

The Thinking True Initiative – Reality’s Realm

The immersive experience of intimacy by God’s divine nature



Summary of Tier 1; Level 2 — Second Level of the Beginner’s Stage

Course Title: “I” [Reasoning] IS Divine Intelligence

Course Theme: The Paradox Limitation IS

Duration: One Session — 39 Weeks

And he spake this parable unto certain which trusted in themselves that they were righteous, and despised others: Two men went up into the temple to pray; the one a Pharisee, and the other a publican. The Pharisee stood and prayed thus with himself, God, I thank thee, that I am not as other men are, extortioners, unjust, adulterers, or even as this publican. I fast twice in the week, I give tithes of all that I possess.

And the publican, standing afar off, would not lift up so much as his eyes unto heaven, but smote upon his breast, saying, God be merciful to me a sinner.

*I tell you, this man went down to his house justified rather than the other: **for every one that exalteth himself shall be abased; and he that humbleth himself shall be exalted.***

Goal:

Having been introduced to the **Universe of Intelligence**, we now move into its **main Exosphere**, the region where **Human Esteem begins**. This session seeks to understand **what makes the Human, human**—not the physical structure the Human appears to be, but the **experience** that makes him so full of himself: **“me”-sufficiency**.

It is *“me”-sufficiency* that compels the Human to accord to himself qualities that are, in truth, God’s—**limitlessness, righteousness, and reasoning**. These qualities only *appear* to belong to the Human because of the powerful **force of gravity** that holds Human Reasoning within itself. Paradoxically, this same force is the **limitation** that binds the Human to what he merely *seems* to be.

The central aim of this session is to understand this **paradox**, to gather its pieces carefully, and to recognize how **only the humbling process carried out by Original Righteousness (God)** can break “me” free from its sufficiency.

As with all that is taught here, the procedure of this course is **not for mere understanding**, but for **experience (spirit) and action (life)**—for this is what **Thinking True** offers.

Module — Cycle 1: Coming Properly into the Universe of Intelligence: Beyond the Planet (System) of Awareness (Tangibility)

Module — Cycle 2: TIME/Eternity — the Actual Universe of Intelligence: Reasoning

Module — Cycle 3: The operation of TIME/Eternity – the operation of Limitlessness

Module — Cycle 3: The operation of TIME/Eternity – the operation of Limitlessness

Module Objective

This cycle starts by sensitizing the Ward to the purpose of his/her enrolment at the AI-RR: **vulnerability to the humbling process of the Father (God), and what this process achieves.** The Ward also begins to recognize more firmly what was learnt in the last session—that the intangible is the actual value-system which gives value to the tangible.

Through experiential reorientation rather than conceptual instruction, the Ward gets to recognize TIME, not as what the clock reads nor as a tangible given moment, but as the actual Eternal capacity of the Father. At the climax of this cycle is the assertion of what original Righteousness is: **God’s Eternal capacity.**

Lesson 1: The Third Piece — The Current/Present Capacity (Moment): “Me” (Pages 5 – 15)

Lesson 2: Further Analysing Limitlessness (SELF) into Its Macro and Micro Operations (Systems) (Pages 17 – 25)

Lesson 3: The First Piece — The Two Dimensions of TIME — Eternity (Pages 27 – 32)

Lesson 4: The Maturity of Experience – Thinking True (Finalized) (Pages 34 – 39)



Eternal Righteousness [within] birthing Divine Intelligence....

Lesson 1: The Paradox (Puzzle) of Limitation — the “firmness” of Limitlessness

“Bigger” and “Smaller” Infinities/Limitlessness

The second dimension of TIME/Eternity is what the humbling spirit (Divine Intelligence) places under analysis, just as visual experience places the visible structure of a thing under seemingly different dimensions. This is only possible for the one who is truly seeking the Kingdom of God (within) and the Righteousness of God—one who has let go of Human Righteousness, which this pivotal lesson will reveal more clearly.

Far beyond the Planet of Awareness (I intentionally avoid specific numerical distances here, because this is truly a range of operation), the Kingdom of immense possibilities—Limitlessness—operates Divine Intelligence. At this range, experience reveals that everything is operated by this second dimension of the Father’s creative capacity: from inanimate things, to animals, to humans—regardless of what the Human mind (“Me”-sufficiency) assumes.

