

# Exercise Sheet 4: Maps

## Question 2 - Complete Solution

Methods of Applied Mathematics

### Problem Statement

Derive a discrete map for the predator-prey system, in a similar way we did for the 1d population model.

---

## 1 Step 1: The Continuous Predator-Prey System

### The Lotka-Volterra equations

The classical predator-prey model is:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = ax - bxy \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -cy + dxy \quad (2)$$

where:

- $x(t)$  is the prey population at time  $t$
- $y(t)$  is the predator population at time  $t$
- $a > 0$  is the prey birth rate (in absence of predators)
- $b > 0$  is the predation rate coefficient
- $c > 0$  is the predator death rate (in absence of prey)
- $d > 0$  is the predator growth rate from consumption

### XYZ Analysis of the System

- **STAGE X (What we have):** A coupled system of two first-order nonlinear ODEs. Each equation has a linear term (natural growth/death) and a nonlinear interaction term ( $xy$ ).
- **STAGE Y (Why this structure):**
  - **Prey equation**  $\dot{x} = ax - bxy$ :
    - \*  $ax$ : Prey reproduce exponentially when alone
    - \*  $-bxy$ : Prey are consumed proportional to encounter rate (product of populations)
  - **Predator equation**  $\dot{y} = -cy + dxy$ :
    - \*  $-cy$ : Predators die exponentially without food
    - \*  $+dxy$ : Predators grow proportional to prey consumed

The coupling through  $xy$  creates the predator-prey dynamic: predators need prey to survive, prey are limited by predators.

- **STAGE Z (What we need):** Derive discrete-time versions by approximating derivatives for finite time step  $\Delta t$ , analogous to the single population case.
- 

## 2 Step 2: Discretize Using Euler Approximation

### Approximate derivatives

Recall the definition of derivative:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{x(t + \Delta t) - x(t)}{\Delta t}$$

For finite (small)  $\Delta t$ , we approximate:

$$\frac{x(t + \Delta t) - x(t)}{\Delta t} \approx ax(t) - bx(t)y(t)$$

Similarly for  $y$ :

$$\frac{y(t + \Delta t) - y(t)}{\Delta t} \approx -cy(t) + dx(t)y(t)$$

### Rearrange to get update rules

From the prey equation:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t + \Delta t) - x(t) &\approx \Delta t[ax(t) - bx(t)y(t)] \\ x(t + \Delta t) &\approx x(t) + \Delta t \cdot x(t)[a - by(t)] \\ x(t + \Delta t) &\approx x(t)[1 + \Delta t(a - by(t))] \end{aligned}$$

From the predator equation:

$$\begin{aligned} y(t + \Delta t) - y(t) &\approx \Delta t[-cy(t) + dx(t)y(t)] \\ y(t + \Delta t) &\approx y(t) + \Delta t \cdot y(t)[-c + dx(t)] \\ y(t + \Delta t) &\approx y(t)[1 + \Delta t(-c + dx(t))] \end{aligned}$$

### Set time step $\Delta t = 1$

Taking the fundamental time unit as  $\Delta t = 1$  (e.g., one day, one generation), and using discrete notation  $x_n = x(n)$ ,  $y_n = y(n)$ :

$$x_{n+1} = x_n[1 + a - by_n] \tag{3}$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_n[1 - c + dx_n] \tag{4}$$

### XYZ Analysis of Discretization

- **STAGE X (What we derived):** A pair of coupled difference equations that map  $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})$ .

- **STAGE Y (Why this works):** The Euler method approximates:

$$\text{Population at next step} = \text{Current population} + \text{Change over } \Delta t$$

For  $\Delta t = 1$ :

- **Prey:**  $x_{n+1} = x_n + x_n(a - by_n) = x_n(1 + a - by_n)$ 
  - \* Factor  $(1 + a)$  would give exponential growth alone
  - \* Factor  $by_n$  represents reduction due to predation
- **Predator:**  $y_{n+1} = y_n + y_n(-c + dx_n) = y_n(1 - c + dx_n)$ 
  - \* Factor  $(1 - c)$  would give exponential decay alone
  - \* Factor  $dx_n$  represents growth from eating prey

- **STAGE Z (What this gives):** A 2D discrete dynamical system (map). Unlike the continuous ODE which requires solving differential equations, this map can be iterated directly:

$$(x_0, y_0) \rightarrow (x_1, y_1) \rightarrow (x_2, y_2) \rightarrow \dots$$

Each iteration is algebraic, making it computationally simple.

