## Refreshing the Community Development Block Grant Program Formula:

A Modern Allocation to Community Need—Appendix

Greg Miller

Cityscape 26 (3)

## Appendix. Individual Grants—Formula Targeting

This appendix shows the individual grant amounts for the two alternative formulas presented in the 2024 report: *Refreshing the Community Development Block Grant Formula: A Modern Allocation to Community Need.* For each jurisdiction name, the appendix specifies the actual allocation in fiscal year 2022, allocations for the two alternative formulas—replacement and repair—using the fiscal year 2022 appropriation and grantee universe, and the percentage change for each alternative formula compared with the actual allocation.

More specifically, the table headers represent:

- Fiscal Year 2022 (\$): The amount allocated to the jurisdiction or grantee in fiscal year 2022 under the current formula.
- 1: Replace, Total (\$): The amount allocated to the grantee under the replacement formula if the proposed formula was fully implemented in fiscal year 2022.
- 2: Repair, Total (\$): The amount allocated to the grantee under the repair formula if the proposed formula was fully implemented in fiscal year 2022.
- 1: Replace, Total (%): The percentage difference in allocation to the grantee under the current allocation and the replacement formula if the proposed formula was fully implemented in fiscal year 2022.
- 2: Repair, Total (%): The percentage difference in allocation to the grantee under the current allocation and the repair formula if the proposed formula was fully implemented in fiscal year 2022.

		t Amount and a	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to	
T . 1. 4. N	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	10tal (76)	10tal (76)
<u>Alabama</u>	544.602	502.205	717.207	0.0	22.0
Anniston, AL	544,692	503,395	717,397	-8.0	32.0
	25	23	33		
Auburn, AL	676,386	334,537	393,962	- 51.0	- 42.0
	11	5	6		
Bessemer, AL	577,398	680,245	814,809	18.0	41.0
	22	26	31		
Birmingham, AL	5,911,268	4,979,958	7,099,658	- 16.0	20.0
	28	23	33		
Decatur, AL	440,077	644,860	588,161	47.0	34.0
	8	12	11		
Dothan, AL	499,578	885,250	832,763	77.0	67.0
	7	13	12		
Florence, AL	346,020	591,537	683,164	71.0	97.0
	9	15	17		
Gadsden, AL	1,001,983	953,970	1,280,748	- 5.0	28.0
<u> </u>	28	27	36		
Huntsville, AL	1,396,345	2,152,960	1,904,042	54.0	36.0
Ţ.	7	11	10		
Mobile, AL	2,383,815	3,111,381	3,754,123	31.0	57.0
,	13	16	20		
Montgomery, AL	1,664,266	2,911,368	2,642,559	75.0	59.0
	8	15	13	, 2.0	27.0
Opelika, AL	258,061	379,069	339,239	47.0	31.0
openiku, rii	9	13	11	17.0	31.0
Tuscaloosa AI				34 0	14 0
Tuscaloosa, AL	816,023	1,091,946	927,445	34.0	14.0

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
	8	11	9		
Jefferson County,	2 200 147	2 522 025	2.060.726	47.0	20.0
AL	2,389,147	3,522,035	3,060,726	47.0	28.0
	4	5	5		
Mobile County, AL	1,687,965	2,648,445	2,178,045	57.0	29.0
	4	6	5		
State Program	23,306,221	36,298,034	27,154,516	56.0	17.0
	5	7	6		
TOTAL	43,899,245	61,688,990	54,371,356	41.0	24.0
<u>Alaska</u>					
Anchorage, AK	1,893,837	1,756,297	2,146,410	- 7.0	13.0
	6	6	7		
State Program	2,667,879	3,709,213	3,450,237	39.0	29.0
	4	5	5		
TOTAL	4,561,716	5,465,510	5,596,647	20.0	23.0
Arizona					
Avondale City, AZ	715,761	607,323	856,483	- 15.0	20.0
•	8	7	10		
Casa Grande, AZ	446,070	584,217	587,904	31.0	32.0
, –	8	11	11		
Chandler, AZ	1,402,106	1,018,440	1,431,393	- 27.0	2.0
,	6	4	6		<u> </u>
Douglas City, AZ	170,168	251,309	218,188	48.0	28.0
	10	15	13		

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Flagstaff, AZ	531,417	357,729	413,842	- 33.0	- 22.0
_	7	5	6		
Gilbert, AZ	984,659	595,023	825,656	- 40.0	- 16.0
	4	2	3		
Glendale, AZ	2,367,235	2,694,783	3,056,790	14.0	29.0
	10	11	12		
Goodyear, AZ	426,698	285,400	403,240	- 33.0	- 5.0
	5	4	5		
Mesa, AZ	4,183,981	4,344,690	5,175,211	4.0	24.0
	8	9	10		
Peoria City, AZ	852,840	725,994	927,989	- 15.0	9.0
	5	4	6		
Phoenix, AZ	15,674,200	17,149,969	20,096,364	9.0	28.0
	10	11	12		
Prescott, AZ	238,427	326,162	287,315	37.0	21.0
	6	8	7		
Scottsdale, AZ	1,134,898	1,323,546	1,288,694	17.0	14.0
	5	5	5		
Sierra Vista City, AZ	252,067	340,502	303,427	35.0	20.0
	6	8	7		
Surprise City, AZ	621,195	568,255	663,822	- 9.0	7.0
	5	4	5		
Tempe, AZ	1,803,404	1,359,833	1,669,770	- 25.0	- 7.0
	10	7	9		
Tucson, AZ	5,404,415	7,821,233	6,808,874	45.0	26.0

		t Amount and I	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to ear 2022	
T • 1• 4• NT	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	10tai (%)	10tai (%)
	10	14	13		
Yuma, AZ	915,629	1,037,053	1,182,733	13.0	29.0
	10	11	12		
Maricopa County, AZ	2,835,194	3,665,062	3,646,232	29.0	29.0
	1	1	1		
Pima County, AZ	2,745,749	3,498,226	3,473,395	27.0	27.0
	3	3	3		
Pinal County, AZ	1,902,177	2,415,437	2,589,269	27.0	36.0
	4	5	5		
State Program	9,625,703	14,527,495	13,533,328	51.0	41.0
-	1	2	2		
TOTAL	55,233,993	65,497,678	69,439,921	19.0	26.0
<u>Arkansas</u>					
Conway, AR	481,635	525,791	526,794	9.0	9.0
Collway, Alk	7	8	8	7.0	7.0
Fayetteville, AR	725,335	735,509	599,633	1.0	- 17.0
,	9	9	7		
Fort Smith, AR	848,762	1,214,620	1,146,953	43.0	35.0
,	10	14	13		
Hot Springs, AR	459,960	734,074	762,581	60.0	66.0
	12	19	20		
Jacksonville, AR	219,247	440,343	348,724	101.0	59.0
	8	15	12		
Jonesboro, AR	621,553	660,968	703,157	6.0	13.0

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	8	9	9		
Little Rock, AR	1,512,887	2,440,466	2,318,843	61.0	53.0
	8	12	12		
North Little Rock, AR	688,517	1,256,094	1,260,175	82.0	83.0
	10	19	19		
Pine Bluff, AR	656,938	956,868	1,063,089	46.0	62.0
	15	22	25		
Rogers, AR	468,934	363,203	525,179	- 23.0	12.0
	7	5	8		
Springdale, AR	857,236	804,455	1,055,047	- 6.0	23.0
	11	10	13		
Texarkana, AR	315,392	517,722	436,041	64.0	38.0
	11	17	15		
West Memphis, AR	265,143	444,721	368,744	68.0	39.0
	11	18	15		
State Program	18,242,651	24,609,345	20,581,258	35.0	13.0
	6	8	7		
TOTAL	26,364,190	35,704,178	31,696,218	35.0	20.0
<u>California</u>					
Alameda, CA	1,139,086	528,564	534,477	- 54.0	- 53.0
	15	7	7		
Alhambra, CA	870,253	952,793	1,144,133	9.0	31.0
	10	11	14		
Aliso Viejo, CA	228,203	124,342	210,343	-46.0	-8.0

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
	5	2	4		
Anaheim, CA	4,249,703	3,533,289	5,260,194	- 17.0	24.0
	12	10	15		
Antioch, CA	865,889	1,053,674	1,046,471	22.0	21.0
	8	9	9		
Apple Valley, CA	597,609	746,614	712,367	25.0	19.0
	8	10	10		
Bakersfield, CA	3,804,403	3,515,389	4,624,853	-8.0	22.0
	10	9	12		
Baldwin Park, CA	909,367	695,119	1,123,337	- 24.0	24.0
	12	9	15		
Bellflower, CA	861,078	791,366	1,047,458	-8.0	22.0
	11	10	14		
Berkeley, CA	2,643,717	1,131,421	1,295,151	- 57.0	- 51.0
	22	9	11		
Buena Park, CA	786,737	670,090	928,076	- 15.0	18.0
	10	8	11		
Burbank, CA	975,104	994,025	988,061	2.0	1.0
	9	10	10		
Camarillo, CA	358,352	395,363	376,794	10.0	5.0
	5	6	6		
Carlsbad, CA	500,904	366,244	469,780	- 27.0	-6.0
	4	3	4		
Carson, CA	716,063	591,351	835,233	- 17.0	17.0
	8	6	9		
Cathedral City, CA	615,362	765,162	862,101	24.0	40.0

		t Amount and l Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
	11	14	16		
Chico, CA	878,993	965,484	917,236	10.0	4.0
	9	10	10		
Chino, CA	591,749	442,004	658,629	- 25.0	11.0
	7	5	7		
Chino Hills, CA	386,885	222,564	365,167	-42.0	-6.0
	5	3	5		
Chula Vista, CA	2,296,337	1,706,429	2,726,076	-26.0	19.0
	9	6	10		
Citrus Heights, CA	624,590	812,639	799,152	30.0	28.0
	7	9	9		
Clovis City, CA	698,563	612,148	741,840	- 12.0	6.0
	6	6	7		
Compton, CA	1,374,572	1,339,529	1,757,824	-3.0	28.0
	14	14	18		
Concord, CA	1,071,689	984,345	1,308,814	- 8.0	22.0
	8	8	10		
Corona, CA	1,140,169	871,009	1,347,973	- 24.0	18.0
	7	5	8		
Costa Mesa, CA	1,054,520	1,025,679	1,328,410	- 3.0	26.0
	9	9	12		
Cupertino City, CA	388,297	238,134	398,666	- 39.0	3.0
	6	4	7		
Daly City, CA	1,005,126	587,782	1,149,318	- 42.0	14.0
	9	6	11		
Davis, CA	782,949	461,086	436,512	-41.0	- 44.0

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	11	7	6		
Delano City, CA	556,124	621,840	704,162	12.0	27.0
	11	12	13		
Downey, CA	1,020,345	786,843	1,188,449	- 23.0	16.0
	9	7	11		
El Cajon, CA	1,219,352	1,365,631	1,542,072	12.0	26.0
	12	13	15		
El Centro, CA	563,060	614,663	724,062	9.0	29.0
	13	14	16		
Elk Grove, CA	876,097	665,091	892,106	- 24.0	2.0
	5	4	5		
El Monte, CA	1,688,554	1,532,042	2,192,342	-9.0	30.0
	15	13	19		
Encinitas, CA	323,024	294,832	362,201	-9.0	12.0
	5	5	6		
Escondido, CA	1,547,421	1,519,348	1,981,106	-2.0	28.0
	10	10	13		
Fairfield, CA	794,189	675,620	882,211	- 15.0	11.0
	7	6	8		
Fontana, CA	1,994,178	1,295,179	2,323,901	- 35.0	17.0
	9	6	11		
Fountain Valley, CA	319,753	309,817	347,880	-3.0	9.0
	6	6	6		
Fremont, CA	1,744,206	781,659	1,904,816	- 55.0	9.0
	7	3	8		

	Total Grant Amount and Per-Capita Grant Amount			Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to ear 2022
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Fresno, CA	6,836,219	7,627,674	8,680,173	12.0	27.0
	13	15	17		
Fullerton, CA	1,311,758	1,076,570	1,430,495	- 18.0	9.0
	9	8	10		
Gardena, CA	601,508	672,829	783,521	12.0	30.0
	10	11	13		
Garden Grove, CA	1,931,006	1,793,674	2,481,396	- 7.0	29.0
	11	10	14		
Gilroy City, CA	437,994	295,744	472,621	- 32.0	8.0
	8	5	8		
Glendale, CA	1,731,075	2,490,700	2,364,446	44.0	37.0
	9	12	12		
Glendora City, CA	301,553	322,074	351,039	7.0	16.0
	6	6	7		
Goleta, CA	239,693	167,837	254,312	- 30.0	6.0
	8	5	8		
Hanford, CA	522,158	525,839	657,892	1.0	26.0
	9	9	12		
Hawthorne, CA	1,221,977	966,384	1,513,653	- 21.0	24.0
	14	11	17		
Hayward, CA	1,541,103	979,546	1,777,758	- 36.0	15.0
	10	6	11		
Hemet, CA	872,593	1,303,436	1,162,151	49.0	33.0
	10	15	14		
Hesperia, CA	940,769	1,180,326	1,210,733	25.0	29.0
	10	13	13		

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
Huntington Beach, CA	1,178,701	1,224,864	1,366,023	4.0	16.0
	6	6	7		
Huntington Park, CA	1,111,785	912,772	1,436,963	- 18.0	29.0
	19	16	25		
Indio City, CA	810,142	1,047,524	1,097,049	29.0	35.0
	9	12	12		
Inglewood, CA	1,266,663	1,326,056	1,652,016	5.0	30.0
	12	12	15		
Irvine, CA	2,285,474	1,331,032	2,144,100	- 42.0	- 6.0
	8	5	8		
Jurupa Valley, CA	1,112,495	868,355	1,347,770	- 22.0	21.0
	11	8	13		
Laguna Niguel, CA	347,794	265,787	380,286	- 24.0	9.0
	5	4	6		
La Habra, CA	636,048	488,900	747,688	- 23.0	18.0
	11	8	12		
Lake Forest, CA	509,530	368,610	543,584	-28.0	7.0
	6	4	6		
Lakewood, CA	456,042	449,762	486,930	- 1.0	7.0
	6	6	6		
La Mesa, CA	434,878	647,216	549,039	49.0	26.0
	7	11	9		
Lancaster, CA	1,477,279	1,989,838	1,931,086	35.0	31.0
	9	13	12		
Livermore, CA	383,464	335,025	371,530	- 13.0	-3.0

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Percent C Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	4	4	4		
Lodi, CA	626,735	703,047	756,476	12.0	21.0
	10	11	11		
Lompoc, CA	517,976	452,831	622,216	- 13.0	20.0
	12	10	14		
Long Beach, CA	5,425,010	5,758,024	6,764,950	6.0	25.0
	12	12	14		
Los Angeles, CA	50,908,026	53,754,852	65,704,319	6.0	29.0
	13	14	17		
Lynwood, CA	971,395	787,019	1,205,484	- 19.0	24.0
	14	11	17		
Madera, CA	921,626	998,978	1,148,557	8.0	25.0
	14	15	18		
Menifee, CA	539,826	528,237	636,359	- 2.0	18.0
	6	6	7		
Merced, CA	1,099,453	1,238,392	1,250,341	13.0	14.0
	13	15	15		
Milpitas City, CA	660,595	277,561	701,846	- 58.0	6.0
	8	3	9		
Mission Viejo, CA	389,779	327,731	381,407	- 16.0	-2.0
	4	3	4		
Modesto, CA	1,836,208	1,897,712	2,269,736	3.0	24.0
	9	9	11		
Montebello, CA	670,141	665,740	832,338	- 1.0	24.0
	11	11	13		
Monterey, CA	237,763	223,573	243,565	-6.0	2.0

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
	8	8	9		
Monterey Park, CA	602,675	658,974	781,105	9.0	30.0
	10	11	13		
Moreno Valley, CA	1,926,218	1,540,061	2,265,464	-20.0	18.0
	9	7	11		
Mountain View, CA	624,517	443,421	692,568	- 29.0	11.0
	8	5	8		
Napa City, CA	604,784	520,370	718,867	- 14.0	19.0
	8	7	9		
National City, CA	709,746	747,200	910,166	5.0	28.0
	12	12	15		
Newport Beach, CA	404,935	514,689	512,191	27.0	26.0
	5	6	6		
Norwalk, CA	1,117,125	875,918	1,376,207	- 22.0	23.0
	11	8	13		
Oakland, CA	7,446,763	5,881,272	5,949,128	- 21.0	-20.0
	18	14	14		
Oceanside, CA	1,286,692	1,238,933	1,515,498	-4.0	18.0
	7	7	9		
Ontario, CA	1,802,731	1,405,254	2,169,347	- 22.0	20.0
	10	8	12		
Orange, CA	1,119,470	943,596	1,192,307	- 16.0	7.0
	8	7	9		
Oxnard, CA	2,364,293	1,868,306	2,865,532	-21.0	21.0
	11	9	14		
Palmdale, CA	1,438,847	1,259,638	1,662,179	- 12.0	16.0

