TMD DISCUSSION PAPER NO. 64

GAMS CODE FOR ESTIMATING A SOCIAL ACCOUNTING MATRIX (SAM) USING CROSS ENTROPY (CE) METHODS

Sherman Robinson and Moataz El-Said International Food Policy Research Institute

Trade and Macroeconomics Division International Food Policy Research Institute 2033 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006, U.S.A.

December 2000

TMD Discussion Papers contain preliminary material and research results, and are circulated prior to a full peer review in order to stimulate discussion and critical comment. It is expected that most Discussion Papers will eventually be published in some other form, and that their content may also be revised. This paper is available at http://www.cgiar.org/ifpri/divs/tmd/dp.htm

GAMS Code for Estimating A Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) Using Cross Entropy (CE) Methods

by

Sherman Robinson and Moataz El-Said International Food Policy Research Institute

Abstract

This paper documents the computer code implementing the CE-SAM estimation technique in GAMS (General Algebraic Modeling System). It defines the estimation problem in a deterministic setting; extends the approach to include a stochastic treatment of errors in control totals; summarizes the equations describing CE technique for estimating a consistent SAM starting from an inconsistent data set estimated with error; and provides the GAMS code.

Key words: Entropy, cross entropy, social accounting matrices, SAM, GAMS

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.	
2. CE-SAM Estimation: Deterministic Approach	1
3. CE-SAM Estimation: Stochastic Approach	2
3.1. Case of three-weight error distribution	
3.2. Case of five-weight error distribution	5
5. GAMS Code	
References	18

1. Introduction

The paper describes the cross entropy (CE) SAM estimation technique in situations where column sums and macro aggregates represent SAM control totals that may be measured with error. The theory of the estimation technique, comparing it to other methods, is described in S. Robinson, A. Cattaneo, and M. El Said "Updating and Estimating a Social Accounting Matrix Using Cross Entropy Methods" in *Economic Systems Research*, vol. 13, no. 1, March 2001. This paper documents the computer code implementing the technique in GAMS (General Algebraic Modeling System) (Brooke, Kendrick, Meeraus, and R. Raman 1998). Section 2 defines the estimation problem in a deterministic setting. Section 3 extends the approach to include a stochastic treatment of errors in the control totals. Section 4 summarizes the equations describing the CE technique for estimating a consistent SAM starting from an inconsistent data set estimated with error. Finally, section 5 provides the GAMS code for the estimation problem both as a nonlinear programming (NLP) problem and a mixed complementarity problem (MCP).

2. CE-SAM Estimation: Deterministic Approach

Define T as a matrix of SAM transactions, where $t_{i,j}$ is a payment from column account j to row account i, that satisfies the condition:

$$y_{i} = \sum_{j} t_{i,j} = \sum_{j} t_{j,i} \tag{1}$$

That is, for a SAM, every row sum must equal the corresponding column sum. A SAM coefficient matrix, A, is constructed from T by dividing the cells in each column of T by the column sums:

$$A_{i,j} = \frac{t_{i,j}}{y_j} \tag{2}$$

^{*} The authors would like to thank Channing Arndt, Rebecca Harris, Henning Tarp Jensen, and Finn Tarp for helpful comments on the GAMS code. Also we would like to thank Michael Ferris for providing the MCP version of the estimation problem.

¹ An earlier version of the paper can be downloaded in PDF format from the IFPRI web page "http://www.ifpri.cgiar.org/divs/tmd/tmdpubs.htm#dp" division discussion paper No. 58, August 2000.

We assume that we start with information in the form of a prior, \overline{A} , which may be based on data from a previous or from scattered, perhaps inconsistent, data from the current year. We also assume that we have exact information on current column sums, y^* . Applying the Kullback-Leibler (1951) measure of the "cross entropy" (CE) distance between two probability distributions to the CE-SAM estimation, the problem is to find a new set of A coefficients which minimize the cross entropy distance between the prior \overline{A} and the new estimated coefficient matrix.

$$\min_{\{A\}} I = \left[\sum_{i} \sum_{j} A_{i,j} \ln \frac{A_{i,j}}{\overline{A}_{i,j}} \right]
= \left[\sum_{i} \sum_{j} A_{i,j} \ln A_{i,j} - \sum_{i} \sum_{j} A_{i,j} \ln \overline{A} \right]$$
(3)

Subject to:
$$\sum_{i} A_{i,j} y_j^* = y_i^*$$
 (4)

$$\sum_{i} A_{j,i} = 1 \text{ and } 0 \le A_{j,i} \le 1$$
 (5)

Note that $x \ln x = 0$ if x = 0. Thus, to solve for equation (3) and allow for zero entries in the SAM in the computer code, we add an epsilon small number to the arguments of the equation. Note also that the system of constraint equations (4) is functionally dependent, since if all but one column and row sum are equal, the last one must also be equal (analogous to Walras' in general equilibrium theory). One equation can be dropped.

3. CE-SAM Estimation: Stochastic Approach

Specifying known column sums implies having exact information about control totals in the SAM. Most applications of economic models to real world issues must deal with the problem of extracting results from data or economic relationships with noise. One can generalize to include knowledge about any aggregates or elements of the SAM (e.g., macro aggregates from the national accounts). In this section we generalize our approach to cases where: (i) row and column sums are not fixed parameters but involve errors in measurement; and (ii) macro aggregates are not exact but are measured with error.

The general case starts from assumed prior knowledge of the standard error (perhaps due to measurement error) of the estimate of control totals—a Bayesian prior, not a maintained hypothesis. The estimated error in the ith control total can be represented as a weighted sum of elements in a specified error support set:

$$e_i = \sum_{i \neq t} w_{i,j \neq t} \overline{v_{i,j \neq t}}$$
 (6)

where e_i = error value of control total $w_{i,jwt}$ = error weights estimated in the CE procedure ($\sum_{jwt} w_{i,jwt} = 1$) $\overline{v}_{i,jwt}$ = error support set

The set *jwt* defines the dimension of the support set for the error distribution and the number of weights that must be estimated for each error. The prior on the variance of these errors is given by:

$$\mathbf{s}^{2} = \sum_{j \neq t} \overline{w}_{i, j \neq t} \cdot \overline{v}_{i, j \neq t}^{2} \tag{7}$$

where $\overline{w}_{i, jwt}$ = prior weights on the error support set and $\sum_{jwt} \overline{w}_{i, jwt} = 1$

Starting with a prior s, Golan, Judge, and Miller (1996) suggest picking the \overline{v} s to define a domain for the support set of ± 3 standard errors. In this case, the prior on the weights, \overline{w} , are then calculated to yield a consistent prior on the standard error, s.

3.1. Case of three-weight error distribution

Assume a prior mean of zero and a given standard error, s. With a three-parameter error distribution that is symmetric around zero, the \overline{v} s define the upper and lower bounds for the error distribution, and there are three weights, \overline{w} , to be estimated. That is we have:

$$\overline{V}_{i,1} = -3\mathbf{S}$$

$$\overline{V}_{i,2} = 0$$

$$\overline{V}_{i,3} = +3\mathbf{S}$$
(8)

and using (7):

_

² In Robinson, Cattaneo, and El-Said (2001), we specify prior weights \overline{W} that are uniform and set the prior standard error by the choice of support set, (\overline{V}). In that paper, we use a three-weight specification (jwt = {1,2,3}).

$$\mathbf{s}^{2} = \overline{w}_{i,1} \cdot \left(+9\mathbf{s}^{2}\right) + \overline{w}_{i,2} \cdot \left(0\right) + \overline{w}_{i,3} \cdot \left(9\mathbf{s}^{2}\right) \tag{9}$$

Since the prior weights and support set are symmetric, $\overline{w}_{i,1} = \overline{w}_{i,3}$. Solving (9) for the weights, \overline{w} , we get:

$$\overline{w}_{i,1} = \overline{w}_{i,3} = \frac{1}{18}$$

$$\overline{w}_{i,2} = 1 - \overline{w}_{i,1} - \overline{w}_{i,3} = \frac{16}{18}$$
(10)