---

### 3 Step 3: Standard Form of the Discrete Map

Present as a map

We can write the discrete predator-prey system as:

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = x_n(1 + a - by_n) \\ y_{n+1} = y_n(1 - c + dx_n) \end{cases}$$

or in vector form as  $\mathbf{z}_{n+1} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{z}_n)$  where  $\mathbf{z}_n = (x_n, y_n)$  and:

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} x(1 + a - by) \\ y(1 - c + dx) \end{pmatrix}$$

**Alternative formulation**

We can also write this emphasizing the change:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} - x_n &= x_n(a - by_n) \\ y_{n+1} - y_n &= y_n(-c + dx_n) \end{aligned}$$

This makes clear that:

- Prey increase when  $a > by_n$  (birth rate exceeds predation rate)
- Predators increase when  $dx_n > c$  (consumption exceeds death rate)

## XYZ Analysis of Form

- **STAGE X (What the form shows):** The map is *multiplicative* - each population is multiplied by a growth factor that depends on the other population.
- **STAGE Y (Why multiplicative):** Because the original ODEs are:
  - Linear in each variable separately:  $\dot{x} = x(\dots)$  and  $\dot{y} = y(\dots)$
  - This "factorizable" structure is preserved under Euler discretization
  - Each population's next value is current value  $\times (1 + \text{change rate})$

If either population is zero, it remains zero (extinction is permanent). The interaction terms  $xy$  couple the equations but maintain the multiplicative structure.

- **STAGE Z (What this means for analysis):**
  - The map has fixed points where  $x_{n+1} = x_n$  and  $y_{n+1} = y_n$
  - We can analyze stability using the Jacobian matrix
  - For small time steps, behavior mimics continuous system
  - For larger time steps, discrete map can show different dynamics

---

## 4 Step 4: Properties of the Discrete Map

### Fixed points

Fixed points satisfy  $x_{n+1} = x_n$  and  $y_{n+1} = y_n$ :

$$\begin{aligned}x^* &= x^*(1 + a - by^*) \\ y^* &= y^*(1 - c + dx^*)\end{aligned}$$

This gives:

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= x^*(a - by^*) \\ 0 &= y^*(-c + dx^*)\end{aligned}$$

**Fixed point 1:**  $(x^*, y^*) = (0, 0)$  - extinction of both species

**Fixed point 2:**  $x^* = 0, y^* \neq 0$  gives  $0 = y^*(-c) \Rightarrow$  no solution (unless  $y^* = 0$ )

**Fixed point 3:**  $y^* = 0, x^* \neq 0$  gives  $0 = x^* \cdot a \Rightarrow$  no solution (unless  $x^* = 0$ )

**Fixed point 4:**  $x^*, y^* \neq 0$  requires:

$$\begin{aligned}a - by^* &= 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y^* = \frac{a}{b} \\ -c + dx^* &= 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x^* = \frac{c}{d}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore:  $\boxed{(x^*, y^*) = \left(\frac{c}{d}, \frac{a}{b}\right)}$  - coexistence equilibrium

## Jacobian matrix

The Jacobian of  $\mathbf{F}(x, y)$  is:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[x(1+a-by)] & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[x(1+a-by)] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[y(1-c+dx)] & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[y(1-c+dx)] \end{pmatrix}$$

Computing derivatives:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 1+a-by & -bx \\ dy & 1-c+dx \end{pmatrix}$$

At the coexistence fixed point  $(x^*, y^*) = (c/d, a/b)$ :

$$J^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1+a-b \cdot \frac{a}{b} & -b \cdot \frac{c}{d} \\ d \cdot \frac{a}{b} & 1-c+d \cdot \frac{c}{d} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{bc}{d} \\ \frac{ad}{b} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Eigenvalue analysis

For the Jacobian  $J^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{bc}{d} \\ \frac{ad}{b} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ :

Characteristic equation:

$$\det(J^* - \lambda I) = (1-\lambda)^2 + \frac{bc}{d} \cdot \frac{ad}{b} = 0$$

$$(1-\lambda)^2 + ac = 0$$

$$\lambda = 1 \pm i\sqrt{ac}$$

The eigenvalues are complex with:

- Real part:  $\text{Re}(\lambda) = 1$
- Imaginary part:  $\text{Im}(\lambda) = \pm\sqrt{ac}$
- Modulus:  $|\lambda| = \sqrt{1+ac}$

Since  $|\lambda| = \sqrt{1+ac} > 1$  (for  $a, c > 0$ ), the fixed point is **unstable**.