	Total Grant Amount and Per-Capita Grant Amount			Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Jul Isulction Ivame	9	8	11	10(a) (70)	10ta1 (70)
Palm Desert, CA	394,360	494,133	532,874	25.0	35.0
	8	9	10		
Palm Springs, CA	424,772	721,028	647,966	70.0	53.0
•	9	15	14		
Palo Alto, CA	512,954	328,755	353,740	- 36.0	- 31.0
	8	5	5		
Paradise, CA	107,492	277,003	229,691	158.0	114.0
	5	13	10		
Paramount City, CA	753,930	590,231	934,645	- 22.0	24.0
	14	11	17		
Pasadena, CA	2,128,288	1,635,592	1,602,703	- 23.0	-25.0
	15	12	11		
Perris City, CA	883,756	616,941	1,015,168	- 30.0	15.0
	11	8	13		
Petaluma, CA	329,440	307,277	383,580	- 7.0	16.0
	5	5	6		
Pico Rivera, CA	589,431	491,143	738,046	- 17.0	25.0
	9	8	12		
Pittsburg, CA	656,444	656,357	803,341	0.0	22.0
	9	9	11		
Pleasanton City, CA	338,677	211,642	298,458	- 38.0	- 12.0
	4	3	4		
Pomona, CA	1,810,659	1,670,596	2,194,454	- 8.0	21.0
	12	11	14		
Porterville, CA	803,009	949,203	1,068,038	18.0	33.0

		t Amount and l Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
	13	16	18		
Rancho Cordova	(20.046	(77.402	740.070	0.0	10.0
City, CA	629,046	677,483	749,870	8.0	19.0
Danaha Charanana	9	9	10		
Rancho Cucamonga, CA	1,063,765	781,933	1,169,238	- 26.0	10.0
CH	6	4	7	20.0	10.0
Rancho Santa	0	7	,		
Margarita, CA	187,850	89,650	163,943	- 52.0	-13.0
	4	2	3		
Redding, CA	747,231	1,019,198	967,384	36.0	29.0
	8	11	11		
Redondo Beach, CA	278,916	259,731	265,556	- 7.0	- 5.0
	4	4	4		
Redwood City, CA	734,349	554,363	867,779	- 25.0	18.0
	9	6	10		
Rialto, CA	1,173,070	959,049	1,419,584	- 18.0	21.0
	11	9	14		
Riverside, CA	2,904,710	2,390,971	3,400,531	- 18.0	17.0
	9	7	10		
Rocklin City, CA	284,830	197,124	254,970	- 31.0	- 10.0
	4	3	4		
Rosemead, CA	708,685	593,611	919,096	- 16.0	30.0
	13	11	17		
Roseville, CA	713,425	700,913	814,976	- 2.0	14.0
	5	5	6		
Sacramento, CA	4,499,363	5,835,509	5,602,012	30.0	25.0

		t Amount and i	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	9	12	11		
Salinas, CA	2,072,474	1,544,907	2,472,310	- 25.0	19.0
	13	10	16		
San Bernardino, CA	3,111,213	2,979,157	3,883,879	-4.0	25.0
	14	14	18		
San Clemente, CA	297,736	307,840	338,659	3.0	14.0
	5	5	5		
San Diego, CA	11,889,197	11,705,081	13,507,811	-2.0	14.0
	8	8	10		
San Francisco, CA	18,224,544	5,313,216	9,053,378	- 71.0	- 50.0
	21	6	10		
San Jose, CA	8,451,079	6,477,630	9,829,394	- 23.0	16.0
	8	6	10		
San Leandro, CA	747,186	725,648	906,435	- 3.0	21.0
	8	8	10		
San Marcos City, CA	725,633	530,749	808,446	- 27.0	11.0
	8	6	8		-
San Mateo, CA	770,576	640,447	886,421	- 17.0	15.0
,	7	6	9		
Santa Ana, CA	5,086,648	3,203,218	6,254,287	- 37.0	23.0
,	15	10	19		
Santa Barbara, CA	866,497	779,244	1,013,951	- 10.0	17.0
	9	9	11		
Santa Clara, CA	1,041,327	603,642	1,127,402	- 42.0	8.0
	8	5	9		

		t Amount and I	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Santa Clarita, CA	1,428,110	929,263	1,511,324	- 35.0	6.0
	7	4	7		
Santa Cruz, CA	562,331	622,370	522,890	11.0	-7.0
	9	10	8		
Santa Maria, CA	1,488,894	945,607	1,793,693	- 36.0	20.0
	14	9	17		
Santa Monica, CA	1,122,092	909,478	994,527	- 19.0	- 11.0
	12	10	11		
Santa Rosa, CA	1,383,554	1,372,479	1,694,542	-1.0	22.0
	8	8	9		
Santee, CA	295,077	315,485	317,818	7.0	8.0
	5	5	6		
Seaside, CA	356,498	320,651	431,772	- 10.0	21.0
	11	9	13		
Simi Valley, CA	611,415	598,589	658,952	-2.0	8.0
	5	5	5		
South Gate, CA	1,378,391	1,069,044	1,711,173	- 22.0	24.0
	15	11	18		
South San Francisco, CA	486,594	392,933	569,061	- 19.0	17.0
	7	6	8		
Stockton, CA	3,128,154	3,501,270	3,951,152	12.0	26.0
	10	11	13		
Sunnyvale, CA	1,127,842	723,868	1,266,951	- 36.0	12.0
	7	5	8		
Temecula, CA	552,281	344,371	540,639	- 38.0	-2.0

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	5	3	5		
Thousand Oaks, CA	557,739	510,293	560,527	- 9.0	0.0
	4	4	4		
Tulare, CA	725,118	677,450	894,254	- 7.0	23.0
	11	11	14		
Turlock, CA	626,750	679,592	736,528	8.0	18.0
	9	9	10		
Tustin, CA	774,820	549,070	925,347	- 29.0	19.0
	10	7	12		
Union City, CA	555,305	307,094	638,552	- 45.0	15.0
	7	4	9		
Upland, CA	609,956	572,376	721,735	-6.0	18.0
	8	7	9		
Vacaville, CA	507,690	478,425	524,868	- 6.0	3.0
	5	5	5		
Vallejo, CA	1,033,725	1,077,573	1,312,296	4.0	27.0
	9	9	11		
San Buenaventura, CA	653,153	848,336	770,317	30.0	18.0
	6	8	7		
Victorville, CA	1,358,404	1,369,547	1,709,828	1.0	26.0
	11	11	14		
Visalia, CA	1,182,988	1,156,417	1,425,769	-2.0	21.0
	9	9	11		
Vista, CA	1,038,864	743,942	1,227,355	-28.0	18.0
	10	7	12		

	Total Grant Amount and Per-Capita Grant Amount			Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Walnut Creek, CA	331,431	358,027	340,288	8.0	3.0
Wallut Cleek, CA	531,431	5 5 5 5	540,288	0.0	5.0
Watsonville, CA	726,942	485,295	863,449	- 33.0	19.0
wassivine, cri	14	9	16	22.0	17.0
West Covina, CA	787,726	659,606	907,392	- 16.0	15.0
,	7	6	9		
Westminster, CA	945,724	1,108,658	1,259,734	17.0	33.0
	10	12	14		
West Sacramento, CA	470,282	509,510	544,785	8.0	16.0
	9	10	10		
Whittier, CA	656,180	710,894	798,733	8.0	22.0
	8	8	9		
Woodland, CA	443,959	405,531	491,870	- 9.0	11.0
	7	7	8		
Yuba City, CA	628,322	704,971	785,658	12.0	25.0
	9	11	12		
Alameda County, CA	2,183,577	1,655,875	2,455,343	- 24.0	12.0
	1	1	1		
Contra Costa County, CA	4,541,951	4,312,004	5,010,222	- 5.0	10.0
	4	4	4		
Fresno County, CA	3,180,275	3,392,878	4,014,698	7.0	26.0
	3	3	4		
Kern County, CA	4,547,669	5,766,823	6,018,559	27.0	32.0
	5	7	7		

	Total Grant Amount and Per-Capita Grant Amount			Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022	
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
Los Angeles	, ,	` ` `		, ,	` /
County, CA	21,442,027	20,597,363	26,168,925	-4.0	22.0
	2	2	3		
Marin County, CA	1,629,462	1,501,982	1,857,354	- 8.0	14.0
	6	6	7		
Monterey County,					
CA	1,225,756	770,605	1,382,478	-37.0	13.0
	3	2	3		
Orange County, CA	3,354,307	2,848,108	3,662,351	- 15.0	9.0
	1	1	1		
Riverside County,	1	1	<u> </u>		
CA	7,683,046	8,101,130	9,557,260	5.0	24.0
	3	3	4		
Sacramento County,					
CA	5,834,437	7,324,117	7,240,516	26.0	24.0
	4	5	5		
San Bernardino					
County, CA	6,982,061	8,668,856	8,970,440	24.0	28.0
	3	4	4		
San Diego County,					
CA	4,208,871	4,049,215	4,881,320	-4.0	16.0
	1	1	1		
San Joaquin County,					
CA	2,799,421	2,665,728	3,277,737	- 5.0	17.0
	4	4	4		
San Luis Obispo	1	1 001 202			
County, CA	1,774,789	1,801,299	1,765,510	1.0	- 1.0
	6	6	6		
San Mateo County,	2.500.206	1 720 070	2 070 115	22.0	11.0
CA	2,599,306	1,728,869	2,878,115	-33.0	11.0

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
	3	2	4		
Santa Barbara					
County, CA	1,269,783	933,884	1,132,076	- 26.0	- 11.0
	3	2	3		
Santa Clara County,	1 406 120	1 049 041	1 220 922	25.0	12.0
CA	1,406,129	1,048,041	1,239,832	- 25.0	- 12.0
Sonoma County,	1	1	1		
CA	1,714,922	1,833,077	2,085,831	7.0	22.0
	3	4	4	7.0	22.0
Stanislaus County,	3	7	7		
CA	2,369,224	2,397,171	2,914,148	1.0	23.0
	4	4	5		
Ventura County, CA	1,327,582	1,248,470	1,522,269	-6.0	15.0
	2	1	2		
State Program	31,441,117	30,156,556	31,953,346	-4.0	2.0
	1	1	1		
TOTAL	380,810,187	350,336,187	433,634,288	-8.0	14.0
<u>Colorado</u>					
Arvada, CO	445,755	523,947	423,797	18.0	-5.0
	4	4	4		
Aurora, CO	2,619,987	2,636,269	3,033,439	1.0	16.0
	7	7	8		
Boulder, CO	808,027	822,301	724,858	2.0	- 10.0
-	8	8	7		
Broomfield			·		
City/County, CO	286,345	204,922	237,828	-28.0	-17.0

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	4	3	4		
Castle Rock, CO	234,718	143,205	175,625	- 39.0	-25.0
	4	2	3		
Colorado Springs, CO	3,031,497	3,270,470	3,492,319	8.0	15.0
	7	7	8		
Commerce City, CO	422,364	433,493	482,429	3.0	14.0
	7	8	9		
Denver, CO	6,682,465	7,282,218	6,090,468	9.0	- 9.0
	9	10	9		
Fort Collins, CO	1,119,944	1,144,257	910,775	2.0	- 19.0
	7	7	6		
Grand Junction, CO	421,275	577,948	519,624	37.0	23.0
	7	9	8		
Greeley, CO	837,262	969,079	912,598	16.0	9.0
	8	9	9		
Lakewood, CO	856,179	1,157,540	969,930	35.0	13.0
	6	7	6		
Longmont, CO	519,492	680,235	586,139	31.0	13.0
	6	7	6		
Loveland, CO	374,046	473,461	425,046	27.0	14.0
	5	6	6		
Pueblo, CO	1,458,694	2,071,089	1,895,249	42.0	30.0
	13	19	17		
Thornton, CO	837,427	719,559	902,468	- 14.0	8.0
	6	5	7		

		t Amount and i	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Westminster, CO	584,787	599,432	606,654	3.0	4.0
Westimister, ee	5	5	5	3.0	7.0
Adams County, CO	1,417,026	1,485,661	1,683,567	5.0	19.0
	3	3	3		
Arapahoe, CO	1,374,732	1,439,123	1,432,215	5.0	4.0
	2	2	2		
El Paso County, CO	1,089,276	1,018,394	1,048,774	-7.0	-4.0
	2	1	2		
Jefferson County, CO	1,087,495	1,178,338	1,072,720	8.0	- 1.0
	2	2	2		
Weld County, CO	1,036,339	937,066	1,022,070	- 10.0	- 1.0
	3	3	3		
State Program	9,278,950	10,361,637	9,179,401	12.0	- 1.0
	2	2	2		
TOTAL	36,824,082	40,129,644	37,827,993	9.0	3.0
<u>Connecticut</u>					
Bridgeport, CT	3,296,976	2,706,688	2,979,479	- 18.0	- 10.0
	23	19	20		
Bristol, CT	633,466	583,460	521,631	- 8.0	- 18.0
	11	10	9		
Danbury, CT	625,568	797,567	682,962	27.0	9.0
	7	9	8		
East Hartford, CT	584,803	727,704	742,652	24.0	27.0
	12	14	15		

	Total Grant Amount and Per-Capita Grant Amount			Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022	
Luciadiation Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Jurisdiction Name Fairfield, CT	511,252	216,199	247,688	- 58.0	` /
rairfield, C1	8	210,199	4	- 38.0	- 52.0
Greenwich, CT	761,808	325,795	352,379	- 57.0	- 54.0
Greenwich, C1	12	523,793	552,579	- 37.0	- 34.0
Hamden Town, CT	479,038	453,132	430,220	- 5.0	- 10.0
Trainden Town, CT	8	7	<u>430,220</u> 7	- 3.0	- 10.0
Hartford, CT	3,611,275	3,080,723	3,580,727	- 15.0	- 1.0
Tiurtiora, C1	29	25	29	13.0	1.0
Manchester, CT	523,283	515,198	428,630	- 2.0	- 18.0
Wallenesser, CT	9	9	7	2.0	10.0
Meriden, CT	979,521	670,628	705,886	- 32.0	- 28.0
	16	11	12	0	
Middletown, CT	488,849	515,886	470,997	6.0	-4.0
,	11	11	10		
Milford Town, CT	556,614	283,528	306,187	- 49.0	- 45.0
	10	5	6		
New Britain, CT	1,686,088	1,333,877	1,519,758	- 21.0	- 10.0
	23	18	21		
New Haven, CT	3,622,888	2,693,676	3,004,014	- 26.0	- 17.0
	28	21	23		
New London, CT	846,509	529,051	646,539	- 38.0	-24.0
	31	20	24		
Norwalk, CT	848,257	823,739	718,168	- 3.0	- 15.0
	10	9	8		
Norwich, CT	843,880	569,173	666,097	- 33.0	- 21.0
	21	15	17		

	Total Grant Amount and Per-Capita Grant Amount			Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Stamford, CT	877,327	1,053,044	1,026,027	20.0	17.0
	7	8	8		
Stratford, CT	630,621	241,159	286,780	- 62.0	- 55.0
	12	5	6		
Waterbury, CT	2,123,927	2,227,831	2,208,301	5.0	4.0
	20	21	20		
West Hartford, CT	998,066	356,371	431,945	- 64.0	- 57.0
	16	6	7		
West Haven, CT	722,987	567,649	578,610	-21.0	-20.0
	13	10	11		
State Program	13,778,095	10,066,951	8,721,330	-27.0	-37.0
	4	3	2		
TOTAL	40,031,098	31,339,030	31,257,004	- 22.0	- 22.0
<u>Delaware</u>					
Dover, DE	345,960	498,850	400,129	44.0	16.0
	9	13	11		
Wilmington, DE	2,243,089	1,680,466	2,025,854	- 25.0	- 10.0
	32	24	29		
New Castle County, DE	2,403,975	3,220,344	2,593,807	34.0	8.0
	4	6	5	-	-
State Program	2,615,089	2,702,898	2,618,187	3.0	0.0
	3	3	3		
TOTAL	7,608,113	8,102,559	7,637,977	6.0	0.0

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
<u>District Of</u> Columbia					
District Of					
Columbia, DC	15,261,081	8,622,291	8,508,419	-44.0	-44.0
	22	12	12		
Florida					
Boca Raton, FL	481,555	657,240	626,221	36.0	30.0
,	5	7	6		
Boynton Beach, FL	546,978	820,257	724,060	50.0	32.0
-	7	11	9		
Bradenton, FL	423,741	601,360	526,228	42.0	24.0
	7	11	9		
Cape Coral, FL	1,012,251	1,368,394	1,324,530	35.0	31.0
	6	7	7		
Clearwater, FL	897,101	1,585,582	1,293,681	77.0	44.0
	8	14	11		
Cocoa, FL	155,579	311,562	250,247	100.0	61.0
	9	17	14		
Coral Springs, FL	798,488	713,746	839,821	- 11.0	5.0
	6	5	6		
Crestview, FL	157,519	227,855	191,154	45.0	21.0
	7	9	8		
Davie, FL	713,776	675,474	741,847	- 5.0	4.0
	7	6	7		
Daytona Beach, FL	604,706	1,215,016	1,002,704	101.0	66.0
	9	18	15		

		t Amount and I	Alternative Percent C Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to ear 2022	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Deerfield Beach, FL	659,458	1,119,579	924,640	70.0	40.0
	8	14	12		
Delray Beach, FL	432,084	584,716	594,200	35.0	38.0
	6	9	9		
Deltona, FL	558,325	771,116	716,697	38.0	28.0
	6	9	8		
Ft Lauderdale, FL	1,521,394	2,590,999	2,048,482	70.0	35.0
	8	14	11		
Ft Myers, FL	627,043	914,936	788,686	46.0	26.0
	8	11	10		
Fort Pierce, FL	540,959	1,039,355	805,789	92.0	49.0
	12	23	18		
Fort Walton Beach, FL	142,767	251,996	197,733	77.0	39.0
	6	11	9		
Gainesville, FL	1,305,249	1,550,819	1,415,956	19.0	8.0
	10	12	11		
Hialeah, FL	2,649,463	4,678,971	4,185,788	77.0	58.0
	11	20	18		
Hollywood, FL	1,168,809	1,831,055	1,526,290	57.0	31.0
	8	12	10		
Homestead City, FL	785,334	877,539	933,760	12.0	19.0
	11	13	14		
Jupiter, FL	293,899	315,160	345,397	7.0	18.0
	5	5	5		
Kissimmee, FL	732,234	1,060,918	999,736	45.0	37.0

		t Amount and I Grant Amount			
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	10	15	14		
Lakeland, FL	907,169	1,297,686	1,230,716	43.0	36.0
	8	12	11		
Largo, FL	512,120	1,083,842	820,535	112.0	60.0
	6	13	10		
Lauderhill, FL	727,984	1,085,505	892,918	49.0	23.0
	10	15	12		
Marco Island City, FL	65,648	101,040	89,509	54.0	36.0
	4	6	5		
Melbourne, FL	571,391	830,218	735,121	45.0	29.0
	7	10	9		
Miami, FL	5,448,520	9,422,560	8,204,556	73.0	51.0
	12	21	18		
Miami Beach, FL	941,041	1,463,038	1,563,064	55.0	66.0
	10	16	17		
Miami Gardens City, FL	1,084,611	1,670,402	1,409,438	54.0	30.0
	10	15	13		
Miramar, FL	867,551	898,405	1,034,944	4.0	19.0
	6	6	7		
North Miami, FL	767,930	982,018	987,485	28.0	29.0
	12	16	16		
Ocala, FL	491,461	821,389	709,837	67.0	44.0
	8	14	12		
Orlando, FL	2,349,168	3,257,196	3,011,986	39.0	28.0
	8	12	11		

		t Amount and l Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	` /	938,081	906,182	29.0	25.0
Palm Bay, FL	724,964			29.0	23.0
Palm Beach	6	8	8		
Gardens, FL	235,575	247,620	272,828	5.0	16.0
	4	4	5		
Palm Coast, FL	497,039	610,635	621,277	23.0	25.0
	6	7	7		
Panama City, FL	426,318	644,006	596,712	51.0	40.0
	12	18	16		
Pembroke Pines, FL	1,010,268	1,039,805	1,323,776	3.0	31.0
	6	6	8		
Pensacola, FL	764,507	675,693	875,433	- 12.0	15.0
	15	13	17		
Pinellas Park, FL	385,191	582,798	554,263	51.0	44.0
	7	11	10		
Pompano Beach, FL	1,024,815	1,705,543	1,418,827	66.0	38.0
	9	16	13		
Port Orange, FL	393,848	540,496	455,330	37.0	16.0
	6	9	7		
Port St Lucie, FL	990,152	1,168,689	1,177,472	18.0	19.0
	5	6	6		
St. Cloud City, FL	357,548	434,818	405,082	22.0	13.0
	7	9	8		
St Petersburg, FL	1,851,491	3,344,308	3,414,901	81.0	84.0
	7	13	13		
Sanford, FL	527,416	698,229	635,557	32.0	21.0