3.2. Case of five-weight error distribution

For the case of a five-parameter error distribution, there are five weights, \overline{w} , to be estimated—the set jwt consists of five elements. We are incorporating more information about the error distribution—more moments, including variance, skewness, and kurtosis. Assuming a prior normal distribution with mean of zero and variance s^2 , the prior on kurtosis is s^4 . In this case, the prior weights, \overline{w} , are specified so that:

$$\sum_{jwt} \overline{w}_{i,jwt} \cdot \overline{v}_{i,jwt}^4 = 3\mathbf{s}^4 \tag{11}$$

in addition to defining the variance as above in (7). The prior weights and support set are also symmetric, so the prior on all odd moments is zero. Choose \pm 1 standard error for $\overline{v}_{i,2}$ and $\overline{v}_{i,4}$ (which is arbitrary). In this case we get:

$$\overline{v}_{i,1} = -3\mathbf{S}$$

$$\overline{v}_{i,2} = -\mathbf{S}$$

$$\overline{v}_{i,3} = 0$$

$$\overline{v}_{i,4} = +\mathbf{S}$$

$$\overline{v}_{i,5} = +3\mathbf{S}$$
(12)

and using (7) and (12) we get:

$$\mathbf{s}^{2} = \overline{w}_{i,1} \cdot \left(9\mathbf{s}^{2}\right) + \overline{w}_{i,2} \cdot \left(\mathbf{s}^{2}\right) + \overline{w}_{i,3} \cdot \left(0\right) + \overline{w}_{i,4} \cdot \left(\mathbf{s}^{2}\right) + \overline{w}_{i,5} \cdot \left(9\mathbf{s}^{2}\right)$$

$$3\mathbf{s}^{4} = \overline{w}_{i,1} \cdot \left(81\mathbf{s}^{4}\right) + \overline{w}_{i,2} \cdot \left(\mathbf{s}^{4}\right) + \overline{w}_{i,3} \cdot \left(0\right) + \overline{w}_{i,4} \cdot \left(\mathbf{s}^{4}\right) + \overline{w}_{i,5} \cdot \left(81\mathbf{s}^{4}\right)$$

$$(13)$$

given that $\overline{w}_{i,1} = \overline{w}_{i,5}$ and $\overline{w}_{i,2} = \overline{w}_{i,4}$ by symmetry, we get

$$18\overline{w}_{i,1} + 2\overline{w}_{i,2} = 1$$
$$162\overline{w}_{i,1} + 2\overline{w}_{i,2} = 3$$

solving (13) for the \overline{w} s

$$\overline{w}_{i,1} = \frac{1}{72}$$

$$\overline{w}_{i,2} = \frac{27}{72}$$

$$\overline{w}_{i,3} = \frac{16}{72}$$

$$\overline{w}_{i,4} = \frac{27}{72}$$

$$\overline{w}_{i,5} = \frac{1}{72}$$
(14)

Note that all these parameters determine the prior distribution. The estimation procedure yields posterior estimates of all the moments of the error distribution (Golan, Judge, and Miller, 1996). The five parameter specification permits posterior estimation of four moments; mean, variance, skewness, and kurtosis.

4. Equations of the CE-SAM Estimation Problem

In this section we provide a mathematical statement of the equations involved in the CE-SAM estimation problem. The statement includes the stochastic formulation to specify errors on column sums, and errors on macro aggregates. In this case we specify two sets of errors with separate weights, WI's and W2's, and extend the CE minimand in equation (3) to account for the specification of the error terms as follows:

$$\min_{\{A,WI,W2\}} I = \left[\sum_{i} \sum_{j} A_{i,j} \ln A_{i,j} - \sum_{i} \sum_{j} A_{i,j} \ln \overline{A} \right]
+ \left[\sum_{i} \sum_{jwt} W I_{i,jwt} \ln W I_{i,jwt} - \sum_{i} \sum_{jwt} W I_{i,jwt} \ln \overline{W} I_{i,jwt} \right]
+ \left[\sum_{k} \sum_{jwt} W 2_{k,jwt} \ln W 2_{k,jwt} - \sum_{k} \sum_{jwt} W 2_{k,jwt} \ln \overline{W} 2_{k,jwt} \right]
+ \left[\operatorname{CE for weights}_{\text{entropy}} \right] = \left[\operatorname{CE for}_{\text{coefficient}} \right] + \left[\operatorname{CE for weights}_{\text{on the column}} \right] + \left[\operatorname{CE for weights}_{\text{on macro}} \right]
= \operatorname{Coefficient}_{\text{matrix}} + \left[\operatorname{CE for weights}_{\text{on the column}} \right] + \left[\operatorname{CE for weights}_{\text{on macro}} \right]$$

$$= \operatorname{Coefficient}_{\text{matrix}} + \left[\operatorname{CE for weights}_{\text{on macro}} \right] + \left[\operatorname{CE for weights}_{\text{on macro}} \right]$$

$$= \operatorname{Coefficient}_{\text{matrix}} + \left[\operatorname{CE for weights}_{\text{on macro}} \right] + \left[\operatorname{CE for weights}_{\text{on macro}} \right]$$

In this case the minimization problem is to find a set of A's, W1's, and W2's that minimize cross entropy, where the W's are treated like the A's, subject to the following constraints:

SAM Equation

$$T_{i,j} = A_{i,j} \cdot \left(\overline{X}_i + e_{I_i}\right)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} SAM \ cell \\ value \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} SAM \\ coefficient \\ matrix \end{bmatrix} \cdot \left(\begin{bmatrix} value \ for \\ column \ sum \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} error \ term \\ associated \\ with \ column \\ sum \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$(16)$$

where \overline{X} is the prior on the column sums of the SAM matrix.

Row/column sum consistency

$$Y_{i} = \overline{X}_{i} + eI_{i}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} SAM \ row \\ sum \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} value \ for \\ column \ sum \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} error \ term \\ associated \ with \\ column \ sum \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(17)$$

Error definition (column sum)

$$eI_{i} = \sum_{jwt} W I_{i, jwt} \cdot \overline{vI}_{ijwt}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} error term \\ associated \\ with column \\ sum \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} error \\ weights \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} error \\ support \\ values \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

Sum of weights on errors (column sum)

$$\sum_{jwt} W I_{i,jwt} = 1$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} sum \ of \\ error \\ weights \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} add \ up \\ to \ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(19)

Row Sum

$$\sum_{j} T_{i,j} = Y_{i}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{sum of } \\ \text{row } \\ \text{elements} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{row } \\ \text{sum} \end{bmatrix}$$
(20)

Column sum

$$\sum_{i} T_{i,j} = \overline{X}_{i} + e_{I_{i}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} sum \ of \\ column \\ elements \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} fixed \ prior \\ value \ for \\ column \ sum \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} error \ term \\ associated \\ with \ column \\ sum \end{bmatrix}$$
(21)

Additional macro control totals

The assumption is that one has additional knowledge about the new SAM. For example, aggregate national accounts data may be available for various macro aggregates such as value added, consumption, investment, government, exports, and imports. There also may be information about some of the SAM accounts such as government receipts and expenditures. This information can be summarized as additional linear adding-up constraints on various elements of the SAM while allowing the possibility that additional information might be measured with error. We can write:

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j} G_{i,j}^{(k)} T_{i,j} = \mathbf{g}^{(k)} + e_{2_{k}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{aggregator} \\ \text{matrix} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{k'th control} \\ \text{total} \end{bmatrix}$$
(22)

where G is an n-by-n aggregator matrix, which has ones for cells in the aggregate and zeros otherwise. Assume that there are k such aggregation constraints, and g is the value of the aggregate. These conditions are simply added to the constraint set in the cross entropy formulation. The error term e_2 is associated with macro aggregates. In the example provided in the following section two macro control total equations are included: GDP at factor cost and GDP at market prices.

