

# MAGISTRATES CASES 1983 INDEX

Magistrates' Court Victoria

#### TABLE OF CASES REPORTED OR NOTED IN 1983 Case No. AGC Ltd and Anor v Ross (1983) 2 VR 319 (Hire purchase - Defect in title of "owner" - implied terms).......28/83 Bassett v Host (1982) 1 NSWLR 206 (Where evidence insufficient - whether court should call witnesses Biddlestone v Bolitho and Anor (Informations for offences - procedure where requests for further particulars Buronga Truck Sales & Service Pty Ltd v Callipari [1984] VR 59 (Civil Jurisdiction - award of interest Byrne v Bullen & Ors (Breach of Federal law - Attorneys-General to be notified in Constitutional matters) ............38/83 Dixon v Seears (1982) 16 NTR 20 in (1982) 42 ALR; 63 FLR 36 (Offensive weapon - Bowie knife - formation Dwyer v Rickhuss (Doctor's certificate re blood sample - effect of alteration in certificate).......42/83 Eades v Sundowner Caravan Parks Pty Ltd [1983] VR 4 (Health - caravan park - whether common Gourlay v Freeman (Blood sample taken outside 2-hr limit - whether proof of compliance with Hearn v McCann (1982) 29 SASR 448; 5 A Crim R 368 (Speeding - whether defence of honest and Jackson v R (1962) 108 CLR 591 (Confession - weight - admissibility of all factors relevant to)......p34 Kapodistrias ex p; R v Arthur (Committal for trial - "hand-up" brief - whether Crown compelled to call witnesses) .. 4/83 Marks v Mayne (Use of another's Bankcard - whether theft/obtaining property by deception).......49/83 McKeown v Hill [1984] VR 350 (unlawful possession - property handed to police officer - whether Matthews v Van de Maat (Post-accident consumption of alcohol - presumption - need for expert evidence)........... 52/83 Miller v Ambrosy (Bill of Costs - service of by post at place of business - particularity of items in Bill) .......25/83 Murtagh v Thompson (Careless driving - convicted of post-accident driving - particulars of charge).......53/83 Myer Melbourne Ltd v Chalmers (Agency - credit account - whether deserted wife can pledge husband's credit) ... 23/83 Petersen v Varszeghy (Speeding - checked by amphometer - effect of certificate that amphometer tested) .......50/83 R v Collins; xp David Syme & Co Ltd (Committal for trial - procedure where suppressing publication).......32/83 R v Darby 148 CLR 668; [1981-82] 40 ALR 594; noted 61 ALJ 134 (Conspiracy - effect where co-conspirator R v Haidley and Alford [1984] VR 229; 10 A Crim R 1; noted: 8 Crim LJ 248) (Identification - admissibility

#### SUBJECT MATTER

R v Liverpool City Justices; xp Topping [1983] 1 WLR 119; [1983] 1 All ER 490 (Bias - knowledge of other charges pending - test)
R v Mackie [1982] 18 NTR 42 in [1982-83] 44 ALR (Drug offence - whether motor car used can be forfeited) 22.3/83
R v Morris [1983] 2 WLR 768; [1983] 2 All ER 448 (Label-switching in store - whether theft/obtaining by
deception)
R v Parsons [1983] VR 499; 53 ALR 568; 71 FLR 416 (Importing drug of addiction - whether Crown has to prove
intent)
R v Sanghera [1983] 2 VR 130 (Bail - nature of evidence to be taken into account)
R v Sewell and Walsh [1981] 29 SASR 12; (1981) 5 A Crim R 204 (Assault occasioning charge -
sentencing considerations)
Ramsay v Watson [1961] 108 CLR 642 (Psychiatric/medical evidence - admissibility)p34
Re Lycouressis; Re Secombe [1983] 2 VR 219 (Appeal Bail - where refused - whether Supreme Court can
grant bail)
Robertson v Smith (Exceeding .05% - not guilty plea - formal proofs required)
Rowe v Galvin [1984] VR 350 (Unlawful possession - property handed to police officer - whether
defendant in possession)
Scollary v Regan (Blood sample outside 2-hr. limit - evidence of expert discussed)
Scott v Mison (Criminal damage - effect of drunkenness on ability to form intent)
Smith v Dinan (informations for offences - procedure where request for further particulars made)
Tankey v Smith [1981] 36 ACTR 19 in [1981] 36 ALR (Lie to police officer - whether obstruction)
Tink v Francis (1983) 2 VR 17 (Motor car - meaning of "drive" - under tow being pushed/coasting downhill) 14/83
Tippett v Murphy [1982] 16 NTR 13 in [1982] 42 ALR; 62 FLR 183 (Contempt of court by Barrister -
Barrister's role discussed)
Tucker v Clisby Pty Ltd (Adjournment of information - whether appropriate awaiting "test" case)
Victorian Railways Board v Snowball [1983] VR 689 (Cruelty to animals - whether Board immune -
knowledge of Board)
Vowles v Byers (Schedule 7 certificate - tendered to Bench clerk - whether in evidence)
Webb v Johns [1983] VR 739 (Child - procedure where concurrent care/wardship proceedings)
$Welsh\ v\ Donnelly\ [1983]\ 2\ VR\ 173\ (Motor\ vehicle\ overloaded\ -\ whether\ defence\ honest/reasonable\ mistake\ open)\ 11/83$
Window v The Phosphate Co-op Co Ltd [1983] 2 VR 287; 50 LGRA 10 (Environment protection - causing pollution -
positive act - "trade")