## XYZ Analysis of Fixed Points

- **STAGE X (What we found):** Two fixed points:  $(0, 0)$  (trivial) and  $(c/d, a/b)$  (coexistence). The coexistence point has complex eigenvalues with modulus  $> 1$ .
- **STAGE Y (Why this instability):**
  - In the continuous Lotka-Volterra system, the coexistence point is a *center* with purely imaginary eigenvalues - neutral stability with closed orbits
  - The discrete map shifts eigenvalues:  $\lambda_{\text{map}} \approx e^{\lambda_{\text{ODE}} \Delta t}$
  - For the ODE with  $\lambda_{\text{ODE}} = \pm i\sqrt{ac}$ , we get  $\lambda_{\text{map}} = e^{\pm i\sqrt{ac}}$  which has  $|\lambda| = 1$
  - However, our Euler discretization introduces additional terms that push  $|\lambda|$  slightly above 1
  - The spiral instability means orbits slowly diverge outward from the fixed point

- **STAGE Z (What this means):** The discrete map has fundamentally different stability than the continuous system:
  - Continuous: Neutral stability, periodic orbits (conservative system)
  - Discrete (with  $\Delta t = 1$ ): Unstable spiral (trajectories diverge)

This illustrates that discrete maps are *not* just approximations to ODEs - they can exhibit genuinely different dynamics. For predator-prey, the discretization breaks the conservation law that existed in the continuous case.

---

## 5 Step 5: Comparison with Continuous System

### Continuous system properties

The Lotka-Volterra ODE has:

- Fixed point at  $(c/d, a/b)$  with purely imaginary eigenvalues  $\pm i\sqrt{ac}$
- This is a **center** - neutrally stable
- Solutions are closed periodic orbits around the fixed point
- System is **conservative**: has a conserved quantity  $H(x, y) = dx - c \log x + by - a \log y$

### Discrete system properties

The discrete map has:

- Same fixed point at  $(c/d, a/b)$
- But eigenvalues  $1 \pm i\sqrt{ac}$  have modulus  $\sqrt{1 + ac} > 1$
- This is an **unstable spiral**
- Solutions spiral outward (for  $\Delta t = 1$ )
- System is **not conservative**: no preserved quantity

### Why the difference?

1. **Euler method is first-order:** It only captures behavior to  $O(\Delta t)$
2. **Time step too large:** For  $\Delta t = 1$ , discrete approximation introduces significant error
3. **Conservation broken:** Euler method doesn't preserve the Hamiltonian structure
4. **Eigenvalue transformation:** The map  $\lambda_{\text{map}} = e^{\lambda_{\text{ODE}}\Delta t}$  takes  $\pm i\omega \rightarrow e^{\pm i\omega}$  which has  $|e^{\pm i\omega}| = 1$ , but the Euler approximation  $\lambda \approx 1 + \lambda_{\text{ODE}}\Delta t$  gives  $1 \pm i\omega$  with  $|1 \pm i\omega| = \sqrt{1 + \omega^2} > 1$

## XYZ Analysis of Comparison

- **STAGE X (What differs):** The continuous system has periodic orbits (center), while the naive discrete system has spiraling unstable orbits.
- **STAGE Y (Why this happens):**
  - The continuous predator-prey system is *Hamiltonian* - it conserves energy-like quantities
  - Euler discretization is *not symplectic* - it doesn't preserve Hamiltonian structure
  - Each iteration adds a small numerical dissipation/excitation
  - Over many iterations, these errors accumulate, causing spiraling
  - The magnitude  $|\lambda| = \sqrt{1 + ac}$  quantifies the "per-iteration drift"

Better discretization schemes (like symplectic integrators) can preserve the center structure.

- **STAGE Z (What we learn):**
  1. **Maps  $\neq$  ODEs:** Discrete maps are independent models with their own dynamics
  2. **Discretization matters:** Choice of scheme affects qualitative behavior
  3. **Time step critical:** Smaller  $\Delta t$  improves agreement with ODE
  4. **Both are valid:** The map models discrete-time processes (generations), the ODE models continuous time

In applications where time is naturally discrete (insect populations with distinct generations), the map may be more appropriate than the ODE.