Error definition (macro totals)

$$e \, 2_k = \sum_{jwt} W \, 2_{k, \, jwt} \cdot \overline{V2}_{k, \, jwt}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} error \, term \\ associated \\ with \, macro \\ totals \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} error \\ weights \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} error \\ support \\ values \end{bmatrix}$$
(23)

Sum of weights on errors (macro totals)

$$\sum_{jwt} W \, 2_{k,jwt} = 1$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} sum \ of \\ error \\ weights \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} add \ up \\ to \ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(24)

Additional Cell constraints

Defining the SAM equation (16) over non-zero elements of *A* guarantees that the zero structure of the original SAM is maintained in the estimated SAM. Fixing all the cells with a prior value of zero to zero greatly reduces the size of the estimation problem. If it is desired to allow a zero entry to become nonzero in the estimated SAM, then the restriction that the SAM equation (16) to be defined over non-zero elements of *A* must be replaced to include cells which are currently zero but may be nonzero.

5. GAMS Code

This section provides the GAMS code implementing the equations described above. It is implemented with macro data from Mozambique. The cross entropy (CE) estimation problem is inherently badly scaled, and solution algorithms often suffer. A number of approaches to improving algorithm performance are available. There are two nonlinear programming solvers that are commonly used in GAMS: MINOS and CONOPT. If the column sums are known without error, then the constraint equations are all linear. In this case, the MINOS solver works well because it is optimized for nonlinear programming problems with linear constraints. If the column sums are assumed to

include errors, then the constraints are nonlinear and the CONOPT solver appears to work better.

Another approach is to convert the nonlinear programming (NLP) problem into a mixed complementarity problem (MCP). The approach is to derive all the first-order conditions and create a set of "shadow price" variables, or Lagrange multipliers, associated with each first-order condition. The resulting problem is square in that it has as many equations as variables, but there is a complementary slackness relationship between the Lagrange multipliers and corresponding first-order conditions. The MCP solver called PATH handles this kind of problem (Dirkse and Ferris, 1995). The PATH solver appears to be very efficient in solving CE problems. The MCP formulation of the problem is given in a separate GAMS "include file" below.

GAMS code

```
$TITLE Cross Entropy SAM Estimation
SOFFSYMLIST OFFSYMXREF OFFUPPER
^{\star} CE-SAM illustrates a cross entropy technique for estimating the cells
* of a consistent SAM assuming that the initial data are inconsistent
* and measured with error. The method is applied to a stylized macro
* SAM for Mozambique. Some macro control totals are assumed known with
* error, and also all the row and column totals are assumed
* known only with error. We assume that the user can specify
* a prior estimate of the standard error of the estimates of the row
* and column sums and of the macro control totals.
* Programmed by Sherman Robinson and Moataz El-Said, November 2000.
* Trade and Macroeconomics Division
* International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI)
* 2033 K Street, N.W.
* Washington, DC 20006 USA
* Email: S.Robinson@CGTAR.ORG
        M.El-Said@CGIAR.ORG
* The method is described in S. Robinson, A. Cattaneo and, M. El Said
* (2001) "Updating and Estimating a Social Accounting Matrix Using
* Cross Entropy Methods." Economic Systems Research, Vol. 13, No. 1,
* pp. 47-64.
* Discussion paper #58 is an earlier version of the Economic
* Systems Research paper. A copy can be downloaded from the IFPRI
* web page using the following link:
      http://www.ifpri.cgiar.org/divs/tmd/tmdpubs.htm#dp
* See also A. Golan, G. Judge, and D. Miller, Maximum Entropy
* Econometrics, John Wiley & Sons, 1996.
* Data set is based on a SAM developed by C. Arndt, A. S. Cruz, H. T.
* Jensen, S. Robinson, and F. Tarp, "Social Accounting Matrices
* for Mozambique - 1994 and 1995." TMD Discussion Paper No. 28, IFPRI,
* July 1998.
SETS
                              Activities
                    / ACT
       sam accounts
                              Commodities
                       COM
                       FAC
                              Factors
                       ENT
                              Enterprises
                              Households
                       GRE
                              Govt recurrent expenditures
                              Govt investment
                       GTN
                       CAP
                              Capital account
                       ROW
                              Rest of world
                       TOTAL /
ii(i) all acounts in i except TOTAL
                     / ACT
                              Activities
```

```
Commodities
                         COM
                         FAC
                                Factors
                         ENT
                                 Enterprises
                                Households
                         HOII
                                 Govt recurrent expenditures
                         GRE
                        GIN
                                 Govt investment
                                Capital account
                        CAP
                         ROW
                                Rest of world /
 macro macro controls /qdpfc2, qdp2 /
* The set jwt defines the dimension of the support set for the error
* distribution and the number of weights that must be estimated for each
* error. In this case, we specify a five parameter error distribution.
* For a three parameter distribution, jwt is set to /1*3/.
        set of weights for errors in variables
                       / 1*5 /
* ii(i)
             = YES;
* ii("Total") = NO;
ALIAS (i,j), (ii,jj);
SAM DATABASE
                                                *********
TABLE SAM(i,j) social accounting matrix
                      ACT
                                      COM
                                                     FAC
                                                                    ENT
                                                                   0.0
ACT
                       0.0
                               14827.4240
                                                    0.0
COM
                 7917.5040
                                                     0.0
                                                                    0.0
                                      0.0
FAC
                 9805.4140
                                      0.0
                                                     0.0
                                                                    0.0
ENT
                      0.0
                                      0.0
                                               3699.7060
                                                                   0.0
HOU
                                               6031.3080
                                                             3417.5060
                      0.0
                                      0.0
GRE
                  733.6000
                                 357.4000
                                                 74.4000
                                                              165.2000
GTN
                      0.0
                                      0.0
                                                    0.0
                                                                   0.0
CAP
                       0.0
                                                              150.0000
                                      0.0
                                                     0.0
ROW
                                5573.8150
                       0.0
                                                     0.0
                18456.5180
                                20758.639
                                                9805.414
                                                              3732.706
Total
                                                                   CAP
ACT
                 2101.0490
                                  -0.3270
                                                     0 0
                                                                   0 0
                 6753.3320
                                1764 5000
                                               2118 5000
                                                              2197 7980
*COM
                                1564.5000
                                               2518.5000
                                                              2597 7980
COM
                 6953.3320
FAC
                      0.0
                                     0.0
                                                    0.0
                                                                   0.0
                                  33.0000
ENT
                      0 0
                                                    0 0
                                                                   0 0
HOU
                      0.0
                                  29.6000
                                                    0.0
                                                                   0.0
GRE
                  139.5000
                                     0.0
                                                     0.0
                                                                   0.0
CIN
                                                                   0 0
                      0 0
                                      0 0
                                                     0 0
CAP
                  649.1560
                                -356.6730
                                               -406.2000
                                                                   0.0
ROW
                      0.0
                                     0.0
                                                    0.0
                                                                   0.0
                  9643.037
                                                 1712.3
                                                               2107 708
                                   1470 1
Total
                       ROW
                                Total
ACT
                 1488.1570
                                18416.303
                                20751.634
COM
                      0.0
FAC
                       0.0
                                9805.414
ENT
                       0.0
                                 3732.706
                                9687.915
HOU
                  209.5010
GRE
                                  1470.1
                      0.0
                 1712.3000
                                  1712.3
GTN
```

```
2163.8570
CAP
                              2200 14
                              5573 815
ROW
                    0 0
                5573.815
Total
*########################### Parameters and Scalars #############################
SAMO(i,i)
                Base SAM transactions matrix
                Matrix of SAM transactions (flow matrix)
T0(i,j)
T1(i,j)
                SAM transactions Adjusted to eliminate negative entries
Abar0(i,j)
                Prior SAM coefficient matrix
Abarl(i,j)
                Prior SAM adjusted to eliminate negative coefficients
Target0(i)
                Targets for macro SAM column totals
vbar1(i,jwt)
               Error support set 1
vbar2(macro,jwt) Error support set 2
wbar1(i,iwt)
                Weights on error support set 1
wbar2(macro,jwt) Weights on error support set 2
               Prior standard error of column sums
sigmay1(i)
sigmay2(macro) Prior standard error of macro aggregates
                Tolerance to allow zero entries in SAM
epsilon
SCALARS
             base GDP
 gdp00
             GDP from final SAM
gdpfc0
             GDP at factor cost
*################ Initializing Parameters
SAM("TOTAL",jj)
                  = sum(ii, SAM(ii, ii));
SAM(ii, "TOTAL")
                   = sum(ii, SAM(ii,ii));
sam0(i,j)
                   = sam(i,j);
* Divide SAM entries by 1000 for better scaling.
* The SAM is scaled to enhance solver efficiency. Nonlinear solvers are
* more efficient if variables are scaled similarly. In this case,
* coefficients to be estimated range between 0 and 1, so SAM values
* are also scaled.
Scalar scalesam Scaling value /1000/;
 sam(i,i)
                       = sam(i,i)/scalesam;
AbarO(ii,jj)$SAM(ii,jj) = SAM(ii,jj)/SAM("TOTAL",jj);
TO(ii,jj)
                       = SAM(ii,jj);
TO("TOTAL",jj)
                       = sum(ii, SAM(ii,jj));
TO(ii, "TOTAL")
                       = sum(jj, SAM(ii,jj));
 epsilon
                       = .00001;
Display TO, AbarO;
* The ENTROPY DIFFERENCE procedure uses LOGARITHMS: negative flows in
```