#### SUBJECT MATTER

#### **ANIMALS**

- Cruelty - *Protection of Animals Act* 1966 - alleged cruelty to animal by Victorian Railways Board - whether Board can claim Crown immunity - whether certain acts of cruelty under the Act are absolute offences: Victorian Railways Board v Snowball: 20/83.

## CHILD

- where concurrent proceedings of Supreme Court wardship and Children's Court wardship - jurisdiction of Supreme Court in such circumstance - where child placed otherwise than in Reception or Remand Centre, evidence required of "respectable person" - such person to be referred to by name, not by title: Webb v Johns: 15.5/83.

#### **CONSTITUTIONAL LAW**

- Sale of liquor on Commonwealth premises - whether Magistrates' Court has jurisdiction - where matter under Constitution raised, Attorneys-General to be notified: Byrne v Bullen & Ors: 38/83.

#### CONTRACT

- Money paid under mistake of fact cheque stopped honoured by bank by mistake whether money paid under a fundamental mistake of fact: Bank of NSW v Murphett: 16/83.
- Agency credit account husband/wife wife deserted whether she can pledge husband's credit for necessaries: Myer Melbourne Ltd v Chalmers: 23/83.
- Hire-purchase implied terms where subject matter of agreement is stolen property rescission total failure of consideration mutual mistake bailment: AGC Corp Ltd v Ross: 28/83.

## CRIMINAL LAW

- Bail for appeal to County Court order by Magistrate that appellant not be released pending appeal application to Supreme Court for bail whether inherent power: Re Lycouressis; Re Secombe: 27/83.
- Bail application for objection by Crown whether hearsay evidence admissible on such application *Bail Act* 1977, s8 considered: R v Sanghera: 18/83.
- Brothel assisting in management of massage parlour offering sexual services not including full sexual intercourse whether brothel: Kelly v Purvis: 31/83.
- Caution to accused silent when questioned failure by accused to give evidence at trial whether court is entitled to draw any inferences: Hengel v Armstrong: 8/83.
- Conspiracy armed robbery both convicted, but on appeal one conviction quashed appeal by co-conspirator on ground that acquittal of one necessitates acquittal of other: R v Darby: 9/83.
- Criminal damage motor vehicles consumption of alcohol by one driver whether effect upon ability to

# SUBJECT MATTER

form intent to cause damage: Scott v Mison: 5/83.

