4D to 3D reduction of Seiberg duality for SU(N) susy gauge theories with adjoint matter: a partition function approach

Carlo Sana

1 | Introduction

2 | Physics

- INTRODUCTION OUTLINE—
- ~ More symmetry = more tools for studying t\heories
- ~ State structure: multiplet & superspace
- ~ Milder divergences
- ~ Renormalization constraints
- ~ Non renormalization theorems (perturbative)
- ~ Holomorphicity, couplings as background fields
- (important smoothness of weak coupling limits, e.g. classic imit g in well defined.
- ~ Exact results (superpotential, witten index, exact beta function)
- ~Use of wilsonian action: no IR divergences)
- ~Superconformal group more relations, r charge, dimensions ecc
- ~Superconformal index
- ~Localization
- ~Moduli space

2.1 Introduction

Supersymmetric quantum field theories enjoy an enlarged group of symmetries compared to other field theories. Since the symmetry group is a non trivial combination of internal and spacetime symmetries, they have many unexpected features and new techniques were found to study them. On top of that *superstring theory* provided many insights and explanations that were not clear from a field theory perspective only. Almost all of the new tools found are available only for supersymmetric field theories, making them the theater for exciting discoveries in physics.

In this chapter we will analyse the new features of supersymmetric field theories that made possible the discovery and the analysis of electric magnetic duality and its generalisations.

States and their representation

Being a symmetry between bosons and fermions, supersymmetry imposes that states are organized in multiplets containing different representations of the *Lorentz group* i.e different type of particles. Various multiplets exist and their properties depend on the number of supercharges of the theory and on what they represent e.g matter, glue or gravity.

We will introduce the multiplets that can be defined for $4d \mathcal{N} = 1$ theories and only later we will explain the small differences with $3d \mathcal{N} = 2$ theories. For four dimensional theories, we can define two different multiplet that are invariant under supersymmetry transformation.

The matter or chiral multiplet contains a complex scalar and a weyl fermion. Its name indicates that it is used for the matter content of the theory (quark and squark for example).

The vector or gauge multiplet contains a Weyl fermion and a vector. Notice that in order to preserve supersymmetry the Weyl fermion has to transform in the same representation of the vector, i.e. the adjoint representation. For this reason the fermion in this multiplet does not represent matter and in fact is called *gaugino*.

The theories we will consider will not contain particle with spin greater than one. This requirement imposes that no other multiplets can be present in these kind of theories.

A representation of these multiplets on fields can be easily found using the *superspace* formalism. In this formalism it is possible to represent fields that are *off-shell*, in contrast with multiplets that we introduced previously that are *on-shell* since they represent states in Hilbert space.

Matter and gauge multiplets are represented by (anti)chiral and real superfields respectively.

SupGeneral renormalization properties

A remarkable feature of supersymmetry is the constraints that the additional symmetry imposes on the renormalization properties of the theories.

One of the first aspects that brought attention to supersymmetry was that divergences coming from loop diagrams were milder because of the cancellation between diagrams with bosons and fermions running in the loops. This feature was thought to provide a nice way of solving the *hierarchy problem* which is related to the fine tuning of UV-scale that is needed in order to keep under control radiative correction for the Higgs mass. The mass correction in the *Standard Model* is quadratic in the cut-off scale and with the addition of supersymmetry it becomes a protected, non renormalized quantity. On the other hand, the Higgs mass is still a parameter in the lagrangian whose value is not fixed by anything else. For this reason this is not believed to be the actual solution to the *hierarchy problem*.

Nowadays we know more powerful theorems that restrict the behaviours of supersymmetric field theories under the process of renormalization. In order to preserve supersymmetry, the renormalization process has to preserve the Hilbert space structure. For example the wave function renormalization of different *particles* inside a multiplet must be the same, otherwise the renormalized lagrangian is not supersymmetric invariant anymore.

Moreover, in the supersymmetry algebra P^2 is still a Casimir operator i.e. it commutes with every operator in the algebra: particles in the same multiplet must have the same mass. Renormalization cannot break this condition, otherwise it would break supersymmetry.

For a Super Yang Mills theory with $\mathcal{N}=1$ we have the additional requirement that gV, where g is the coupling and V is the vector superfield, cannot be renormalized by

symmetry considerations. This is equivalent to require that $Z_g = Z_V^{-1}$.

Adding more supercharges the wave function renormalization of the various field are even more constrained by symmetry. For $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM, which is the theory with maximum amount of supersymmetry in four dimensions without gravity, the fields and the coupling are not renormalized at all. This means that the β function of the theory is zero and the theory is invariant under dilatations also at the quantum level. Indeed the theory has a even larger symmetry: it is superconformal invariant.