* the SAM are NOT GOOD!!!

```
* The option used here is to detect any negative flows and net them out
* of their respective symmetric cells, e.g.
     negative flow column to row is set to zero
     and added to corresponding row to column as a positive number.
* The entropy difference method can then be implemented.
* After balancing, the negative SAM values are returned to their
* original cells for printing.
CET
red(i,j)
                   Set of negative SAM flows
Parameter
redsam(i.i)
                   Negative SAM values only
rtot(i)
                   Row total
                   Column total
ctot(i)
                                     = sum(ii, T0(ii,ii));
rtot(ii)
                                     = sum(ii, T0(ii, ji));
ctot(jj)
red(ii,jj)$(T0(ii,jj) LT 0)
                                    = ves ;
redsam(ii.ii)
                                    = 0;
redsam(ii,jj)$red(ii,jj)
                                    = T0(ii,ii);
redsam(jj,ii)$red(ii,jj)
                                    = T0(ii,jj);
*Note that redsam includes each entry twice, in corresponding row
*and column. So, redsam need only be subtracted from TO.
T1(ii,jj)
                                    = T0(ii,jj) - redsam(ii,jj);
T1("Total",jj)
                                    = sum(ii, T1(ii,jj));
T1(ii, "Total")
                                    = sum(jj, T1(ii,jj));
redsam("total",jj)
                                    = sum(ii, redsam(ii,jj));
redsam(ii, "total")
                                    = sum(jj, redsam(ii,jj));
 sam(ii,"total")
                                    = sum(ii, T1(ii,ii));
                                    = sum(ii, T1(ii, ii));
sam("total", ii)
rtot(ii)
                                    = sum(jj, T1(ii,jj));
ctot(jj)
                                    = sum(ii, T1(ii,jj));
Abarl(ii,ii)
                                    = T1(ii,jj)/sam("total",jj);
display "NON-NEGATIVE SAM" ;
display redsam, T1, Abar0, Abar1, rtot, ctot;
* Define set of elements of SAM that can be nonzero. In this case, only
* elements which are nonzero in initial SAM.
SET NONZERO(i,i) SAM elements that can be nonzero;
NONZERO(ii,jj)$(Abarl(ii,jj)) = yes;
*#### Initializing Parameters after accounting for negative values #####

    Note that target column sums are being set to average of initial

* row and column sums. Initial column sums or other values
* could have been used instead, depending on knowledge of data quality
* and any other prior information.
                    = (sam(ii, "total") + sam("total", ii))/2;
 target0(ii)
                    = T1("fac", "act");
gdpfc0
```

```
gdp0
                    = T1("fac", "act") + T1("gre", "act")
                       - T1("act", "gre") + T1("gre", "com");
Display qdpfc0, qdp0;
* Start from assumed prior knowledge of the standard error (perhaps due
* to measurement error) of the column sums. Below, we assume that all
* column sums have a standard error of 5%. This is a Bayesian prior,
* not a maintained hypothesis.
* The estimated error is weighted sum of elements in an error support
* set:
* ERR(ii) = SUM(jwt, W(ii,jwt)*VBAR(ii,jwt))
* where the W's are estimated in the CE procedure.
* The prior variance of these errors is given by:
* (sigmay(ii))**2 = SUM(jwt, WBAR(ii,jwt)*(VBAR(ii,jwt))**2)
* where the WBAR's are the prior on the weights.
* The VBARs are chosen to define a domain for the support set of \pm
* standard errors. The prior on the weights, WBAR, are then calculated
* to yield the specified prior on the standard error, sigmay.
* In Robinson, Cattaneo, and El-Said (2001), we specify prior weights
* (WBAR) that are uniform and set the prior standard error by the
* choice of support set, VBAR. In that paper, we use a three-weight
* specification (jwt /1*3/);
* We define two sets of errors with separate weights, W1 and W2. The
* first is for specifying errors on column sums, the second for errors
* on macro aggregates (defined in the set macro).
* First, define standard error for errors on column sums.
  sigmav1(ii) = 0.05 * target0(ii);
* This code assumes a prior mean of zero and a two-parameter
* distribution with specified prior standard error. There are three
* weights, W(ii,jwt), to be estimated. The actual moments are estimated
* as part of the estimation procedure.
* Set constants for 3-weight error distribution
  vbarl(ii,"1") = -3 * sigmavl(ii);
 vbar1(ii,"2") = 0
 vbarl(ii,"3") = -3 * sigmayl(ii);
  wbar1(ii,"1") = 1/18 ;
  wbar1(ii,"2") = 16/18 ;
  wbar1(ii,"3") = 1/18 ;
* This code assumes a prior mean of zero and a prior value of kurtosis
* consistent with a prior normal distribution with mean zero, variance
* sigmay**2, and kurtosis equal to 3*sigmay**4. The addition of a prior
* on kurtosis requires estimation of 5 weights (jwt = 5);
* The prior weights wbar are specified so that:
* SUM(jwt, wbar(ii,jwt)*vbar(ii,jwt)**4) = 3*sigmay(ii,jwt)**4
* as well as defining the variance as above.
* The prior weights and support set are also symmetric, so the prior
* on all odd moments is zero. The choice of +/- 1 standard error
* for vbar(ii, "2") and vbar(ii, "4") is arbitrary.
* The actual moments are estimated as part of the estimation procedure.
* Set constants for 5-weight error distribution
```

