, inordinate: 43/89.  
Disqualified driving: 44/89.  
Double jeopardy: 43/89.  
Drink/driving: 4, 6, 20, 26, 49, 50, 55, 56, 57, 73/89.  
Driver, owner to disclose: 58/89.  
Driving whilst disqualified: 44/89.  
Drugs—  
    – bond considerations: 51/89.  
    – confiscation order: 36/89.  
    – familiarity with: 5/83.  
    – occupation/possession: 60/89.  
    – trafficking: 33/39.  
"Due process": 46/89.  
Duplicity: 13, 46,

Environment protection: 3/89.  
Estoppel by conduct: 48/89.  
Exception/proviso: 21, 33/89.  
Extradition: 61/89.  
False report to police: 35/89.  
Familiarity with drugs: 5/89.  
"For this purpose": 36/89.  
Guilty plea—  
    – early indication: 69, 71/89.  
    – effect: 1/89.  
Handling stolen goods sentencing: 72/89.  
Handwriting disputed: 34/89.  
Heroin trafficking: 36/89.  
Illegality: 50/89.  
"In charge": 4/89.  
"In the prescribed form": 55/89.  
Information re driver, owner's duty: 58/89.  
Injunction: 41/89.  
Jeopardy, double: 43/89.  
Legal aid entitlement: 46/89.  
Legal professional privilege: 25/89.  
"Judicial proceedings": 43/89.  
Maintenance arrears: 41/89.  
*Mens rea*: 3, 11, 53/89.  
Minimum term: 7/89.  
Mistake of fact: 48, 53/89.  
Money lent: 63/89.  
Natural justice: 14/89.  
Necessity, defence of: 44/89.  
Negligent driving: 18, 24, 32, 64/89.  
Occupation: 60/89.  
"Occupied": 60/89.  
Onus of proof: 3, 21, 33, 34, 38, 48/89.  
Owner of vehicle, duty: 58/89.  
Particulars, further: 13/89.  
Pollution: 3/89.  
Possession: 60/89.  
"Practicable": 21/89.  
"Premises": 8/89.  
Pre-sentence—  
    – detention discount: 70/89.  
    – disclosure of reports: 75/89.  
Presumption of accuracy: 12, 40/89.  
Principle of sentencing: 54/89.  
Privilege, legal professional: 25/89.  
Reasonable precautions defence: 3/89.  
Reasonable suspicion: 16/89.  
Recognizance variation: 39/89.  
Rehearing: 9, 45/89.  
Report, disclosure of pre-sentence: 75/89.  
Report to police falsely: 35/89.  
Re-opening: 19, 20, 37, 57/89.  
*Res ipsa loquitur*: 47, 64/89.  
Restraining order: 41/89.  
Review of arbitration: 31/89.  
Rubbish collection charge: 8;39.  
"Safekeeper": 56/39.  
Sanderson/Bullock order: 74/89.  
Sentencing: 7, 23, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 36, 39, 42, 51, 54, 66-68, 71-72/89.  
Sneezing driver: 32/83.  
Social Security fraud: 30, 66-68/89.  
Speeding: 26/89.  
Speedometer accuracy: 40/89.  
Standard document: 34/89.  
"Start": 4/89.  
Statutory interpretation: 65/89.  
Strict liability: 3, 11/89.



## CASES REFERRED TO, APPLIED, CONSIDERED ETC.

Sub-poena *duces tecum*: 46/89.  
Summons to produce: 46/89.  
Suspended sentence: 10/89.  
"Tenements": 8/89.  
Theft sentencing: 7, 28, 39, 71/89.  
Time limit for laying information: 65/89.  
"To the extent that the person is capable of doing so": 53/89.  
Trafficking—  
    – confiscation order: 36/89.  
    – onus of proof: 33/89.  
Trespass: 37, 52/89.  
Uncertainty in information: 13/89.  
"Unjust or oppressive": 61/89.  
Unlawful possession: 15, 16, 17, 62/89.  
"Unrelated to any commercial or industrial undertaking": 3/89.  
Variance: 6/89.  
Variation of recognizance: 39/89.  
"Voluntarily": 35/89.  
*Voire dire*: 34/89.  
Weighbridge: 12/89.  
Weights and Measures: 11/89.  
Welfare fraud sentencing: 30, 66-68/89.  
Wilful trespass: 37, 52/89.  
Workplace unsafe: 21, 23/89.