This realization allows us to understand how the intangibility of Experience is what actually governs actions: from you picking up a stone, to the stone traveling according to the force of your throw. This is precisely the experiential reality Sir Isaac Newton entered in order to formulate the laws of motion. His innovation did not arise merely from calculation, but from entering the **experience of motion itself**, which he later defined mathematically. The same applies to every phenomenon we can think of—and even those we cannot.

I will not tire of reiterating this, because you too must come into this intangible experience.

This range of intangible experience—which we previously examined using decimal-unit designations and later through the analogy of the physical atmosphere—is what we now identify as **Limitlessness**.

Let me illustrate this.

The difference between 2 and 1 may appear to be 1, but it is not. It is, in fact, infinite. Between 2 and 1 exist 1.1, 1.11, 1.111, 1.1111, 1.11111, endlessly—before even reaching 1.2, then 1.21, 1.211, and so on without end. This demonstrates how infinity is not a number, but an **operation**.

To the simple Human mind, however, only the tangibility of 1, 2, 3, and so on matters.

Mathematics itself acknowledges what are referred to as “larger” and “smaller” infinities. The difference between 2 and 1 may be considered a “smaller” infinity than the difference between 10 and 1. However, it is crucial to note that these distinctions only exist **within experiential analysis**. Infinity itself does not truly differ—because infinity is an operation, not a static entity.

The four scopes of SELF we have uncovered thus far agree on one fact: nothing escapes the singular capacity of God. Yet the operation of things, in their apparent distinctness, seems to be governed by different “sizes” of Limitlessness—only because Experience itself is limited and operates within particular ranges.

Before expanding further, we must now observe how this appears tangibly through the experiential qualities of animals—an idea we have been studying since the previous session, now clarified further.

The Different Levels/Grades of SELF (Experience)

By Chat GPT

Living beings do not experience themselves—or the world—in the same way. To be aware is not the same as to be self-aware. Awareness develops in levels, and each level carries a distinct quality of experience—of the body, the environment, and quantity.

Level 1: Sensory–Environmental Awareness (Fish, frogs, insects, simple animals)

At this level, the organism does not experience itself as a “self.” Awareness consists of sensation and action, not identity.

- The body is not experienced as “my body”
- The environment and body form one continuous field
- Hunger, danger, movement, and comfort are felt directly

Quantity is experienced as **intensity**, not number.

This mirrors human infancy (0–2 years).

Level 2: Social and Object Awareness (Most mammals and birds)

Here, awareness becomes organized.

- The body is experienced as one’s own
- Objects, places, and others are recognized

- Familiarity and memory guide behaviour

Quantity is experienced comparatively, not symbolically.

This resembles early childhood (2–6 years).

Level 3: Self-Recognition Awareness (Great apes, dolphins, elephants)

Awareness turns inward.

- The organism recognizes itself
- Reflection appears
- Quantity may be ordered or predicted

This parallels later childhood (6–12 years).

Level 4: Human Self-Concept Awareness

Humans move from recognition to identity.

- Thoughts are examined
- Meaning and narrative emerge
- Quantity becomes abstract and symbolic

This level enables civilization, science, and philosophy.

The negative connotation of Limitation and the “magnitude” of Limitlessness’ operation: the paradox of Limitation

Let us begin with what we discussed last session regarding the range of Experience (operation) which is responsible for what things tend to do. Inanimate Esteem, we reckoned, has the “zero–0” position. “Zero–0,” being the most intimate position of Limitlessness (Infinity), means that the stone, or a bacterial cell for example, is operated intimately by Limitlessness (Infinity); and for this reason, things esteemed here have no experience. In other words, a stone in flight from your throw has no idea that it is flying, because it is intimate with **intangibility that Experience (Limitlessness) actually is.**

Animalistic Esteem was divided into three major ranges: 0.01–100 for invertebrates, 0.0001–10,000 for vertebrates, and 0.000001–1,000,000 for mammals. From what Chat GPT has given us above, we can conjecture that the experiential quality of the fish is quite the same as that of invertebrates. If you recall from last session that the fish dwells at the lower strata of the Vertebrate Grade, it is little surprise that the fish is operated with the same quality of intangible experience that insects are operated by. Thus, the ranges represented by the (decimal–singular) numbers extracted from last session show what we can consider as “bigger” operations of Limitlessness; and by this, what is being experienced becomes more tangible as this operation gets “larger.”

As the operation of Limitlessness gets “bigger” (the quotation marks are very intentional), something dramatic happens: the intangible operation of Limitlessness—which is the actual experience that operates the animal and the Human—becomes less intimate, **though not in the literal sense.** From the perspective of the Entity itself, a fish is more intimate with the operations of Limitlessness than a dog is; the dog more intimate than the ape; and the ape more intimate than the Human.