- Drugs sale of cannabis found in defendant's motor vehicle whether motor vehicle related to offence whether motor vehicle could be forfeited to the Crown: R v Mackie: 22.3/83.
- Drugs importing heroin whether Crown has to prove *mens rea* meaning of "importing" consistent Courts' approach in federal matters: R v Parsons: 59/83.
- Evidence psychiatric evidence whether admissible as to accused's mental state at time of offence: R v Haidley and Alford: 58/83.
- Handling stolen goods realisation for benefit of another innocent purchase of stolen goods by sale: R v Bloxham: 41/83.
- Identification from photographs identification notwithstanding accused's refusal to participate in identification parade whether evidence of identification admissible: R v Haidley and Alford: 58/83.
- Obscene article selling/assisting in selling meaning of "sale" variance in dates whether Ministerial authority to prosecute required: Dowling v Davidson: 43/83.
- Offensive weapon Bowie knife whether valid and satisfactory reason assigned for being armed with: Dixon v Seears: 10/83.
- Police obstruction in execution of duty whether lie in answer to question seeking information is obstruction: Tankey v Smith: 22.4/83.
- Rape Committal for trial whether within prescribed period after accused "charged with any offence"
- meaning of "charged" in Magistrates (Summary Proceedings) Act 1975, s47A: R. v Judge Dixon; ex parte Glanville: 26/83.
- Sentence assault occasioning actual bodily harm offender under influence of intoxication liquor aspects to be considered: R v Sewell and Walsh: 15.1/83.
- $\hbox{- The ft-obtaining property by deception-use of Bankcard belonging to another-payment of part of account}\\$
- whether "dishonest": Marks v Mayne: 49/83.
- Theft obtaining property by deception self-service store label switching by customer whether appropriation: R v Morris: 45/83.
- Theft shop-stealing of property valued at 73 cents whether within the meaning of *Criminal Code* (Q'ld) of a "trivial nature": R v Hughes: 22.5/83.
- Unlawful possession property handed to constable whether in possession: Rowe v Galvin: 46/83.

#### **ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION**

- Pollution of atmosphere - causing pollution - must be positive act - effect of deeming provisions: Window v The Phosphate Co-op Co of Aust. Ltd: 40/83.

#### **FAMILY LAW**

- Custody of child with grandparents interstate - *ex parte* application by mother for custody - desirability of different courts making *ex parte* orders: Beswick v Beswick: 56/83.

#### HEALTH

- "common-lodging house" under *Health Act* 1958 - whether caravan park a common-lodging house: Eades v Sundowner Caravan Parks Pty Ltd: 22.6/83.

## MOTOR TRAFFIC

#### - Breath Test and Blood Alcohol

- blood/alcohol exceeding .05% blood sample outside 2-hour limit procedure followed by medical practitioner doctor not familiar with regulations presumption of regularity standard of proof on submission of "no case": Hess v Clarebrough: 1/83.
- Blood/alcohol exceeding .05% refusal to furnish sample of breath formal proofs required on not guilty pleas: Robertson v Smith: 33/83.
- blood sample indication of refusal to undergo whether hindering doctor attempting to take sample whether consent of person is required: Lord v Johnson: 3/83.
- blood sample medical practitioner not familiar with Regulations presumption of regularity: Lewis v Maiden: 40/83.
- blood sample post-driving consumption of alcohol presumption: Peters v Flude: 51/83.
- blood sample post-driving consumption of alcohol presumption: Matthews v Van de Maat: 52/83.
- blood sample refusal by driver to furnish driver's belief as to effort of his medication upon reading whether such belief of a substantial character question of fact: Cousens v Moran: 7/83.
- blood/alcohol exceeding .05% Schedule 7 certificate tendered to Bench Clerk whether in evidence effect of certificate: Vowles v Byers: 57/83.
- blood/alcohol exceeding .05% blood sample taken more than 2 hours after driving effect submission of non-compliance with Regulations doctor ignorant of content of Regulations: Gourlay v Freeman: 24/83.
- Blood/alcohol exceeding .05% Schedule 7 certificate error as to time of delivery effect: Greenwood v Jack: 39/83.
- blood/alcohol exceeding .05% certificate of doctor with dates altered and initialled by doctor whether certificate admissible in evidence: Dwyer v Rickhuss: 42/83.
- blood sample manner in which sample dealt with whether doctor must personally deal with sample presumption of regularity: Norris v Norsburgh: 44/83.