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
	9	12	11		
Sarasota, FL	397,572	740,884	613,093	86.0	54.0
	7	13	11		
Sebastian City, FL	130,835	224,688	174,017	72.0	33.0
	5	9	7		
Sunrise, FL	694,501	820,690	858,916	18.0	24.0
	7	9	9		
Tallahassee, FL	1,856,687	2,059,404	1,825,060	11.0	- 2.0
	10	11	10		
Tamarac, FL	443,276	709,162	585,185	60.0	32.0
	7	11	9		
Tampa, FL	3,179,518	4,731,634	4,310,436	49.0	36.0
	8	12	11		
Titusville, FL	346,992	653,527	509,481	88.0	47.0
	8	14	11		
Wellington, FL	287,043	238,937	299,712	- 17.0	4.0
<u> </u>	4	4	5		
West Palm Beach, FL	987,916	1,272,540	1,259,660	29.0	28.0
	9	12	11		
Brevard County, FL	1,371,533	2,156,605	1,708,880	57.0	25.0
	2	4	3		
Broward County, FL	4,403,279	6,333,621	5,646,443	44.0	28.0
	2	3	3		
Clay County, FL	1,039,632	1,279,000	1,197,173	23.0	15.0
	5	6	6		

	Total Grant Amount and Per-Capita Grant Amount			Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Collier County, FL	2,502,707	2,618,050	3,183,683	5.0	27.0
	6	7	8		
Jacksonville-Duval County, FL	6,512,939	9,951,999	8,009,281	53.0	23.0
	7	11	9		
Escambia County, FL	1,524,780	2,443,680	1,823,274	60.0	20.0
TT'11 1 1	5	8	6		
Hillsborough County, FL	7,195,242	8,107,043	8,696,450	13.0	21.0
	5	6	6		
Lake County, FL	1,449,270	2,063,035	1,798,789	42.0	24.0
	4	6	5		
Lee County, FL	3,142,278	4,096,037	3,980,695	30.0	27.0
	4	6	5		
Manatee County, FL	1,786,323	2,525,002	2,172,097	41.0	22.0
	5	7	6		
Marion County, FL	2,077,605	2,935,621	2,746,075	41.0	32.0
	6	8	8		
Miami Dade, FL	12,496,837	15,818,640	16,136,738	27.0	29.0
	5	6	6		
Orange County, FL	7,275,914	8,042,499	8,365,763	11.0	15.0
	5	6	6		
Osceola County, FL	1,445,667	1,679,767	1,718,787	16.0	19.0
_	4	5	5		
Palm Beach County, FL	6,489,698	7,928,381	8,289,997	22.0	28.0
	4	5	6		_

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Pasco County, FL	2,902,673	4,862,592	3,810,871	68.0	31.0
	6	9	7		
Pinellas County, FL	2,439,399	4,193,265	3,733,526	72.0	53.0
	3	4	4		
Polk County, FL	3,945,811	5,266,289	5,018,236	33.0	27.0
	6	8	7		
St. Johns County, FL	1,022,500	1,058,603	1,108,351	4.0	8.0
	4	4	5		
Sarasota County, FL	1,703,736	2,561,282	2,149,972	50.0	26.0
	4	6	5		
Seminole County, FL	2,171,994	2,347,362	2,376,858	8.0	9.0
	5	5	5		
Volusia County, FL	1,634,847	2,795,535	2,188,855	71.0	34.0
	3	5	4		
State Program	26,135,021	34,240,513	29,687,001	31.0	14.0
	1	2	1		
TOTAL	152,126,463	208,063,550	192,334,745	37.0	26.0
Georgia					
Albany, GA	809,735	1,658,748	1,454,631	105.0	80.0
rnouny, GA	11	23	20	105.0	00.0
Athens-Clarke County, GA	1,302,547	1,614,291	1,244,039	24.0	- 4.0
,,	10	13	10		7.0
Atlanta, GA	7,001,147	6,295,208	7,310,476	- 10.0	4.0

		t Amount and I Grant Amount	-	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)	
	14	13	15			
Augusta-Richmond County, GA	1,724,688	3,072,378	2,594,815	78.0	50.0	
<b>,</b>	9	15	13			
Brookhaven, GA	336,853	326,807	342,571	-3.0	2.0	
	6	6	6			
Brunswick, GA	387,809	473,207	540,832	22.0	39.0	
	24	29	34			
Columbus- Muscogee County,						
GA	1,632,772	2,912,899	2,764,048	78.0	69.0	
	8	15	14			
Dalton, GA	355,371	366,293	436,637	3.0	23.0	
	11	11	13			
Gainesville, GA	465,983	429,726	573,766	-8.0	23.0	
	12	11	14			
Hinesville, GA	264,755	325,895	298,878	23.0	13.0	
	8	10	9			
Macon-Bibb County, GA	1,813,478	2,747,096	3,045,394	51.0	68.0	
	12	18	20			
Marietta, GA	445,930	526,489	549,547	18.0	23.0	
	7	9	9			
Rome, GA	452,807	587,118	572,718	30.0	26.0	
	12	16	16			
Roswell, GA	449,466	383,231	454,739	- 15.0	1.0	
	5	4	5			

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	iscal Year 1: Replace, 2: Repair,			2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
Sandy Springs City, GA	549,824	490,654	559,829	- 11.0	2.0
	5	5	5		
Savannah, GA	2,217,032	2,134,788	2,512,281	-4.0	13.0
	15	15	17		
South Fulton, GA	466,213	641,911	495,113	38.0	6.0
	5	7	5		
Valdosta, GA	646,825	916,884	806,553	42.0	25.0
	12	16	14		
Warner Robins, GA	603,834	935,146	768,127	55.0	27.0
	8	12	10		
Cherokee County, GA	1,175,576	1,038,127	1,162,536	- 12.0	- 1.0
	5	4	5		
Clayton County, GA	2,374,429	3,303,697	2,778,744	39.0	17.0
	8	12	10		
Cobb County, GA	3,472,087	3,332,106	3,351,830	-4.0	- 3.0
	4	4	4		
De Kalb County, GA	4,490,584	6,183,104	5,316,594	38.0	18.0
	6	8	7		
Fulton County, GA	1,382,388	1,736,807	1,494,360	26.0	8.0
	1	2	1		
Gwinnett County, GA	5,238,742	4,618,516	5,675,543	- 12.0	8.0
	6	5	6		
Henry County, GA	1,041,934	1,037,726	1,025,993	0.0	- 2.0
	5	5	5		

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
State Program	41,241,919	54,067,249	44,795,810	31.0	9.0
	4	5	4		
TOTAL	82,344,728	102,156,102	92,926,404	24.0	13.0
<u>Hawaii</u> Honolulu, HI	7,927,011	6,447,253	9,383,517	- 19.0	18.0
nonoiuiu, ni	7,927,011	7	10	- 19.0	10.0
State Program	5,219,698	6,053,227	5,011,623	16.0	-4.0
State 110gram	3,217,076	4	3,011,023	10.0	- <del>1.</del> 0
TOTAL	13,146,709	12,500,480	14,395,140	- 5.0	9.0
<u>Idaho</u>					
Boise, ID	1,482,416	1,709,016	1,683,833	15.0	14.0
	7	8	7		
Caldwell City, ID	500,452	566,094	606,057	13.0	21.0
	9	10	11		
Coeur D'Alene, ID	348,966	521,581	431,767	49.0	24.0
	7	10	9		
Idaho Falls, ID	424,675	561,694	511,016	32.0	20.0
	7	9	8		
Lewiston, ID	228,254	343,533	272,128	51.0	19.0
	7	11	8		
Meridian, ID	517,250	474,944	526,023	- 8.0	2.0
	5	5	5		
Nampa, ID	699,668	971,604	902,871	39.0	29.0
	7	10	10		

		Total Grant Amount and Per-Capita Grant Amount				
Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)		
426,090	643,547	605,244	51.0	42.0		
8	12	11				
340,198	497,404	428,619	46.0	26.0		
7	10	9				
7,751,899	8,428,441	7,526,052	9.0	-3.0		
5	5	4				
12,719,868	14,717,858	13,493,609	16.0	6.0		
274 206	240.502	210.254	27.0	12.0		
			27.0	13.0		
-		-	1.0	12.0		
			- 1.0	13.0		
-			51.0	42.0		
			- 31.0	- 42.0		
			50.0	28.0		
			30.0	26.0		
·			8.0	- 10.0		
		· ·	- 6.0	- 10.0		
			_ 43 0	- 22.0		
			- 43.0	- 22.0		
			_ 38 0	- 40.0		
			- 30.0	- 40.0		
			_ 35.0	- 9.0		
	1		- 55.0	- 9.0		
	2022 (\$) 426,090 8 340,198 7 7,751,899 5	2022 (\$)         Total (\$)           426,090         643,547           8         12           340,198         497,404           7         10           7,751,899         8,428,441           5         5           12,719,868         14,717,858           274,296         349,503           4         5           1,374,194         1,353,779           7         7           1,255,840         618,034           23         11           535,199         800,426           7         10           1,007,715         925,078           12         11           76,201,975         43,264,958           28         16           1,668,865         1,032,550           20         13           1,018,125         665,049	2022 (\$)         Total (\$)         Total (\$)           426,090         643,547         605,244           8         12         11           340,198         497,404         428,619           7         10         9           7,751,899         8,428,441         7,526,052           5         5         4           12,719,868         14,717,858         13,493,609           274,296         349,503         310,354           4         5         4           1,374,194         1,353,779         1,554,241           7         7         8           1,255,840         618,034         730,477           23         11         13           535,199         800,426         687,662           7         10         9           1,007,715         925,078         909,090           12         11         10           76,201,975         43,264,958         59,113,245           28         16         22           1,668,865         1,032,550         1,004,074           20         13         12           1,018,125         665,049         929,290	2022 (\$)         Total (\$)         Total (\$)         Total (%)           426,090         643,547         605,244         51.0           8         12         11           340,198         497,404         428,619         46.0           7         10         9           7,751,899         8,428,441         7,526,052         9.0           5         5         4           12,719,868         14,717,858         13,493,609         16.0           274,296         349,503         310,354         27.0           4         5         4           1,374,194         1,353,779         1,554,241         -1.0           7         7         8           1,255,840         618,034         730,477         -51.0           23         11         13           535,199         800,426         687,662         50.0           7         10         9           1,007,715         925,078         909,090         -8.0           12         11         10           76,201,975         43,264,958         59,113,245         -43.0           28         16         22           1,668		

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Decatur, IL	1,374,216	1,507,258	1,686,272	10.0	23.0
,	19	21	23		
Dekalb, IL	411,388	458,573	388,395	11.0	- 6.0
	10	11	9		
Des Plaines, IL	313,235	424,251	376,364	35.0	20.0
·	5	7	6		
Elgin, IL	783,019	768,089	855,688	- 2.0	9.0
	7	7	8		
Evanston, IL	1,695,218	601,440	889,833	- 65.0	- 48.0
	23	8	12		
Hoffman Estates, IL	226,988	178,446	208,131	- 21.0	-8.0
	4	4	4		
Joliet, IL	890,073	1,131,301	953,322	27.0	7.0
	6	8	6		
Kankakee, IL	629,009	606,457	732,616	-4.0	16.0
	24	23	28		
Moline, IL	822,090	471,085	613,412	- 43.0	- 25.0
	20	11	15		
Mount Prospect, IL	285,309	355,217	310,426	25.0	9.0
	5	7	6		
Naperville, IL	530,928	346,359	399,236	- 35.0	- 25.0
	4	2	3		
Normal, IL	430,818	334,500	329,310	- 22.0	- 24.0
	8	6	6		
Oak Lawn, IL	314,538	494,766	391,321	57.0	24.0
	6	9	7		

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Percent C Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to ear 2022	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Oak Park, IL	1,552,995	368,724	457,459	- 76.0	- 71.0
	30	7	9		
Palatine Village, IL	479,612	449,818	516,846	-6.0	8.0
	7	7	8		
Pekin, IL	449,146	433,368	477,465	-4.0	6.0
	14	13	15		
Peoria, IL	1,865,890	1,708,099	1,763,555	-8.0	-5.0
	16	15	16		
Rantoul, IL	335,177	214,018	364,302	- 36.0	9.0
	25	16	28		
Rockford, IL	2,165,333	2,675,924	2,567,754	24.0	19.0
	15	18	17		
Rock Island, IL	1,081,783	541,912	770,396	- 50.0	-29.0
	28	14	20		
Schaumburg Village, IL	403,357	427,865	441,503	6.0	9.0
	5	6	6		
Skokie, IL	563,187	564,483	672,190	0.0	19.0
	9	9	11		
Springfield, IL	1,338,107	1,798,447	1,629,427	34.0	22.0
	12	16	14		
Urbana, IL	511,120	489,357	475,007	-4.0	- 7.0
	12	11	11		
Waukegan, IL	791,678	964,614	974,913	22.0	23.0
	9	11	11		
Cook County, IL	10,315,003	14,429,151	12,496,774	40.0	21.0

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Percent C Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	2	3	2		
Du Page County, IL	3,672,170	3,846,298	3,713,466	5.0	1.0
	4	4	4		
Kane County, IL	1,318,827	1,115,392	1,269,934	- 15.0	-4.0
	2	2	2		
Lake County, IL	2,739,622	2,595,004	2,678,568	-5.0	-2.0
	4	4	4		
Mchenry County, IL	1,385,201	1,483,028	1,413,911	7.0	2.0
	5	5	5		
Madison County, IL	2,876,158	2,759,525	2,887,012	-4.0	0.0
	9	9	9		
St Clair County, IL	3,552,542	3,316,054	4,035,367	- 7.0	14.0
	11	10	12		
Will County, IL	1,985,460	2,228,140	1,891,664	12.0	- 5.0
	3	3	2		
State Program	29,458,730	27,583,176	23,497,881	- 6.0	-20.0
	2	2	2		
TOTAL	160,884,136	126,679,513	138,368,151	- 21.0	- 14.0
<u>Indiana</u>					
Anderson, IN	927,215	1,278,821	1,251,266	38.0	35.0
	17	23	23		
Bloomington, IN	872,141	939,716	762,486	8.0	- 13.0
	10	11	9		
Columbus, IN	345,875	434,055	418,682	25.0	21.0
	7	9	9		

		t Amount and I Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
East Chicago, IN	1,296,841	809,010	1,219,751	- 38.0	- 6.0
East emeage, ii	46	29	43	20.0	0.0
Elkhart, IN	701,441	951,374	824,849	36.0	18.0
,	13	18	16		
Evansville, IN	2,632,224	2,341,204	2,794,073	- 11.0	6.0
	22	20	24		
Fort Wayne, IN	2,043,642	3,199,278	2,756,344	57.0	35.0
	8	12	10		
Gary, IN	3,391,880	2,498,392	3,924,575	- 26.0	16.0
	45	33	52		
Goshen, IN	267,561	343,470	271,761	28.0	2.0
	8	10	8		
Greenwood, IN	270,902	301,102	272,042	11.0	0.0
	5	5	5		
Hammond, IN	2,079,444	1,383,625	1,916,532	- 33.0	-8.0
	27	18	25		
Indianapolis, IN	9,119,344	10,956,200	11,373,021	20.0	25.0
	10	13	13		
Kokomo, IN	777,496	853,729	970,870	10.0	25.0
	13	15	17		
Lafayette, IN	634,912	930,853	733,270	47.0	15.0
	9	13	10		
La Porte, IN	452,523	314,739	337,613	- 30.0	- 25.0
	21	15	16		
Michigan City, IN	661,417	592,178	676,788	- 10.0	2.0
	21	19	22		

		t Amount and I Grant Amount	Alternativ Percent ( r-Capita Funding I Fiscal Y		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Mishawaka, IN	459,109	717,898	575,521	56.0	25.0
	9	15	12		
Muncie, IN	1,334,515	1,336,688	1,518,081	0.0	14.0
	19	19	22		
New Albany, IN	673,497	563,704	652,137	- 16.0	-3.0
	18	15	18		
South Bend, IN	2,388,529	2,058,303	2,610,662	- 14.0	9.0
	23	20	26		
Terre Haute, IN	1,483,130	1,027,871	1,357,949	- 31.0	-8.0
	24	17	22		
West Lafayette, IN	444,711	281,850	294,830	-37.0	-34.0
	9	6	6		
Hamilton County, IN	1,085,167	791,426	824,721	- 27.0	- 24.0
	3	2	2		
Lake County, IN	1,497,609	2,088,612	1,580,746	39.0	6.0
	3	4	3		
State Program	30,970,405	30,260,891	24,142,358	-2.0	-22.0
	5	5	4		
TOTAL	66,811,530	67,254,988	64,060,928	1.0	-4.0
<u>Iowa</u>					
Ames, IA	568,949	383,398	339,624	- 33.0	-40.0
	9	6	5		
Cedar Falls, IA	259,693	308,113	289,118	19.0	11.0
	6	8	7		