The superconformal group is the supersymmetric extension of the classical conformal group and because of this larger symmetry it constrains even more the dynamics of the theory. We will encounter other superconformal theories and we will use some of their properties while studying electric magnetic duality.

Superpotential: holomorphy and non-renormalization

Even though supersymmetry imposes strong constraints on the renormalization properties of a theory we will be much more interest in theorems that prohibit the renormalization of some objects in the theory.

The first non-renormalization theorem, demonstrated in 1979 in [1], stated that the superpotential was tree-level exact, i.e. it does not receive correction in perturbation theory. However it usually receive contributions from non perturbative dynamics. It was demonstrated using *supergraph* calculations that allow to work directly with superfields using Feynman graph, keeping supersymmetry explicit. Cancellations between loops with fermions and bosons are trivial and many semplifications occur during calculations.

They demonstrated that for general field theories, supergraph loops diagrams yield a term that can be written in the form

$$\int d^4x_1 \dots d^4x_n d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \ G(x_1, \dots, x_n) \ F_1(x_1, \theta, \bar{\theta}) \dots F_n(x_n, \theta, \bar{\theta})$$
(2.1)

where $G(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is traslationally invariant function.

The importance of this result is that is given by a single integral in the superspace coordinates $d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta}$. A term integrated in full superspace is called *D-term*. Supersymmetry requires that superpotential can be written only as an integral over $d^2\theta$ (*F-term*) and is a holomorphic object. In this context, a holomorphic functions of superfields is given by

$$W(\lambda, \Phi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int d^2\theta \lambda_n \Phi^n + h.c.$$
 (2.2)

where Φ is a chiral field and λ_n are coupling constants.

The second term of the superpotential is added in order to give a real lagrangian after the integration in superspace. In the superpotential only chiral fields and coupling constants can appear: terms with product of chiral and antichiral fields break supersymmetry.

Fifteen years later, Seiberg provided a more elegant proof of this theorem in [2], without relying on the calculation of Feynman diagrams. He noted that coupling constants λ_n can be treated as background fields, i.e. chiral superfields with kinetic terms with infinite coefficient. This situation is similar to string theory where there are no parameters except the string tension and every other coupling or mass is given by an expectation value of a scalar field. The most immediate example is that of the dilaton, whose *vev* governs

the perturbative expansion of the theory. Using this "trick" we can assign transformation laws to the fields and the coupling constants: in this way the superpotential acquires a bigger symmetry under which the effective lagrangian has to be invariant. Because of these transformation laws, non zero values for these background fields break the additional symmetry we introduced. However addition selection rules are present, remnants of the unbroken symmetry.

In addition, we know that in the limit of null coupling, the limit of the effective superpotential is the tree-level one. Using the holomorphicity of the superpotential and the properties discussed above, he demonstrated the non-renormalization theorem for the superpotential.

Exact results

2.2 General features of Seiberg-like dualities

2.3 Four dimensional dualities

— Roba da dire nel capitolo — —

Da RIFARE!! Pag 207 bertolini!!!

Seiberg Duality

This is the duality originally found by Seiberg [3].

POSSO DIRE ALTRO!!

The electric theory is a $SU(N_c)$ supersymmetric non-chiral gauge theory with N_f flavours global symmetry group $SU(N_f) \times SU(N_f) \times U(1)_B \times U(1)_R$. The charges of the matter content of the theory are summarized in the table below.

	$SU(N_c)$	$SU(N_f)_L$	$SU(N_f)_R$	$U(1)_B$	$U(1)_R$
\overline{Q}	N_c	N_F	1	1	$\frac{N_f - N_c}{N_f}$
$ ilde{Q}$	$\overline{N_c}$	1	$\overline{N_F}$	-1	$\frac{N_f - N_c}{N_f}$

Table 2.1: Charge of matter content of the electric theory

The R-Charge is fixed by requiring that the R-Symmetry is non anomalous. Da spiegare meglio.

[~]Phases of gauge theories?(asympt. free, non abelian coulomb/magnetic..)

[~]Region where duality is correct because of unitary?

[~]Use of superconformal algebra

[~]Anomaly free global symmetries

[~]Different gauge group: scale invariant theory

[~]Construct the dual from gauge invariant operators: they make sense and a

[~]Superpotential allowed by charges

^{~&#}x27;t'Hooft anomalies

[~]Different group con be used

What really happens is that $U(1)_A$ symmetry (which is anomalous) mixes with the classical (anomalous) $U(1)_{R'}$ R-symmetry. Their mixing result in a non anomalous $U(1)_R$ R-symmetry and the disappearance of $U(1)_A$.