## SUBJECT MATTER

- blood sample taken by doctor in course of an internship at a hospital whether provisionally registered medical practitioner serving internship is a legally qualified medical practitioner: Humphrey v Auger: 12/83.
- blood sample taken outside 2-hr. limit evidence of expert discussed: Scollary v Regan: 47/83.
- blood sample medical practitioner not fully familiar with Regulations whether failure to comply with Regulations: Hocking v Roberts: 30/83.
- blood/alcohol exceeding .05% certificate tendered in evidence discrepancy in certificate in times between analysis and time of delivery of certificate express implication of certificate whether certificate *prima facie* evidence: Griffiths v Drew: 19/83.
- breath/blood test refusal to undergo breath test request by defendant for blood test whether "good cause for refusal": Daire v Rollins: 36/83.
- driving excessive speed defendant believed he was travelling below speed alleged whether defence of honest and reasonable to belief available in respect of exceeding speed limit: Hearn v McCann: 15.3/83.
- driving excessive speed checked by amphometer whether certificate of test *prima facie* evidence: Petersen v Varszeghy: 50/83.
- driving carelessly convicted of post-accident driving particulars: Murtagh v Thompson: 53/83.
- driving whether steering a vehicle being pushed by other constitutes driving a motor car blood/alcohol content of person steering exceeding .05% whether steering a vehicle being towed by another car and tow rope breaks constitutes driving a motor car blood/alcohol content of person steering exceeding .05%
- whether steering a vehicle which is coasting downhill after engine having cut out constitutes driving a motor car whether careless driving meaning of "driving": Tink v Francis; Hughes v McFarlane; Harris v Broadbent: 14/83.
- driving speed dangerous to public speed 105 km/h in 60 km/h zone whether supporting conviction for speeding in a manner dangerous to the public: Pannozzo v Dunsmore: 22.1/83.
- driver licence excessive speed by probationary motor cyclist whether cancellation of licence affects full licence to drive a motor car: Halden v Mitchell: 22.2/83.
- overloaded motor vehicle driver unaware of excess weight whether provisions impose a strict liability on driver or defence of honest and reasonable mistake is available *Proudman v Dayman* discussed: Welsh v Donnelly: 11/83.

#### PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

- Adjournment Information for offence whether adjournment appropriate pending resolution of "test case": Tucker v Clisby Pty Ltd: 54/83.
- Bail application for objection by Crown whether hearsay evidence admissible on such application *Bail Act* 1977, 8 considered: R v Sanghera: 18/83.
- Bail application for indictable offence committed whilst "awaiting trial" meaning of "awaiting trial": R v Kent: 55/83.
- Bail for appeal to County Court order that appellant not be released pending appeal application to Supreme Court whether inherent power in Supreme Court to grant bail: Re Lycouressis; Re Secombe: 27/83.
- Bias knowledge of other charges pending against defendant test whether court disqualified from hearing case: R v Liverpool City Justices; ex parte Topping: 35/83.
- Caution to accused silent when questioned failure by accused to give evidence at trial whether court is entitled to draw any inferences: Hengel v Armstrong: 8/83.
- Civil Jurisdiction whether court can award interest on order: Buronga Truck Sales and Services P/L v Callipari: 37/83.
- Committal for trial rape proceedings whether committal proceedings held within prescribed period meaning of "charged" in *Magistrates (Summary Proceedings) Act* 1975, s47A: R v Judge Dixon: *ex parte* Glanville: 26/83.
- Committal for trial order suppressing publication of proceedings pre-condition for making order: R v Collins; *ex parte* David Syme & Co Ltd: 32/83.
- Committal proceedings hand-up brief procedure r45, 46 of Magistrates (Summary Proceedings) Act 1975
- witnesses required for cross-examination whether Crown bound to call probative value of statement of absent witness: R v Arthur; xp Kapodistrias: 4/83.
- Contempt of court in face of court by barrister role of barrister in relation to court discussed contempt must interfere or tend to interfere with course of justice: Tippett v Murphy: 6/83.
- Default summons appropriate endorsement, where 3 defendants and claim against each whether amount in excess of jurisdiction agreement to pay future fees whether contract of guarantee  $$126\ Instruments$  Act: Boyd & Anor v Nolan & Ors: 2/83.
- Evidence Confession weight admissibility of all factors relevant to expert physical disabilities statements to expert witness being foundation of opinion psychiatric evidence statements to psychiatrist original evidence: Gordon v R: 13/83.
- Particulars of offences where requested whether particulars must be supplied: Biddlestone v Bolitho & Dunn: 34/83.
- Particulars of offence defendant entitled to know: Murtagh v Thompson: 53/83
- Particulars of Demand recovery of solicitor's costs 2 bills of costs relied on whether court can amend particulars so as to substitute bills delivered after commencement of the action for bills originally relied on:

# WORDS AND PHRASES, CATCHWORDS, CONCEPTS etc.

Jockel v Breskic: 17/83.

- Procedure on plea of 'guilty' where prosecutor gives a summary of facts defendant disputes summary
- procedural options available to court: Baker v Flynn: 15.4/83.
- Proceedings in court where important witness not called by either party court faced with decision upon speculation rather than fact whether court should call or direct a party to call a witness: Bassett v Host: 15.2/83.
- Sentencing grievous bodily harm consideration of Attendance Centre Order procedure to be followed when making: R v Hill: 29/83.