		t Amount and I	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Cedar Rapids, IA	1,063,799	1,245,460	1,045,767	17.0	- 2.0
Coddi Rapids, 171	8	9	8	17.0	2.0
Council Bluffs, IA	989,300	682,602	748,172	- 31.0	- 24.0
,	16	11	12		-
Davenport, IA	1,638,430	1,420,684	1,489,574	- 13.0	- 9.0
	16	14	15		
Des Moines, IA	3,823,964	2,798,167	3,052,743	- 27.0	- 20.0
	18	13	14		
Dubuque, IA	1,050,044	749,485	869,482	- 29.0	- 17.0
	18	13	15		
Iowa City, IA	730,569	669,800	598,088	- 8.0	- 18.0
	10	9	8		
Sioux City, IA	1,611,285	943,687	1,233,790	- 41.0	- 23.0
	20	11	15		
Waterloo, IA	1,227,069	1,017,412	1,186,193	- 17.0	- 3.0
West Des Moines,	18	15	17		
IA	306,717	247,362	291,582	- 19.0	- 5.0
	5	4	4		
State Program	24,404,248	15,864,902	14,009,225	- 35.0	- 43.0
	8	5	4		
TOTAL	37,674,067	26,331,071	25,153,359	- 30.0	- 33.0
<u>Kansas</u>					
Kansas City, KS	2,188,921	2,529,093	2,586,391	16.0	18.0
	14	17	17		

		t Amount and l Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to	
T . 1. 4. N	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	` ,	` ′
Lawrence, KS	763,994	855,811	658,007	12.0	- 14.0
	8	9	7		
Leavenworth, KS	338,134	384,743	310,374	14.0	-8.0
	9	11	9		
Manhattan City, KS	508,040	542,305	506,146	7.0	0.0
	9	10	9		
Overland Park, KS	741,895	583,494	609,491	-21.0	-18.0
	4	3	3		
Shawnee, KS	303,669	346,245	333,156	14.0	10.0
	5	5	5		
Topeka, KS	1,830,595	1,714,194	1,970,135	-6.0	8.0
	14	14	16		
Wichita, KS	2,900,615	5,067,778	4,256,126	75.0	47.0
	7	13	11		
Johnson County, KS	1,322,173	1,165,569	1,179,401	- 12.0	- 11.0
	2	2	2		
State Program	14,938,570	13,418,054	12,055,256	- 10.0	- 19.0
	5	5	4		
TOTAL	25,836,606	26,607,285	24,464,483	3.0	- 5.0
	, ,		, ,		
<u>Kentucky</u>					
Ashland, KY	537,716	477,018	637,894	- 11.0	19.0
	26	23	31		
Bowling Green, KY	664,649	699,809	723,829	5.0	9.0
	10	10	11		
Covington, KY	1,456,538	864,911	1,150,710	-41.0	-21.0

		t Amount and l Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
gui isuiction i tunic	36	21	28		
Elizabethtown, KY	210,137	320,156	267,926	52.0	28.0
,	7	11	9		
Henderson, KY	252,729	544,796	400,316	116.0	58.0
,	9	19	14		
Hopkinsville, KY	270,461	466,818	473,617	73.0	75.0
	9	15	15		
Lexington-Fayette, KY	2,327,557	3,154,092	2,600,982	36.0	12.0
	7	10	8		
Louisville-CDBG, KY	11,320,672	8,005,613	8,628,348	- 29.0	- 24.0
	11	8	9		
Owensboro, KY	531,553	838,090	797,638	58.0	50.0
	9	14	13		
State Program	26,341,504	43,083,088	32,185,861	64.0	22.0
	6	10	7		
TOTAL	43,913,516	58,454,391	47,867,122	33.0	9.0
<u>Louisiana</u>					
Alexandria, LA	456,181	888,291	959,497	95.0	110.0
	10	19	20		
Baton Rouge, LA	3,216,842	3,498,108	2,881,272	9.0	- 10.0
	8	9	8		
Bossier City, LA	525,997	911,731	755,951	73.0	44.0
	8	13	11		

		Total Grant Amount and Per-Capita Grant Amount		Alternative Percent C Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
Houma-Terrebonne, LA	929,650	1,667,875	1,295,750	79.0	39.0
	8	15	12		
Kenner, LA	551,899	876,318	709,144	59.0	28.0
	8	13	11		
Lafayette, LA	1,519,505	1,540,872	1,378,799	1.0	- 9.0
	8	8	7		
Lake Charles, LA	686,050	1,226,880	1,090,361	79.0	59.0
	9	16	14		
Monroe, LA	741,577	1,151,108	1,231,873	55.0	66.0
	15	24	26		
New Orleans, LA	12,837,466	7,841,075	11,892,794	- 39.0	- 7.0
	33	20	30		
Shreveport, LA	1,932,870	3,743,243	3,809,590	94.0	97.0
	10	20	20		
Slidell, LA	191,640	292,529	247,558	53.0	29.0
	7	11	9		
Thibodaux, LA	134,099	212,509	221,442	58.0	65.0
	9	15	15		
Jefferson Parish, LA	2,590,499	4,229,570	3,457,541	63.0	33.0
	6	10	8		
St. Tammany					
Parish, LA	1,264,100	1,495,139	1,520,182	18.0	20.0
	5	6	6		
State Program	22,635,142	35,283,725	27,571,712	56.0	22.0
	5	8	6		
TOTAL	50,213,517	64,858,972	59,023,466	29.0	18.0

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
<u>Maine</u>					
Auburn, ME	539,755	255,300	336,598	- 53.0	- 38.0
	23	11	15		
Bangor, ME	836,482	457,188	679,027	- 45.0	- 19.0
	26	14	21		
Biddeford, ME	380,431	206,979	230,666	- 46.0	- 39.0
	18	10	11		
Lewiston, ME	839,749	554,409	677,163	- 34.0	- 19.0
	23	15	19		
Portland City, ME	1,845,200	916,537	1,119,009	- 50.0	- 39.0
	28	14	17		
Cumberland County, ME	1,547,709	1,216,762	1,015,437	- 21.0	- 34.0
	5	4	3		
State Program	11,725,854	10,072,852	8,144,155	- 14.0	- 31.0
	9	8	6		
TOTAL	17,715,180	13,680,028	12,202,055	- 23.0	- 31.0
<u>Maryland</u>					
Annapolis, MD	306,178	328,670	337,782	7.0	10.0
-	8	8	9		
Baltimore, MD	21,561,511	13,242,062	18,646,824	- 39.0	- 14.0
	35	22	31		
Bowie City, MD	188,325	150,495	155,204	- 20.0	- 18.0
	3	3	3		

		t Amount and I	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Cumberland, MD	803,337	466,539	699,361	- 42.0	- 13.0
	41	24	36		
Frederick, MD	433,229	568,367	501,167	31.0	16.0
	6	8	7		
Gaithersburg, MD	461,286	433,420	528,254	- 6.0	15.0
	7	6	8		
Hagerstown, MD	783,315	873,486	794,556	12.0	1.0
	20	22	20		
Salisbury, MD	366,871	414,274	376,914	13.0	3.0
<u> </u>	11	13	12		
Anne Arundel County, MD	2,095,287	2,174,939	1,974,012	4.0	- 6.0
<b>*</b> *	4	4	3		
Baltimore County, MD	4,210,974	5,989,643	4,829,881	42.0	15.0
	5	7	6		
Harford County, MD	1,114,353	1,390,534	1,165,352	25.0	5.0
	4	6	5		
Howard County, MD	1,330,423	929,111	1,148,911	- 30.0	- 14.0
	4	3	4		
Montgomery County, MD	4,919,218	4,203,164	5,134,453	- 15.0	4.0
	5	4	5		
Prince Georges County, MD	5,075,239	5,504,028	5,401,258	8.0	6.0
	6	6	6		

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
State Program	7,900,861	7,840,205	6,430,054	- 1.0	- 19.0
	1	1	1		
TOTAL	51,550,407	44,508,937	48,123,984	- 14.0	- 7.0
Massachusetts					
Arlington, MA	1,059,708	233,871	266,905	- 78.0	- 75.0
rumgton, wr	23	5	6	70.0	75.0
Attleboro, MA	382,105	351,617	296,522	- 8.0	- 22.0
111100010, 11111	9	8	7	0.0	22.0
Barnstable, MA	307,547	339,462	279,758	10.0	- 9.0
,	7	8	6		
Boston, MA	17,264,101	9,399,573	10,333,512	- 46.0	- 40.0
	25	14	15		
Brockton, MA	1,270,263	1,202,342	1,006,662	- 5.0	-21.0
	13	13	11		
Brookline, MA	1,257,255	448,572	500,782	- 64.0	- 60.0
	21	8	8		
Cambridge, MA	2,614,265	942,904	1,021,627	- 64.0	- 61.0
	22	8	9		
Chicopee, MA	1,163,999	681,659	893,193	- 41.0	- 23.0
	21	12	16		
Fall River, MA	2,761,028	1,722,079	1,919,395	- 38.0	-30.0
	31	19	21		
Fitchburg, MA	928,832	574,953	681,070	- 38.0	-27.0
	23	14	17		
Framingham, MA	494,673	577,145	460,172	17.0	-7.0

		t Amount and . Grant Amount	Alternative Percent C Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	7	8	6		
Gloucester, MA	698,278	289,784	289,148	- 59.0	- 59.0
	23	10	10		
Haverhill, MA	953,972	604,591	503,609	- 37.0	- 47.0
	15	10	8		
Holyoke, MA	1,234,923	963,513	1,089,108	-22.0	- 12.0
	31	24	27		
Lawrence, MA	1,578,810	1,439,738	1,244,062	-9.0	-21.0
	20	18	16		
Leominster, MA	444,644	424,817	333,638	-4.0	-25.0
	11	10	8		
Lowell, MA	2,128,335	1,646,081	1,509,637	- 23.0	- 29.0
	19	15	14		
Lynn, MA	2,213,895	1,389,337	1,429,877	- 37.0	- 35.0
	24	15	15		
Malden, MA	1,306,273	718,407	734,243	- 45.0	- 44.0
	21	12	12		
Medford, MA	1,488,561	337,058	426,602	- 77.0	- 71.0
	26	6	7		
New Bedford, MA	2,550,616	1,726,520	1,874,797	- 32.0	- 26.0
	27	18	20		
Newton, MA	1,869,615	301,237	401,817	- 84.0	- 79.0
	21	3	5		
Northampton, MA	643,143	294,946	402,226	- 54.0	-37.0
	23	10	14		
Peabody City, MA	430,644	431,370	330,696	0.0	-23.0

		t Amount and I Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	8	8	6		
Pittsfield, MA	1,300,258	607,463	899,570	- 53.0	-31.0
	30	14	21		
Plymouth Town, MA	378,687	351,557	272,782	- 7.0	- 28.0
	6	6	5		
Quincy, MA	1,744,487	1,009,952	923,942	- 42.0	-47.0
	19	11	10		
Revere City, MA	688,190	584,284	549,076	- 15.0	-20.0
	13	11	10		
Salem, MA	1,055,784	580,138	592,506	- 45.0	-44.0
	24	13	14		
Somerville, MA	2,497,768	703,222	781,035	- 72.0	-69.0
	31	9	10		
Springfield, MA	3,775,040	3,294,985	3,538,380	- 13.0	-6.0
	24	21	23		
Taunton, MA	811,925	703,631	584,891	- 13.0	-28.0
	14	12	10		
Waltham, MA	886,661	435,848	437,186	- 51.0	- 51.0
	14	7	7		
Westfield, MA	367,749	278,206	247,644	- 24.0	- 33.0
	9	7	6		
Weymouth Town, MA	715,400	332,891	335,420	- 53.0	- 53.0
	13	6	6		
Worcester, MA	4,230,701	3,041,965	3,166,013	- 28.0	-25.0
	23	16	17		

	Total Grant Amount and Per-Capita Grant Amount				Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)	
Yarmouth, MA	126,165	175,637	147,126	39.0	17.0	
Turrioudi, IVII I	5	8	6	27.0	17.0	
State Program	33,883,973	18,410,826	15,803,480	- 46.0	- 53.0	
<u> </u>	5	3	2			
TOTAL	99,508,273	57,552,179	56,508,107	- 42.0	-43.0	
Michigan						
Battle Creek, MI	1,224,938	944,822	1,165,715	- 23.0	- 5.0	
	24	18	23			
Bay City, MI	1,269,898	742,115	1,018,026	- 42.0	- 20.0	
	38	22	31			
Benton Harbor, MI	410,866	361,461	442,014	- 12.0	8.0	
	42	37	45			
Canton Twp, MI	373,950	361,122	336,372	- 3.0	- 10.0	
	4	4	4			
Clinton Twp, MI	590,361	965,808	738,860	64.0	25.0	
	6	10	7			
Dearborn, MI	1,750,977	1,679,276	1,809,215	-4.0	3.0	
	18	18	19			
Dearborn Heights, MI	921,792	799,805	1,107,260	- 13.0	20.0	
	17	14	20			
Detroit, MI	34,511,284	24,217,566	36,887,752	- 30.0	7.0	
	51	36	55			
East Lansing, MI	484,866	379,222	394,953	- 22.0	- 19.0	
	10	8	8			

		t Amount and l Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
Farmington Hills, MI	360,494	455,008	396,202	26.0	10.0
	4	6	5		
Flint, MI	4,059,058	3,692,579	4,993,859	-9.0	23.0
	42	38	52		
Grand Rapids, MI	3,722,454	2,944,566	2,959,119	-21.0	-21.0
	19	15	15		
Holland, MI	322,759	291,240	261,660	- 10.0	- 19.0
	10	9	8		
Jackson, MI	1,293,394	872,774	1,095,761	- 33.0	- 15.0
	40	27	34		
Kalamazoo, MI	1,601,079	1,156,353	1,391,794	-28.0	- 13.0
	21	15	18		
Lansing, MI	2,065,292	2,332,944	2,327,207	13.0	13.0
	18	20	20		
Lincoln Park, MI	747,768	689,421	906,761	-8.0	21.0
	20	19	25		
Livonia, MI	329,111	479,649	471,769	46.0	43.0
	3	5	5		
Midland, MI	202,813	334,651	282,155	65.0	39.0
	5	8	7		
Monroe, MI	453,132	370,341	426,667	- 18.0	- 6.0
	23	19	22		
Muskegon, MI	912,514	820,333	945,980	- 10.0	4.0
	24	22	25		
Muskegon Hts, MI	446,726	365,596	469,136	- 18.0	5.0

		t Amount and l Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
	42	34	44		
Niles, MI	276,844	258,881	281,213	- 6.0	2.0
	25	23	25		
Norton Shores, MI	142,550	158,593	166,781	11.0	17.0
	6	6	7		
Portage, MI	224,117	277,866	247,102	24.0	10.0
	5	6	5		
Port Huron, MI	727,960	632,687	722,060	- 13.0	- 1.0
	25	22	25		
Redford, MI	880,935	619,409	980,597	- 30.0	11.0
	19	13	21		
Roseville, MI	579,432	680,661	757,798	17.0	31.0
	12	14	16		
Royal Oak, MI	1,167,037	401,359	606,361	- 66.0	-48.0
	20	7	10		
Saginaw, MI	2,183,497	1,554,944	2,151,928	- 29.0	- 1.0
	45	32	44		
St Clair Shores, MI	878,805	477,383	843,749	- 46.0	-4.0
, and the second	15	8	14		
Southfield, MI	397,913	822,817	685,104	107.0	72.0
,	5	11	9		
Sterling Heights, MI	793,684	1,118,890	983,290	41.0	24.0
<i>a</i> , -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -,	6	8	7		,
Taylor, MI	437,893	866,946	797,804	98.0	82.0
J,	7	14	13	, 3.0	52.0
Warren, MI	1,098,886	2,019,550	1,575,288	84.0	43.0

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
	8	15	12		
Waterford	265.026	671 021	556 504	04.0	52.0
Township, MI	365,936	671,831	556,784	84.0	52.0
	5	9	8		
Westland, MI	1,025,084	1,020,166	1,327,048	0.0	29.0
	13	12	16		
Wyoming, MI	525,862	724,526	644,313	38.0	23.0
	7	10	9		
Genesee County, MI	1,809,111	3,021,088	2,523,142	67.0	39.0
•	4	7	6		
Kent County, MI	1,672,694	1,618,627	1,683,508	- 3.0	1.0
•	3	3	3		
Macomb County,					
MI	1,817,302	2,534,525	2,043,824	39.0	12.0
	2	3	2		
Oakland County, MI	5,199,985	5,767,765	5,486,291	11.0	6.0
	4	4	4		
Washtenaw County,					
MI	2,156,668	2,469,663	2,087,810	15.0	-3.0
	6	7	6		
Wayne County, MI	5,248,122	5,769,040	5,949,573	10.0	13.0
	3	3	3		
State Program	33,724,481	37,149,016	29,528,722	10.0	- 12.0
_	3	4	3		
TOTAL	121,390,324	115,892,885	123,458,326	- 5.0	2.0
-	)- × × j= - •	- )	- ,	2.0	
<u>Minnesota</u>					

		t Amount and I Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Bloomington, MN	462,626	494,000	490,306	7.0	6.0
	5	6	6		
Duluth, MN	2,348,437	991,634	1,555,985	- 58.0	- 34.0
	27	12	18		
Eden Prairie, MN	295,208	165,034	258,378	- 44.0	- 12.0
	5	3	4		
Mankato City, MN	403,676	441,490	340,399	9.0	- 16.0
	10	10	8		
Minneapolis, MN	10,966,958	5,416,445	6,962,662	- 51.0	- 37.0
	26	13	17		
Moorhead, MN	284,415	378,459	292,488	33.0	3.0
	7	9	7		
Plymouth, MN	281,261	199,797	253,411	-29.0	- 10.0
	4	3	3		
Rochester, MN	698,899	719,765	727,030	3.0	4.0
	6	6	6		
St Cloud, MN	657,532	811,157	702,832	23.0	7.0
	10	12	10		
St Paul, MN	6,684,445	3,979,292	4,561,069	-40.0	- 32.0
	22	13	15		
Woodbury City, MN	242,836	120,081	179,485	- 51.0	- 26.0
	3	2	3		
Anoka County, MN	1,523,939	1,484,639	1,482,160	- 3.0	- 3.0
,	4	4	4		
Dakota County, MN	1,908,042	1,698,457	1,789,239	- 11.0	- 6.0

