In Rust, what is the purpose of a mod.rs file?

Asked 9 years, 9 months ago Modified 8 months ago Viewed 50k times



83

In some Rust projects I've seen (i.e <u>pczarn/rustboot</u>), I've seen <u>mod.rs</u> files in directories for whatever reason. I've not been able to find documentation about this, and I've seen it in many other Rust projects. What is the purpose of a mod.rs file, and when should I use it?



module rust



Share Improve this question Follow



Highest score (default)

Liam Marshall 1,503 1 13 21

3 Answers



\$



Modules are important to understand, but I find most documentations often leave you scratching your head on that matter.

94



Coming from Python or Javascript?

Roughly, mod.rs is kind of like __init__.py in python or index.js in javascript. But only kind of. This is a bit more complicated in Rust.



Rust is different

Folders are not immediately ready to use as modules in Rust.

Vou have to add a file named and are in a folder to expanse a new module named like that folder



Join Stack Overflow

Be part of the community and earn reputation by helping others. Save your favorite posts and try dark mode!



From the Rust reference:

Note: Previous to rustc 1.30, using mod.rs files was the way to load a module with nested children. It is encouraged to use the new naming convention as it is more consistent, and avoids having many files named mod.rs within a project.

Complete example

```
src
    utils
        bar.rs
         foo.rs
    main.rs
```

At this point, the compiler doesn't know about src/utils/foo.rs and src/utils/bar.rs.

First, you must expose src/utils/. As seen above, you have 2 options:

- add the file: src/utils/mod.rs
- add the file src/utils.rs (named exactly like the folder, without the extension)

Now, relative to the src folder (aka the crate level), a module named utils is available.

Second, you must expose the files src/utils/foo.rs and src/utils/bar.rs.

To do that, the utils module must declare 2 new submodules named after these files. So the content of src/utils/mod.rs (or src/utils.rs) should be:

```
pub mod bar;
pub mod foo;
```

Now whatever is public in those 2 files is available in other modules!



And you may write the following in src/main.rs:



Join Stack Overflow

Be part of the community and earn reputation by helping others. Save your favorite posts and try dark mode!

Sign up

X

```
foo.rs
mod.rs
main.rs
```

Option 2 • <folder_name>.rs (the preferred way):

```
src
utils
bar.rs
foo.rs
utils.rs
main.rs
```

More advanced details on how modules work

This remains a surface explanation, your next destination is the official documentation



There is a third way to declare modules (core language):

```
mod utils {
   // module code goes here. That's right, inside of the file.
}
```

But it is also possible to just write mod utils; . In that case, as seen above, Rust knows to search for either of src/utils.rs or src/utils/mod.rs.

See, when you try to use a module in a file (in src/main.rs for example), you may reference it in the following ways:

- from inside: src/main.rs
 - mod module { ... }

from nected modules incide: ---- /----



Join Stack Overflow

Be part of the community and earn reputation by helping others. Save your favorite posts and try dark mode!

Sign up

X

A file or a folder containing mod.rs does not become a module.

Rather, the Rust language lets you organize modules (a language feature) with a file hierarchy.

What makes it really interesting is that you are free to mix all approaches together.

For example, you may think you can't directly reference src/utils/foo.rs from main.rs.
But you can:

```
// src/main.rs
mod utils {
  pub mod foo;
}
```

Important notes:

- modules declared in files will always take precedence (because you in fact never need to search the file hierarchy)
- you can't use the other 2 approaches to reference the same module

For example, having both src/utils.rs and src/utils/mod.rs will raise the following error at compile time:

```
error[E0761]: file for module `utils` found at both "src/utils.rs" and
"src/utils/mod.rs"
   --> src/main.rs:1:1
   |
1 | mod utils;
   | ^^^^^^^^^^
   |
   = help: delete or rename one of them to remove the ambiguity
```

Let's wrap up. Modules are exposed to the compiler:

- from top to bottom
- by reference only (That's why you don't have intellisense until your modules are "imported")
- starting from an entry point (which is src/main.rs or src/lib.rs by default. But it may be



Join Stack Overflow

Be part of the community and earn reputation by helping others. Save your favorite posts and try dark mode!