The triangular graph corresponding to this anomaly constrains the R-charge of the quarks imposing

$$R_{gaugino}T(\mathrm{Ad}) + \sum_{fermions\ f} (R_f - 1)T(r) = 0$$

$$N_c + \frac{1}{2}2N_f(R_Q - 1) = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad R_Q = \frac{N_f - N_c}{N_f}$$

The magnetic theory is a theory with the same global symmetries as the electric theory, but the gauge group is now $SU(N_f-N_c)$ and in addition there are N_f^2 fields, that we will call mesons. Dual quarks will be represented as q, \tilde{q} and mesons as $M_{\tilde{j}}^i$ The charges for the magnetic theory are given by

	$SU(N_c)$	$SU(N_f)_L$	$SU(N_f)_R$	$U(1)_B$	$U(1)_R$
\overline{q}	N_c	N_F	1	$\frac{N_c}{N-f-N_c}$	$\frac{N_c}{N_f}$
$ ilde{q}$	$\overline{N_c}$	1	$\overline{N_F}$	$-\frac{N_c}{N-f-N_c}$	$\frac{N_c'}{N_f}$
$M^i_{ ilde{j}}$	1	N_f	$\overline{N_f}$	0	$2\frac{N_f-N_c}{N_f}$

Table 2.2: Charge of matter content of the magnetic theory

Kutasov-Schwimmer duality

2.4 3D dualities

Aharony duality

Kutasov-Schwimmer duality

3 | Math

4 | My work

5 | conclusions

6 | Appendix

Bibliography

- [1] M. T. Grisaru, W. Siegel, and M. Rocek, "Improved Methods for Supergraphs," *Nucl. Phys.*, vol. B159, p. 429, 1979.
- [2] N. Seiberg, "Naturalness versus supersymmetric nonrenormalization theorems," *Phys. Lett.*, vol. B318, pp. 469–475, 1993.
- [3] N. Seiberg, "Electric magnetic duality in supersymmetric nonAbelian gauge theories," *Nucl. Phys.*, vol. B435, pp. 129–146, 1995.
- [4] N. Seiberg, "The Power of holomorphy: Exact results in 4-D SUSY field theories," 1994.
- [5] A. C. Davis, M. Dine, and N. Seiberg, "The Massless Limit of Supersymmetric QCD," *Phys.Lett.*, vol. B125, p. 487, 1983.
- [6] K. A. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, "Lectures on supersymmetric gauge theories and electric magnetic duality," *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.*, vol. 45BC, pp. 1–28, 1996.
- [7] D. Kutasov, A. Schwimmer, and N. Seiberg, "Chiral rings, singularity theory and electric magnetic duality," *Nucl. Phys.*, vol. B459, pp. 455–496, 1996.
- [8] O. Aharony, S. S. Razamat, N. Seiberg, and B. Willett, "3d dualities from 4d dualities," *JHEP*, vol. 1307, p. 149, 2013.
- [9] A. Amariti and C. Klare, "A journey to 3d: exact relations for adjoint SQCD from dimensional reduction," 2014.
- [10] F. van de Bult, "Hyperbolic hypergeometric functions," Master thesis, 2007.
- [11] F. Dolan and H. Osborn, "Applications of the Superconformal Index for Protected Operators and q-Hypergeometric Identities to N=1 Dual Theories," *Nucl. Phys.*, vol. B818, pp. 137–178, 2009.
- [12] V. Spiridonov and G. Vartanov, "Elliptic Hypergeometry of Supersymmetric Dualities," *Commun.Math.Phys.*, vol. 304, pp. 797–874, 2011.
- [13] K. Nii, "3d duality with adjoint matter from 4d duality," $\it JHEP$, vol. 1502, p. 024, 2015.
- [14] H. Kim and J. Park, "Aharony Dualities for 3d Theories with Adjoint Matter," *JHEP*, vol. 1306, p. 106, 2013.

- [15] F. Benini, C. Closset, and S. Cremonesi, "Comments on 3d Seiberg-like dualities," *JHEP*, vol. 1110, p. 075, 2011.
- [16] C. Closset, T. T. Dumitrescu, G. Festuccia, Z. Komargodski, and N. Seiberg, "Comments on Chern-Simons Contact Terms in Three Dimensions," *JHEP*, vol. 1209, p. 091, 2012.
- [17] A. Amariti and C. Klare, "Chern-Simons and RG Flows: Contact with Dualities," *JHEP*, vol. 1408, p. 144, 2014.
- [18] O. Aharony, A. Hanany, K. A. Intriligator, N. Seiberg, and M. Strassler, "Aspects of N=2 supersymmetric gauge theories in three-dimensions," *Nucl. Phys.*, vol. B499, pp. 67–99, 1997.