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
П . С .	5	4	4		
Hennepin County, MN	2,770,605	2,691,071	2,759,488	-3.0	0.0
	2	2	2		
Ramsey County, MN	1,203,195	1,260,371	1,286,947	5.0	7.0
	2	2	2		
St Louis County, MN	1,955,233	1,201,316	1,753,488	- 39.0	- 10.0
TVIIT	10	6	9	37.0	10.0
Washington County,	10	0	<u> </u>		
MN	677,757	553,508	580,618	- 18.0	- 14.0
	3	2	2		
State Program	18,710,770	15,128,031	12,905,454	- 19.0	- 31.0
	3	3	2		
TOTAL	52,075,834	37,734,547	38,881,440	- 28.0	-25.0
Mississippi					
Biloxi, MS	414,991	586,120	656,314	41.0	58.0
	9	13	14		5 515
Gulfport, MS	780,393	1,406,384	1,110,511	80.0	42.0
•	11	20	15		
Hattiesburg, MS	518,807	910,496	786,279	75.0	52.0
<u> </u>	11	20	17		
Jackson, MS	1,750,952	3,265,855	3,323,997	87.0	90.0
	11	20	20		
Moss Point, MS	106,487	283,844	252,955	167.0	138.0
	8	21	19		

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Pascagoula, MS	206,681	467,019	441,344	126.0	114.0
	9	21	20		
State Program	24,647,784	36,277,900	28,175,533	47.0	14.0
	8	12	9		
TOTAL	28,426,095	43,197,618	34,746,933	52.0	22.0
<u>Missouri</u>					
Blue Springs, MO	251,172	226,048	228,356	- 10.0	-9.0
	5	4	4		
Columbia, MO	1,013,654	904,410	791,132	- 11.0	- 22.0
	8	7	7		
Florissant, MO	268,654	490,969	490,388	83.0	83.0
	5	10	10		
Independence, MO	867,612	1,406,271	1,336,194	62.0	54.0
	7	12	11		
Jefferson City, MO	290,464	403,430	358,056	39.0	23.0
	7	9	8		
Joplin, MO	538,922	661,644	671,698	23.0	25.0
	11	13	13		
Kansas City, MO	7,716,678	6,682,588	7,753,814	- 13.0	0.0
	16	14	16		
Lees Summit, MO	333,270	301,101	268,764	- 10.0	- 19.0
	3	3	3		
O'Fallon, MO	313,595	182,470	234,880	- 42.0	-25.0
	4	2	3		
St Joseph, MO	1,590,877	1,206,729	1,473,250	- 24.0	-7.0

		t Amount and I Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	21	16	19		
St Louis, MO	18,076,268	7,311,959	13,667,780	- 60.0	- 24.0
	59	24	44		
Springfield, MO	1,609,035	2,730,993	2,067,452	70.0	28.0
	10	16	12		
Jefferson County, MO	1,155,305	1,745,701	1,394,336	51.0	21.0
	5	8	6		
St. Charles County, MO	1,087,533	1,037,186	949,499	- 5.0	- 13.0
	3	3	2		
St Louis County, MO	5,638,035	7,601,570	7,713,827	35.0	37.0
	6	8	8		
State Program	23,229,567	32,848,470	25,560,224	41.0	10.0
	4	5	4		
TOTAL	63,980,641	65,741,538	64,959,650	3.0	2.0
<u>Montana</u>					
Billings, MT	648,129	803,749	752,809	24.0	16.0
	6	7	7		
Great Falls, MT	763,976	759,959	915,282	- 1.0	20.0
	13	13	16		
Missoula, MT	562,363	781,220	618,938	39.0	10.0
	8	11	8		
State Program	6,463,318	8,289,565	6,667,105	28.0	3.0
	6	8	6		

		t Amount and l Grant Amount	Alternative Percent C Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to ear 2022	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
TOTAL	8,437,786	10,634,495	8,954,134	26.0	6.0
<u>Nebraska</u>					
Bellevue, NE	353,014	407,194	365,917	15.0	4.0
	7	8	7		
Grand Island, NE	365,755	484,093	436,188	32.0	19.0
	7	9	9		
Lincoln, NE	1,834,538	1,555,849	1,790,294	- 15.0	-2.0
	6	5	6		
Omaha, NE	4,367,584	4,814,777	4,329,008	10.0	- 1.0
	9	10	9		
State Program	10,674,446	7,618,758	6,782,383	- 29.0	-36.0
	6	4	4		
TOTAL	17,595,337	14,880,670	13,703,790	- 15.0	- 22.0
<u>Nevada</u>					
Henderson, NV	1,482,936	1,372,827	1,663,419	- 7.0	12.0
	5	5	6		
Las Vegas, NV	5,190,389	5,773,716	6,910,759	11.0	33.0
	8	9	11		
North Las Vegas, NV	1,865,832	1,963,622	2,148,538	5.0	15.0
	8	8	9		
Reno, NV	2,069,377	2,313,361	2,453,880	12.0	19.0
_	8	9	10	_	
Sparks, NV	652,698	692,141	728,966	6.0	12.0

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
T . 10 / NT	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
Clark County, NV	8,052,119	9,477,732	7 10,493,501	18.0	30.0
Clark County, NV	6,032,119	9,477,732	10,493,301	16.0	30.0
State Program	3,385,155	3,526,624	3,553,232	4.0	5.0
State 110gram	1	1		1.0	5.0
TOTAL	22,698,506	25,120,024	27,952,295	11.0	23.0
<u>New Hampshire</u>					
Dover, NH	301,270	278,731	215,753	- 7.0	-28.0
	10	9	7		
Manchester, NH	1,693,458	1,348,430	1,240,831	- 20.0	- 27.0
	15	12	11		
Nashua, NH	645,215	691,004	620,323	7.0	-4.0
	7	8	7		
Portsmouth, NH	523,488	142,247	169,960	- 73.0	-68.0
	24	7	8		
Rochester, NH	245,772	316,534	231,531	29.0	-6.0
	8	10	7		
State Program	9,163,131	5,579,550	4,614,838	- 39.0	- 50.0
	7	4	3		
TOTAL	12,572,334	8,356,496	7,093,236	- 34.0	- 44.0
<u>New Jersey</u>					
Asbury Park, NJ	405,306	354,839	380,634	- 12.0	- 6.0
	26	23	24		
Atlantic City, NJ	1,316,668	1,179,494	1,351,058	- 10.0	3.0

		t Amount and I Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	35	31	36		
Bayonne, NJ	1,373,770	774,760	902,471	- 44.0	- 34.0
-	21	12	14		
Bloomfield, NJ	1,009,737	338,849	383,995	- 66.0	- 62.0
	21	7	8		
Brick Township, NJ	310,687	483,407	375,947	56.0	21.0
	4	6	5		
Bridgeton, NJ	297,891	475,942	405,242	60.0	36.0
	12	19	17		
Camden, NJ	2,540,568	2,119,517	2,593,924	- 17.0	2.0
	34	29	35		
Cherry Hill, NJ	423,117	358,279	416,684	- 15.0	- 2.0
	6	5	6		
Clifton, NJ	1,176,393	736,714	892,157	- 37.0	- 24.0
·	14	9	10		
Toms River					
Township, NJ	413,983	694,664	506,140	68.0	22.0
	4	8	5		
East Orange, NJ	1,406,911	1,100,884	1,332,978	- 22.0	- 5.0
	22	17	21		
Edison, NJ	634,985	458,343	675,965	- 28.0	6.0
	6	5	7		
Elizabeth, NJ	1,540,468	1,806,132	1,842,236	17.0	20.0
	12	14	14		
Ewing Township, NJ	239,146	256,571	267,494	7.0	12.0
	7	7	7		

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	-	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
Franklin Township, NJ	312,630	294,942	292,137	- 6.0	- 7.0
	5	5	4		
Gloucester Twp, NJ	274,213	384,654	316,340	40.0	15.0
	4	6	5		
Hamilton, NJ	623,031	668,973	563,565	7.0	- 10.0
	7	8	6		
Hoboken City, NJ	967,176	339,029	370,579	- 65.0	-62.0
	18	6	7		
Irvington, NJ	1,097,615	988,040	1,109,272	- 10.0	1.0
	20	18	21		
Jersey City, NJ	5,331,567	3,708,347	3,644,762	- 30.0	- 32.0
	20	14	14		
Lakewood Township, NJ	1,322,895	958,254	1,231,976	- 28.0	- 7.0
	13	9	12		
Long Branch, NJ	459,665	421,507	391,562	-8.0	- 15.0
	15	14	13		
Middletown, NJ	262,759	204,131	170,060	- 22.0	- 35.0
	4	3	3		
Millville, NJ	278,272	361,718	366,056	30.0	32.0
	10	13	13		
Newark, NJ	6,921,853	6,080,327	7,181,576	- 12.0	4.0
	25	22	26		
New Brunswick, NJ	826,779	948,701	936,142	15.0	13.0
	15	17	17		

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
North Bergen	622.222	656 100	606.240	4.0	0.0
Township, NJ	632,333	656,433	686,348	4.0	9.0
	10	11	11		
Ocean City, NJ	277,038	98,076	113,637	- 65.0	- 59.0
	25	9	10		
Old Bridge					
Township, NJ	278,707	352,206	290,779	26.0	4.0
	4	5	4		
Parsippany-					
Troyhills Twp, NJ	227,919	239,226	239,333	5.0	5.0
	4	5	5		
Passaic, NJ	1,244,647	1,399,969	1,588,103	12.0	28.0
	18	20	23		
Paterson, NJ	2,454,281	2,954,669	2,699,136	20.0	10.0
,	17	20	19		
Perth Amboy, NJ	627,802	732,292	679,186	17.0	8.0
•	12	14	13		
Piscataway					
Township, NJ	296,881	246,286	271,941	- 17.0	-8.0
	5	4	5		
Princeton, NJ	232,846	146,746	159,594	- 37.0	- 31.0
	8	5	5		
Sayreville, NJ	238,924	255,936	253,864	7.0	6.0
	5	6	6		
Trenton, NJ	2,879,085	2,220,793	2,548,597	- 23.0	- 11.0
	35	27	31		
Union City, NJ	1,053,448	1,167,199	1,236,915	11.0	17.0
	15	17	18		

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
Union Township, NJ	609,189	288,007	324,998	- 53.0	- 47.0
	10	5	6		
Vineland, NJ	463,249	658,203	628,625	42.0	36.0
	8	11	10		
Wayne Township, NJ	179,444	162,287	153,660	- 10.0	- 14.0
	3	3	3		
Woodbridge, NJ	674,918	535,904	559,107	- 21.0	- 17.0
	7	5	6		
Atlantic County, NJ	1,126,773	1,480,583	1,249,305	31.0	11.0
	4	6	5		
Bergen County, NJ	9,158,376	5,235,413	5,271,217	-43.0	- 42.0
	10	6	6		
Burlington County, NJ	1,445,994	1,651,125	1,441,026	14.0	0.0
	3	4	3		
Camden County, NJ	2,371,195	2,594,907	2,346,759	9.0	- 1.0
	5	5	5		
Essex County, NJ	5,238,832	1,750,122	1,900,909	- 67.0	- 64.0
	7	2	2		
Gloucester, NJ	1,351,888	1,650,149	1,349,387	22.0	0.0
	4	5	4		
Hudson County, NJ	2,085,021	1,799,637	1,913,407	- 14.0	- 8.0
	3	3	3		
Middlesex County, NJ	1,678,121	1,849,352	1,754,251	10.0	5.0
	2	2	2		

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
Monmouth County, NJ	2,549,287	2,510,722	2,103,470	- 2.0	- 17.0
	4	4	3		
Morris County, NJ	1,920,980	1,622,027	1,477,169	- 16.0	- 23.0
	4	3	3		
Ocean County, NJ	1,422,730	2,010,086	1,575,269	41.0	11.0
	2	3	2		
Passaic County, NJ	906,413	793,863	649,865	- 12.0	-28.0
	2	2	1		
Somerset County, NJ	1,048,662	1,014,122	915,542	-3.0	- 13.0
	3	3	3		
Union County, NJ	4,595,895	2,391,494	2,390,978	- 48.0	- 48.0
	8	4	4		
State Program	6,631,167	6,121,324	5,299,328	- 8.0	- 20.0
	1	1	1		
TOTAL	87,670,130	73,086,174	72,972,660	- 17.0	- 17.0
New Mexico					
Albuquerque, NM	4,345,749	5,889,878	5,497,132	36.0	26.0
	8	11	10		
Farmington, NM	397,315	445,011	473,890	12.0	19.0
	9	10	10		
Las Cruces, NM	1,001,574	1,244,944	1,144,717	24.0	14.0
	10	12	11		
Rio Rancho, NM	521,084	475,437	566,842	- 9.0	9.0
	5	5	6		

		t Amount and I	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Santa Fe, NM	604,842	792,193	757,242	31.0	25.0
	7	9	9		
State Program	11,241,582	18,385,634	14,892,324	64.0	32.0
	5	9	7		
TOTAL	18,112,146	27,233,096	23,332,147	50.0	29.0
New York					
Albany, NY	3,248,352	1,844,853	2,517,013	- 43.0	- 23.0
•	33	19	26		
Amherst Town, NY	612,421	795,129	723,580	30.0	18.0
	5	6	6		
Auburn, NY	861,712	450,252	599,323	-48.0	-30.0
	32	17	23		
Babylon Town, NY	1,119,969	1,424,472	1,236,324	27.0	10.0
	5	7	6		
Binghamton, NY	1,848,052	1,213,032	1,639,135	- 34.0	- 11.0
	41	27	36		
Brookhaven Town, NY	2,198,796	2,667,400	2,283,533	21.0	4.0
	5	6	5		
Buffalo, NY	13,327,232	6,888,871	10,379,061	- 48.0	- 22.0
	52	27	40		
Cheektowaga Town, NY	982,582	882,463	1,095,040	- 10.0	11.0
	11	10	13	-	
Colonie Town, NY	338,603	398,422	360,005	18.0	6.0
·	4	5	4		

		t Amount and l Grant Amount	Alternative Percent C Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to	
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
Dunkirk, NY	433,229	250,104	330,275	- 42.0	- 24.0
	37	21	28		
Elmira, NY	1,160,343	667,687	957,949	- 42.0	-17.0
	42	24	35		
Glen Falls, NY	480,879	234,252	314,375	- 51.0	-35.0
	34	16	22		
Greece, NY	474,813	652,363	530,410	37.0	12.0
	5	7	6		
Hamburg Town, NY	439,342	385,374	350,584	- 12.0	-20.0
-	8	7	6		
Huntington Town, NY	818,935	931,823	921,612	14.0	13.0
	4	5	5		
Irondequoit, NY	901,231	424,406	624,029	- 53.0	- 31.0
1	18	8	12		
Islip Town, NY	1,912,270	2,038,697	2,143,025	7.0	12.0
•	6	6	6		
Ithaca, NY	633,069	373,635	461,492	-41.0	- 27.0
	21	12	15		
Jamestown, NY	1,144,273	839,873	994,873	- 27.0	- 13.0
	39	28	34		
Kingston, NY	688,603	398,796	491,955	- 42.0	- 29.0
	30	17	21		
Middletown, NY	429,419	391,277	365,504	- 9.0	- 15.0
	15	14	13		
Mount Vernon, NY	1,594,105	919,024	1,081,772	- 42.0	- 32.0

		t Amount and . Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	23	14	16		
Newburgh, NY	872,611	659,137	711,867	- 24.0	- 18.0
	31	23	25		
New Rochelle, NY	1,476,158	728,075	838,435	- 51.0	-43.0
	19	9	11		
New York, NY	166,190,188	130,147,975	125,341,475	- 22.0	-25.0
	20	15	15		
Niagara Falls, NY	2,340,742	1,342,599	2,070,270	- 43.0	- 12.0
	49	28	43		
Poughkeepsie, NY	836,015	567,515	698,795	- 32.0	- 16.0
	28	19	23		
Rochester, NY	8,171,653	5,424,195	6,826,232	- 34.0	- 16.0
	40	26	33		
Rome, NY	990,468	616,383	909,997	- 38.0	-8.0
	31	19	28		
Saratoga Springs, NY	313,757	144,074	141,490	- 54.0	- 55.0
	11	5	5		
Schenectady, NY	2,126,820	1,451,278	1,826,299	- 32.0	- 14.0
•	33	22	28		
Syracuse, NY	4,952,886	3,499,863	4,576,195	- 29.0	-8.0
	35	25	32		
Tonawanda Town, NY	1,649,276	399,091	736,112	- 76.0	- 55.0
	23	6	10		
Troy, NY	1,717,436	974,829	1,297,194	- 43.0	- 24.0
	35	20	26		

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Union Town, NY	1,203,062	730,395	1,036,751	- 39.0	- 14.0
	22	14	19		
Utica, NY	2,426,605	1,514,791	2,047,502	- 38.0	- 16.0
	40	25	34		
Watertown City, NY	904,702	573,141	717,939	- 37.0	- 21.0
	35	22	28		
White Plains, NY	913,091	591,015	554,112	- 35.0	- 39.0
	16	10	10		
Yonkers, NY	3,403,023	2,667,855	2,806,126	- 22.0	- 18.0
Dutchess County, NY	17 1,488,881 5	13 1,775,627 6	1,468,297 5	19.0	-1.0
Erie County, NY	3,114,274	1,762,593	1,989,848	- 43.0	- 36.0
Life County, 141	3,114,274	1,702,373	2	- <del>1</del> 3.0	- 30.0
Monroe County, NY	1,830,930	1,914,887	1,728,012	5.0	- 6.0
-	2	3	2		
Nassau County, NY	13,487,934	5,862,941	6,446,389	- 57.0	- 52.0
	10	4	5		
Onondaga County, NY	2,195,369	1,596,210	1,686,263	- 27.0	- 23.0
	4	3	3		
Orange County, NY	1,629,662	1,827,262	1,452,179	12.0	- 11.0
	4	5	4		
Rockland County, NY	2,259,035	2,082,196	2,376,787	- 8.0	5.0
	7	6	7		