vbar1(ii,"1") = -3 * sigmay1(ii);

```
vbar1(ii,"2") = -1 * sigmay1(ii) ;
 vbar1(ii, "3") = 0
 vbar1(ii, "4") = +1 * sigmav1(ii);
 vbar1(ii,"5") = +3 * sigmav1(ii);
 wbar1(ii,"1") = 1/72
 wbar1(ii,"2") = 27/72
 wbar1(ii,"3") = 16/72
 wbar1(ii,"4") = 27/72
 wbar1(ii,"5") = 1/72
* Second, define standard errors for errors on macro aggregates
 sigmay2("gdpfc2") = 0.05*gdpfc0 ;
 sigmay2("gdp2") = 0.05*gdp0;
* Set constants for 3-weight error distribution
 vbar2(ii,"1") = -3 * sigmav2(ii);
 vbar2(ii,"2") = 0
 vbar2(ii,"3") = -3 * sigmav2(ii);
 wbar2(ii,"1") = 1/18 ;
 wbar2(ii,"2") = 16/18;
 wbar2(ii,"3") = 1/18 ;
Sofftext
* Set constants for 5-weight error distribution
 vbar2(macro,"1") = -3 * sigmav2(macro);
 vbar2(macro,"2") = -1 * sigmay2(macro);
 vbar2(macro, "3") = 0
 vbar2(macro, "4") = +1 * sigmay2(macro);
 vbar2(macro,"5") = +3 * sigmav2(macro);
 wbar2(macro,"1") = 1/72
 wbar2(macro,"2") = 27/72
 wbar2(macro,"3") = 16/72
 wbar2(macro, "4") = 27/72
 wbar2(macro,"5") = 1/72
Display vbar1, vbar2, sigmay1, sigmay2;
VARIABLES
A(ii,ii)
             Post SAM coefficient matrix
TSAM(ii,jj)
             Post matrix of SAM transactions
Y(ii)
             row sum of SAM
             column sum of SAM
X(ii)
ERR1(ii)
             Error value on column sums
ERR2(macro) Error value for macro aggregates
Wl(ii,jwt)
             Error weights
W2(macro, jwt) Error weights
DENTROPY
             Entropy difference (objective)
GDPFC
             GDP at factor cost
GDP
             GDP at market prices
 ;
= Abarl(ii,jj);
A.L(ii,jj)
TSAM.L(ii,jj)
                 = T1(ii,jj) ;
```

```
Y.L(ii)
                   = target0(ii) ;
X.L(ii)
                   = target0(ii) ;
ERR1.L(ii)
                   = 0.0
ERR2.L(macro)
                   = 0.0
                   = wbarl(ii,jwt);
W1.L(ii,jwt)
W2.L(macro,jwt)
                   = wbar2(macro,jwt);
DENTROPY.L
                   = 0
GDPFC.L
                   = gdpfc0
GDP.L
                   = gdp0
                                 ;
*########### CORE EQUATIONS
EOUATIONS
SAMEQ(i)
               row and column sum constraint
SAMMAKE(i,i) make SAM flows
ERROR1EQ(i)
               definition of error term 1
ERROR2EO(macro) definition of error term 2
               Sum of weights 1
SUMW1(i)
SUMW2(macro) Sum of weights 2
               Entropy difference definition
ENTROPY
ROWSUM(i)
               row target
COLSUM(i)
               column target
               define GDP at factor cost
COPECDEE
GDPDEF
               define GDP
SAMEO(ii).. Y(ii)
                        =E= X(ii) + ERR1(ii) ;
SAMMAKE(ii,jj)$nonzero(ii,jj)..
                TSAM(ii,jj) = E = A(ii,jj) * (X(jj) + ERR1(jj));
ERROR1EO(ii).. ERR1(ii) = E= SUM(jwt, W1(ii,jwt)*vbar1(ii,jwt));
SUMW1(ii).. SUM(jwt, W1(ii,jwt)) =E= 1;
 ENTROPY
             DENTROPY =E= SUM((ii,jj)$nonzero(ii,jj),
                            A(ii,jj)*(LOG(A(ii,jj) + epsilon)
                           - LOG(Abarl(ii,jj) + epsilon)))
                            SUM((ii, iwt), W1(ii, iwt)
                           * (LOG(W1(ii,jwt) + epsilon)
                           - LOG(wbarl(ii,jwt) + epsilon)))
                            SUM((macro, jwt), W2(macro, jwt)
                           * (LOG(W2(macro,jwt) + epsilon)
                          - LOG(wbar2(macro,jwt) + epsilon)));
* Note that we exclude one rowsum equation since if all but one column
* and rowsum are equal, the last one must also be equal. Walras' Law
* at work
ROWSUM(ii)$(NOT SAMEAS(ii, "ROW")).. SUM(jj, TSAM(ii,jj)) =E= Y(ii);
COLSUM(jj)..
                SUM(ii, TSAM(ii,jj)) = E = (X(jj) + ERR1(jj));
*ADDITIONAL MACRO CONTROL-TOTAL EQUATIONS=======================
CDPFCDEF
                  GDPFC =E= TSAM("fac", "act") + ERR2("gdpfc2");
```

```
CDPDEF
                GDP =E= TSAM("fac", "act") + TSAM("gre", "act")
                        - TSAM("act", "gre") + TSAM("gre", "com")
                        + ERR2("adp2");
ERROR2EQ(macro).. ERR2(macro)
                      =E= SUM(jwt, W2(macro,jwt)*vbar2(macro,jwt));
SUMW2(macro)..
                 SUM(jwt, W2(macro,jwt)) =E= 1;
* Defining equation SAMMAKE over non-zero elements of A ($Abarl(ii,jj))
* guarantees that the zero structure of the original SAM is maintained
* in the estimated SAM. Fixing all the zero entries to zero greatly
* reduces the size of the estimation problem. If it is desired to
* allow a zero entry to become nonzero in the estimated SAM, then
* the condition $ABAR1(ii.ji) must be replaced with a new set that
* does not include cells which are currently zero but may be nonzero.
A.LO(ii,ii)$nonzero(ii,ii)
A.UP(ii,ii)$nonzero(ii,ii)
                               = 1;
A.FX(ii,jj)$(NOT nonzero(ii,jj)) = 0;
TSAM.lo(ii,ii)
                               = 0 0 ;
TSAM.up(ii,jj)
                               = +inf ;
TSAM.FX(ii,jj)$(NOT nonzero(ii,jj)) = 0;
* Upper and lower bounds on the error weights
Wl.LO(ii.jwt)
                               = 0 ;
W1.UP(ii,jwt)
                               = 1 ;
W2.LO(macro,jwt)
                              = 0 ;
W2.UP(macro, iwt)
                               = 1 ;
* Set target column sums, X. If these are not fixed, then the column sum
* constraints will not be binding and the solution values or ERR1 will
* be 0.
 X.FX(ii)
                                = TARGETO(ii);
* Fix Macro aggregates.
* If these are not fixed, then the macro constraints will not be binding
* and the solution values of ERR2 will be zero.
 GDP.FX
                   = GDPO ;
 GDPFC.FX
                    - CDDFCO :
MODEL SAMENTROP / ALL /
OPTION ITERLIM
                  = 5000;
OPTION LIMROW
                  = 0, LIMCOL = 0;
OPTION SOLPRINT
                  = ON;
* SAMENTROP.optfile = 1;
 SAMENTROP.HOLDFIXED = 1;
 option NLP
                  = MTNOS5 ;
* OPTION NLP
                  = CONOPT;
* SAMENTROP.WORKSPACE = 25.0;
```

```
SOLVE SAMENTROP using nlp minimizing dentropy;
*##(alternative formulation)#### MCP Formulation ###############
* Add code restating the nonlinear-programming (NLP) minimization
* problem as an MCP problem solved using the MCP solver.
*$include CE-MCP.INC
*----- Parameters for reporting results
Parameters
Macsam1(i.i)
                 Assigned new balanced SAM flows from CE
Macsam2(i,i)
                 Balanced SAM flows from entropy diff x scalesam
                 Squared Error Measure
                 percent change of new SAM from original SAM
percent1(i,i)
                 Positive unbalanced SAM
PosUnbal(i,j)
PosBalan(i,i)
                 Positive balanced SAM
Diffrnce(i,i)
                 Differnce btw original SAM and Final SAM in values
NormEntrop
                 Normalized Entropy a measure of total uncertainty
 ;
macsaml(ii,jj)
                    = TSAM.l(ii,ii);
macsam1("total",jj)
                   = SUM(ii, macsaml(ii,jj));
macsaml(ii, "total") = SUM(jj, macsaml(ii, jj));
macsam2(i,i)
                    = macsaml(i,i) * scalesam ;
                    = Sum((ii,jj), SQR(A.L(ii,jj)
                                 - Abarl(ii, jj)))/SQR(card(ii));
percent1(i,j)$(T1(i,j))= 100*(macsam1(i,j)-T1(i,j))/T1(i,j);
 PosUnbal(i,j)
                    = T1(i,j) * scalesam;
PosBalan(i,i)
                     = macsam2(i,i);
Diffrnce(i,i)
                     = PosBalan(i,i) - PosUnbal(i,i);
NormEntrop
                     = SUM((ii,jj)$(Abarl(ii,jj)), A.L(ii,jj)*
                      LOG (A.L(ii,jj))) /
SUM((ii,jj)$(Abar1(ii,jj)),
                      Abarl(ii, ii) * LOG (Abarl(ii, ii)))
display macsam1, macsam2, percent1, sem, dentropy.1, PosUnbal,
        PosBalan, NormEntrop, Diffrnce;
*######### Return negative flows to initial cell position ###########
macsaml(ii,jj)
                    = macsaml(ii,jj) + redsam(ii,jj);
macsaml("total",jj) = SUM(ii, macsaml(ii,jj));
macsaml(ii, "total") = SUM(jj, macsaml(ii, jj));
macsam2(i,j)
                    = macsaml(i,j) * scalesam ;
                    = macsam1("fac", "act") + macsam1("gre", "act")
 gdp00
                      - macsaml("act", "gre") + macsaml("gre", "com")
display macsam1, macsam2;
display gdp0, gdp00, gdp.1, gdpfc0, gdpfc.1;
*######
```

```
Parameter ANEW(i,j);
* print some stuff
ANEW("total",jj) = SUM(ii, A.L(ii,jj));
ANEW(ii,"total") = SUM(jj, A.L(ii,jj));
ABAR1("total", jj) = SUM(ii, ABAR1(ii, jj));
ABAR1(ii, "total") = SUM(jj, ABAR1(ii, jj));
Display ANEW, ABAR1;
scalar meanerr1, meanerr2;
meanerr1 = SUM(ii, abs(err1.1(ii)))/card(ii);
meanerr2 = SUM(macro, abs(err2.1(macro)))/card(macro);
display meanerr1, meanerr2;
* Use the following code to specify that the column sums are known
* exactly. The errors are thus fixed to zero and two equations are
* dropped from the estimation procedure. The computational gains are
* that the constraints are all linear and the estimation problem is
* considerably smaller.
*######
$ontext
*######
ERR1.FX(ii)
                = 0.0;
               = WBAR1(ii, iwt);
W1.FX(ii,jwt)
MODEL SAMENTROP2 /SAMEO
               SAMMAKE
               ERROR1EQ
               STIMW1
               ERROR2EO
               STIMW2
               ENTROPY
               ROWSIIM
               COLSUM
               GDPFCDEF
               GDPDEF
SAMENTROP2.holdfixed = 1;
SOLVE SAMENTROP2 using nlp minimizing dentropy;
*#######
$offtext
*#######
*#*#*#*#*#*#*#*#*#*#*#*# THE END *#*#*#*#*#*#*#*#*#*#*#
```

