PhD Studies

Abraham Rojas Vega

June 27, 2024

Contents

I	Topics of Algebra	5
1	Category Theory	7
2	Homological Algebra 2.1 Spectral Sequences	9
3	Group (Cohomology) Theory 3.1 An spectral sequence for group cohomology	11 11
4	(General) Module Theory 4.1 Linear Algebra	13 13
II	Topics of Algebraic Topology	15
5	Simplical sets and complexes5.1 (Abstract) simplical complexes5.2 Simplical sets5.3 CW-complexes	18
6	Homotopy theory	2
II	I Topics of Geometry	23
IV	/ K-theory	25
7	K-theory constructions 7.1 Milnor's K-theory 7.2 Volodin's K-theory 7.2.1 The Aciclicity Theorem 7.3 Whitehead's K-theory 7.4 Quillen's K-theory	27 29 29
8	Homological stability 8.1 Motivation	3 :

4 CONTENTS

Part I Topics of Algebra

Category Theory

Homological Algebra

2.1 Spectral Sequences

Group (Cohomology) Theory

3.1 An spectral sequence for group cohomology

Suppose that X is a simplicial set and x_i are simplicial subsets such that $X = UX_i$. Then, setting $X_{ij} = X_i \cap X_j$ (etc.) we'll obviously have for the realisations: $|x| = U|x_i|$, $|x_i| \cap |x_j| = |x_{ij}|$, . . . Let's suppose that the set of indices is linearly ordered. Consider the following bicomplex:

$$K = \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i < j < k} C_* \left(x_{ijk} \right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i < j} C_* \left(x_{ij} \right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i C_* \left(x_i \right)$$

Here by a bicomplex we understand a bicomplex in the sense of Grothendieck [9] i.e. the differentials d_1 and d_2 commute. (The sign in this approach appears in the definition of the total differentials). The vertical arrows of the bicomplex map $C_*(x_i\cdots_i)$ into $q C_*(x_{i_0}\dots\hat{i}_k\dots i_q)$, the mapping into the kth summand differing k=0 by a sign $(-1)^k$ from the natural embedding.

The first spectral sequence of this bicomplex degenerates and yields an isomorphism $H_{\star}(K) \cong H_{\star}(X)$. (Moreover this isomorphism is induced by the canonical map $K \to C_{*}(X)$). The second spectral sequence gives us a functorial spectral sequence of the first quadrant, whose limit equals $H_{*}(X)$, while its differential dr has bidegree (r-1,-r) and its E^{1} -term looks as follows:

$$E_{pqq}^{1} = \underset{i_0 < \dots < i_q}{\otimes} H_p \left(x_{i_0} \dots i_q \right)$$

Suppose G is a group. Let X_G denote the simplicial set (and its geometric realisation), whose p-simplices are sequences (g_0,\ldots,g_p) of elements of G, with the usual faces and degeneracies. This space X_G is contractible by (1.2). The group G acts from the right on X_G and this action is obviously free, hence $BG = X_G/G$ is a classifying space of G. The complex $C_*(BG) = C_*(G)$ coincides with the usual complex associated with G. Moreover $C_*(G) = C_*(X_G) \otimes_G Z$.

If H is a subgroup of G, then X_G/H is a classifying space for H and hence $BH = X_H/H \to X_G/H$ is a homotopy equivalence. In particular $C_*(H) + C_*(X_G) \otimes_H \mathbb{Z} = C_*(X_G) \otimes_G Z|G/H|$ is a homotopy equivalence.

(2.3) The spectral sequence associated with a family of subgroups.

Suppose G is a group and G_1, \ldots, G_n are subgroups. Then BG_i may be viewed as a simplicial subset of BG and $BG_i \cap BG_j = B$ $(G_i \cap G_j)$.. Denote UBG_i by X and consider the spectral sequence of the covering $X = UBG_i$. Along with the bicomplex K introduced in (2.1) we also consider the following bicomplex:

$$K' = \underset{i < j < k}{\oplus} C_* \left(X_G \right) \otimes_G Z \left[G/G_{ijk} \right] \longrightarrow \underset{i < j}{\oplus} C_* \left(X_G \right) \otimes_G Z \left[G/G_{ij} \right] \longrightarrow \underset{i < j}{\oplus} C_* \left(X_G \right) \otimes_G Z \left[G/G_{ij} \right]$$