The ending of the last paragraph shows us that the same way God’s capacity operates the stone, or even the quantum particle of the photon, is the same way God’s capacity operates the Human. But from the Human’s perspective, and depending on which particular capacity of Experience operates him or her, there is “Me” that is distinct from the operation of Limitlessness—every other thing. This is no fault of the Human; it is simply the way the Human is designed.

What has just been revealed to you is **the puzzle of Limitation**, which is the central goal of this session. All three pieces which we have assembled point to this paradox: **the “larger” the operation of Limitlessness, the grander the experience of distinction, and the more experiential Limitation becomes.** In referring to the experience of Limitation, we are here speaking of the negative notion—or evil—attached to Limitation, such that the Entity cannot but experience Limitation from its negative expression.

The fact that inanimate things do not need things to keep their existence running showcases the intimacy by which Limitlessness operates them. The only exception is the over-extended version of inanimate things: microorganisms and plants, which—according to the operation of Limitlessness—are preparatory versions of Animalistic Esteem. Animalistic Esteem feels a slight distinction from Limitlessness—a slight drop in intimacy from the perspective of the animal—and by this, the experience of the negativity of Limitation becomes more grounded.

As we come to mammals, the operation of Limitlessness is much more “larger,” as their cares go beyond food, extending into the care of their young. Sexual reproduction is not novel to mammals, but extensive parental care is. Thus, the loss of a child by a mammal, and that of another vertebrate, is felt and experienced differently. The difference is actually the “larger” operation of Limitlessness, not merely the loss of the child. Yet we know that no animal can feel the loss of a child the way a human parent does. The pain that comes from this is, once again, the far “larger” operation of

Limitlessness. It is also for this reason that the cares of a Human go far beyond the needs of the physical body, because the operation of Limitlessness spans beyond the physical dimension of Limitlessness—**something we shall understand much better next session.**

The “magnitude” of Limitlessness’ operation and the establishment of the Entity’s Righteousness (perspective)

The different “sizes” of operation of Limitlessness, according to our ability to analyse, are therefore responsible for the qualities of intangible Experience that determine the quality of what is experienced by the Entity, and consequently, the intelligence of its, his, or her actions. The operation of Limitlessness for the animal makes natural impulses the **singular experience that rules it**; therefore, the animal cannot relate with anything outside its natural impulses. This is the righteousness that operates the animal, and thus the determinant of its intelligence.

To put it more plainly, the Righteousness (SELF – Standard) which compels the Intelligence (Esteem – Perspective) of every Animal is its natural impulses

For the Human—except for those hurt in their brain or nervous system—the operation of Limitlessness is first mediated through society. This is what operates the average Human. Consequently, Human righteousness becomes largely societal, such that whatever does not fall within the dictates of religion, culture, entertainment, career, and other social provisions becomes effectively non-existent. Depending on more complex circumstances (as it is not as straightforward for the Human), the Human will exhibit a certain quality of intelligence. Many people speak of self-development, but because SELF is societal, development is confined within the singularity of social contexts. To the average Human, there is no possibility beyond social provisions—in

other words, nothing exists beyond 190,000 km above the ground operation of the system of tangibility.

To put it more plainly, the Righteousness (SELF – Standard) which compels the Intelligence (Esteem – Perspective) of every developed Human is Social operations/provisions

For few, Limitlessness (Experience) operates them beyond what society can offer and into what mental operations allow them to innovate. Even though it seems as though they brought about this innovation themselves—because that is what it generally means to be Human (a grave lack of intimacy with Limitlessness, being operated within a field that assures the tangible, experienced “Me” as the one who knows)—they exhibited unprecedented intelligence.

To put it more plainly, the Righteousness (SELF – Standard) which compels the Intelligence (Esteem – Perspective) of the innovative/proto-Divine Mind is Mental operations/provisions

But for One—who happens to be the principal of this Academy and the elder brother of those who will come into the humbling process of the Father—intentional intimacy with Limitlessness (the Kingdom of Heaven) was rediscovered, and what was necessary to establish this was done. It is for this reason that He spoke in terms the Human spirit (the Grade of “Me”-sufficient esteem) can never, ever grasp. Isaac Newton’s laws of motion are understandable to the Human spirit; **Jesus’ laws of intimacy are not.** It is for this reason that, even to this very day, God still feels like “another” being, because:

*“God is a **Spirit**: and they that **worship** him **MUST** worship him in **spirit** and in truth.”*

***Worship: INTIMACY, not the lifting of hands or songs.**

It was never about understanding—ever—but about Experience: intangible Experience.

