

Assignment – Stage 3.

The AST pattern for the repeat until command was added to the FunEncoder file and highlighted with comments such as `//EXTENSION REPEAT UNTIL` in order to identify where the changes were made.

Besides it, a code template was devised and put in the beginning of the FunEncoder file. The code template for the following repeat until loop is as follows:

```
int n = 1
repeat:
    write(n)
    n = n + 1
until(n < 5).
```

```
4: LOADC 1      - [code to execute "int n = 1"]
7: LOADL 2      - [code to execute "write(n)"]
10: CALL 32767  - [code to execute "write(n)"]
13: LOADL 2      - [code to evaluate "n + 1"]
16: LOADC 1      - [code to evaluate "n + 1"]
19: ADD         - [code to evaluate "n + 1"]
20: STOREL 2     - [code to assign "n = n + 1"]
23: LOADL 2      - [code to evaluate "n < 5"]
26: LOADC 5      - [code to evaluate "n < 5"]
29: CMPLT       - [code to evaluate "n < 5"]
30: JUMPF 36     - [code to jump out of the repeat until loop]
33: JUMP 7       - [code to execute the repeat until command's body]
36: RETURN 0
```

As in this phase only source codes that does not give errors are analysed the test was done only for the `rightTypeAndScopeRepeatUntil.fun` attached file, and its result is described below:

`rightTypeAndScopeUntil.fun`:

In this file a simple repeat until loop was created, going from 1 to 4. No syntactic errors given. No contextual errors given. The code behaves as expected and gives the following object code and output respectively:

Code generation ...

Object code:

```
0: CALL 4
3: HALT
4: LOADC 1
7: LOADL 2
10: CALL 32767
13: LOADL 2
16: LOADC 1
19: ADD
20: STOREL 2
23: LOADL 2
26: LOADC 5
29: CMPLT
30: JUMPF 36
33: JUMP 7
36: RETURN 0
```

Interpretation ...

```
1
2
3
4
```