There is a natural mapping of bicomplexes K+K' and because of (2.2) this mapping induces an isomorphism of second spectral sequences so that $H_{\star}(X)=H_{\star}(K)=H_{\star}(K')$. The first spectral sequence of K' looks as follows: $E^1_{*,q}=C_*(X_G)\otimes_G H_q(L)$, where L is the following complex of left G-modules:

$$\oplus \mathbb{Z}[G/G_i] + \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G/G_{ij}] + \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G/G_{ijk}] + \dots$$

Proposition 1. If G_1, \ldots, G_n are subgroups of G, there exists a fuctorial spectral sequence of the first quadrart, the E^2 term of which looks like: $E_{pq}^2 = H_p(G, H_q(L))$, where L is the complex defined above. It converges to $H_\star(UBG_j)$ and the differential d^r has bidegree (-r, r-1).

(2.5) In the notations of (2.3), let $Z(G,\{G\})$ be the simplicial set whose non-degenerate p-simplices are sequences $(\bar{g}_0,\ldots,\bar{g}_p)$, where $\bar{g}_i\varepsilon G/G_{k_i}, k_0<\ldots< k_p$, and the \bar{g}_i are such that there is $g\in G$ with $\vec{g}_i=g\mod G_{k_i}$ for all i. (If one covers G by the right cosets of the G_i , then $Z(G_g\{G_i\})$ is the nerve of this covering.) It is easy to see that the geometric realization of this simplicial set is an ordered simplicial space and that the complex $L=L(G,\{G_i\})$ equals the (ordered) simplicial complex [7] of this simplicial space, or in other words, the complex L equals the normalised complex of the simplicial set $Z(G,\{G_i\})$. In particular, $H_*(L)=H_*(Z(G,\{G_i\}))$.

(2.6) Remark. It may be shown easily that the space $Z(G, \{G_i\})$, is homotopy equivalent to Volodin's space $V(G, \{G_i\})$, but we will not need this fact.

(General) Module Theory

4.1 Linear Algebra

Part II Topics of Algebraic Topology

Simplical sets and complexes

[1] Simplicial complexes are more intuitive, and are the foundation of algebraic topology. Simplicial complexes were also called *simplicial schemes* and *simplicial sets*, *semi-simplicial* complexes.

5.1 (Abstract) simplical complexes

A set (of **vertices**) together with a family of finite subsets (**simplexes**) such that every subset of every simplex is a simplex and every subset consisting of a single vertex is a simplex.

Example 1. 1. The **standard n-simplex** Δ^n is the set of all (n+1)-tuples (t_0, \ldots, t_n) of non-negative real numbers such that $t_0 + \cdots + t_n = 1$. The standard O-simplex is a point, the standard 1-simplex is a line segment, the standard 2-simplex is a triangle, and so on.

- 2. The **boundary** of the standard n-simplex Δ^n is the set of all (n+1)-tuples (t_0,\ldots,t_n) of non-negative real numbers such that $t_0+\cdots+t_n=1$ and at least one of the t_i is zero. The boundary of the standard O-simplex is empty, the boundary of the standard 1-simplex is the set of its two endpoints, the boundary of the standard 2-simplex is the set of its three edges, and so on.
- 3. (Concrete simplicial complexes) It is subset of \mathbb{R}^n that is a union of standard simplices, that satisfies the previous conditions.
- 4. If Y is a subset of the vertex set of a simplicial scheme S, then we can introduce on it the induced simplicial scheme structure $Y \cap S$, by defining the simplexes as the subsets of Y that are simplexes of S.
- 5. Let X be a set and let $\{p(y):y\in Y\}$ be a covering of X. Then we can consider two simplicial complexes.
 - (a) The nerve Nerv(p) of the covering is the simplicial scheme with the vertex set Y, and a subset Z of Y is counted as a simplex if the intersection $\bigcap_{Z} p(y)$ is non-empty.
 - (b) The simplicial complex V(p) is the simplicial scheme with the vertex set X, and a subset Z of X is counted as a simplex if Z is contained in some p(y).

Geometric realization

The construction goes as follows. First, define |K| as a subset of $[0,1]^S$ consisting of functions $t:S\to [0,1]$ satisfying the two conditions:

$$\{s \in S : t_s > 0\} \in K$$
$$\sum_{s \in S} t_s = 1$$

Now think of the set of elements of $[0,1]^S$ with finite support as the direct limit of $[0,1]^A$ where A ranges over finite subsets of S, and give that direct limit the induced topology. Now give |K| the subspace topology. It is always Hausdorff. We will identify an abstract simplicial complex with its geometric realization.