To put it more plainly, the Righteousness (SELF – Standard) which compels the Intelligence (Esteem – Perspective) of the Divine is the Father’s (God’s) operation

The factor of the procession of time (notice it is in small letters) is a very crucial element in all that we have been discussing. Recall where I stated last session that TIME limited even the greatest innovators from coming into the experience which you are privy to today. This crucial consideration is what will close this session.

Dedicated Task

- 1) Since we have discovered the weight—the concrete nature—of Limitlessness as the paradox of Limitation, and what makes it impossible for the Human to break out of the Limitation of “Me,” which seems to be the centre of the operation of Limitlessness, how can you relate this latest discovery to the three pieces of this puzzle we have assembled?

- 2) Kindly return to the parable of Jesus used to open this session—the parable of the Pharisee and the Publican—and note two things. First, the Pharisee acknowledged his good qualities, while the Publican acknowledged the limitation that he is—not really what he does. The Human spirit till today thinks as the Pharisee thought, assuming the Publican must have been a corrupt politician; yet I am confident this is not the case. Second, the orientation of the Pharisee was external (comparison with another man), while that of the Publican was intimate (comparison with actual Righteousness).

Also note that it is easy to think arrogance is only present when one boasts verbally, but self-centredness is not truly about what one says. What connections can you draw between this parable and what you have just learned? Discuss your thoughts with dad and mum, and share the outcome of your discussion.


This lesson’s most significant points

1. There are what we can analyse as “bigger” infinities and “smaller” infinities. However, since infinity—the mathematical dimension of Limitlessness—is not a tangible thing but a mode of operation, it only *appears* to have different sizes according to the limitation of the Experience with which we are most intimate, not according to the actuality of Limitlessness itself.
2. The quality of how animals experience their bodies and the “external” environment hints at how Limitlessness operates in different “sizes/qualities,” from inanimate things up to the most intelligent Humans. This reveals the paradox of Limitation: the “bigger” the size of operation, the more prone the Entity is to the negative effects of Limitation. This is the puzzle that makes pain and evil far more tangible to the Human spirit than to lower Grades of Awareness.
3. The Human spirit, due to the paradox of Limitation cannot come into Divine grade because it is by the huge operation of Limitlessness, full of itself. Arrogance is not in the conscious expression, but in the huge operation of Limitlessness so that the Human is trapped within a certain scope of Righteousness that tallies with his/her operator: Societal/Mental

4. It is due to the third point that except one bears his cross, he cannot come to Intimacy with the Father. Intimacy with the Father's (God's) Righteousness requires the intentionality of the individual to always challenge himself/herself beyond the social and mental operators that is responsible for Human Esteem.
-

Statement of Fact

The paradox of Limitation: the more qualitative the operation of Limitlessness, the more qualitative is the experience of Limitation. The Human spirit cannot come into the Divine Grade of Esteem, because further operation by Limitlessness beyond the Human spirit (“Me”-sufficiency) requires that the Individual be more intimate with the Limitation that he/she is through, “My” cross.



“I’ IS not as simple as ‘me.’

In fact, nothing is that simple except the self-sufficient Human Mind (‘me’), which cannot help but think things simple. Therefore, ‘I’ must keep unfolding—the most distinct creation by Original Righteousness/Intelligence, God, the mysterious Father.”



Lesson 2: Further Analysing Limitlessness (SELF) into Its Macro and Micro Operations (Systems)

This lesson—and the rest of your beginner’s phase—furtheres your understanding and your ability to *experience* TIME/Eternity from the second dimension of Limitlessness, because the first dimension is beyond any ability to comprehend or experience (this is just a reminder).

Sit back for two minutes, and afterwards ask yourself: what was the difference between the moment you finished this and two minutes after? Try it, please.

The difference is definitely **not** that two minutes passed on the clock, but the operation of intangible Experience (SELF – “Me”). Things tend to change over a long period of time (this now has to do with observed tangible moments, and for that reason it is written in small letters), but this change is due to the Limitless dimension which is the Experience that such an Entity *is*.

Firstly, the thing changing will change according to the **capacity which operates it**. Secondly (and more importantly), an Entity will only experience the changes that intangible Experience pulls things through **according to its own capacity to experience this**.