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to ear 2022	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Suffolk County, NY	1,337,218	1,345,933	1,120,081	1.0	- 16.0
	1	1	1		
Westchester County, NY	4,162,527	1,824,239	2,062,597	- 56.0	- 50.0
	4	2	2		
State Program	47,933,211	35,340,635	30,663,514	- 26.0	- 36.0
	2	2	2		
TOTAL	315,575,764	232,436,943	234,531,629	- 26.0	- 26.0
North Carolina					
Asheville, NC	1,028,467	1,010,400	923,105	-2.0	- 10.0
	11	11	10		
Burlington, NC	463,988	815,928	649,954	76.0	40.0
	9	15	12		
Cary, NC	662,923	410,170	560,843	-38.0	- 15.0
	4	2	3		
Chapel Hill, NC	421,579	320,049	318,349	- 24.0	- 24.0
	7	5	5		
Charlotte, NC	5,624,370	6,396,906	6,424,155	14.0	14.0
	7	7	7		
Concord, NC	663,377	591,838	724,334	- 11.0	9.0
	7	6	8		
Durham, NC	2,076,327	2,535,667	2,427,837	22.0	17.0
	8	9	9		
Fayetteville, NC	1,606,459	2,709,080	2,181,689	69.0	36.0
	8	13	10		

Surisdiction Name   2022 (\$)   Total (\$)   Total (\$)   Total (\$)			t Amount and : Grant Amount		Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022	
Gastonia, NC         630,021         1,007,862         842,481         60.0         34           Goldsboro, NC         358,547         685,540         644,320         91.0         80           Greensboro, NC         2,373,944         3,632,148         2,867,398         53.0         21           Greenville, NC         974,948         1,052,841         902,088         8.0         -7           Hickory, NC         332,494         428,873         408,219         29.0         23           High Point, NC         907,407         1,310,690         1,132,488         44.0         25           Jacksonville, NC         358,861         527,330         381,805         47.0         6           Kannapolis, NC         376,829         471,318         414,745         25.0         10           Lenoir, NC         153,704         340,082         273,226         121.0         78           Morganton, NC         194,543         272,677         254,534         40.0         31           New Bern city, NC         269,674         350,067         337,771         30.0         25           9         12         11         1         1         1	Luciadistica Nome		• '	-		2: Repair,
Section   Sect		` ′	` ′	` ′	` ,	` /
Goldsboro, NC         358,547         685,540         644,320         91.0         80           Greensboro, NC         2,373,944         3,632,148         2,867,398         53.0         21           Greenville, NC         8         12         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         11         10         10         11         11         10         11         11         10         11         11         10         11         11         10         11         10         11         10         11         10         11         10         11         10         11         10         11         10         11         10	Gastonia, NC		, ,		60.0	34.0
10	C-14-h NC				01.0	20.0
Greensboro, NC         2,373,944         3,632,148         2,867,398         53.0         21           Greenville, NC         974,948         1,052,841         902,088         8.0         -7           11         11         10         11         10           Hickory, NC         332,494         428,873         408,219         29.0         23           8         11         10         10         10         10         10           High Point, NC         907,407         1,310,690         1,132,488         44.0         25           8         12         10         10         10         10         10           Jacksonville, NC         358,861         527,330         381,805         47.0         60         <	Goldsboro, NC		ĺ		91.0	80.0
B         12         10           Greenville, NC         974,948         1,052,841         902,088         8.0         -7           11         11         10         11         10           Hickory, NC         332,494         428,873         408,219         29.0         23           8         11         10         10         10         10           High Point, NC         907,407         1,310,690         1,132,488         44.0         25           8         12         10         10         10         10           Jacksonville, NC         358,861         527,330         381,805         47.0         6           Kannapolis, NC         376,829         471,318         414,745         25.0         10           8         10         9         9         15         10           Lenoir, NC         153,704         340,082         273,226         121.0         78           Morganton, NC         194,543         272,677         254,534         40.0         31           New Bern city, NC         269,674         350,067         337,771         30.0         25           New Bern city, NC         269,674         350,067<	C 1 NC				52.0	21.0
Greenville, NC         974,948         1,052,841         902,088         8.0         -7           Hickory, NC         332,494         428,873         408,219         29.0         23           High Point, NC         907,407         1,310,690         1,132,488         44.0         25           8         12         10         10         10         10         10           Jacksonville, NC         358,861         527,330         381,805         47.0         6         6         47.0         6         6         6         6         6         10         9         10	Greensboro, NC				33.0	21.0
11	Consensition NC				9.0	7.0
Hickory, NC         332,494         428,873         408,219         29.0         23           8         11         10         10         11         10         11         10         11         10         11         10         11         10         11         11         10         11         11         10         11         11         10         10         11         12         10 <td< td=""><td>Greenville, NC</td><td>Í</td><td></td><td></td><td>8.0</td><td>- 7.0</td></td<>	Greenville, NC	Í			8.0	- 7.0
High Point, NC   907,407   1,310,690   1,132,488   44.0   25	History NC				20.0	22.0
High Point, NC         907,407         1,310,690         1,132,488         44.0         25           8         12         10	Hickory, NC			-	29.0	23.0
8     12     10       Jacksonville, NC     358,861     527,330     381,805     47.0     6       5     7     5       Kannapolis, NC     376,829     471,318     414,745     25.0     10       8     10     9       Lenoir, NC     153,704     340,082     273,226     121.0     78       9     19     15       Morganton, NC     194,543     272,677     254,534     40.0     31       12     17     15       New Bern city, NC     269,674     350,067     337,771     30.0     25       9     12     11	III al. Daine NC				44.0	25.0
Jacksonville, NC         358,861         527,330         381,805         47.0         6           5         7         5           Kannapolis, NC         376,829         471,318         414,745         25.0         10           8         10         9         10         9         10	High Point, NC				44.0	25.0
Kannapolis, NC     376,829     471,318     414,745     25.0     10       8     10     9       Lenoir, NC     153,704     340,082     273,226     121.0     78       9     19     15       Morganton, NC     194,543     272,677     254,534     40.0     31       12     17     15       New Bern city, NC     269,674     350,067     337,771     30.0     25       9     12     11	I1::11- NC				47.0	6.0
Kannapolis, NC       376,829       471,318       414,745       25.0       10         8       10       9       10       9         Lenoir, NC       153,704       340,082       273,226       121.0       78         9       19       15         Morganton, NC       194,543       272,677       254,534       40.0       31         12       17       15         New Bern city, NC       269,674       350,067       337,771       30.0       25         9       12       11	Jacksonville, NC				47.0	6.0
8     10     9       Lenoir, NC     153,704     340,082     273,226     121.0     78       9     19     15       Morganton, NC     194,543     272,677     254,534     40.0     31       12     17     15       New Bern city, NC     269,674     350,067     337,771     30.0     25       9     12     11	V1:- NC		-		25.0	10.0
Lenoir, NC         153,704         340,082         273,226         121.0         78           9         19         15           Morganton, NC         194,543         272,677         254,534         40.0         31           12         17         15           New Bern city, NC         269,674         350,067         337,771         30.0         25           9         12         11         11         11         11	Kannapons, NC				25.0	10.0
9 19 15  Morganton, NC 194,543 272,677 254,534 40.0 31  12 17 15  New Bern city, NC 269,674 350,067 337,771 30.0 25  9 12 11	I amain NC				121.0	79.0
Morganton, NC         194,543         272,677         254,534         40.0         31           12         17         15           New Bern city, NC         269,674         350,067         337,771         30.0         25           9         12         11         11         11         11	Lenoir, NC	Í			121.0	78.0
12         17         15           New Bern city, NC         269,674         350,067         337,771         30.0         25           9         12         11	Managentan NC				40.0	21.0
New Bern city, NC         269,674         350,067         337,771         30.0         25           9         12         11	Worganton, NC				40.0	31.0
9 12 11	Novy Dome sity, NC				20.0	25.0
	Thew Delli City, INC				30.0	25.0
Rateign, the $2,909,300$ $2,737,130$ $2,900,032$ $-3.0$	Poleigh NC				5.0	2.0
	Kaicigii, NC				- 3.0	2.0
	Poolsy Mount NC	-	_		07.0	66.0
8 17 14	Rocky Mount, NC			-	97.0	00.0

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Salisbury, NC	290,630	528,425	407,782	82.0	40.0
	9	16	12		
Wilmington, NC	1,019,736	1,655,248	1,260,327	62.0	24.0
	8	14	10		
Winston- Salem, NC	2,065,922	3,519,504	2,838,113	70.0	37.0
	8	14	12		
Cumberland County, NC	891,602	1,261,761	1,237,839	42.0	39.0
	3	4	4		
Mecklenburg County, NC	961,097	777,344	908,132	- 19.0	- 6.0
	1	1	1		
Union County, NC	1,114,630	1,066,717	1,175,581	-4.0	5.0
	5	5	5		
Wake County, NC	2,110,881	1,715,211	1,978,097	- 19.0	-6.0
	2	2	2		
State Program	46,537,096	64,017,347	52,064,547	38.0	12.0
	5	6	5		
TOTAL	77,839,656	103,073,410	88,265,313	32.0	13.0
North Dakota					
Bismarck, ND	384,720	558,103	467,920	45.0	22.0
	5	8	6		
Fargo, ND	807,540	989,748	805,055	23.0	0.0
	7	8	7		
Grand Forks, ND	461,970	594,871	477,805	29.0	3.0

		t Amount and l Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
	8	11	8		
State Program	3,883,422	3,699,522	3,476,233	- 5.0	- 10.0
	5	5	5		
TOTAL	5,537,652	5,842,244	5,227,013	6.0	-6.0
<u>Ohio</u>					
Akron, OH	6,015,147	4,080,521	5,502,943	- 32.0	-9.0
	30	21	28		
Alliance, OH	624,077	440,702	546,860	- 29.0	- 12.0
,	29	20	25		
Barberton, OH	677,911	461,556	591,970	- 32.0	- 13.0
,	26	18	23		
Bowling Green, OH	290,668	294,168	252,678	1.0	- 13.0
	9	9	8		
Canton, OH	2,594,732	1,909,233	2,416,474	- 26.0	- 7.0
	36	27	34		
Cincinnati, OH	11,374,769	6,954,687	9,936,259	- 39.0	- 13.0
	38	23	33		
Cleveland, OH	21,116,337	12,497,019	18,684,202	-41.0	- 12.0
	55	32	48		
Cleveland Heights,					
ОН	1,563,508	703,491	1,076,397	- 55.0	- 31.0
	35	16	24		
Columbus, OH	7,471,462	11,674,964	9,248,089	56.0	24.0
	9	13	11		
Cuyahoga Falls, OH	645,305	481,719	587,975	-25.0	-9.0

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
T'1'4' NI	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Jurisdiction Name	` ′			10tai (76)	10tai (70)
D - t OII	5 (02 70)	4,084,120	<u>12</u>	20.0	1.0
Dayton, OH	5,693,796	· · · · · ·	5,751,675	- 28.0	1.0
F + Cl 1 - 1 OH	1 122 152	29	1 242 422	10.0	11.0
East Cleveland, OH	1,123,153	908,383	1,242,423	- 19.0	11.0
Elamia OH	761,796	1 050 272	72	20.0	20.0
Elyria, OH	, i	1,059,272	975,503	39.0	28.0
E. 1'1 OH	1 007 112	1 007 100	1.250.620	0.0	24.0
Euclid, OH	1,007,113	1,097,189	1,350,620	9.0	34.0
E.1 OH	21	23	29	60.0	70.0
Fairborn, OH	272,722	457,317	407,743	68.0	50.0
H '' C' OH	8	14	12	25.0	0.0
Hamilton City, OH	1,350,057	1,010,474	1,228,112	- 25.0	- 9.0
W. A. OH	22	16	20	20.0	12.0
Kent, OH	306,092	392,099	346,029	28.0	13.0
II II OII	10	13	12	20.0	7.0
Kettering, OH	530,803	426,225	494,767	- 20.0	- 7.0
	1000.650	8	9	50.0	46.0
Lakewood, OH	1,899,659	776,633	1,031,935	- 59.0	- 46.0
	38	15	21	26.0	11.0
Lancaster, OH	497,759	676,173	554,279	36.0	11.0
T, OH	1.006.707	764 520	1.007.510	20.0	7.0
Lima, OH	1,086,787	764,539	1,007,518	- 30.0	- 7.0
	29	21	27	110	21.0
Lorain, OH	1,300,758	1,488,626	1,569,462	14.0	21.0
	20	23	25		
Mansfield, OH	838,224	946,017	1,011,461	13.0	21.0

		t Amount and . Grant Amount	Alternative Percent C Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	18	20	22		
Marietta, OH	394,370	275,671	334,311	- 30.0	- 15.0
	29	20	25		
Massillon, OH	664,730	537,263	589,994	- 19.0	- 11.0
	21	17	18		
Mentor, OH	158,800	229,145	187,198	44.0	18.0
	3	5	4		
Middletown, OH	735,032	971,367	912,493	32.0	24.0
	15	20	19		
Newark, OH	729,361	837,002	814,815	15.0	12.0
	15	17	16		
Parma, OH	934,803	737,356	1,025,073	-21.0	10.0
	12	9	13		
Sandusky, OH	729,397	556,211	690,982	- 24.0	- 5.0
	29	22	28		
Springfield, OH	1,805,013	1,270,780	1,636,091	- 30.0	- 9.0
	31	21	28		
Steubenville, OH	597,317	464,468	681,468	- 22.0	14.0
	33	26	38		
Toledo, OH	7,370,572	6,451,759	7,493,447	- 12.0	2.0
	27	23	27		
Warren, OH	1,169,753	1,262,281	1,481,430	8.0	27.0
	30	32	38		
Youngstown, OH	3,481,036	2,086,197	3,373,987	- 40.0	-3.0
	54	32	52		
Butler County, OH	1,302,396	1,337,422	1,110,055	3.0	-15.0

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
T . 11 / N	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
CI + C	3	4	3		
Clermont County, OH	970,082	1,467,219	1,164,216	51.0	20.0
OH	·			31.0	20.0
Cuyahoga County,	5	7	6		
OH	3,815,639	4,442,108	4,686,583	16.0	23.0
	3	4	4		
Franklin County,		•	'		
ОН	2,058,252	2,260,932	2,041,339	10.0	-1.0
	2	2	2		
Hamilton County,					
ОН	3,365,748	3,578,295	3,503,561	6.0	4.0
	4	4	4		
Lake County, OH	1,375,187	1,453,015	1,494,989	6.0	9.0
	6	6	7		
Montgomery					
County, OH	1,989,244	3,530,417	2,976,039	77.0	50.0
	4	7	6		
Stark County, OH	1,372,555	1,627,079	1,551,816	19.0	13.0
	4	4	4		
Summit County, OH	988,577	1,256,669	1,152,064	27.0	17.0
	2	2	2		
Warren County, OH	731,097	683,769	587,934	- 6.0	- 20.0
	3	3	3		
State Program	44,782,384	43,982,895	35,829,468	- 2.0	- 20.0
	4	4	3		
TOTAL	150,563,980	134,884,448	141,134,727	- 10.0	- 6.0
	, ,	, ,	,		

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
<u>Oklahoma</u>					
Edmond, OK	508,114	405,243	434,385	- 20.0	- 15.0
	6	4	5		
Enid, OK	454,297	621,391	631,753	37.0	39.0
	9	12	13		
Lawton, OK	721,245	1,335,139	1,241,764	85.0	72.0
	8	14	13		
Midwest City, OK	394,691	677,581	522,051	72.0	32.0
	7	12	9		
Moore City, OK	338,635	366,207	368,535	8.0	9.0
	6	6	6		
Norman, OK	892,270	921,929	780,997	3.0	- 12.0
	7	8	6		
Oklahoma City, OK	4,929,509	6,893,400	6,387,066	40.0	30.0
	8	11	10		
Shawnee, OK	332,752	499,058	480,567	50.0	44.0
	11	16	15		
Tulsa, OK	3,523,662	5,517,554	5,179,454	57.0	47.0
	9	14	13		
Tulsa County, OK	1,412,586	1,672,994	1,697,895	18.0	20.0
	2	3	3		
State Program	14,049,507	26,709,909	21,996,992	90.0	57.0
	4	7	6		
TOTAL	27,557,268	45,620,403	39,721,459	66.0	44.0
<u>Oregon</u>					

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Albany, OR	339,058	418,851	385,183	24.0	14.0
	6	8	7		
Ashland, OR	185,822	221,882	191,894	19.0	3.0
	9	11	9		
Beaverton, OR	669,310	805,683	859,226	20.0	28.0
	7	8	9		
Bend, OR	558,554	607,614	674,198	9.0	21.0
	6	6	7		
Corvallis, OR	523,798	579,075	499,653	11.0	- 5.0
	9	10	9		
Eugene, OR	1,439,050	1,901,233	1,554,867	32.0	8.0
	9	11	9		
Grants Pass, OR	375,750	488,254	524,414	30.0	40.0
	10	13	14		
Gresham, OR	1,021,673	1,232,079	1,292,297	21.0	26.0
	9	11	12		
Hillsboro, OR	713,335	569,781	766,684	-20.0	7.0
	7	5	7		
Medford, OR	734,054	1,073,770	1,019,607	46.0	39.0
	9	13	13		
Portland, OR	8,281,058	6,395,275	6,019,986	- 23.0	-27.0
	13	10	9		
Redmond, OR	235,219	247,333	279,736	5.0	19.0
	8	8	9		
Salem, OR	1,362,877	1,725,952	1,705,176	27.0	25.0
	8	10	10		

		t Amount and l Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Springfield, OR	554,274	953,760	805,892	72.0	45.0
	9	15	13		
Clackamas County, OR	2,202,348	2,441,469	2,523,190	11.0	15.0
	5	6	6		
Marion County, OR	1,445,482	1,595,920	1,758,528	10.0	22.0
	4	5	5		
Multnomah County, OR	273,169	240,427	296,150	- 12.0	8.0
	0	0	0		
Washington County, OR	2,070,169	1,949,761	2,208,422	- 6.0	7.0
	3	3	3		
State Program	11,759,305	14,972,261	13,190,591	27.0	12.0
	3	4	3		
TOTAL	34,744,305	38,420,381	36,555,692	11.0	5.0
Pennsylvania					
Abington, PA	740,076	299,745	374,502	- 59.0	- 49.0
_	13	5	7		
Allentown, PA	2,244,912	2,340,801	2,090,711	4.0	- 7.0
	19	19	17		
Altoona, PA	1,597,082	892,861	1,275,780	- 44.0	- 20.0
	36	20	29		
Bensalem Township, PA	382,296	547,685	469,789	43.0	23.0
	6	9	8		

		t Amount and l Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
Berwick Borough, PA	292,048	238,097	282,658	- 18.0	-3.0
	29	24	28		
Bethlehem, PA	1,391,085	912,426	1,072,679	- 34.0	- 23.0
	18	12	14		
Bloomsburg, PA	261,810	173,594	197,634	- 34.0	- 25.0
	19	12	14		
Bristol Township, PA	641,603	531,987	732,834	- 17.0	14.0
	12	10	14		
Carlisle, PA	358,173	208,941	222,933	- 42.0	- 38.0
	19	11	12		
Chambersburg, PA	302,398	236,797	239,535	- 22.0	-21.0
	15	11	12		
Chester, PA	1,264,569	864,676	1,231,925	- 32.0	-3.0
	37	25	36		
Erie, PA	3,109,749	2,146,522	2,722,411	- 31.0	- 12.0
	32	22	28		
Harrisburg, PA	1,912,564	1,187,045	1,639,174	-38.0	- 14.0
	39	24	33		
Haverford, PA	796,697	149,571	388,575	- 81.0	- 51.0
	16	3	8		
Hazleton, PA	641,455	503,452	585,006	- 22.0	- 9.0
	26	20	24		
Johnstown, PA	1,275,005	808,065	1,244,446	- 37.0	-2.0
	65	41	64		
Lancaster City, PA	1,605,116	1,057,166	1,120,436	- 34.0	-30.0