5.2 Simplical sets

Let Δ be the category of finite ordinal numbers, with order-preserving maps between them. More precisely, the objects for Δ consist of elements \mathbf{n} , $n \geq 0$, where \mathbf{n} is a string of relations

$$0 \to 1 \to 2 \to \cdots \to n$$

(in other words \mathbf{n} is a totally ordered set with n+1 elements). A morphism $\theta: \mathbf{m} \to \mathbf{n}$ is an order-preserving set function, or alternatively a functor. We usually commit the abuse of saying that Δ is the ordinal number category.

A simplicial set is a contravariant functor $X:\Delta^{op}\to \mathrm{Sets}$, where Sets is the category of sets.

Remark 1. The standard covariant functor: $\mathbf{n}\mapsto |\Delta^n|$ from Δ to **Top**. The singular set S(T) is the simplicial set given by

$$\mathbf{n} \mapsto \text{hom}(|\Delta^n|, T)$$
.

This is the object that gives the singular homology of the space T.

The standard n-simplex, simplicial Δ^n in the simplicial set category S is defined by

$$\Delta^n = \hom_{\Delta}(\mathbf{n}).$$

In other words, Δ^n is the contravariant functor on Δ which is represented by n.

A map $f: X \to Y$ of simplicial sets (or, more simply, a simplicial map) is a natural transformation of contravariant set-valued functors defined on Δ . We shall use S to denote the resulting category of simplicial sets and simplicial maps.

From a simplicial set Y, one may construct a simplicial abelian group $\mathbb{Z}Y$ (ie. a contravariant functor $\Delta^{op} \to \mathbf{Ab}$), with $\mathbb{Z}Y_n$ set equal to the free abelian group on Y_n . The simplicial abelian group $\mathbb{Z}Y$ has associated to it a chain complex, called its Moore complex and also written $\mathbb{Z}Y$, with

$$\mathbb{Z}Y_0 \stackrel{\partial}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}Y_1 \stackrel{\partial}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}Y_2 \leftarrow \dots$$
 and $\partial = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i$

in degree n. Recall that the integral singular homology groups $H_*(X;\mathbb{Z})$ of the space X are defined to be the homology groups of the chain complex $\mathbb{Z}SX$. The homology groups $H_n(Y,A)$ of a simplicial set Y with coefficients in an abelian group A are defined to be the homology groups $H_n(\mathbb{Z}Y\otimes A)$ of the chain complex $\mathbb{Z}Y\otimes A$.

Classifying space

Suppose that \mathcal{C} is a (small) category. The classifying space (or nerve) $B\mathcal{C}$ of \mathcal{C} is the simplicial set with

$$BC_n = \text{hom}_{cat}(\mathbf{n}, C),$$

n-simplex is a string

$$a_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} a_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} a_n$$

of composeable arrows of length n in C.

If G is a group, then G can be identified with a category (or groupoid) with one object * and one morphism $g:*\to *$ for each element g of G, and so the classifying space BG of G is defined. Moreover |BG| is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane space of the form K(G,1), as the notation suggests; this is now the standard construction.

Geometric realization

The simplex category: $\Delta \downarrow X$ of a simplicial set X. The objects of $\Delta \downarrow X$ are the maps $\sigma : \Delta^n \to X$, or simplices of X. An arrow of $\Delta \downarrow X$ is a commutative diagram of simplicial maps

Observe that θ is induced by a unique ordinal number map $\theta : \mathbf{m} \to \mathbf{n}$.

5.3. CW-COMPLEXES

Lemma 1. There is an isomorphism

$$X \cong \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ \Delta^n \longrightarrow X}} \Delta^n.$$
 in $\Delta \downarrow X$

The realization |X| of a simplicial set X is defined by the colimit

$$\begin{split} |X| &= \xrightarrow{\lim} |\Delta^n| \, . \\ \Delta^n &\to X \\ & \text{in } \Delta \downarrow X \end{split}$$

in the category of topological spaces. The construction $X\mapsto |X|$ is seen to be functorial in simplicial sets X, by using the fact that any simplicial map $f:X\to Y$ induces a functor $f_*:\Delta\downarrow X\to\Delta\downarrow Y$ by composition with f.