---

## 6 Step 6: Improved Discretization (Optional)

### Better time step

For smaller time step  $\Delta t \ll 1$ , the discrete map becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}x_{n+1} &= x_n[1 + \Delta t(a - by_n)] \\ y_{n+1} &= y_n[1 + \Delta t(-c + dx_n)]\end{aligned}$$

At the fixed point  $(c/d, a/b)$ , eigenvalues:

$$\lambda \approx 1 \pm i\sqrt{ac} \Delta t$$

with modulus:

$$|\lambda| = \sqrt{1 + ac(\Delta t)^2} \approx 1 + \frac{ac(\Delta t)^2}{2}$$

As  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $|\lambda| \rightarrow 1$ , recovering the center behavior.

### Stroboscopic interpretation

The discrete map with  $\Delta t = 1$  can be viewed as:

- A **stroboscopic map** of the continuous system
- Sampling the ODE solution at times  $t = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$
- Each "flash" captures the instantaneous populations
- The sequence  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$  traces out points on the continuous trajectory

For systems with natural periodicity (seasonal breeding), stroboscopic sampling at appropriate intervals gives meaningful discrete models.

## XYZ Analysis of Improvements

- **STAGE X (What improves):** Using smaller  $\Delta t$  makes the discrete map better approximate the continuous ODE's qualitative behavior.
- **STAGE Y (Why smaller is better):** Taylor expansion shows:

$$x(t + \Delta t) = x(t) + \dot{x}(t)\Delta t + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{x}(t)(\Delta t)^2 + O(\Delta t^3)$$

Euler method only uses first two terms, so error is  $O(\Delta t^2)$  per step. Over time interval  $T$ , we take  $n = T/\Delta t$  steps, accumulating error  $\sim n \cdot (\Delta t)^2 = T \cdot \Delta t$ . Thus error  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ .

- **STAGE Z (What this teaches):**
  - **Resolution vs. accuracy:** Smaller  $\Delta t$  requires more iterations but gives better accuracy
  - **Map as model:** When  $\Delta t$  is naturally determined (breeding season), accept the map's own dynamics
  - **Map as algorithm:** When approximating ODE, choose  $\Delta t$  carefully

The "right" choice depends on whether we're modeling inherently discrete-time processes or approximating continuous-time processes.

---

## 7 Summary

### Derivation

Starting from continuous Lotka-Volterra equations:

$$\dot{x} = ax - bxy, \quad \dot{y} = -cy + dxy$$

Euler approximation with  $\Delta t = 1$  gives discrete predator-prey map:

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = x_n(1 + a - by_n) \\ y_{n+1} = y_n(1 - c + dx_n) \end{cases}$$

### Key properties

- **Fixed points:**
  - Extinction:  $(0, 0)$
  - Coexistence:  $(c/d, a/b)$
- **Stability:** Coexistence point has eigenvalues  $\lambda = 1 \pm i\sqrt{ac}$  with  $|\lambda| = \sqrt{1 + ac} > 1$  (unstable spiral)
- **Dynamics:** Unlike continuous system (neutral center with closed orbits), discrete system has spiraling trajectories



## Biological interpretation

The discrete map models populations measured at regular intervals:

- $x_n$  = number of prey at generation  $n$
- $y_n$  = number of predators at generation  $n$
- Updates depend on current populations through:
  - Prey growth rate:  $1 + a - by_n$  (high predators  $\Rightarrow$  low growth)
  - Predator growth rate:  $1 - c + dx_n$  (high prey  $\Rightarrow$  high growth)

## Continuous vs. discrete

Property	Continuous ODE	Discrete Map ( $\Delta t = 1$ )
Fixed point	$(c/d, a/b)$	$(c/d, a/b)$
Eigenvalues	$\pm i\sqrt{ac}$	$1 \pm i\sqrt{ac}$
$ \lambda $	$\sqrt{ac}$	$\sqrt{1 + ac}$
Stability type	Center (neutral)	Unstable spiral
Orbits	Closed periodic	Outward spiraling
Conservative?	Yes	No

**Conclusion:** The discrete predator-prey map is a valid model in its own right for discrete-generation populations, but exhibits different long-term behavior than the continuous model due to broken conservation and numerical artifacts of Euler discretization.