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	27	18	19		
Lebanon, PA	665,289	561,676	589,831	- 16.0	- 11.0
	26	22	23		
Lower Merion, PA	991,634	230,958	290,072	- 77.0	- 71.0
	17	4	5		
McKeesport, PA	1,033,496	674,858	1,018,538	- 35.0	- 1.0
	54	35	53		
Millcreek Township, PA	236,016	281,304	265,761	19.0	13.0
_	4	5	5		
Norristown, PA	800,784	627,934	710,361	- 22.0	- 11.0
	23	18	21		
Penn Hills, PA	700,070	401,491	708,086	- 43.0	1.0
	17	10	17		
Philadelphia, PA	43,970,740	33,501,653	39,217,000	- 24.0	- 11.0
	28	21	25		
Pittsburgh, PA	13,727,933	4,909,700	9,918,015	- 64.0	-28.0
	45	16	33		
Reading, PA	2,397,524	2,321,232	2,287,141	-3.0	-5.0
	27	26	26		
Scranton, PA	2,800,499	1,571,120	2,143,652	- 44.0	-23.0
	36	20	28		
Sharon, PA	587,480	402,907	562,781	- 31.0	-4.0
	44	30	43		
State College, PA	527,035	379,801	399,422	- 28.0	- 24.0
	12	9	9		

	Total Grant Amount and Per-Capita Grant Amount			Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Upper Darby, PA	1,665,023	1,127,309	1,416,228	- 32.0	- 15.0
	20	14	17		
Wilkes-Barre, PA	1,477,668	985,779	1,309,103	- 33.0	- 11.0
	36	24	32		
Williamsport, PA	1,042,073	609,012	828,464	- 42.0	- 20.0
	36	21	29		
York, PA	1,420,547	1,185,922	1,272,421	- 17.0	- 10.0
,	32	27	29		
Allegheny County, PA	13,354,807	6,679,923	9,909,068	- 50.0	- 26.0
D C t- DA	2.257.256	1 005 500	2.079.042	42.0	0.0
Beaver County, PA	3,257,256	1,885,588	2,978,942	- 42.0	- 9.0
Darlas Cassatas DA	20	1,609,807	1 205 002	- 29.0	42.0
Berks County, PA	2,281,019	1,009,807	1,305,992	- 29.0	- 43.0
Bucks County, PA	2,138,099	2,096,257	1,808,591	-2.0	- 15.0
Bucks County, 1 A	3	3	3	- 2.0	- 13.0
Chester County, PA	2,511,236	2,074,563	1,964,756	- 17.0	- 22.0
	5	4	4	2.110	
Cumberland County, PA	1,250,656	1,086,700	934,622	- 13.0	- 25.0
<i>J</i> ,	5	4	4		
Dauphin County, PA	1,422,510	1,601,686	1,367,306	13.0	-4.0
	5	6	5	13.0	1.0
Delaware County,				21.0	16.0
PA	3,610,052	2,868,166	3,033,707	-21.0	- 16.0
	6	5	5		

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
Lancaster County, PA	2,938,941	2,750,480	2,583,317	-6.0	- 12.0
	5	5	5		
Lehigh County, PA	1,228,730	956,363	777,710	- 22.0	- 37.0
	3	3	2		
Luzerne County, PA	4,047,374	2,348,166	3,091,535	- 42.0	-24.0
	13	7	10		
Montgomery County, PA	3,312,069	2,859,455	2,526,866	- 14.0	- 24.0
	4	3	3		
Northampton County, PA	2,169,614	1,458,899	1,255,926	- 33.0	- 42.0
-	7	5	4		
Washington County, PA	3,518,966	1,919,098	2,872,294	- 45.0	- 18.0
	17	9	14		
Westmoreland County, PA	3,721,111	2,873,859	3,541,326	- 23.0	- 5.0
	11	8	10		
York County, PA	2,224,414	2,267,368	1,833,576	2.0	- 18.0
	5	5	4		
State Program	40,720,184	34,715,376	29,598,242	- 15.0	-27.0
	3	3	2		
TOTAL	186,567,487	134,923,839	150,211,679	- 28.0	- 19.0
Rhode Island					
Cranston, RI	1,053,715	688,216	763,693	- 35.0	- 28.0
	13	8	9		

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
East Providence, RI	748,711	525,291	569,023	- 30.0	- 24.0
	16	11	12		
Pawtucket, RI	1,753,893	1,142,236	1,361,849	- 35.0	- 22.0
	24	16	19		
Providence, RI	5,193,551	3,835,623	4,298,507	- 26.0	- 17.0
	29	21	24		
Warwick, RI	929,370	586,179	629,019	- 37.0	- 32.0
	11	7	8		
Woonsocket, RI	1,127,320	860,914	938,390	- 24.0	- 17.0
	27	21	23		
State Program	5,365,184	4,200,569	3,746,615	- 22.0	- 30.0
	5	4	4		
TOTAL	16,171,744	11,839,029	12,307,095	- 27.0	- 24.0
South Carolina					
Aiken, SC	173,785	246,518	216,192	42.0	24.0
	6	8	7		
Anderson, SC	594,065	460,487	655,686	-22.0	10.0
	22	17	24		
Charleston, SC	843,276	1,066,175	966,402	26.0	15.0
	6	8	7		
Columbia, SC	1,021,753	1,526,382	1,605,324	49.0	57.0
	8	11	12		
Florence, SC	319,149	532,362	502,750	67.0	58.0
	8	14	13		
Greenville, SC	722,810	624,683	854,097	- 14.0	18.0

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Julisdiction Ivalic	11	9	13	10001(70)	10111 (70)
Hilton Head Island,		9	1.3		
SC	204,344	246,196	249,837	20.0	22.0
	5	6	6		
Rock Hill, SC	524,206	705,893	588,149	35.0	12.0
	7	10	8		
Spartanburg, SC	658,193	626,691	807,928	- 5.0	23.0
	18	17	22		
Summerville, SC	307,806	397,657	362,280	29.0	18.0
	6	8	7		
Sumter, SC	308,290	450,274	386,689	46.0	25.0
	8	11	10		
Berkeley County, SC	1,225,542	1,674,783	1,452,890	37.0	19.0
	6	8	7		
Charleston County, SC	1,783,739	2,462,381	2,139,614	38.0	20.0
	4	6	5		
Greenville County, SC	2,664,494	3,482,562	3,252,170	31.0	22.0
	5	7	6		
Horry County, SC	1,904,402	2,828,553	2,462,789	49.0	29.0
Ţ	6	9	7		
Lexington County, SC	1,861,356	2,368,019	2,165,785	27.0	16.0
	6	8	7	2,.0	10.0
Richland County, SC	1,693,259	2,327,883	1,922,851	37.0	14.0

		t Amount and I	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Imiadiation Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Jurisdiction Name	4	` ′	5	10tai (70)	10ta1 ( /0)
Spartanburg County, SC	1,544,436	1,908,807	1,791,924	24.0	16.0
	5	6	6		
State Program	19,530,412	28,977,299	22,343,387	48.0	14.0
	4	6	4		
TOTAL	37,885,317	52,913,604	44,726,744	40.0	18.0
South Dakota					
Rapid City, SD	514,285	782,690	601,659	52.0	17.0
	7	10	8		
Sioux Falls, SD	1,027,463	1,266,323	1,169,800	23.0	14.0
	6	7	7		
State Program	5,742,034	5,385,872	4,614,767	-6.0	-20.0
	7	6	5		
TOTAL	7,283,782	7,434,886	6,386,226	2.0	- 12.0
<u>Tennessee</u>					
Bristol, TN	223,083	474,511	376,148	113.0	69.0
	8	18	14		
Chattanooga, TN	1,541,089	2,494,574	2,272,707	62.0	47.0
	9	14	13		
Clarksville, TN	1,032,385	1,376,406	1,157,353	33.0	12.0
	7	9	8		
Cleveland, TN	374,612	512,209	482,761	37.0	29.0
	8	11	11		

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Franklin City, TN	368,882	268,166	351,032	- 27.0	- 5.0
	5	3	5		
Jackson, TN	555,838	1,015,255	794,018	83.0	43.0
	8	15	12		
Johnson City, TN	542,160	823,737	716,842	52.0	32.0
	8	12	11		
Kingsport, TN	415,238	737,768	679,604	78.0	64.0
	8	14	13		
Knoxville, TN	1,698,870	2,820,261	2,492,666	66.0	47.0
	9	15	13		
Memphis, TN	6,394,632	12,660,069	12,088,466	98.0	89.0
	10	19	19		
Morristown, TN	329,005	603,718	483,265	83.0	47.0
	11	20	16		
Murfreesboro, TN	970,927	973,126	986,023	0.0	2.0
	7	7	7		
Nashville– Davidson, TN	5,071,332	6,523,017	6,088,620	29.0	20.0
,	7	9	9		
Oak Ridge, TN	218,987	400,357	480,621	83.0	119.0
	8	14	17		
Knox County, TN	1,183,971	1,425,388	1,295,192	20.0	9.0
	3	3	3		
Shelby County, TN	1,161,152	976,798	1,017,959	- 16.0	- 12.0
	1	1	1		
State Program	27,599,086	40,440,089	32,168,364	47.0	17.0

		t Amount and I Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
TOTAL	40 (01 240	6	5	50.0	20.0
TOTAL	49,681,249	74,525,450	63,931,641	50.0	29.0
<u>Texas</u>					
Abilene, TX	858,330	1,422,617	1,407,080	66.0	64.0
	7	11	11		
Allen, TX	402,294	235,625	354,893	- 41.0	- 12.0
	4	2	3		
Amarillo, TX	1,583,931	2,493,000	2,138,453	57.0	35.0
	8	13	11		
Arlington, TX	3,242,145	3,539,909	3,709,008	9.0	14.0
	8	9	9		
Austin, TX	7,485,784	6,888,884	8,305,354	-8.0	11.0
	8	7	9		
Baytown City, TX	663,200	807,735	797,277	22.0	20.0
	9	11	10		
Beaumont, TX	1,361,829	1,566,109	1,855,139	15.0	36.0
	12	13	16		
Brownsville, TX	2,609,585	2,848,926	3,425,976	9.0	31.0
	14	16	19		
Bryan, TX	841,163	875,303	885,954	4.0	5.0
	10	10	11		
Carrollton, TX	769,506	605,155	788,973	- 21.0	3.0
	6	4	6		
College Station, TX	1,180,628	681,581	605,420	- 42.0	- 49.0
	10	6	5		

		t Amount and i	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Conroe, TX	629,629	693,332	776,818	10.0	23.0
	7	8	9		
Corpus Christi, TX	2,678,940	3,419,036	3,432,167	28.0	28.0
	8	10	11		
Dallas, TX	14,114,238	18,358,797	18,008,891	30.0	28.0
	11	14	14		
Denison, TX	305,720	347,735	361,271	14.0	18.0
	13	14	15		
Denton, TX	925,339	930,398	764,469	1.0	- 17.0
	7	7	6		
Desoto, TX	296,514	298,417	288,617	1.0	-3.0
	6	6	5		
Edinburg, TX	1,081,064	992,968	1,286,041	-8.0	19.0
	11	10	13		
El Paso, TX	6,151,625	7,318,300	7,598,292	19.0	24.0
	9	11	11		
Flower Mound Town, TX	229,828	104,692	152,593	- 54.0	- 34.0
	3	1	2		
Fort Worth, TX	6,943,812	7,696,426	8,045,531	11.0	16.0
	8	9	9		
Frisco, TX	661,115	336,118	500,916	- 49.0	- 24.0
	4	2	3		
Galveston, TX	1,085,360	856,420	1,184,556	- 21.0	9.0
	22	17	24		
Garland, TX	2,145,122	1,761,796	2,431,348	- 18.0	13.0

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to	
T . 11	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
C ID '' TW	9	7	10	0.0	11.0
Grand Prairie, TX	1,466,353	1,338,789	1,620,356	- 9.0	11.0
	8	7	8	21.0	20.0
Harlingen, TX	887,131	1,073,554	1,138,509	21.0	28.0
	14	16	17		
Houston, TX	24,223,563	33,538,492	30,625,721	38.0	26.0
	10	15	13		
Irving, TX	2,194,391	1,870,394	2,523,217	- 15.0	15.0
	9	8	11		
Killeen, TX	1,163,494	1,386,014	1,373,969	19.0	18.0
	8	10	9		
Laredo, TX	3,402,579	3,161,113	4,227,524	-7.0	24.0
	13	12	16		
League City, TX	424,916	321,062	377,348	- 24.0	- 11.0
	4	3	4		
Lewisville, TX	783,540	611,378	840,104	-22.0	7.0
	7	6	8		
Longview, TX	679,931	972,160	867,963	43.0	28.0
-	8	12	11		
Lubbock, TX	2,253,565	2,612,068	2,200,619	16.0	- 2.0
,	9	10	9		
Mc Allen, TX	1,671,255	1,620,490	2,141,993	- 3.0	28.0
,	12	11	15	-	-
Mckinney City, TX	913,360	646,258	883,712	- 29.0	- 3.0
, , , 111	5 12,200	4	5		2.0
Marshall, TX	361,974	360,372	466,128	0.0	29.0

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Percent C Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	16	16	20		
Mesquite, TX	1,093,338	1,130,967	1,268,976	3.0	16.0
	8	8	9		
Midland, TX	972,565	839,814	1,160,921	- 14.0	19.0
	7	6	8		
Mission, TX	1,002,066	863,811	1,245,909	- 14.0	24.0
	12	10	15		
Missouri City, TX	272,643	211,374	237,535	- 22.0	- 13.0
	4	3	3		
New Braunfels, TX	438,076	493,324	477,643	13.0	9.0
	6	6	6		
Odessa, TX	794,515	964,888	947,542	21.0	19.0
	7	8	8		
Orange, TX	329,277	308,777	419,578	-6.0	27.0
	18	16	22		
Pasadena, TX	1,605,318	1,589,149	1,975,312	- 1.0	23.0
	10	10	13		
Pearland, TX	478,654	265,647	416,845	-45.0	- 13.0
	4	2	3		
Pflugerville City, TX	243,824	171,200	216,092	- 30.0	- 11.0
	4	3	4		
Pharr, TX	1,128,163	1,128,854	1,416,089	0.0	26.0
•	14	14	18		
Plano, TX	1,446,544	1,078,916	1,525,461	- 25.0	5.0
	5	4	5		

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Port Arthur, TX	1,081,684	1,198,656	1,446,724	11.0	34.0
	20	22	26		
Round Rock, TX	628,686	433,711	619,986	- 31.0	- 1.0
	5	3	5		
Rowlett, TX	264,215	203,356	245,686	- 23.0	- 7.0
	4	3	4		
San Angelo, TX	661,667	989,315	904,677	50.0	37.0
	7	10	9		
San Antonio, TX	12,842,866	18,318,487	16,114,347	43.0	25.0
	9	12	11		
San Benito, TX	363,042	566,576	518,314	56.0	43.0
	15	23	21		
San Marcos, TX	727,183	610,697	559,524	- 16.0	-23.0
	12	10	9		
Sherman, TX	335,800	447,662	395,410	33.0	18.0
	8	11	9		
Temple, TX	571,246	713,563	686,373	25.0	20.0
	8	10	9		
Texarkana, TX	375,009	598,866	644,217	60.0	72.0
	10	16	18		
Texas City, TX	414,272	705,085	540,029	70.0	30.0
	9	15	11		
Tyler, TX	804,140	1,090,229	963,539	36.0	20.0
	8	10	9		
Victoria, TX	576,265	839,591	752,949	46.0	31.0
	9	13	11		

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to ear 2022	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Waco, TX	1,383,963	1,652,682	1,626,712	19.0	18.0
	10	12	12		
Wichita Falls, TX	1,203,483	1,508,864	1,758,894	25.0	46.0
	12	14	17		
Bexar County, TX	2,378,669	2,012,835	2,281,616	- 15.0	-4.0
	1	1	1		
Brazoria County, TX	1,628,006	2,032,875	1,896,512	25.0	16.0
	5	6	5		
Dallas County, TX	2,252,358	1,973,743	2,371,408	- 12.0	5.0
	1	1	1		
Fort Bend County, TX	3,321,532	2,633,201	3,265,868	-21.0	-2.0
	4	3	4		
Harris County, TX	14,012,982	13,401,373	15,311,379	-4.0	9.0
	3	3	3		
Hidalgo County, TX	7,545,937	7,622,476	9,651,489	1.0	28.0
	9	9	11		
Montgomery County, TX	2,544,896	2,113,384	2,712,336	- 17.0	7.0
	4	4	5		
Tarrant County, TX	4,171,157	3,753,279	4,394,415	- 10.0	5.0
	2	2	2		
Travis County, TX	1,295,721	936,218	1,354,341	- 28.0	5.0
	1	1	1		
Williamson County, TX	1,711,722	1,331,430	1,558,237	- 22.0	- 9.0
	3	2	3		