Proposition 2. The realization functor is left adjoint to the singular functor in the sense that there is an isomorphism

$$hom_{Top}(|X|, Y) \cong hom_{\mathbf{S}}(X, SY)$$

which is natural in simplicial sets X and topological spaces Y. In particular, since S has all colimits and the realization functor, || preserves them.

Proposition 3. |X| is a CW-complex for each simplicial set X. In particular it is a compactly generated Hausdorff space.

5.3 CW-complexes

They can be defined in an inductive way:

cell in each even dimension.

- 1. Start with a discrete set X^0 , whose points are regarded as 0 -cells.
- 2. Inductively, form the n-skeleton X^n from X^{n-1} by attaching n-cells e^n_{α} via maps $\varphi_{\alpha}: S^{n-1} \to X^{n-1}$. This means that X^n is the quotient space of the disjoint union $X^{n-1}\coprod_{\alpha}D^n_{\alpha}$ of X^{n-1} with a collection of n-disks D^n_{α} under the identifications $x \sim \varphi_{\alpha}(x)$ for $x \in \partial D^n_{\alpha}$. Thus as a set, $X^n = X^{n-1}\coprod_{\alpha}e^n_{\alpha}$ where each e^n_{α} is an open n-disk.
- 3. One can either stop this inductive process at a finite stage, setting $X=X^n$ for some $n<\infty$, or one can continue indefinitely, setting $X=\cup_n X^n$. In the latter case X is given the weak topology: A set $A\subset X$ is open (or closed) iff $A\cap X^n$ is open (or closed) in X^n for each n.

Example 2. 1. A 1-dimensional cell complex $X = X^1$ is what is called a graph in algebraic topology. It consists of vertices (the O-cells) to which edges (the 1-cells) are attached. The two ends of an edge can be attached to the same vertex.

- 2. The sphere S^n has the structure of a cell complex with just two cells, e^0 and e^n , the n-cell being attached by the constant map $S^{n-1} \to e^0$. This is equivalent to regarding S^n as the quotient space $D^n/\partial D^n$.
- 3. Real projective n-space $\mathbb{R}P^n$. It is equivalent to the quotient space of a hemisphere D^n with antipodal points of ∂D^n identified. Since ∂D^n with antipodal points identified is just $\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$, we see that $\mathbb{R}P^n$ is obtained from $\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$ by attaching an n-cell, with the quotient projection $S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$ as the attaching map. It follows by induction on n that $\mathbb{R}P^n$ has a cell complex structure $e^0 \cup e^1 \cup \cdots \cup e^n$ with one cell e^i in each dimension $i \leq n$. The infinite union $\mathbb{R}P^\infty = U_n \mathbb{R}P^n$ becomes a cell complex with one cell in each dimension. We can view $\mathbb{R}P^\infty$ as the space of lines through the origin in $\mathbb{R}^\infty = \bigcup_n \mathbb{R}^n$.
- 4. Complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$. It is equivalent to the quotient of the unit sphere $S^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ with $v \sim \lambda v$ for $|\lambda| = 1$. It is also possible to obtain $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ as a quotient space of the disk D^{2n} under the identifications $v \sim \lambda v$ for $v \in \partial D^{2n}$, in the following way. The vectors in $S^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ with last coordinate real and nonnegative are precisely the vectors of the form $\left(w, \sqrt{1-|w|^2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$ with $|w| \leq 1$. Such vectors form the graph of the function $w \mapsto \sqrt{1-|w|^2}$. This is a disk D^{2n}_+ bounded by the sphere $S^{2n-1} \subset S^{2n+1}$ consisting of vectors $(w,0) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$ with |w| = 1. Each vector in S^{2n+1} is equivalent under the identifications $v \sim \lambda v$ to a vector in D^{2n}_+ , and the latter vector is unique if its last coordinate is nonzero. If the last coordinate is zero, we have just the identifications $v \sim \lambda v$ for $v \in S^{2n-1}$. It follows that \mathbb{P}^n is obtained from $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ by attaching a cell e^{2n} via the quotient map $S^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. So by induction on n we obtain a cell structure $\mathbb{C}P^n = e^0 \cup e^2 \cup \cdots \cup e^{2n}$ with cells only in even dimensions. Similarly, $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ has a cell structure with one

Each cell e^n_α in a cell complex X has a **characteristic map** $\Phi_\alpha:D^n_\alpha\to X$ which extends the attaching map φ_α and is a homeomorphism from the interior of D^n_α onto e^n_α . Namely, we can take Φ_α to be the composition $D^n_\alpha\hookrightarrow X^{n-1}\coprod_\alpha D^n_\alpha\to X^n\hookrightarrow X$ where the middle map is the quotient map defining X^n .