#### **SOLICITOR**

- Costs 2 items of work lump-sum bill for first item no bill for second item solicitor sues on both bills
- whether court can amend particulars to cover 2 bills: Jockel v Breskic: 17/83.
- Costs Bill of for professional work service of bill by post at place of business whether effective degree of particularity of items in bill: Miller v Ambrosy: 25/83.

# WORDS AND PHRASES, TRIGGERWORDS, CATCHWORDS ETC

"Actual possession": 46/83.

"Appropriation": 45/83.

"As soon as practicable": 39/83.

"Attempting to take" a blood sample: 3/83.

"Attendance Centre Order": 29/83.

"Awaiting trial": 55/83.

"Bias": 35/83.

"Causes": 40/83.

"Charged": 26/83.

"Common lodging-house": 22.6/83.

"Dishonesty": 49/83.

"Drive": 14/83.

"For the benefit of": 41/83.

"Found armed with offensive weapon": 10, 34/83.

"Importing": 59/83.

"In the course of": 40/83.

"Judge": 37/83.

"Legally qualified medical practitioner": 12/83.

"Massage parlour": 31/83.

"Obstruction of police officer": 22.4/83.

"On the said day": 19/83.

"Place of business": 25/83.

"Reason of a substantial character": 7/83.

"Sale": 43/83.

"Speeding in a manner dangerous": 22.1/83.

"Trade": 40/83.

# TABLE OF SELECTED CASES CONSIDERED/REFERRED TO

Aiken v Short (1836) 1 H & N 210; (1833-60) All ER Rep 425, disapproved by Full Court: 16/83.

Alexander v Ajax Insurance Co Ltd (1956) VLR 436, applied: 2/83.

Alexander v R (1981) 145 CLR 395, applied: MC 58/83.

Allinson v Gen Co of Med Educn (1894) 1 QB 750, considered: 35/83.

Alphacell v Woodward (1972) AC 824, considered: 40/83.

Ames v MacLeod (1969) JC 1, followed: 14/83.

Armstrong v Victoria (No 2) (1957) 99 CLR 28, applied: 11/83.

Attwood v Lacey, (unrep, 24/5/1979, Vic Sup Ct), applied: 44/83.

Barrell v Fordhill (1932) AC 676, applied: 14/83.

Barrett v Baker (1948) VLR 491, applied: 12/83.

Bell v Lever Bros Ltd (1932) AC 161, applied: 28/83.

Bellanto, xp; Re Prior (1963) SR (NSW) 190, applied: 6/83.

Black-Clawson Internat Ltd v PWA (1975) AC 591, applied: 41/83.

Blain v Witton (MC 65/1976) followed: 19/83.

Bolton v Moore (1948) VLR 215, applied: 40/83.

Bolton v Prentice 92 ER 1136, followed: 23/83.

Burns v Storey (1970) VR 388, followed: 7/83.

Byrne v Baker (1964) VR 443, applied: 34/83. Campagnolo v Attrill (1982) VR 893, not followed: 26/83.

Caughey v Spacek (1968) VR 600, considered and approved: 14/83.

CBA v Younis (1979) 1 NSWLR 444, applied: 16/83.

Christie v Bruce (1962) VR 744, applied: 20/83.

## CASES REFERRED TO, APPLIED, CONSIDERED ETC.

City Mutual v Giannarelli (1977) VR 463, discussed: 37/83.

Clark v Ryan (1960) 183 CLR 486, applied: 58/83.

Commonwealth v Hosp Contrib Fund (1982) 56 ALJR 588, applied: 37/83.

Comm'r Corp Affairs v Green (1978) VR 505, considered: 1/83; applied: 24/83; referred to: 48/83.

Considine v Kirkpatrick (1971) SASR 73, considered: 10/83.

Craig v R (1933) 49 CLR 429, applied: 39/83.

Creely v Ingles (1969) VR 732, applied: 39/83.

CTB v Reno Auto Sales P/L (1967) VR 505, dictum of Gillard J disapproved by Full Court: 16/83.

Cummins v Dalton (MC 49/82) applied: 33, 48/83.

Currie v Robinson (1968) QR 50, discussed: 17/83.

Dharmasena v The King (1951) AC 1, not followed: 9/83.

Dowling v Bowie (1952) 86 CLR 136, applied: 11/83.

Doyle v Harvey (1923) VLR 271, considered and approved: 14/83.