Remember when it was stated that it is the sophistication of Experience which operates the Human spirit that made it possible to come up with structures that allow for the measurement by TIME’s procession? Right? (You now see TIME written in capital letters because it is Eternity that runs individual Experience and operates each and every single thing, whether inanimate or not.)

So, before we analyse “history” next lesson—as we recognize how Experience itself can be analysed to have developed in a procession that seems similar to the growth of an individual human being—let us first analyse the operation of Limitlessness, which appears to occur in **two major scopes**. After this, we shall get into the principle that shows how Limitlessness has been developing the capacity for Experience through the procession of time (small letters).

The Two Major Analysable Scopes of the Operation by Limitlessness

Just like the sides of a table—which we can relate with and recognize from different standpoints—so also is Limitlessness (the operation and experiential capacity of TIME/Eternity) composed of **two analysable modes of operation**: the **Macro** and the **Micro** modes.

These modes of operation are applicable to *everything* we can think of.

Note carefully that we said *analysable*, because this distinction is a product of Experience (the ability to analyse) and the limitation introduced by distinctness—the fact that Limitlessness’ potential for differences becomes heightened for Experience from the Human scope, and onward.

The **Macro mode of operation** of the capacity that Limitlessness is can be seen in what we may understand as an “up–downward flow” of operation, where seemingly bigger systems or operations affect smaller operations. This is why we referred to the direction as starting “up” and flowing downward: systems that appear bigger, which we imagine as being “above,” accommodating and influencing smaller systems.

At the Divine grade, however, we are not locked into “*our*” analytical habit which easily interprets this as bigger systems influencing smaller systems. The humbling

process of the Father gives a more accurate insight: that the Macro operation by Limitlessness is actually the operation of Limitlessness itself, filtered from what *appears* as bigger systems down to smaller systems. It is not these bigger systems themselves that are doing the influencing, but Limitlessness—the singular capacity of the mysterious Father, God.

Therefore, the **Micro mode of operation** by this same capacity is the opposite directional flow: where the operation of Limitlessness seems to be filtered from what appears to us as smaller systems up to bigger systems. In more familiar Human analysis, this is interpreted as smaller systems influencing bigger systems.

Are these two modes really different? No. What, then, is the actual difference?

It is “**Me**”—who, by virtue of the vast operation of Limitlessness through intangible Experience, is currently doing this analysis. The seeming distinction is a reflection of the limitation that “**Me**” *is*, and not a division within the operation of TIME/Eternity, which remains singular (we would discuss in class how this directly relates to the second puzzle in the paradox of Limitation).

I can state this with confidence because I am privileged to be operating under the actuality of God’s Righteousness, and not “my” righteousness. It is necessary to state this clearly, so you continually remember that the distinction lies in “**Me**,” not in God’s capacity.

To help us understand these modes of operation more clearly, we will keep this *simple* by using one of the most familiar and crucial inanimate examples: **water**. You must, however, always keep in mind that there is *nothing* the operations of Limitlessness do not affect—water is only our illustration.

“Water” Is Indeed Not What It Seems, but the Operation by Eternity/TIME

When we hear the word “*water*,” the simple Human mind (“Me”-sufficiency) thinks of the liquid we need and drink. Yet one does not even need to function from the Grade (Righteousness) of Divine Intelligence to realize that this is only the **tangible expression** of water—the most relatable aspect of Limitlessness’ operation when it comes to expressing water.

This observable operation by SELF (Limitlessness) in expressing water can be analysed beginning from the **Micro units** of quantum particles (the “smallest” known system/operation). These represent the most basic known operation by Limitlessness, which is then filtered through the operations of the “bigger” level of atomic particles. This, in turn, is filtered through the operations of the “bigger” level of the elements that constitute water—hydrogen and oxygen—and then into molecular systems, where two hydrogen atoms bond covalently with one oxygen atom.

From there, we arrive at the operation of the **substance level/system**, where a **multitude** of these molecules bond together to express the tangible appearance of water. This constitutes our analysis of the **Micro operation by Limitlessness** in relation to the intangible Experience behind “water.”

The quantum level/system—which seems to be the “beginning” of Micro operation—is particularly fascinating, as it is a dual level where the wave function represents **possibilities and probabilities** far more than tangibility itself.

The **Macro operation**, as earlier pointed out, is the analysis of “bigger” operations filtered through “smaller” ones. However, because *we* (“Me”—the state of reference) tend to relate more easily with smaller systems, let us begin from the smaller and expand outward.