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
State Program	67,839,640	71,943,857	67,800,834	6.0	0.0
	2	3	2		
TOTAL	239,413,877	263,270,154	270,075,918	10.0	13.0
<u>Utah</u>					
Clearfield, UT	202,572	201,942	210,814	0.0	4.0
	6	6	7		
Layton, UT	393,299	357,887	393,316	- 9.0	0.0
	5	5	5		
Lehi City, UT	318,810	167,668	245,150	- 47.0	- 23.0
	5	3	4		
Logan, UT	553,438	551,359	454,997	0.0	- 18.0
	11	11	9		
Ogden, UT	1,026,199	1,006,815	1,010,841	-2.0	- 1.0
	12	12	12		
Orem, UT	641,683	605,768	633,750	-6.0	-1.0
	7	6	7		
Provo, UT	1,349,618	1,024,248	1,128,879	- 24.0	- 16.0
	12	9	10		
St George, UT	645,997	476,547	646,759	- 26.0	0.0
	8	6	8		
Salt Lake City, UT	3,447,214	2,259,490	2,595,317	- 34.0	- 25.0
	17	11	13		
Sandy City, UT	364,790	316,603	343,890	- 13.0	- 6.0
	4	3	4		
South Jordan, UT	225,604	96,794	142,283	- 57.0	- 37.0

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	3	1	2		
Taylorsville, UT	355,073	366,390	390,154	3.0	10.0
	6	6	6		
West Jordan, UT	533,710	407,542	485,641	- 24.0	- 9.0
	5	4	4		
West Valley, UT	1,065,821	998,440	1,208,366	-6.0	13.0
	8	7	9		
Davis County, UT	848,017	582,945	637,196	- 31.0	- 25.0
	2	2	2		
Salt Lake County, UT	2,412,002	2,108,129	2,415,174	- 13.0	0.0
	2	2	2		
Utah County, UT	1,421,497	877,852	1,233,891	-38.0	- 13.0
	2	1	2		
State Program	5,705,947	4,964,072	4,961,484	- 13.0	- 13.0
	2	2	2		
TOTAL	21,511,291	17,370,491	19,137,903	- 19.0	- 11.0
<u>Vermont</u>					
Burlington, VT	733,406	518,044	532,118	- 29.0	- 27.0
	17	12	13		
State Program	7,210,094	4,909,388	4,131,604	- 32.0	- 43.0
	12	8	7		
TOTAL	7,943,500	5,427,432	4,663,722	- 32.0	-41.0
<u>Virginia</u>					

		t Amount and I Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Alexandria, VA	1,142,888	1,279,645	1,337,216	12.0	17.0
	7	8	8		
Blacksburg, VA	534,449	299,906	271,825	- 44.0	- 49.0
	12	7	6		
Bristol, VA	269,137	377,692	387,470	40.0	44.0
	16	22	23		
Charlottesville, VA	414,734	486,711	456,899	17.0	10.0
	9	10	10		
Chesapeake, VA	1,141,148	1,190,068	1,110,616	4.0	- 3.0
	5	5	5		
Christiansburg, VA	125,611	144,248	132,721	15.0	6.0
	6	7	6		
Colonial Heights, VA	106,426	207,599	168,379	95.0	58.0
	6	12	10		
Danville, VA	852,447	897,050	1,117,321	5.0	31.0
	21	22	27		
Fredericksburg, VA	203,184	295,503	234,057	45.0	15.0
	7	10	8		
Hampton, VA	902,700	1,515,080	1,244,275	68.0	38.0
	7	11	9		
Harrisonburg, VA	538,005	484,760	443,107	- 10.0	- 18.0
	10	9	8		
Hopewell, VA	225,211	434,415	398,379	93.0	77.0
	10	19	18		
Lynchburg, VA	714,547	876,288	813,861	23.0	14.0

		t Amount and : Grant Amount	Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	9	11	10		
Newport News, VA	1,287,140	2,022,172	1,558,833	57.0	21.0
	7	11	9		
Norfolk, VA	4,433,166	3,040,683	4,428,670	- 31.0	0.0
	18	12	18		
Petersburg, VA	583,010	749,713	812,080	29.0	39.0
	19	24	26		
Portsmouth, VA	1,539,013	1,265,227	1,693,272	- 18.0	10.0
	16	13	18		
Radford, VA	183,097	250,751	210,594	37.0	15.0
	10	14	12		
Richmond, VA	4,472,703	3,972,343	4,163,062	- 11.0	- 7.0
	20	18	18		
Roanoke, VA	1,817,704	1,870,835	1,959,853	3.0	8.0
	18	19	20		
Staunton, VA	317,208	271,684	312,074	- 14.0	-2.0
	13	11	13		
Suffolk, VA	488,687	632,822	535,367	29.0	10.0
	5	7	6		
Virginia Beach, VA	1,967,365	1,998,707	1,853,981	2.0	-6.0
	4	4	4		
Waynesboro City, VA	187,459	276,239	230,472	47.0	23.0
	8	12	10		
Winchester, VA	275,211	275,023	243,999	0.0	- 11.0
	10	10	9		

		t Amount and I Grant Amount	Alternative Percent C Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to ear 2022	
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	-
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
Arlington County, VA	1,332,578	1,167,350	1,405,094	- 12.0	5.0
	6	5	6		
Chesterfield County,					
VA	1,496,253	1,611,018	1,514,590	8.0	1.0
	4	5	4		
Fairfax County, VA	5,916,456	4,404,797	5,826,154	- 26.0	-2.0
	5	4	5		
Henrico County,					
VA	1,644,742	2,057,689	1,745,581	25.0	6.0
	5	6	5		
Loudoun County, VA	1,378,877	744,391	1,015,034	- 46.0	- 26.0
	3	2	3		
Prince William					
County, VA	2,634,975	2,035,719	2,581,726	-23.0	-2.0
	6	4	6		
State Program	18,614,384	27,446,529	21,871,600	47.0	17.0
	2	3	3		
TOTAL	57,740,515	64,582,660	62,078,161	12.0	8.0
<u>Washington</u>					
Anacortes, WA	105,216	121,939	103,564	16.0	-2.0
	6	7	6		
Auburn, WA	598,134	582,584	689,392	- 3.0	15.0
	7	7	9		
Bellevue, WA	807,384	673,114	874,495	- 17.0	8.0
	6	5	6		

		t Amount and I Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Bellingham, WA	836,598	944,429	799,476	13.0	-4.0
	9	11	9		
Bremerton, WA	405,940	578,852	467,686	43.0	15.0
	10	14	12		
East Wenatchee City, WA	121,836	122,289	137,021	0.0	12.0
	9	9	10		
Everett, WA	823,647	1,125,526	1,078,190	37.0	31.0
	8	10	10		
Federal Way, WA	774,540	820,045	939,564	6.0	21.0
	8	9	10		
Kennewick, WA	639,684	880,171	784,649	38.0	23.0
	8	11	10		
Kent City, WA	1,131,017	1,112,548	1,354,351	-2.0	20.0
	9	8	10		
Lakewood, WA	553,588	865,621	737,160	56.0	33.0
	9	14	12		
Longview, WA	324,069	611,975	464,126	89.0	43.0
	9	16	12		
Marysville, WA	375,792	371,712	414,270	- 1.0	10.0
	5	5	6		
Mount Vernon, WA	359,833	301,065	433,764	- 16.0	21.0
	10	9	12		
Olympia, WA	368,034	592,359	502,572	61.0	37.0
	7	11	10		
Pasco, WA	699,579	642,877	830,593	-8.0	19.0

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
our isurction ivanic	10	9	11	10001 (70)	1000 (70)
Richland, WA	297,166	328,531	305,774	11.0	3.0
111011111111111111111111111111111111111	5	6	5	1110	2.0
Seattle, WA	9,397,820	5,397,572	5,695,342	- 43.0	- 39.0
,	13	7	8		
Spokane, WA	3,161,701	2,641,163	2,648,166	- 16.0	- 16.0
•	15	12	12		
Tacoma, WA	2,388,219	2,609,532	2,059,742	9.0	- 14.0
	11	12	10		
Vancouver, WA	1,332,179	1,522,922	1,657,331	14.0	24.0
	7	8	9		
Walla Walla City, WA	383,635	386,212	359,989	1.0	- 6.0
	12	12	11		
Wenatchee, WA	256,503	325,363	324,632	27.0	27.0
	8	10	10		
Yakima, WA	1,051,148	1,200,114	1,289,462	14.0	23.0
	11	13	14		
Clark County, WA	1,496,047	1,360,597	1,577,651	- 9.0	5.0
	3	3	3		
King County, WA	5,566,876	4,584,316	5,930,106	- 18.0	7.0
	2	2	2		
Kitsap County, WA	1,038,947	1,210,401	1,139,470	17.0	10.0
	4	5	4		
Pierce County, WA	3,135,088	3,495,701	3,408,452	12.0	9.0
	4	4	4		

	Total Grant Amount and Per-Capita Grant Amount			Alternative Percent ( Funding F Fiscal Y	Change in Relative to
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
Snohomish County,	(4)				
WA	3,140,301	2,767,924	3,345,626	- 12.0	7.0
	4	3	4		
Spokane County,					
WA	1,666,243	1,871,299	1,800,104	12.0	8.0
	3	4	4		
Thurston County,	1 100 070	1 420 221	1 2 6 7 2 1 2	21.0	150
WA	1,190,970	1,438,231	1,365,312	21.0	15.0
	4	5	5		
State Program	12,575,922	13,697,760	12,971,718	9.0	3.0
	2	2	2		
TOTAL	57,003,656	55,184,743	56,489,752	-3.0	- 1.0
West Virginia					
Beckley City, WV	298,583	314,908	396,668	5.0	33.0
	18	19	24		
Charleston, WV	1,494,373	879,709	1,587,765	- 41.0	6.0
,	31	18	33		
Huntington, WV	1,652,764	1,340,012	1,847,309	- 19.0	12.0
	35	29	40	1310	12.0
Martinsburg, WV	350,862	421,144	353,094	20.0	1.0
manifesting, ii v	20	24	20	20.0	1.0
Morgantown, WV	448,774	354,930	386,383	- 21.0	- 14.0
17101gantown, WV	15	12	13	- 21.0	- 17.0
Parkersburg, WV	889,768	742,538	929,240	- 17.0	4.0
Tarkersourg, W V	30	25	31	- 17.0	7.0
Viene Cite WV				1.0	21.0
Vienna City, WV	100,810	101,554	131,713	1.0	31.0

		t Amount and l Grant Amount	Alternative Formula Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
	10	10	13		
Weirton, WV	405,263	230,028	405,164	- 43.0	0.0
	22	12	22		
Wheeling, WV	1,152,629	477,710	948,277	- 59.0	- 18.0
	43	18	35		
State Program	14,091,988	22,516,801	17,650,890	60.0	25.0
	8	12	10		
TOTAL	20,885,814	27,379,335	24,636,502	31.0	18.0
<u>Wisconsin</u>					
Appleton, WI	565,644	619,915	473,267	10.0	-16.0
	8	8	6		
Beloit, WI	613,576	635,014	619,494	3.0	1.0
	17	17	17		
Eau Claire, WI	540,879	571,757	473,977	6.0	- 12.0
	8	8	7		
Fond Du Lac, WI	533,108	428,424	410,090	- 20.0	- 23.0
	12	10	10		
Green Bay, WI	905,522	1,179,296	1,018,690	30.0	12.0
_	9	11	10		
Janesville, WI	467,219	603,347	452,778	29.0	- 3.0
	7	9	7		
Kenosha, WI	1,043,623	1,234,223	999,924	18.0	-4.0
	10	12	10		
La Crosse, WI	859,145	575,055	644,194	- 33.0	- 25.0
	17	11	12		

	Total Grant Amount and Per-Capita Grant Amount			Alternative Percent C Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
Madison, WI	1,899,239	1,905,195	1,606,720	0.0	- 15.0
,	7	7	6		
Milwaukee, WI	16,154,754	12,063,415	14,556,954	- 25.0	- 10.0
	27	20	24		
Neenah, WI	207,194	237,173	191,821	14.0	- 7.0
	8	9	7		
Oshkosh, WI	811,983	689,099	601,932	- 15.0	- 26.0
	12	10	9		
Racine, WI	1,863,515	1,338,393	1,584,145	- 28.0	- 15.0
	24	17	21		
Sheboygan, WI	864,899	475,733	569,124	- 45.0	- 34.0
	18	10	12		
Superior, WI	686,880	331,434	479,955	- 52.0	-30.0
	26	13	18		
Wausau, WI	611,581	520,854	534,928	- 15.0	- 13.0
	16	13	14		
Wauwatosa, WI	1,000,460	297,048	376,539	- 70.0	-62.0
	21	6	8		
West Allis, WI	1,251,171	762,333	994,683	- 39.0	-20.0
	21	13	17		
Dane County, WI	1,135,205	1,034,398	1,070,888	- 9.0	- 6.0
	2	2	2		
Milwaukee County, WI	1,636,432	1,747,015	1,481,579	7.0	- 9.0
***1	1,030,432	1,747,013	1,461,379	7.0	- 9.0
Waukesha County, WI	1,460,956	1,489,020	1,311,719	2.0	- 10.0

		t Amount and I	Alternative Percent C Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to ear 2022	
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	2: Repair, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)
	3	3	3		
State Program	26,660,058	21,063,608	17,142,948	- 21.0	- 36.0
	5	4	3		
TOTAL	61,773,043	49,801,751	47,596,346	- 19.0	- 23.0
Wyoming Wyoming					
Cheyenne, WY	469,410	550,700	480,633	17.0	2.0
	7	9	8		
State Program	3,515,469	4,312,537	3,807,754	23.0	8.0
	6	7	7		
TOTAL	3,984,879	4,863,237	4,288,386	22.0	8.0
Puerto Rico					
Aguadilla Municipio, PR	875,919	1,994,981	1,746,079	128.0	99.0
Mullicipio, FK	17	38	33	126.0	99.0
Arecibo Municipio,				120.0	00.0
PR	1,342,414	3,203,482	2,539,190	139.0	89.0
Bayamon	16	38	30		
Municipio, PR	2,148,161	4,629,602	3,920,242	116.0	82.0
•	12	26	22		
Cabo Rojo Municipio, PR	840,075	1,802,470	1,560,797	115.0	86.0
•	17	37	32		
Caguas Municipio, PR	1,705,732	3,367,323	2,897,239	97.0	70.0
_= ==	13	26	22	5,.0	, 3.0

		t Amount and Grant Amount	Alternative Formulas Percent Change in Funding Relative to Fiscal Year 2022		
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
Canovanas Municipio, PR	707,044	1,290,790	1,178,495	83.0	67.0
	16	28	26		
Carolina Municipio, PR	1,756,687	3,586,063	3,280,688	104.0	87.0
	11	23	21		
Cayey Municipio, PR	603,377	1,322,466	1,112,025	119.0	84.0
	14	30	25		
Cidra Municipio, PR	575,490	1,359,179	1,007,396	136.0	75.0
	15	34	25		
Fajardo Municipio, PR	488,949	1,056,579	905,836	116.0	85.0
	16	34	29		
Guayama Municipio, PR	636,296	1,296,328	1,192,554	104.0	87.0
	16	32	29		
Guaynabo Municipio, PR	841,896	1,618,966	1,381,866	92.0	64.0
	10	19	16		
Humacao Municipio, PR	805,366	1,588,430	1,304,198	97.0	62.0
	15	30	25		
Isabela Municipio, PR	737,958	1,886,205	1,393,162	156.0	89.0
	18	45	33		
Juana Diaz Municipio, PR	720,111	1,495,934	1,261,928	108.0	75.0
. /	16	32	27		

		t Amount and E	Alternative Percent C Funding F Fiscal Ye	Change in Relative to	
	Fiscal Year	1: Replace,	2: Repair,	1: Replace,	2: Repair,
Jurisdiction Name	2022 (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (\$)	Total (%)	Total (%)
Manati Municipio, PR	691,810	1,523,682	1,228,171	120.0	78.0
	18	39	32		
Mayaguez					
Municipio, PR	1,399,153	3,257,278	3,264,384	133.0	133.0
	19	43	43		
Ponce Municipio, PR	2,350,602	4,892,523	4,828,426	108.0	105.0
	17	35	35		
Rio Grande Municipio, PR	695,073	1,410,834	1,210,566	103.0	74.0
-	14	28	24		
San German Municipio, PR	534,850	1,218,749	1,035,854	128.0	94.0
1 /	17	39	33		
San Juan Municipio, PR	6,966,888	11,208,194	14,162,689	61.0	103.0
	21	33	42	0 2 1 0	20010
San Sebastian Municipio, PR	630,517	1,582,999	1,193,043	151.0	89.0
Wallerpio, TR	17	43	32	131.0	07.0
Toa Alta Municipio,	1 /	43	32		
PR	780,836	1,537,831	1,317,102	97.0	69.0
	11	21	18		
Toa Baja Municipio, PR	1,033,994	2,123,083	1,734,731	105.0	68.0
	13	27	22		
Trujillo Alto Municipio, PR	774,119	1,328,487	1,170,095	72.0	51.0
manierpio, i ic	12	20	18	72.0	31.0
	12	20	18		

		Fotal Grant Amount and Per-Capita Grant Amount			e Formulas Change in Relative to ear 2022
Jurisdiction Name	Fiscal Year 2022 (\$)	1: Replace, Total (\$)	1: Replace, Total (%)	2: Repair, Total (%)	
Vega Baja Municipio, PR	826,276	2,094,401	1,493,139	153.0	81.0
	16	40	29		
Yauco Municipio, PR	556,445 16	1,535,691 43	1,221,306 34	176.0	119.0
State Program	22,349,743	45,864,050	35,546,905	105.0	59.0
	7	14	11		
TOTAL	54,375,781	111,076,600	96,088,107	104.0	77.0