DPP v Morgan (1976) AC 182, considered: 11/83.

DPP v Shannon (1975) AC 717, discussed: 9/83.

Elect. Comm. NSW v AUP (1955) 55 SR (NSW) 118, distinguished: 20/83.

French v Scarman (1979) 20 SASR 333, referred to: 36/83.

Grain Elev Board v Shire of Dunmunkle (1946) 73 CLR 70, applied: 20/83.

Green v Burnett (1955) 1 QB 78, applied: 11/83.

Haggarty v Palmer (1974) 5 ALR 53, applied: 11/83.

Handmer v Taylor (1971) VR 308, discussed: 11/83.

Hannam v Bradford Corpn (1970) 1 WLR 937, followed: 35/83.

Hardy v Gillette (1976) VR 392, applied: 1/83.

Harris v Norris 170 ER 635, applied: 23/83.

Hawthorn v Bartholomew (1954) VLR 38, applied: 11/83.

Head v Baillieu (MC 9/1980), followed: 5/83.

Hess v Clarebrough (MC 1/1983), followed: 30/83; discussed: 48/83.

Heywood v Robinson (1975) VR 562, applied: 1/83.

Hindson v Monahan (1970) VR 83, applied: 1/83.

Hocking v Roberts (MC 30/1983), discussed: 48/83.

Holdsworth v Fox (1974) VR 225, applied: 52/83.

Houston v Harwood (1975) VR 698, applied: 42/83.

Huntington v Jupp (MC 24/1978), applied: 1, 24/83; followed: 21/83.

Iskov v Matters (1977) VR 220, distinguished: 33/83.

Jackson v R (1962) 108 CLR 591, followed: 13/83.

James v South Aust 40 CLR 1, applied: 38/83.

Jansen v Dewhurst (1969) VR 421, discussed and applied: 2/83.

Johnson v Miller (1957) 59 CLR 467, applied: 34, 53/83.

Kain & Shelton P/L v McDonald (1971) 1 SASR 39, not followed: 11/83.

Karflex Ltd v Poole (1933) 2 KB 251, considered: 213/83.

Kaur (Dip) v Chief Const Hampshire (1981) 1 WLR 578, discussed: 45/83.

Lim Chin Aik v R (1963) AC 160, applied: 11/83.

Llewellyn v Reynolds (1952) VLR 171, applied: 39/83.

Lloyd v Thorburn (1974) VR 12, applied: 57/83.

Lombard Aust Ltd v Mohrwinkel (1973) 21 FLR 277, applied: 25/83.

Lumsden v Shipcote Land Co (1906) 2 KB 433, considered: 17/83.

McCrae v Downey (1947) VLR 194, followed: 11/83.

McGrath v Cooper (1976) VR 535, considered and followed: 14/83.

McPherson v Goldstone (1920) VLR 531, applied: 46/83.

Maher v Musson (1934) 52 CLR 100, applied by Full Court: 11/83.

Malleson & Ors v Williams (1930) VLR 410, applied: 17, 25/83.

Mallock v Tabak (1977) VR 78, distinguished: 33/83; applied: 48/83.

Marchesi v Barnes (1970) VR 434, applied: 34/83.

May v O'Sullivan (1955) 92 CLR 654, applied: 74/83.

Merchant v R (1971) 126 CLR 414, applied: 53/83.

Metro Props Co v Lannon (1969) 1 QB 577, applied: 55/83.

Miller xp; Re Hamilton (1934) 51 WR (NSW) 578, discussed: 46/83.

MMBW v Bevelon Invest P/L (1977) VR 473, discussed: 57/83.

Moors v Burke (1919) 26 CLR 265, applied: 46/83.

Moss v Brown (1979) 1 NSWLR 114, applied: 4/83.

Mousell Bros v L & NW Ry Co (1917) 2 KB 836, applied: 20/83.

Nabarro & Sons v Kennedy (1955) 1 QB 577, referred to: 23/83.

O'Hara v Wright (1971) SASR 436, followed: 6/83.

Ohlson v Hylton (1975) 1 WLR 724, applied: 10/83.

Parashuram Deteram Shamdasani v King Emperor (1945) AC 264, followed: 6/83.

Parker v Kis (MC 10/1981), applied: 1/83.

Pavlovic v Kriznan (MC 13/75), applied: 48/83.

Pendlebury v Kakouris (1971) VR 177, applied: 46/83.

