

# COMPUTER SECURITY

Prof. Alessandro Armando

11 gennaio 2023

Nome e Cognome: Enrico Pezzano

Matricola: 4825087

---

## 1. Criptography

Proof of work (PoW) is a form of cryptographic proof in which one party (the prover) proves to others (the verifiers) that a certain amount of a specific computational effort has been expended. One popular approach (HashCash) to PoW amounts to the problem of finding a string X whose SHA-1 hash code begins with N binary zeros, where N is a given positive integer.

Assume that computing the SHA-1 hash code takes at most 1 ms.

(a) How long does it take to solve the HashCash problem in the worst case if N=10?

- A. 1 sec
- B. 2 sec
- C. 1 min
- D. 2 min

No  None of the above

(b) What is the probability to solve the HashCash problem in less than 10 sec if N=20?

(c) What is the minimum value of N for which the solution to the HashCash problem takes at least 1 hour?

b) ~~10 sec = 10000 ms~~ That probability to get a SHA1 string with 20 zero  
~~is  $\frac{1}{2^{10}}$  (possible string with first ten 0s / possible strings)~~ we have to do

$$\frac{1}{2^{10}} = \frac{1}{1024} \approx 0,001 \text{ (probability to guess the string)} = 0,1\%$$

$$\frac{10000}{2^{10}} = \frac{10^4}{1024} \approx \frac{10^4}{1024} \text{ ms} = \frac{10000}{1024^2} = \text{probability to guess in less than 10 sec with } N=20$$

c) ~~1 hour = 3600 sec = 3600000 ms~~

$$2^N > (3600 \cdot 10^12) \approx 64 \quad \cancel{\frac{2^{160}}{2^N} = \frac{3600000000}{2^{10}}} \rightarrow N = \log_2 \left( \frac{3600000000}{2^{160}} \right)$$

## 2. Digital Signature and Digital Certificates

- (a) Your browser establishes a secure SSL connection with a Web Server through a valid certificate. Which of the following sentences are true? (There could be more than one correct answer.)
- A. All data the Web Server sends to your browser will be encrypted and only your browser and the Certification Authority will be able to decrypt them.
  - B. All data your browser sends to the Web Server will be encrypted and only the Web Server will be able to decrypt them.
  - C. You can securely send privacy-sensitive data to this Web Server since you are guaranteed it will be dealt with in an appropriate way.
  - D. You can securely send security-critical data to this Web Server since you are guaranteed it won't be disclosed to unauthorized parties.
  - E. All data the Web Server sends to your browser will be encrypted and only your browser will be able to decrypt them.
  - NO F. All data your browser sends to the Web Server will be encrypted and only the Web Server and the Certification Authority will be able to decrypt them.
- (b) Which data must be included in a digital certificate of a Web Server? (There could be more than one correct answer.)
- A. Digital signature of the certificate generated by the Certification Authority
  - NO B. Public Key of the Certification Authority
  - C. Domain Name of the Web Server
  - D. Identity of the Certification Authority that issued the certificate
  - E. Private key of the Web Server
  - F. IP Address of the Web Server
  - G. Public key of the Web Server

### 3. Security Protocols

Consider the following authentication and key agreement protocol. Alice (A) and Bob (B) want to establish a session key using a long-term symmetric key  $K_{AB}$ . First Alice generates a nonce  $N_A$  and sends it along with her identity to Bob. Bob generates his own nonce  $N_B$  and sends it together with the encryption of Alice's nonce under the long-term key  $K_{AB}$ . Alice acknowledges receipt of this message by sending the encryption of Bob's nonce under the long-term key. Finally Bob generates the session key  $k$  and sends it to Alice encrypted under  $K_{AB}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} A \rightarrow B : & A, N_A \\ A \leftarrow B : & \{N_A\}_{K_{AB}}, N_B \\ A \rightarrow B : & \{N_B\}_{K_{AB}} \\ A \leftarrow B : & \{k\}_{K_{AB}} \end{aligned}$$

where both nonces and keys consists of 128-bit.

- (a) This protocol is flawed. Show how Eve could learn a session key that Alice thinks she has securely established with Bob.
- (b) Propose a way to fix the protocol to defend against this attack. Explain why your fix prevents this attack.

a)  $A \rightarrow E \rightarrow B : A, N_A$   
 $A \leftarrow E \leftarrow B : \{N_A\}_{K_{AB}}, N_B$

$A \rightarrow E \rightarrow B : \{N_B\}_{K_{AB}}$

$A \leftarrow E \leftarrow B : \{k\}_{K_{AB}}$

At this point, Eve knows  $K$

$A \rightarrow E : \{A, \text{msg}\}_K$

$A \leftarrow E : \{B, \text{msg}\}_K$

b)  $A \rightarrow B : \{A, N_A\}_{K_{AB}}$

$A \leftarrow B : \{N_A, K_{AB}\}_{K_{CA}}, N_B$

$A \rightarrow B : \{N_B, K_{AB}\}_{K_{CA}}$

$A \leftarrow B : \{k\}_{K_{AB}}$

Using certificates from an external entity of verification I'm sure that A is connecting with B and viceversa; because no one can do a replay attack at this protocol, thanks to do the obligatory step of verify yourself to the opposite entity with the certificate

#### 4. Access Control

Consider the following security labeling in the Bell-LaPadula model:

| Subject | Label | Object | Label |
|---------|-------|--------|-------|
| Alice   | L4    | P1     | L4    |
| Bob     | L1    | P2     | L1    |
| Carol   | L5    | P3     | L5    |
| Dave    | L2    | P4     | L2    |
| Eve     | L3    | P5     | L3    |

The labels follow a complete ordering  $L1 > L2 > L3 > L4 > L5$ .

- (a) Interpret the labels as security labels in the simplified Bell-LaPadula model. Fill the access column in the following table with the access (read, write) that BLP would give each subject to the corresponding object.

| Subject | Object | Access     |
|---------|--------|------------|
| Alice   | P5     | write      |
| Bob     | P3     | read       |
| Carol   | P5     | write      |
| Dave    | P4     | read write |
| Eve     | P1     | read       |

- (b) Now consider the case where the labels have categories in addition to the completely ordered levels. We add categories red and blue. The new label assignments are:

| Subject | Label         | Object | Label         |
|---------|---------------|--------|---------------|
| Alice   | L4:{red}      | P1     | L4:{red}      |
| Bob     | L1:{red}      | P2     | L1:{red,blue} |
| Carol   | L5:{red,blue} | P3     | L5:{red}      |
| Dave    | L2:{red,blue} | P4     | L2:{red}      |
| Eve     | L3:{blue}     | P5     | L3:{blue}     |

Interpret these labels according to the Bell-LaPadula Model. Fill the access column with the access that BLP would give each subject to the corresponding object: read, write.

| Subject | Object | Access       |
|---------|--------|--------------|
| Alice   | P1     | read - write |
| Bob     | P2     | write        |
| Carol   | P2     | nothing      |
| Dave    | P4     | read         |
| Eve     | P3     | nothing      |