

Assignment – Stage 3.

The AST pattern for the for command was added to the FunEncoder file and highlighted with comments such as //EXTENSION FOR in order to identify where the changes were made.

Besides it, a code template was devised and put in the beginning of the FunEncoder file. The code template for the following for loop is as follows:

```
for n = 1 to 5:
    write(n)
.
```


4: LOADC 1	- [code to declare the control variable "n = 1"]
7: LOADC 5	- [code to evaluate "n to 5 (n < 5 + 1)"]
10: LOADL 2	- [code to evaluate "n to 5 (n < 5 + 1)"]
13: CMPLT	- [code to evaluate "n to 5 (n < 5 + 1)"]
14: JUMPT 33	- [code to jump out of the for loop]
17: LOADL 2	- [code to execute "write(n)"]
20: CALL 32767	- [code to execute "write(n)"]
23: LOADL 2	- [code to increment "n" by 1]
26: INC	- [code to increment "n" by 1]
27: STOREL 2	- [code to increment "n" by 1]
30: JUMP 7	- [code to jump to the for command's expressions evaluation]
33: RETURN 0	

As in this phase only source codes that does not give errors are analysed the test was done only for the rightTypeAndScopeFor.fun attached file, and its result is described below:

rightTypeAndScopeFor.fun:

In this file a simple for was created, going from 1 to 5. No syntactic errors given. No contextual errors given. The code behaves as expected and gives the following object code and output respectively:

Code generation ...

Object code:

```
0: CALL 4
3: HALT
4: LOADC 1
7: LOADC 5
10: LOADL 2
13: CMPLT
14: JUMPT 33
17: LOADL 2
20: CALL 32767
23: LOADL 2
26: INC
27: STOREL 2
30: JUMP 7
33: RETURN 0
```

Interpretation ...

```
1
2
3
4
5
```