Port Jackson Stevedoring P/L v Salmond & Spraggon (Aust) P/L (1978) 139 CLR 231, applied: 28/83.

Porter v Latec Finance (1964) 111 CLR 177, followed: 16/83.

Preswell v Baughurst (1977) VR 366, considered: 43/83.

# CASES REFERRED TO, APPLIED, CONSIDERED ETC.

```
Price v Cromack (1975) 1 WLR 980, considered: 40/83.
```

Protean (Holdings) Ltd v EPA (1977) VR 51, applied: 40/83.

Proudman v Dayman (1941) 67 CLR 536, applied by Full Court: 11/83.

Prov Motor Cab Co v Dunning (1909) 2 KB 599, applied: 11/83.

Pullin v Insurance Comm'r (1971) VR 263, approved by Full Court: 14/83.

- R v Barton (1980) 32 ALR 449, discussed: 4/83.
- R v Blackler (1981) VR 672, considered: 27/83.
- R v Boardman (1969) VR 151, applied: 58/83.
- R v Bonollo (1981) VR 633, applied: 49/83; followed: 57, 59/83.
- R v Burchielli (1981) VR 611, followed: 58/83.
- R v Carlstrom (1977) VR 366, considered: 29/83.
- R v Cline (1980) VR 306, applied: 24/83.
- R v Cheer (1979) VR 541, applied: 24/83.
- R v Clune (1982) VR 1, applied: 58/83.
- R v Comm'r of Police; xp Blackburn (No. 2) (1968) 2 QB 150, applied: 6/83.
- R v Darrington and McGauley (1980) VR 353, applied: 58/83.
- R v Deaking (1972) 1 WLR 1618, followed: 41/83.
- R v Epping & Harlow Justices; xp Massaro (1973) 1 QB 433, discussed: 4/83.
- R v Gardiner (1979) 27 ALR 140, followed: 59/83.
- R v Jenkins; xp Morrison (1949) VLR 277, applied: 58/83.
- R v Jura (1954) 1 QB 583, discussed: 10/83.
- R v Justices (Anglesey) (1892) 2 QB 29, applied: 27/83.
- R v Justices (Lincs) (1882) 46 JP 312, discussed: 31/83.
- R v Lawrence (1972) AC 626, applied: 45/83.
- R v Lefroy (1873) LR 8 QB 134, applied: 6/83.
- R v MacDonagh (1974) 1 QB 448, applied by Young CJ and Southwell J: 14/83.
- R v McLean; xp Aitkens (1974) 139 JP 261, followed: 35/83.
- R v McPherson (1973) Crim LR 191, discussed: 45/83.
- R v Magistrates' Court (H'berg) (1976) VR 680, applied: 34/83.
- R v Norfolk Quarter Sessions (1953) 2 WLR 294, applied: 26/83.
- R v Plain (1967) 1 WLR 565, applied: 43/83.
- R v Plummer (1902) 2 KB 339, discussed: 9/83.
- R v Preston (1961) VR 761, applied: 50/83.
- R v Public Service Bd Vict (1948) VLR 310, applied: 54/83.
- R v Salvo (1980) VR 401, followed: 49/83.
- R v Tolson (1889) 23 QBD 168, applied: 11/83.
- R v Willis (1972) 1 WLR 1605, followed: 41/83. Re Lucas (unrep, Anderson J, 25/8/1982), considered: 27/83.
- Re Torney (unrep, Crockett J, 25/10/1982), followed: 27/83.

Ramsay v Watson (1961) 108 CLR 642, followed: 13/83; applied: 58/83.

Read v Nerey Nominees P/L (1979) VR 47, applied: 1/83.

Reddy v Ross (1973) VR 462, distinguished: 33/83.

Richards v Alliance Accept P/L. (1976) 2 NSWLR, followed: 28/83.

Richardson v R (1974) 3 ALR 115, applied: 4/83.

Ross v Smith (1969) VR 411, applied: 35/83; discussed: 39/83.

Rowe v Conti (1958) VR 547, applied: 10/83; followed: 34/83.

Rowland v Divall (1923) 2 KB 500, applied: 28/83.

Saxe v Kellett (1970) VR 600, referred to: 48/83; distinguished: 52/83.

Schuett v McKenzie (1968) VR 225, followed: 33/83.

Scott v Baker (19691 1 QB 674, applied: 33/83.

Smith v R (1970) 121 CLR 572, explained: 9/83.