GAMS code for the MCP Formulation

File name: CE-MCP.INC

```
* The code below is a translation of the NLP problem into a
* mixed complementarity problem (MCP), which can be solved
* using an MCP solver in GAMS. The translation was done using
* a preliminary version of a program called NLP2MCP written
* by Michael Ferris and Jeffrey Horn (1998) at the University
* of Wisconsin. The translation adds "shadow price" or
* complementarity variables for all constraint equations and
* also provides equations for all the first-order conditions
* for minimizing the objective function. The resulting model
* is square with as many variables as equations.
alias(macro, a macro);
alias(ii,a_ii);
alias(jj,a_jj);
alias(jwt,a_jwt);
*##### "SHADOW PRICE" OR COMPLEMENTARITY VARIABLES OR #########
*#### LAGRANGE MULTIPLIERS FOR ALL CONSTRAINT EQUATIONS #########
variables
  m SAMEO(i)
                    Multiplier for row and column sum constraint
                   Multiplier for make SAM flows constraint
  m_SAMMAKE(i,j)
                    Multiplier for definition of error term 1
  m ERROR1EO(i)
 m_ERROR2EQ(macro) Multiplier for definition of error term 2
                    Multiplier for Sum of weights 1 constraint
  m_SUMW1(i)
  m_SUMW2(macro)
                    Multiplier for Sum of weights 1 constraint
  m_ROWSUM(i)
                    Multiplier for row target constraint
  m_COLSUM(j)
                    Multiplier for column target constraint
  m GDPFCDEF
                    Multiplier for GDP at factor cost constraint
  m_GDPDEF
                    Multiplier for GDP at market pricesconstraint
*################# EOUATIONS FOR THE FIRST ORDER CONDITIONS #############
*############ FOR MINIMIZING THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION
                                                     ############
ECHATTONS
  d_A(a_ii,a_jj)
                      FOC wrt the choice variable A
  d_TSAM(a_ii,a_jj)
                      FOC wrt the variable TSAM
                      FOC wrt the variable Y
  d Y(a ii)
                      FOC wrt the variable X
  d_X(a_ii)
  d ERR1(a ii)
                      FOC wrt the variable ERR1
  d_ERR2(a_macro)
                      FOC wrt the variable ERR2
  d_W1(a_ii,a_jwt)
                      FOC wrt the choice variable W1
  d_W2(a_macro,a_jwt) FOC wrt the choice variable W2
  d GDPFC
                      FOC wrt the macro control variable GDPFC
                       FOC wrt the macro control variable GDP
  d_GDP
d_A(a_ii,a_jj) ..
 ((log(a(a_ii,a_jj)+epsilon)-log(abar1(a_ii,a_jj)+epsilon))
```

```
(nonzero(a_i,a_j)) + a(a_i,a_j)*(1/(a(a_i,a_j)+epsilon))
$(nonzero(a_ii,a_jj)))-
SUM((ii,jj)$(nonzero(ii,jj)),m_sammake(ii,jj)*(-(1$((sameas
(a_{i},ii) and sameas(a_{j},jj)))*(x(jj)+err1(jj))) =e= 0;
d_TSAM(a_ii,a_jj) .. -
m_gdpfcdef*(-(1$((sameas(a_ii,"fac") and sameas(a_jj,"act"))))
)))))-
m_colsum(a_jj)-
m gdpdef*(-(1$((sameas(a ii, "fac") and sameas(a ii, "act"))
)+1$((sameas(a_ii, "gre") and sameas(a_jj, "act")))-(1$((sameas
(a_ii, "act") and sameas(a_jj, "gre"))))+1$((sameas(a_ii, "gre")))
) and sameas(a_jj, "com"))))-
m_rowsum(a_ii)$((not sameas(a_ii,"ROW")))-
m_sammake(a_ii,a_jj)$(nonzero(a_ii,a_jj)) =e= 0;
d_Y(a_ii) .. -
m sameq(a ii)-
SUM((ii)$((not sameas(ii, "ROW"))),m_rowsum(ii)*(-(1$((sameas
(a_ii,ii))))))
=e= 0;
d_X(a_ii) .. -
SUM(jj,m_colsum(jj)*(-(1$((sameas(a_ii,jj))))))-
SUM(ii,m_sameq(ii)*(-(1$((sameas(a_ii,ii)))))-
SUM((ii,jj)\$(nonzero(ii,jj)), m\_sammake(ii,jj)*(-a(ii,jj)*(1))
$((sameas(a_ii,jj))))) =e= 0;
d_ERR1(a_ii) .. -
m_errorleg(a_ii)-
SUM(jj,m_colsum(jj)*(-(1$((sameas(a_ii,jj))))))-
SUM(ii,m_sameg(ii)*(-(1$((sameas(a_ii,ii)))))-
```

```
SUM((ii,jj)$(nonzero(ii,jj)),m_sammake(ii,jj)*(-a(ii,jj)*(1
 $((sameas(a_ii,jj))))))
 =e= 0;
d_ERR2(a_macro) .. -
m_error2eq(a_macro)-
m_gdpfcdef*(-(1$((sameas(a_macro, "gdpfc2")))))-
m_gdpdef*(-(1$((sameas(a_macro, "gdp2")))))
 =e= 0;
*#### EQUATION: FOC wrt the choice variable W1 ################
d_W1(a_ii,a_jwt) .. ((log(w1(a_ii,a_jwt)+epsilon)-log(wbar1(a_ii
 ,a_jwt)+epsilon))+w1(a_ii,a_jwt)*(1/(w1(a_ii,a_jwt)+epsilon)))-
 SUM(ii,m_errorleg(ii)*(-(vbar1(ii,a_jwt)$(sameas(a_ii,ii))
)))-
m sumwl(a ii)
 =e= 0;
*##### EQUATION: FOC wrt the choice variable W2 ################
d_W2(a_macro,a_jwt) .. ((log(w2(a_macro,a_jwt)+epsilon)-log(wbar2
 (a_macro,a_jwt)+epsilon))+w2(a_macro,a_jwt)*(1/(w2(a_macro,a_jwt
)+epsilon)))-
SUM(macro,m_error2eq(macro)*(-(vbar2(macro,a_jwt)$(sameas(a_macro
 ,macro)))))-
m sumw2(a macro)
 =e= 0;
*##### EOUATION: FOC wrt the macro control variable GDPFC #######
d GDPFC .. -
m_gdpfcdef =e= 0;
*##### EOUATION: FOC wrt the macro control variable GDP #########
d_GDP .. -
m_gdpdef =e= 0;
* In GAMS the "." is used for pairing the complementarity variables
\mbox{\scriptsize *} and equations for the MCP solver. For example the equation
* defined by d_A is complementary to the variable A and must be
* defined over the same sets.
MODEL m SAMENTROP /
   d_A.A
   d TSAM.TSAM
   d Y.Y
   дхх
```

```
d_ERR1.ERR1
  d ERR2.ERR2
  d W1.W1
  d W2.W2
  d GDPFC.GDPFC
  d GDP GDP
  ERROR1EO.m ERROR1EO
  ERROR2EQ.m_ERROR2EQ
  GDPFCDEF.m_GDPFCDEF
  COLSUM.m_COLSUM
  SAMEQ.m_SAMEQ
  GDPDEF.m_GDPDEF
  SUMW1.m_SUMW1
  ROWSUM.m_ROWSUM
  SUMW2.m_SUMW2
  SAMMAKE.m_SAMMAKE
*Shock the NLP solution
A.L(ii,jj) = 0.9*A.l(ii,jj);
SOLVE m_SAMENTROP using mcp;
*Compare NLP and MCP results.
Scalar savedent ;
savedent = dentropy.1 ;
DENTROPY.l = SUM((ii,jj)$nonzero(ii,jj),
                      A.l(ii,jj)*(LOG(A.l(ii,jj) + epsilon)
                     - LOG(Abarl(ii,jj) + epsilon)))
                      SUM((ii,jwt), W1.1(ii,jwt)
                     * (LOG(W1.1(ii,jwt) + epsilon)
                     - LOG(wbarl(ii,jwt) + epsilon)))
                      SUM((macro, jwt), W2.1(macro, jwt)
                     * (LOG(W2.1(macro,jwt) + epsilon)
                     - LOG(wbar2(macro,jwt) + epsilon)));
option decimals=8 ;
display dentropy.1, savedent;
option decimals=3 ;
*##### Undocumented Feature IN GAMS Manual ###############
Sontext
Matching Set Elements
New features in GAMS allow one to introduce conditional statements
controlling execution in cases where certain items match up . The
```

```
syntax involves using the commands
  SAMEAS(setelement1, setelement2)
  DIAG(setelement, setelement)
the SAMEAS command returns a true false indicator which is true
if the text string defining the name of set element 1 equals that
for setelement 2 and false otherwise. DIAG returns a 1 under
equality and a zero otherwise.
For example
  x=sum((i,j)$(not SAMEAS(i,j)),z(i)*z(j));
 x=sum((i,j)$(DIAG(i,j) eq 0),z(i)*z(j));
would exclude the cases where i=j from the sum
while
  x=sum((i,j)$(SAME AS(i,"casel") or SAME AS(j,"case2")),z(i)+z(j));
would only include cases where the text for i equaled the string
"casel" or the text for j corresponded to "case2."
If interested check the following web address Undocumented Features
and Usage Tips
http://agrinet.tamu.edu/mccarl/gamstip.htm
$offtext
*############ END Note on "SAMEAS" GAMS command ##############
```