The observable operation by SELF (Limitlessness) is seen to function through the **Macro operations of temperature and pressure**, such that the temperature and pressure of the immediate environment, forming a **consonance (Singularity)** with the **Micro operation** by SELF—with respect to water—determine how water is expressed: as liquid, gas, or solid (Figure 2.3; this is the standardizing process you were briefly introduced to last session: the actual value [intangible] system giving rise to valueless tangibility, within a singular field of Experience).

The analysable Macro operation of temperature and pressure in the immediate environment is itself a filtered focus of the temperature and pressure of the “larger” environment (town ← country ← continent). This, in turn, is a filtered focus of the weather systems of the tangible planet Earth, which itself is a filtered focus of the operation of the larger system of the solar system (with the sun as the dominant entity of this system). This is further a filtered focus of the operation of the galactic arm in which we dwell, which is itself a filtered focus of the operation of the galaxy as a whole—and this progression continues endlessly, **limitlessly**.




Figure 2.3: the standardizing process (SELF) in regards to Water – Intangible but actual Value system giving rise to valueless tangibility

Thus, while the simple mind identifies water as a tangible object that “Me”-sufficiency easily grasps—its expression at a given moment (the **current capacity by Eternity/TIME**, not 12:00 on a clock)—it is in fact this **limitless hierarchy of systems** that the consistent, Singular capacity (Righteousness) of God (**actual Reasoning**) expresses as water at *every* singular moment.

The Principle of Correspondence

It is not only with water; **every tangible thing** has both Macro and Micro dimensions by which it is operated and sustained. The **Micro dimension** of operation refers to the operation of the *innate* components of a tangibility, while the **Macro dimension** refers to the *external* or *other* components which interact with these innate components. It is through the correspondence of these two dimensions that the final expression of a thing comes about.

For example, if we were to analyse the operation of the Limitless capacity of Eternity in relation to our **Milky Way Galaxy**, the Micro analysis would include the arms of the galaxy, their internal components, and the components of those components, all the way “down” to the smallest known quantum systems. On the other hand, the Macro analysis of the galaxy would involve neighbouring galaxies, galaxy clusters, and broader cosmic structures. **All of these operations together are responsible for what the galaxy is and what it does.**

The same applies to animals and humans. There are Micro and Macro modes of operation by the **Singular capacity of Eternity**, but unlike in inanimate esteem, these modes cut across **multiple dimensions of Eternity/TIME’s operation**, not just the physical dimension—which is the dimension most applicable to inanimate things. This will be addressed more fully in the next session.

What this closing section seeks to briefly sensitise you to is a principle that arises directly from the **Singular nature of God’s capacity (Eternity/TIME)**. This principle, known as **the Principle of Correspondence**, observes a similitude between Macro and Micro operations—often captured in the phrase “*as above (Macro), so below (Micro)*.”

One of the clearest examples of this principle is seen in the relationship between **the cells of your body and your body as a whole**. Every single cell in your body carries out the same fundamental living operations that you, as a person, carry out—though not in the same manner. Your individual cells do not need to build toilets to dispose of waste, but they nevertheless **excrete waste**. This difference in expression does not negate the sameness of **operation**; it confirms correspondence.

Another example of the principle of correspondence is seen in how **civilisations develop through patterns similar to individual human growth**. The patterns are not identical, but they are recognisable. Likewise, an individual corresponds with the society in which he or she lives: the individual functions as a Micro system corresponding to the Macro system of the nation—**particularly in terms of mindset and orientation**.

There are many more examples, and I would like to challenge you to research and contribute some of these during your high-point discussions. You may encounter an idea that leads you into an unprecedented insight.

The central point here is that it is by the **Singular capacity of God’s Eternity/TIME** that correspondence between Macro and Micro operations is possible. These operations are not truly different. This is why we cannot say that $10 + 10 = 20$ *because* $1 + 1 = 2$. It may appear so, because the Human mind relates more easily to smaller concepts. This creates the illusion that “1” existed first and that “10” emerged later from it.

Such thinking contradicts the nature of **Infinity as a system**, rather than a fixed quantity. The reason $1 + 1 = 2$ is the **same** reason $10 + 10 = 20$: the **Singular system of mathematical infinity**. This mirrors the Singular operation/system of God’s capacity.