---

## CASES REFERRED TO, APPLIED, CONSIDERED ETC.

Adami v R (1959) 108 CLR 605, applied: 34/89.  
Alister v R 154 CLR 404, referred to: 46/89.  
Anglim & Cooke v Thomas (1974) VR 363, referred to: 5/89.  
Anstee v Jennings (1935) 41 ALR 216, referred to: 11/89.  
Barnes v Gougousis (1969) VR 1019, applied: 43/89.  
Barritt v Baker (1948) VLR 491 referred to: 21/89; 38/89.  
Bell v Hyde (1939) VLR 300, followed: 38/89.  
Bogdanovski v Buckingham (1989) VR 897, followed: 73/89.  
Broome v Chenoweth (1946) 73 CLR 583, applied: 43/89.  
Browne v Dunn (1894) 6 Rep. 67, applied: 24/89.  
Bullock v London General Omnibus Co. (1907) 1 KB 264, referred to: 74/89.  
Bunning v Cross 141 CLR 54, referred to: 50/89.  
Butler v Livitt (1969) QWN 93, considered: 47/89.  
Carmody v Hinton (1980) SASR 409, referred to: 61/89.  
Cilli v Abbott (1981) 53 FLR 108, referred to: 62/89.  
Chiou Yaou Fa v Morris (1987) 46 NTR 1, referred to: 3/89.  
Chugg v Pacific Dunlop Ltd (1988) VR 411, referred to: 23/89.  
Clare v Jeff's Bulk Appliances Pty Ltd (1981) VR 758, referred to: 38/89.  
Cleary v Hammond (1976) 1 NSW LR 111, applied: 15/89.  
Comm'r for Railways v Small (1938) 38 SR (NSW) 564, applied: 46/89.  
Cotterill v Lempriere (1890) 24 QBD 634, discussed: 52/89.  
Coysh v Grimwade (1926) VLR 178, distinguished: 58/89.  
Darling v Bowie (1952) 86 CLR 136, referred to: 38/89.  
Darling Island SL Co. Ltd v Jacobsen (1945) 70 CLR 635, referred to: 38/89.  
Davey v Barrow (1954) VLR 593, referred to: 62/89.  
Duckrell v Lee (1972) WAR 48, cited: 47/89.  
Fardon v Harcourt - Rivington (1932) 146 LT 391, referred to: 18/89.  
Finnie v Dalglish (1982) 1 NSWLR 400, applied: 46/89.  
Gammon (HK) Ltd v A.G. of Hong Kong (1985) AC 1, referred to: 53/89.  
Gillard v Wenborn MC 42/88, distinguished: 4/89.  
Glasgow Corp. v Muir (1943) AC 448, referred to: 18/89.  
Gleeson v Ah Houn 22 VLR 156, referred to: 52/89.  
Grundt v Great Boulder P/L Gold Mines Ltd (1937) 59 CLR 641, referred to: 48/89.  
Hall v Foster, MC 32/84, doubted: 60/89.  
Harris v Macquarie (1967) VR 257, referred to: 21/89.  
He Kaw Teh v R (1985) 157 CLR 523 referred to: 3/89; 11/89; applied 53/89.  
House v R (1936) 55 CLR 499, applied: 62/89.  
Houston v Harwood (1975) VR 698, followed: 55/89.  
Hummerstone v Leary (1921) 2 KB 664, referred to: 64/89.  
Kakis v Govt. and Republic of Cyprus (1978) 1 WLR 779, referred to: 61/89.