Homotopy theory

Let I^n be the n-dimensional unit cube, the product of n copies of the interval [0,1]. The boundary ∂I^n of I^n is the subspace consisting of points with at least one coordinate equal to 0 or 1 . For a space X with basepoint $x_0 \in X$, define $\pi_n(X,x_0)$ to be the set of homotopy classes of maps $f:(I^n,\partial I^n)\to (X,x_0)$, where homotopies f_t are required to satisfy $f_t(\partial I^n)=x_0$ for all t. The definition extends to the case n=0 by taking I^0 to be a point and ∂I^0 to be empty, so $\pi_0(X,x_0)$ is just the set of path-components of X.

When $n \geq 2$, a sum operation in $\pi_n(X, x_0)$, generalizing the composition operation in π_1 , is defined by

$$(f+g)(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) = \begin{cases} f(2s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n), & s_1 \in [0, 1/2] \\ g(2s_1 - 1, s_2, \dots, s_n), & s_1 \in [1/2, 1] \end{cases}$$

It is evident that this sum is well-defined on homotopy classes. Since only the first coordinate is involved in the sum operation, the same arguments as for π_1 show that $\pi_n(X, x_0)$ is a group, with identity element the constant map sending I^n to x_0 and with inverses given by $-f(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_n) = f(1 - s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_n)$.

Proposition 4. If $n \geq 2$, then $\pi_n(X, x_0)$ is abelian.

Part III Topics of Geometry

Part IV

K-theory

K-theory constructions

7.1 Milnor's K-theory

For $n \ge 3$ the **Steinberg group** $\operatorname{St}_n(R)$ of a ring R is the group defined by generators $x_{ij}(r)$, with i, j a pair of distinct integers between 1 and n and $r \in R$, subject to the following "Steinberg relations":

$$x_{ij}(r)x_{ij}(s) = x_{ij}(r+s),$$

$$[x_{ij}(r), x_{k\ell}(s)] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \neq k \text{ and } i \neq \ell, \\ x_{i\ell}(rs) & \text{if } j = k \text{ and } i \neq \ell, \\ x_{kj}(-sr) & \text{if } j \neq k \text{ and } i = \ell. \end{cases}$$

As observed in (1.3.1), the Steinberg relations are also satisfied by the elementary matrices $e_{ij}(r)$ which generate the subgroup $E_n(R)$ of $GL_n(R)$. Hence there is a canonical group surjection $\phi_n: St_n(R) \to E_n(R)$ sending $x_{ij}(r)$ to $e_{ij}(r)$.

The Steinberg relations for n+1 include the Steinberg relations for n, so there is an obvious map $St_n(R) \to St_{n+1}(R)$. We write St(R) for $\lim_{\longrightarrow} St_n(R)$ and observe that by stabilizing, the ϕ_n induce a surjection $\phi: St(R) \to E(R)$.

The group $K_2(R)$ is the kernel of $\phi: St(R) \to E(R)$. Thus there is an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to K_2(R) \to St(R) \xrightarrow{\phi} GL(R) \to K_1(R) \to 1.$$

It will follow from Theorem 5.2.1 below that $K_2(R)$ is an abelian group. Moreover, it is clear that St and K_2 are both covariant functors from rings to groups, just as GL and K_1 are.

Theorem 1. $K_2(R)$ is an abelian group. In fact it is precisely the center of St(R).

We'll define right actions of the symmetric group S_n on GL(R) and on $St_n(R)$ by setting

$$(\alpha^s)_{k,\ell} = \alpha_s(k), s(\ell); \quad x_{k\ell}(a)^s = x_s^{-1}(k), s^{-1}(\ell)(a).$$

These actions are compatible with the projections $St_n(R) \to E_n(R)$ and with the homomorphisms $St_n(R) + St_{n+1}(R)$ and $GL_n(R) + GL_{n+1}(R)$. In particular, they induce an action on $\overline{St}_n(R)$.

Lemma 2. For any $s \in S_{n+1}$ the embeddings u_n and u_n^s are homotopic.