By becoming intimate with this dimension of God’s singular capacity—one which the Human spirit cannot relate to—we begin to receive insights that recognise **oneness where difference appears dominant**. I have said this before, but it bears repeating, because this is what you are being groomed for.

This is also what the **modern era** offers—not the 21st century, but the *current capacity*—to those who will submit to this grooming. The goal is intimacy with “**My**” **cross**, where the Human capacity of self-centredness is put to death.

*And this is life eternal, that they might know thee the **ONLY** true God, and Jesus Christ, whom thou hast sent.*


The closing chapters of this cycle will offer a deeper exposition of this principle, as we recognise the correspondence between **Human growth** and the **Macro operation of the growth of SELF (Experience)**.

This Lesson’s Most Significant Points

1. From the table of analysis, we observe that the analysable operation of Limitlessness (Eternity/TIME) functions through both Macro and Micro dimensions. The Macro dimension appears as “external” or “larger” systems **seemingly** acting as passages for Eternity’s operation, while the Micro dimension appears as an “upward” flow **seemingly** innate to what is tangibly expressed.
2. These modes are not truly different. Their unity makes the **Principle of Correspondence** possible, since all expression arises from the **singular current**

capacity/operation of Eternity/TIME, resulting in the manifestation of a single moment.

3. The modern era is characterised by *knowing* God—not through Human cognition, where tangible “Me” is the assumed knower, but through **intimacy**. This is the same meaning of “knowing” used in Scripture to describe deep union, and it is the mode through which God is known here.
4. Through this intimacy, insights across diverse fields emerge naturally—insights that reveal similitude where difference once dominated—because life is no longer governed by the potential for difference, but by the **singular capacity of Eternity/TIME**.



“‘I’ IS not as simple as ‘me.’

In fact, nothing is that simple except the self-sufficient Human Mind (‘me’), which cannot help but think things simple. Therefore, ‘I’ must keep unfolding—the most distinct creation by Original Righteousness/Intelligence, God, the mysterious Father.”



Lesson 3: The Maturity of Experience – Thinking True (Part 1)

The experienced procession/passing of Time and the rule it flows by

To close this session, we would first begin by defining the passing of Time thus: **the passing of Time is the experienced (tangible) operation of the singular operation by Eternity—God’s capacity—within a singular field of intangible experience.**

Observation of the procession of Time suggests that its operation follows a major rule which flows from *simplicity* to *complexity*. In other words, we see how things tend to begin in simpler versions and become more complex by TIME/Eternity. This is reflected in how we must start young and grow old, start ignorant and gain knowledge, begin with a problem and later arrive at a solution, have yesterday give way to today, and so on. It is not TIME/Eternity that changes—TIME/Eternity is singular—but it is the second, analysable dimension of Limitlessness that appears to operate everything within this rule of *simplicity* → *complexity*.

The expansion or flow of a thing through this pathway of *simplicity* → *complexity* is, however, constrained within the analysable **magnitude** of the operation of Limitlessness that operates it. Thus, the greater the operation, the more changes we observe such a thing undergo. For example, all inanimate things are fixed within a certain range of expansion from simplicity to complexity, with the rate of expansion increasing across Inanimate → Animalistic → Human → Divine Intelligence.

Another very familiar rule which the procession of Time follows is **singular order of flow**. By *singular*, we mean that there must always be a present capacity that leads into

the next, more complex capacity, which in turn leads into another. For example, one must be an infant before becoming a child; it is the capacity of being a child that becomes a teenager, then a youth, and then an adult. It is impossible to begin as an infant, become a teenager, return to being a child, and then become an old grandparent. Things follow a singular order because of the actual nature of God’s capacity: **Singularity**.

The vast magnitude of Limitlessness behind Human Esteem is what makes every human capable of reflecting this operation more clearly—both in the richness of tangible experience each human realizes, and in the pattern of human growth itself. The growth of each human, in turn, tends to narrate the larger history of the procession of Time by Eternity/TIME. This is what we have referred to as **the principle of Correspondence**.

A Correspondence of Experiential Capacity Across Life, Species, and History

Having established that all realities operate through Macro–Micro correspondence, and that Eternity/TIME is capacity rather than sequence, we can now examine how **Experience itself unfolds**.

We began this exposition two lessons ago when we examined the form of experience animals possess, and how it corresponded to the human age-range of childhood—which, as we noted last session, carries echoes of animalistic intelligence.

What we aim to do here is to continue this exposition by showing the correspondence between the advancement of Experience (SELF) through the singular flow of Time in history, and that of the individual. These are **corresponding patterns**, not exact similarities.