## CASES REFERRED TO, APPLIED, CONSIDERED ETC.

Kelton v Goodes (1978) 9 ATR 848, referred to: 53/89.  
Kingshott v Goodyear Tyre Co Ltd (No. 2) (1987) 8 NSWLR 707 distinguished: 21/89.  
Kioa v West (1985) 159 CLR 550, referred to: 14/89.  
Kostokanellis v Allen (1974) VR 596, referred to: 45/89.  
Lahey v Sanderson (1959) Tas SR 17, referred to: 30/89.  
Lamb v Morrow (1986) VR 623, referred to: 6/89.  
Laxton v Justice (1985) 38 SASR 376, referred to: 30/89; 67/89.  
McColl v Lehmann (1987) VR 503, distinguished: 2/89.  
McLachlan v Rendall (1952) VLR 501, referred to: 38/89.  
Maher-Smith v Gaw (1969) VR 371, referred to: 64/89.  
Meeking v Mills (1989) VR 740, referred to: 26/89.  
Miller v Howe (1969) 3 All ER 451, referred to: 57/89.  
Mitchell v WS Kimpton & Sons Pty Ltd (1971) VR 583, referred to: 12/89.  
Nesterczuk v Mortimore (1965) 115 CLR 140, referred to: 64/89.  
Newcastle City Council v Royal Newcastle Hospital (1959) AC 248, referred to: 60/89.  
Nicknar Pty Ltd v Preservatrice Skandia Insurance Ltd (1985) 3 NSWLR 44, applied: 25/89.  
Nimmo v Alexander Cowan & Sons Pty Ltd (1968) AC 107, distinguished: 21/87.  
Patnoy, exparte, Re Jack (1944) 44 SR (NSW) 251, applied: 15/89; 17/89.  
Porter v Kolodziej (1962) VR 75, referred to: 12/89.  
Pretty v Solly (1859) 26 Beav 606, applied: 11/89.  
Proudman v Cayman (1941) 67 CLR 536, referred to: 3/89; 53/89.  
Puddy v Borg (1973) VR 626, referred to: 11/89; 62/89.  
Purdon v Dittmar (1972) 1 NSWLR 94, applied: 15/62.  
R v Anderson (1981) VR 155, referred to: 67/89.  
R v Braham (1977) VR 104, referred to: 36/89; 42/89.  
R v Carlstrom (1977) VR 366, applied: 14/89; followed: 75/89.  
R v Chin (1985) 59 ALR 1, applied: 19/89; 20/89.  
R v Conway (1988) 3 All ER 1025, applied: 44/89.  
R v Ewing (1983) QB 1039, not followed: 34/89.  
R v Hankey (1905) 2 KB 687, discussed: 58/89.  
R v Hinz (1972) Qd. R 272, applied: 47/89.  
R v Hoar and Noble (1981) 34 ALR 357, referred to: 36/89.  
R v Hill (1983) 2 VR 231, distinguished: 14/89.  
R v Inglis (1917) VLR 672, applied: 1/89.  
R v Ireland 126 CLR 321, referred to: 50/89.  
R v Jones (1971) VR 72, applied: 2/89.  
R v McGown MC 29/86, referred to: 67/89.  
R v Mazzone (1985) 43 SASR 330, not followed: 34/89.  
Re White (1987) 31 A Crim R 194, referred to: 40/89.  
Reardon v Baker (1987) VR 887, referred to: 5/89.  
Refrigerated Express Lines P/L v Aust. Meat & Livestock Corp (1980) 29 ALR 333, applied: 11/89.  
Roser v Fagg (1929) 29 SR (NSW) 429, discussed: 58/89.  
Rosing v Ben Shemesh (1960) VR 173, considered: 45/89.  
Sanders v Hill (1964) SASR 327, applied: 47/89; 55/89.  
Sanderson v Blyth Theatre Co. (1903) 2 KB 533, referred to: 74/89.  
Scott v Cameron (1980) 26 SASR 321, referred to: 30/89.  
Shevill v The Builders Licensing Board (1981-2) 149 CLR 620, discussed: 63/89.  
South Australia v O'Shea (1987) 163 CLR 378, referred to: 14/89.  
Taormina v Cameron (1980) 24 SASR 59, referred to: 30/89.  
Tepper v Kelly (1987) 45 SASR 340, affirmed: 16/89.  
Tesco Supermarkets v Natrass (1971) 2 All ER 127, referred to: 11/89.  
Thompson v Kovacs (1959) VR 229, referred to: 12/89; applied: 40/89.  
Thompson v Palmer (1933) 49 CLR 507, applied: 48/89.  
Vardas v South British Insurance Co. Ltd (1984) 2 NSWLR 652, applied: 25/89.  
Vaux v SE Dickins Pty Ltd MC 11/89, referred to: 62/89.  
Virgo v Elding (1939) SASR 294, referred to: 47/89.  
Webb v Johns (1983) 1 VR 739, considered: 59/89.  
Welsh v Donnelly (1983) 2 VR 173, referred to: 3/89.  
Wendo v R (1963) 109 CLR 559, applied: 34/89.  
White, Re (1987) 31 A Crim R 194, referred to: 40/89.  
Window v The Phosphate Co-op Co of Aust. Ltd (1983) 2 VR 287, distinguished: 3/89.  
Wylie v Nicholson (1973) VR 596, referred to: 20/89.