Sweeney v Bd. Land/Works (1878) VLR 440 (L), followed: 20/83.

Sweet v Parsley (1970) AC 132, applied: 11/83.

Taylor v Armour & Co (1962) VR 346, referred to: 53/83.

Tesco Ltd v Nattrass (1972) AC 153, applied: 20/83.

Titheradge v R (1917) 24 CLR 107, applied: 15.2/83.

Tramways Advert P/L v Luna Park Ltd (1938) 38 SR (NSW) 632, applied: 28/83.

Uranerz P/L v Hale (1980) 3 ALR 193, applied: 6/83.

Van Reesema v Giameos (1978) 17 SASR 353, observation of Bray CJ, adopted: 28/83.

Vaughan v Bechmann (MC 42/1979), distinguished: 52/83.

Warman v South Counties Car Fin Corp (1949) 2 KB 576, applied: 20/83.

Wendo v R 109 CLR 559, applied: 39/83.

Whitehead v Koulouklidis (MC 13/74), applied: 34/83.

Wilson v Kuhl (1979) VR 315, applied: 1, 10/83; referred to: 24/83; applied: 39, 48/83.

Winter v Woolfe (1931) 1 KB 549, discussed: 31/83.

Woolmington v DPP (1935) AC 462, applied: 11/83.

Wright v Bastin (No 2) (1979) VR 329, applied: 1, 24, 51/83.

Wylie v Nicholson (1973) VR 596, applied: 1, 24, 48, 57/83.

Yeoman Credit Ltd v Apps (1962) 2 QB 508, distinguished: 28/83.

Young v Paddle Bros (1956) VLR 38, referred to: 39/83; applied: 44/83.

Zizza v Seymour (1976) 2 NSWLR 135, applied: 17/83.

## STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

## STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Acts Interpretation Act 1958, s25: 25/83.

Bail Act 1977, s4: 27/03; 55/83; ss7, 8: 18/83.

County Court Act 1958, s36: 26/83.

Crimes Act 1958, s81: 49/83; s197: 5/83; ss353, 359, 359A: 26/83; s467(e): 29/83.

Criminal Law Amendment Act 1885 (UK), s13: 31/83.

Customs Act (Cwth), s233B(1): 59/83.

Environment Protection Act 1970, ss4, 41, 63: 40/83.

Evidence Act (Cwth), s4A: 25/83.

Family Law Act (Cwth), s64(7): 56/83.

Goods Act 1958, s6: 43/83.

Hire Purchase Act 1959, s5: 28/83.

Instruments Act 1958, s126: 2/83.

Judiciary Act (Cwth), ss78A - 78B): 30/83.

Magistrates' Courts Act 1971, s6: 27/83; s50: 2, 37/83; s75: 27/83.

Magistrates (Summary Proceedings) Act 1975, s44(4): 32/03; ss45, 46: 4/83; s47A: 26/83; s157: 43/83.

Medical Practitioners Act 1970, ss4, 19, 19A, 19C: 12/83.

Motor Car Act 1958, s26(2): 22.3/83; s28(1): 14/83; ss33, 35: 11/83; s80D: 1, 12, 21, 30, 42, 48/83; s80DA: 3/83; s80E: 33/83; s80F: 7, 19, 33, 39, 57/83; s80G: 1, 19, 21, 24, 51, 52, 57/83; s81: 14, 53/83; s81A: 1, 14, 19, 21, 24, 30, 39, 44, 47, 48, 51, 57/83.

Motor Car Regulations 1966, rr187-189: 50/83; r227: 57/83.

Motor Car (Blood Samples) Regulations 1977, rr219-223A: 1, 24, 44/83.

Penalties and Sentences Act 1981, s36: 29/83.

Police Offences Act 1958, ss166, 166A: 43/83.

Protection of Animals Act 1966, s4: 20/83.

Road Traffic Act (1961-1981) (SA), s47e(4): 36/83.

Road Traffic Regulations 1973, r1001: 50/83.

Sexual Offences Act 1956 (UK), s33: 31/83.

Summary Offences Act 1966, s26: 46/83.

Supreme Court Act 1958, s60: ss77-79B; 37/83; s81: 17, 25/83.

Theft Act 1968 (UK) s3, 15: 45/83; s22: 41/83.

Edited by: Patrick Street LL B, Dip Crim
C/o Magistrates' Court,
GPO Box 882G, Melbourne Vic. 3001

© 1983-2008 The Magistrates' Court of Victoria