References

- Brooke, A., D. Kendrick, A. Meeraus, and R. Raman (1998) *GAMS a User's Guide* (GAMS Development Corporation, Washington D.C.).
- Dirkse, S. and M. Ferris (1995) "The PATH solver: A non-monotone stabilization scheme for mixed complementarity problems." *Optimization Methods and Software*, 5:123-156.
- Ferris, Michael, and Jeffrey Horn (1998) "NLP2MCP: Automatic conversion of nonlinear programs into mixed complementarity problems." Technical report, Computer Sciences Department, University of Wisconsin.
- Golan, Amos, George Judge, and Douglas Miller (1996) *Maximum Entropy Econometrics, Robust Estimation with Limited Data* (John Wiley & Sons).
- Kullback, S. and R. A. Leibler (1951) "On Information and Sufficiency." *Ann. Math. Stat.* 4, 99-111.
- S. Robinson, A. Cattaneo and, M. El Said (2001) "Updating and Estimating a Social Accounting Matrix Using Cross Entropy Methods." *Economic Systems Research*, vol. 13, No.1, pp.47-64.
- S. Robinson, A. Cattaneo and, M. El Said (2000) "Updating and Estimating a Social Accounting Matrix Using Cross Entropy Methods." TMD Discussion Paper No. 58, IFPRI, August 2000.

List of Discussion Papers

- No. 1 "Land, Water, and Agriculture in Egypt: The Economywide Impact of Policy Reform" by Sherman Robinson and Clemen Gehlhar (January 1995)
- No. 2 "Price Competitiveness and Variability in Egyptian Cotton: Effects of Sectoral and Economywide Policies" by Romeo M. Bautista and Clemen Gehlhar (January 1995)
- No. 3 "International Trade, Regional Integration and Food Security in the Middle East" by Dean A. DeRosa (January 1995)
- No. 4 "The Green Revolution in a Macroeconomic Perspective: The Philippine Case" by Romeo M. Bautista (May 1995)
- No. 5 "Macro and Micro Effects of Subsidy Cuts: A Short-Run CGE Analysis for Egypt" by Hans Löfgren (May 1995)
- No. 6 "On the Production Economics of Cattle" by Yair Mundlak, He Huang and Edgardo Favaro (May 1995)
- No. 7 "The Cost of Managing with Less: Cutting Water Subsidies and Supplies in Egypt's Agriculture" by Hans Löfgren (July 1995, Revised April 1996)
- No. 8 "The Impact of the Mexican Crisis on Trade, Agriculture and Migration" by Sherman Robinson, Mary Burfisher and Karen Thierfelder (September 1995)
- No. 9 "The Trade-Wage Debate in a Model with Nontraded Goods: Making Room for Labor Economists in Trade Theory" by Sherman Robinson and Karen Thierfelder (Revised March 1996)
- No. 10 "Macroeconomic Adjustment and Agricultural Performance in Southern Africa: A Quantitative Overview" by Romeo M. Bautista (February 1996)
- No. 11 "Tiger or Turtle? Exploring Alternative Futures for Egypt to 2020" by Hans Löfgren, Sherman Robinson and David Nygaard (August 1996)
- No. 12 "Water and Land in South Africa: Economywide Impacts of Reform A Case Study for the Olifants River" by Natasha Mukherjee (July 1996)

- No. 13 "Agriculture and the New Industrial Revolution in Asia" by Romeo M. Bautista and Dean A. DeRosa (September 1996)
- No. 14 "Income and Equity Effects of Crop Productivity Growth Under Alternative Foreign Trade Regimes: A CGE Analysis for the Philippines" by Romeo M. Bautista and Sherman Robinson (September 1996)
- No. 15 "Southern Africa: Economic Structure, Trade, and Regional Integration" by Natasha Mukherjee and Sherman Robinson (October 1996)
- No. 16 "The 1990's Global Grain Situation and its Impact on the Food Security of Selected Developing Countries" by Mark Friedberg and Marcelle Thomas (February 1997)
- No. 17 "Rural Development in Morocco: Alternative Scenarios to the Year 2000" by Hans Löfgren, Rachid Doukkali, Hassan Serghini and Sherman Robinson (February 1997)
- No. 18 "Evaluating the Effects of Domestic Policies and External Factors on the Price Competitiveness of Indonesian Crops: Cassava, Soybean, Corn, and Sugarcane" by Romeo M. Bautista, Nu Nu San, Dewa Swastika, Sjaiful Bachri and Hermanto (June 1997)
- No. 19 "Rice Price Policies in Indonesia: A Computable General Equilibrium (CGE)
 Analysis" by Sherman Robinson, Moataz El-Said, Nu Nu San, Achmad
 Suryana, Hermanto, Dewa Swastika and Sjaiful Bahri (June 1997)
- No. 20 "The Mixed-Complementarity Approach to Specifying Agricultural Supply in Computable General Equilibrium Models" by Hans Löfgren and Sherman Robinson (August 1997)
- No. 21 "Estimating a Social Accounting Matrix Using Entropy Difference Methods" by Sherman Robinson and Moataz-El-Said (September 1997)
- No. 22 "Income Effects of Alternative Trade Policy Adjustments on Philippine Rural Households: A General Equilibrium Analysis" by Romeo M. Bautista and Marcelle Thomas (October 1997)