## TABLE OF STATUTORY/REGULATORY REFERENCES

### TABLE OF STATUTORY/REGULATORY REFERENCES

Bail Act 1977, ss4(2), 30: 1/89.  
Children's Court Act 1973, ss16, 22: 59/89.  
Child Support Act 1988 (Cth), ss4, 17, 23-25, 28, 30: 41/89.  
Coroners Act 1985, ss4, 7, 15, 17, 19, 21: 22/89.  
Crimes Act 1914 (Cth), s20AA: 39/89. s31, 36A: 43/89; s67B: 39/89.  
Crimes (Confiscation of Profits) Act 1986, ss1, 5, 7-9, 12, 13: 36/89.  
Drugs, Poisons and Controlled Substances Act 1981, s5: 33, 60/89; s73(1): 51/89; s73(2): 33/39; s76: 51/89.  
Environment Protection Act 1970, ss39, 63(2): 3/89.  
Evidence Act 1958, ss26, 27, 58/89; s148: 34/89.  
Family Law Act 1975, ss66N, 117(2A): 41/89.  
Health Act 1558, ss60, 61: 8/89.  
Industrial Relations Act 1979, ss82, 96, 108, 110: 65/89.  
Judiciary Act 1903 (Cth), s69(3): 46/89.  
Local Government Act 1958, s345: 8/89; s696C(1): 38/89.  
Magistrates' Courts Act 1971, s3: 31/89; s46(3): 43/89; ss72A-F, 88: 31/89.  
Magistrates (Summary Proceedings) Act 1975, s97(b): 11, 21, 62/89; s152: 9, 45/89; s165: 43, 65/89. s168: 21, 38/89.  
Magistrates' Courts Rules 1980, R157: 9, 11, 62/89.  
Melbourne and Metropolitan Planning Scheme Ordinance, C11 7(1), 14(2): 38/89.  
Money Lenders Act 1958, s30: 63/89.  
National Measurement Act 1960 (Cth), ss4, 10: 40/89.  
Occupational Health and Safety Act 1985, s4: 21/89, s21: 21, 23/89; ss39, 40, 47-48: 21/89.  
Penalties and Sentences Act 1985, s28: 1, 14, 26, 42/89; s29: 1, 42/89. s39: 1, 26, 57/89; s92: 36/89.  
Road Safety Act 1986, s3: 20/89; s48(1)(b): 4/89; s48(2): 57/89; s49(1)(b): 4/89; s49(1)(c): 57/89; s49(1)(f): 6, 20, 26, 49, 50/89; s50(1)(b): 57/89; s53(1): 6, 50/89; s55(1): 6, 50/89; s55(4): 20, 49/89; s56(2): 56/89; s57(3): 55/89. s58(2): 49, 73/89; s60: 58/89. s65: 55/89.  
Road Safety (Procedures) Regulations 1987: rr302-4; 20, 56/89.  
Road Safety (Traffic) Regulations 1988 R1001: 26, 40/89.  
Service and Execution of Process Act 1901 (Cth), s18(6)(c): 61/89.  
Social Security Act 1947 (Cth) s138(1): 30/89.  
Summary Offences Act 1966, s9(1)(d): 37, 52/89; s26: 62/89; s53(1): 35/89.  
Taxation Administration Act 1953 (Cth), s8C: 53/89.  
Town and Country Planning Act 1961, s49(1): 38/89.  
Transport Act 1983, ss216, 217: 12/89.  
Weights and Measures Act 1958, ss82L, 92: 11/89.  
Weights and Measures Regulations 1984, r151: 12/89.

---

**Edited by:**      **Patrick Street LL B, Dip Crim**  
                         **C/o Magistrates' Court,**  
                         **GPO Box 882G,**  
                         **Melbourne Vic. 3001**

© 1989-2007 The Magistrates' Court of Victoria