Share Improve this answer Follow

edited Nov 11, 2023 at 21:02

answered Aug 29, 2021 at 12:37



- Thanks, the Rust book is really weak in this regards. When you expose foo and bar, do you then do use utils::foo or just use foo? I would suspect the latter? Markus Toman Sep 22, 2021 at 8:21
- I just found in doc.rust-lang.org/reference/items/... that "Note: Previous to rustc 1.30, using mod.rs files was the way to load a module with nested children. It is encouraged to use the new naming convention as it is more consistent, and avoids having many files named mod.rs within a project." Markus Toman Sep 22, 2021 at 11:57 specific-lang.org/reference/items/... that "Note: Previous to rustc 1.30, using mod.rs files was the way to load a module with nested children. It is encouraged to use the new naming convention as it is more consistent, and avoids having many files named mod.rs within a project." Markus Toman Sep 22, 2021 at 11:57 specific-lang.org/reference/items/... that "Note: Previous to rustc 1.30, using mod.rs files was the way to load a module with nested children. It is encouraged to use the new naming convention as it is more consistent, and avoids having many files named mod.rs within a project." Markus Toman Sep 22, 2021 at 11:57 specific-lang.org/ that is the specific have the specifi

@MarkusToman To use foo and bar in a file (main.rs for example), you need to bring the module in scope with mod utils; and then use utils::{foo, bar}; -Romain Vincent Sep 22, 2021 at 20:22

What if I don't want a nested directory but want to put the other files at the same level as main.rs?

- Abhijit Sarkar Jun 26, 2022 at 0:11

@TalnaciSergiuVlad My pleasure. Modules are always a pain, and just like you, I felt a bit let down by all the official docs and examples. – Romain Vincent Nov 11, 2023 at 20:56



Imagine the following directory structure:



code/



- something/
`- mod.rs

`- main.rs



If in main.rs you do mod something; , then it'll look in the something/mod.rs file to use as the contents of the module declaration for something.

The alternative to this is to have a something.rs file in the code/ directory.

So to recap, when you write an empty module declaration such as <code>mod something;</code>, it looks either in:



Join Stack Overflow

Be part of the community and earn reputation by helping others. Save your favorite posts and try dark mode!

- 1 Could I use any filename instead of mod.rs, or is that a builtin. Liam Marshall Oct 18, 2014 at 1:49
- 2 You can specify any path you want using the #[path = "thefile.rs"] attribute, but it's generally discouraged since the convention is what I specified in my answer. See the reference. Jorge Israel Peña Oct 18, 2014 at 2:30 ✓
- 2 1. What happens when both the files are present? something.rs and something/mod.rs? 2. Isn't that weird that every file's default name is expected to be mod.rs, making search a horrible experience? − Coder Dec 29, 2021 at 20:34 ✓
- In Rust 2018, mod.rs is not needed https://doc.rust-lang.org/edition-guide/rust-2018/path-changes.html − Jagger Yu May 11, 2022 at 12:17



Each rs file, except lib.rs and main.rs, which always match the crate module, gets its own module.

1

There is only one way to declare a module:



/* pub */ mod sub_module1;



A module cannot be declare outside the root/crate module tree (i.e., going up the module tree, a submodule must always have a parent that is declared directly in lib.rs or main.rs, so the first program submodule must always be declared there — a tree data structure if it isn't already obvious enough).

There are 2 ways to nest a module inside the module where it is declared:

- in <module_where_it_is_declared>/<module_name.rs>
- in <module_where_it_is_declared>/module_name/mod.rs

If module_where_it_is_declared is the crate module, then this corresponding subfolder is not needed (disappears from the scheme above).

Here is an example, valid for both lib and binary crates:

src



Join Stack Overflow

Be part of the community and earn reputation by helping others. Save your favorite posts and try dark mode!

Sign up

X

You can see that you can mix and match (b2 uses mod.rs way, bb2 uses the "file"-way).

Here's a way to only use the file pattern that is also valid:

```
src
|---lib.rs ( contains: pub mod b2; )
|---b2.rs ( contains: pub mod bb2; )
|---b2
| |---bb2.rs (contains: pub mod bbb2; )
| |---bbb2.rs (contains: pub mod bbbb2; )
| |---bbb2
| |---bbb2
```

I guess it depends on you how you want to nest modules.

I like the <code>mod.rs</code> syntax for modules that just export other submodules and don't have any other (or very little) code in them, although you can put whatever you want in <code>mod.rs</code>.

I use mod.rs similar to the barrel pattern from JS/TS world, to rollup several submodules into a single parent module.

Also don't forget modules can be defined (not only declared) inline by adding a scope block:

```
pub mod my_submodule {
    // ...
}
```

Share Improve this answer Follow

edited Nov 24, 2022 at 19:00

answered Nov 24, 2022 at 18:55

X



Paul-Sebastian Manole 2,624 1 36 38



Join Stack Overflow

Be part of the community and earn reputation by helping others. Save your favorite posts and try dark mode!