- No. 23 "South American Wheat Markets and MERCOSUR" by Eugenio Díaz-Bonilla (November 1997)
- No. 24 "Changes in Latin American Agricultural Markets" by Lucio Reca and Eugenio Díaz-Bonilla (November 1997)
- No. 25* "Policy Bias and Agriculture: Partial and General Equilibrium Measures" by Romeo M. Bautista, Sherman Robinson, Finn Tarp and Peter Wobst (May 1998)
- No. 26 "Estimating Income Mobility in Colombia Using Maximum Entropy Econometrics" by Samuel Morley, Sherman Robinson and Rebecca Harris (Revised February 1999)
- No. 27 "Rice Policy, Trade, and Exchange Rate Changes in Indonesia: A General Equilibrium Analysis" by Sherman Robinson, Moataz El-Said and Nu Nu San (June 1998)
- No. 28* "Social Accounting Matrices for Mozambique 1994 and 1995" by Channing Arndt, Antonio Cruz, Henning Tarp Jensen, Sherman Robinson and Finn Tarp (July 1998)
- No. 29* "Agriculture and Macroeconomic Reforms in Zimbabwe: A Political-Economy Perspective" by Kay Muir-Leresche (August 1998)
- No. 30* "A 1992 Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) for Tanzania" by Peter Wobst (August 1998)
- No. 31* "Agricultural Growth Linkages in Zimbabwe: Income and Equity Effects" by Romeo M. Bautista and Marcelle Thomas (September 1998)
- No. 32* "Does Trade Liberalization Enhance Income Growth and Equity in Zimbabwe? The Role of Complementary Polices" by Romeo M.Bautista, Hans Lofgren and Marcelle Thomas (September 1998)
- No. 33 "Estimating a Social Accounting Matrix Using Cross Entropy Methods" by Sherman Robinson, Andrea Cattaneo and Moataz El-Said (October 1998)
- No. 34 "Trade Liberalization and Regional Integration: The Search for Large Numbers" by Sherman Robinson and Karen Thierfelder (January 1999)

- No. 35 "Spatial Networks in Multi-Region Computable General Equilibrium Models" by Hans Löfgren and Sherman Robinson (January 1999)
- No. 36* "A 1991 Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) for Zimbabwe" by Marcelle Thomas, and Romeo M. Bautista (January 1999)
- No. 37 "To Trade or not to Trade: Non-Separable Farm Household Models in Partial and General Equilibrium" by Hans Löfgren and Sherman Robinson (January 1999)
- No. 38 "Trade Reform and the Poor in Morocco: A Rural-Urban General Equilibrium Analysis of Reduced Protection" by Hans Löfgren (January 1999)
- No. 39 " A Note on Taxes, Prices, Wages, and Welfare in General Equilibrium Models" by Sherman Robinson and Karen Thierfelder (January 1999)
- No. 40 "Parameter Estimation for a Computable General Equilibrium Model: A Maximum Entropy Approach" by Channing Arndt, Sherman Robinson and Finn Tarp (February 1999)
- No. 41 "Trade Liberalization and Complementary Domestic Policies: A Rural-Urban General Equilibrium Analysis of Morocco" by Hans Löfgren, Moataz El-Said and Sherman Robinson (April 1999)
- No. 42 "Alternative Industrial Development Paths for Indonesia: SAM and CGE Analysis" by Romeo M. Bautista, Sherman Robinson and Moataz El-Said (May 1999)
- No. 43* "Marketing Margins and Agricultural Technology in Mozambique" by Channing Arndt, Henning Tarp Jensen, Sherman Robinson and Finn Tarp (July 1999)
- No. 44 "The Distributional Impact of Macroeconomic Shocks in Mexico: Threshold Effects in a Multi-Region CGE Model" by Rebecca Lee Harris (July 1999)
- No. 45 "Economic Growth and Poverty Reduction in Indochina: Lessons From East Asia" by Romeo M. Bautista (September 1999)
- No. 46* "After the Negotiations: Assessing the Impact of Free Trade Agreements in Southern Africa" by Jeffrey D. Lewis, Sherman Robinson and Karen Thierfelder (September 1999)

- No. 47* "Impediments to Agricultural Growth in Zambia" by Rainer Wichern, Ulrich Hausner and Dennis K. Chiwele (September 1999)
- No. 48 "A General Equilibrium Analysis of Alternative Scenarios for Food Subsidy Reform in Egypt" by Hans Lofgren and Moataz El-Said (September 1999)
- No. 49*- "A 1995 Social Accounting Matrix for Zambia" by Ulrich Hausner (September 1999)
- No. 50 "Reconciling Household Surveys and National Accounts Data Using a Cross Entropy Estimation Method" by Anne-Sophie Robilliard and Sherman Robinson (November 1999)
- No. 51 "Agriculture-Based Development: A SAM Perspective on Central Viet Nam" by Romeo M. Bautista (January 2000)
- No. 52 "Structural Adjustment, Agriculture, and Deforestation in the Sumatera Regional Economy" by Nu Nu San, Hans Löfgren and Sherman Robinson (March 2000)
- No. 53 "Empirical Models, Rules, and Optimization: Turning Positive Economics on its Head" by Andrea Cattaneo and Sherman Robinson (April 2000)
- No. 54 "Small Countries and the Case for Regionalism vs. Multilateralism" by Mary E. Burfisher, Sherman Robinson and Karen Thierfelder (May 2000)
- No. 55 "Genetic Engineering and Trade: Panacea or Dilemma for Developing Countries" by Chantal Pohl Nielsen, Sherman Robinson and Karen Thierfelder (May 2000)
- No. 56 "An International, Multi-region General Equilibrium Model of Agricultural Trade Liberalization in the South Mediterranean NIC's, Turkey, and the European Union" by Ali Bayar, Xinshen Diao, A. Erinc Yeldan (May 2000)
- No. 57* "Macroeconomic and Agricultural Reforms in Zimbabwe: Policy Complementarities Toward Equitable Growth" by Romeo M. Bautista and Marcelle Thomas (June 2000)
- No. 58 "Updating and Estimating a Social Accounting Matrix Using Cross Entropy Methods" by Sherman Robinson, Andrea Cattaneo and Moataz El-Said (August 2000)

- No. 59 "Food Security and Trade Negotiations in the World Trade Organization: A Cluster Analysis of Country Groups" by Eugenio Diaz-Bonilla, Marcelle Thomas, Andrea Cattaneo and Sherman Robinson (November 2000)
- No. 60* "Why the Poor Care About Partial Versus General Equilibrium Effects Part 1: Methodology and Country Case" by Peter Wobst (November 2000)
- No. 61 "Growth, Distribution and Poverty in Madagascar : Learning from a Microsimulation Model in a General Equilibrium Framework" by Denis Cogneau and Anne-Sophie Robilliard (November 2000)
- No. 62 "Farmland Holdings, Crop Planting Structure and Input Usage: An Analysis of China's Agricultural Census" by Xinshen Diao, Yi Zhang and Agapi Somwaru (November 2000)
- No. 63 "Rural Labor Migration, Characteristics, and Employment Patterns: A Study Based on China's Agricultural Census" by Francis Tuan, Agapi Somwaru and Xinshen Diao (November 2000)
- No. 64 "GAMS Code for Estimating a Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) Using Cross Entropy (CE) Methods" by Sherman Robinson and Moataz El-Said (December 2000)