7.2 Volodin's K-theory

Let G be a group and $\{G_i\}_{i\in I}$ a family of subgroups. Define $V\left(G,\{G_i\}\right)$, or just V(G) to be the simplicial complex, whose vertices are the elements of G, where g_0,\ldots,g_p $(g_i\neq g_j)$ form a p-simplex if for some G_i all the elements $g_jg_k^{-1}$ lie in G_i . If H is another group with a family of subgroups $\{H_j\}$ and $\phi:G\to H$ is a homomorphism sending each G_i into some H_j , then ϕ induces a simplicial map $V(\phi):V(G)\to V(H)$.

In many situations it is more convenient to use simplicial sets instead of simplicial complexes: Denote by $W(G,\{G_i\})$ the geometric realization of the simplicial set whose p-simplices are the sequences (g_0,\ldots,g_p) of elements of G (not necessarily distinct) such that for some G_i all $g_jg_k^{-1}$ lie in G_i , the r-th face (resp. degeneracy) of this simplex being obtained by omitting g_r (resp., repeating g_r). Associating with any p-simplex (g_0,\ldots,g_p) the linear singular simplex of the space V(G) which

sends the i-th vertex of the standard simplex to g_j , we obtain a map of simplicial sets from W(G) to the simplicial set of singular simplices of V(G) and hence a cellular map (linear on any simplex) from W(G) to V(G). This map is a homotopy equivalence

Suppose that R is a ring, n a natural number and σ a partial ordering of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$. Define $T_n^\sigma(R)$ to be the subgroup of $GL_n(R)$ consisting of the α with $\alpha_{ij}=1$ and $\alpha_{ij}=0$ if i& j. Subgroups of this form will be called triangular subgroups of $GL_n(R)$. The space $V\left(GL_n(R), \{T_n^\sigma(R)\}\right)$ will be denoted by $V_n(R)$. Since any partial ordering may be extended to a linear ordering, it suffices to consider linear orderings when defining $V_n(R)$. The natural embedding $GL_n\hookrightarrow GL_{n+1}(R)$ defines an embedding $V_n(R)\longleftrightarrow V_{n+1}(R)$ and we'll define $V_\infty(R)$ as $\lim_n V_n(R)$.

Finally for $i \geq 1$, put

$$k_{i,n}(R) = \pi_{i-1}\left(V_n(R)\right)$$

and $k_i(R) = k_{i,\infty}(R) = \lim_{\to} k_{i,n}(R)$ (compare [26], [27]). Evidently $K_{1,n}(R) = GL_n(R)/E_n(R)$ and $K_{i,n}(R)$ is a group if $i \geq 2$, and this group is abelian if $i \geq 3$. Moreover the $K_i(R)$ are abelian groups for all $i \geq 1$ (see [26], [27]). The connected component of $V_n(R)$ passing through T_n equals $V(E_n(R), \{T_n^{\sigma}(R)\})$. It is easy to show that the universal covering space of $V_n(E_n(R), \{T_n^{\sigma}(R)\})$ equals $V(St(R), \{T_n^{\sigma}(R)\})$, where T_n^{σ} is identified with the subgroup of $St_n(R)$ generated by the $x_{ij}(a)$ with a $\varepsilon R, i \stackrel{\sigma}{<} j(n \geq 3)$. Hence

Lemma 3.
$$K_{2,n}(R) = \ker (St_n(R) + E_n(R))$$
, and $K_{i,n}(R) = \pi_{i-1} (V(St_n(R))) = \pi_{i-1} (W(St_n(R)))$ if $i \ge 3$ $(n \ge 3)$.

Let's define $\overline{St}_n(R)$ to be the inverse image of $GL_n(R)$ under the projection $St(R) \to E(R)$. There is a canonical homomorphism $St_n(R) \to \overline{st}_n(R)$ and stability for K_1, k_2 ([10], [20], [22]) shows that this homomorphism is surjective if $n \geq s.r.R + 1$ and bijective if $n \geq s.r.R + 2$. The spaces $W(St_n(R))$ and $W(\overline{St}_n(R))$ will play an essential role in the sequel. We'll denote them by $W_n(R)$, $\bar{W}_n(R)$, resp. (So $W_n(R) = \bar{W}_n(R)$ if $n \geq s.r.R + 2$.)

Lemma 4. Denote the canonical embedding $\bar{W}_n(R) \longleftrightarrow \bar{W}_{n+1}(R)$ by u_n . If $n \ge s \cdot r.R$ and $x \in \overline{St}_{n+1}(R)$, then u_n and $u_n \cdot x$ are homotopic. (Here $(u_n \cdot x)(g) = (u_n(g)) \cdot x$.))

