<center>Evaluation Feedback #1</center>

Overall look and feel:

The homepage is well organized, and intuitive. The categories makes sense and the subcategories fit in their categories appropriately. The added images makes the webpage look more appealing and inviting to use. Every label is well placed. The only thing missing is a way to go back to the home page without having the press back multiple times and the stakeholders wondered how the social media would be implemented within the webpage.

Current Students:

The overall look for the current student homepage is again well organized and intuitive. Users do not need to strain their eyes looking for what they need. Everything is where it should be, like how Capstone belongs in the Academic Experience category and Forms in Support and Resources category. The usability questions were mostly answered aside from a few due to it being in MVP 2. The stakeholder liked the idea of having the "Get Involved" section at the bottom, making the engineering department more inviting even to the current students. Also having a capstone page is a good idea, so that current students who will be doing the capstones in the future can have ideas on what to do for their project and what it's like during Project Day. This is especially helpful for students who haven't attended Project Day. Including a News section too was good so that students are kept informed about what is happening within the university and the engineering department.

There was no negative feedback given aside from there not being a way to see the engineering programs as there was no link to them. The stakeholders said the design is good and there isn't really anything else to add aside from the pages in development which will be added on the next build.

Future Students:

Like the current student page and the home page, the future student home page is also organized, inviting and intuitive. This makes future students want to invest time in looking into the Engineering Department and coming to this school. It has all the information needed that a future student and their parents need, compared to the current web page which doesn't have a separate page for future students. The usability questions were again almost all answered aside from the ones in MVP 2.

Staff and Faculty:

For the staff and faculty, the only thing available to evaluate was the staff and faculty home page because all of out user stories pertained to MVP 2 and MVP 3. For the staff and page home page, the feedback was the same as with the home page, current students page and future students page.

Evaluation Feedback #2

The overall feedback was very much the same as the first evaluation. They still liked everything. They liked the changes there were made like adding the home page and the link to programs in the current students page. The one thing they suggested was adding pictures instead of just having place holders to where the pictures would be on the pages..