Lemma 5. For any $s \in S_{n+1}$ the embeddings u_n and u_n^s are homotopic.

For any simplicial set X we'll denote by $C_*(X)$ its chain complex, i.e., the complex of abelian groups with $C_p(x)$ equal to the free abelian group generated by the p-simplices of X and each differential equal to an alternating sum of homomorphisms induced by taking faces. It is well known that $C_*(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to the singular complex of the geometric realization of X. In view of (1.5) the maps of complexes $C_*(u_n)$, $C_*(u_n(n,n+1))$: $C_*(\bar{W}_n(R)) + C_*(\bar{W}_{n+1}(R))$ are homotopic. Looking through the proof of (1.5) one sees that the corresponding homotopy operator ϕ_{n+1}^k : $C_p(\bar{W}_n(R)) + C_{p+1}(\bar{W}_{n+1}(R))$ may be taken in the following form: (We denote $x_{k,n+1}(1)$ by x_k and

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1,k}(-1) & \text{ by } y_k) \\ \phi_{n+1}^k \left(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_p\right) &= \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^{i+1} \left[\left(\alpha_0^{x_k y_k}, \dots, \alpha_i x_k y_k, \alpha_i^{(k,n+1)}, \dots, \alpha_p^{(k,n+1)}\right) \right. \\ &- \left(\alpha_0^{x_k y_k}, \dots, \alpha_i^{x} y_k, \alpha_i x_k y_k, \dots, \alpha_p^{x_k y_k}\right) \\ &+ \left(\alpha_0^{x_k} \cdot y_k, \dots, \alpha_i^{x_k} \cdot y_k, \alpha_i^{x_k y_k}, \dots, \alpha_p y_k\right) - \left(\alpha_0 y_k, \dots, \alpha_i y_k, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_p\right) \\ &+ \left(\alpha_0 y_k, \dots, \alpha_i y_k, \alpha_i^{x_k} \cdot y_k, \dots, \alpha_p^{x_k} \cdot y_k\right) - \left(\alpha_0 y_k, \dots, \alpha_i y_k, \alpha_i y_k, \dots, \alpha_p y_k\right) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6. The homotopy operators ϕ_{n+1}^k have the following properties:

- $\text{I. } (\partial -\alpha(k,n+1)) = d\phi_{n+1}^k(\alpha) + \phi_{n+1}^k(d\alpha) \text{, where } \alpha = (\alpha_0,\dots,\alpha_p) \text{ is a p-simplex of $\bar{W}_n(R)$.}$
- 2. $\phi_{n+1}^n \mid C_*(\bar{W}_{n-1}(R)) = 0.$
- 3. For any $s \in S_n$ the following formula is valid:

$$\phi_{n+1}^k(\alpha^s) = \left[\phi_{n+1}^s(k)(\alpha)\right]^s$$

4.
$$\phi_{n+1}^k \mid C_*(\bar{W}_{n-1}(R)) = (\phi_n^k)(n+1,n)$$

7.3. WHITEHEAD'S K-THEORY 29

Lemma 7. Suppose
$$c \in C_p\left(\bar{W}_{n-q}(R)\right)$$
, $dc \& C_{p-1}\left(\bar{W}_{n-q-1}(R)\right)$. Set
$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= c, c_1 = \phi_{n-q+1}^{n-q}\left(c_0\right) \& c_{p+1}\left(\bar{W}_{n-q+1}(R)\right), \ldots, c_k \\ &= \phi_{n-q+k}^{n-q+k-1}\left(c_{k-1}\right) \varepsilon c_{p+k}\left(\bar{w}_{n-q+k}(R)\right)$$
. Then, if $k \geq 1$, we have:
$$dc_k = c_{k-1} - c_{k-1}^{(n-q+k,n-q+k-1)} + \ldots + (-1)^k c_{k-1}^{(n-q+k,\dots,n-q)}. \end{aligned}$$

7.2.1 The Aciclicity Theorem

If X is an arbitrary set, we'll denote by $F_m(X)$ the partially ordered set of functions defined on non-empty subsets of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and taking values in X. The partial ordering is defined as follows:

$$f \leq g \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{dom} f \subset \operatorname{dom} g, \left. g \right|_{\operatorname{dom}} f = f.$$

(Here dom f is the subset of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ where f is defined). Following van der Kallen [11] we'll say that $F \subset F_m(X)$ satisfies the chain condition if F contains with any function all its restrictions (to non-empty subsets of its domain). It is clear that f and g have a common restriction if and only if there exists i $\varepsilon\{1,\ldots,m\}$ such that f and g are defined at f and equal at f. In this case there obviously exists a maximal common restriction inf(f, g).

If $F \subset F_m(X)$ satisfies the chain condition, then by F_* we'll denote the geometric realization of the semi-simplicial set, whose non-degenerate p-simplices are the functions $f \in F$ with $| \operatorname{dom} f | = p+1$, and whose faces are defined by the formulas $d_j(f) = f|_{\{i_0,\dots,\hat{i}_j,\dots,i_p\}}$ where $\{i_0,\dots,i_p\} = \operatorname{dom} f, (i_0 < \dots < i_p)$. If $f \in F, |\operatorname{dom} f| = p+1$, then by |f| we'll denote the corresponding p-simplex of F_* . It is clear that $|f| \cap |g|$ is either empty or else equals $|\operatorname{inf}(f,g)|$. In particular, F_* is a simplicial space [7].

Let R be a ring (associative with identity), R^{∞} the free left R-module on the basis e_1,\ldots,e_n,\ldots , and R^n its submodule generated by e_1,\ldots,e_n . If X is any subset of R^{∞} , then by $U_m(X)$ we' 11 denote the subset of $F_m(X)$ consisting of those functions f for which $f(i_0),\ldots,f(i_p)$ is a unimodular frame (i.e., a basis of a free direct summand of R^{∞}), where $\{i_0,\ldots,i_p\}=\mathrm{dom}(f)$.

Theorem 2. Suppose R is a ring, r = s.r.R and m, n are natural numbers. Then $U_m(R^n)$ is $\min(m-2, n-r-1)$ -acyclic.

Corollary 1.
$$U_n(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 is $(n-r-1) - \operatorname{acyc} 1$ ic.

Corollary 2. Consider in $\operatorname{St}_{n+1}(\Lambda)$ the following subgroups: $A^i = \{\alpha : e_i \cdot \pi(\alpha) = e_i\}$ $(i = 1, \dots, n+1)$ and consider the simplicial set Z' $(St_{n+1}(R), A^i)$ constructed as in (2.5), but using left cosets instead of right cosets. This simplicial set is (n-r)-acyclic.

7.3 Whitehead's K-theory

7.4 Quillen's K-theory

Homological stability

8.1 Motivation

The symmetric group Σ_n is the group of bijections of the finite set $\underline{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, under composition. The classifying space BG of a discrete group G, such as Σ_n , is the connected space determined uniquely up to weak homotopy equivalence by the property

$$\pi_*(BG) = \begin{cases} G & \text{if } * = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It can be constructed by extracting from G the groupoid *//G given by: - a single object *, - morphisms given by $* \xrightarrow{g} *$ for $g \in G$, and - composition given by multiplication.

We then take its nerve to obtain a simplicial set, and take the geometric realisation to get a topological space |N(*//G)|; this is a model for BG. Exercise 1.3.1 proves it indeed has the desired property.

Proposition 5. $H_*(B\Sigma_n; \mathbb{Z})$ is the same as computing the group homology of Σ_n with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} .

Let us compute these groups and the homology of their classifying spaces for the first few values of n.

Example 3. 1. For n=0,1, the group Σ_n is trivial so its classifying space is weakly contractible and hence has trivial homology.

2. Example 1.1.4. For n=2, Σ_2 is isomorphic to the cyclic abelian group $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Then $B\mathbb{Z}/2$, as constructed above, is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{R}P^{\infty}$. We conclude that

$$H_*(B\mathbb{Z}/2;\mathbb{Z}) = H_*\left(\mathbb{R}P^\infty;\mathbb{Z}\right) = egin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \textit{if} * = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 & \textit{if} * > 0 \textit{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \textit{if} * > 0 \textit{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Bibliography

[1] Charles A. Weibel. An Introduction to Homological Algebra. en. 1st ed. Cambridge University Press, Apr. 1994. ISBN: 978-0-521-43500-0978-0-521-55987-4978-1-139-64413-6. DOI: 10.1017/CB09781139644136. URL: https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/9781139644136/type/book (visited on 05/02/2024).