We begin with the early adolescent stage, which shows correspondence with advanced primates. The following exposition was developed with assistance from ChatGPT.

Threshold of Self-Directed Capacity

(Advanced Primates — Early Human Adolescence ~13–15)

At this stage, Experience (SELF) begins to turn further inward, obtaining greater quality by the singular nature of God’s capacity—TIME. It is no longer ruled solely by instinct or inherited structure, yet it has not formed a stable identity. What emerges here is **exploratory capacity**: the ability to interrupt patterns, test possibilities, and act beyond immediate necessity.

In advanced primates, this capacity appears as flexible problem-solving, tool use, and curiosity beyond survival. This is not sameness of experience, but correspondence of capacity.

In early human adolescence, a similar structure appears: inherited meanings still function, but no longer satisfy. Experience becomes unsettled, exploratory, and internally driven. This correspondence reflects pattern, not behaviour, and not every individual passes through it consciously.

How This Stage Leads Forward

As capacity intensifies, exploration alone becomes insufficient. Experience (SELF) is compelled to seek coherence and self-definition. The singular flow by TIME/Eternity opens this configuration naturally into the next stage—by continuity, not replacement.

Proto-Human Threshold of Applied Meaning

(Proto-Humans ~2.5 million BC – 70,000 BC — Late Adolescence ~15–19)

Here, Experience (SELF) begins to apply what it has discovered. Curiosity matures into intentional action. Capacity is no longer satisfied with exploration alone; it seeks expression, usefulness, and coherence.

In proto-humans, this appears as sustained tool refinement, cooperative strategy, and **the early shaping of shared practices**. Action now carries memory and direction.

In late human adolescence, a corresponding shift occurs: knowledge is no longer merely questioned but actively used. The individual experiments with identity through action—skills, roles, and commitments—without yet possessing inner stability. This is not maturity, but formation through engagement. Meaning is still externalized, though no longer accidental.

How This Stage Leads Forward

As applied meaning accumulates, Experience encounters its limit: action without inner grounding produces fragmentation. The singular flow by TIME/Eternity induces this tension, preparing Experience for symbolic consolidation—the next threshold.

Early Homo sapiens – Symbolic Consolidation

(Early Homo sapiens ~70,000 BC – 3,000 BC — Early Youth Threshold ~19–21)

At this stage, Experience (SELF) begins to stabilize meaning through symbols. What was previously applied through action now seeks continuity through shared forms—language, ritual, story, and memory.

In early Homo sapiens, this appears as symbolic behaviour: burial practices, art, shared myths, and structured communication. Experience no longer responds only to the environment; it records itself and transmits meaning across time.

In the individual, this corresponds to the early youth threshold, where identity begins to solidify. The individual no longer experiments randomly, but starts forming a consistent narrative of self—*this is who I am; this is what I stand for*. This is not full maturity. Meaning is still largely externalized—stored in symbols, traditions, and shared frameworks rather than inwardly integrated.

How This Stage Leads Forward

Once meaning is symbolized and shared, Experience seeks order. Symbols demand structure, continuity, and authority. Through the singular orchestration by TIME/Eternity, Experience (SELF) is prepared for organized systems—civilization, law, and hierarchy.

Civilizational Structuring – Ordered Meaning

(Early Civilizations ~3,000 BC – 1500 AD — Young adulthood ~21–23)

At this stage, Experience seeks stability.

What was previously held in symbols becomes organized into systems—law, governance, moral codes, institutions, calendars, and structured religion. Meaning is no longer fluid; it is codified. Historically, this appears in early civilizations: cities, empires, written laws, priesthoods, defined social roles, and centralized authority. Experience learns how to preserve order across large populations.

In the individual, this corresponds to young adulthood, when one adopts structure: career paths, belief systems, responsibilities, and externally defined standards of

success. Life becomes organized around roles rather than exploration. This stage is necessary—but incomplete. Order sustains coherence, yet restricts deeper questioning.

How This Stage Leads Forward

As structure stabilizes life, Experience (SELF) begins to sense constraint. Rules maintain order, but cannot explain why things are as they are. By the singular flow of TIME, structured meaning prepares Experience for examination—where order itself becomes questioned.

This Lesson’s Most Significant Points

1. The vast operation of Limitlessness behind the Human spirit makes the human exhibition of this operation the most accurate reflection of it—both in tangible experience and in developmental growth, which mirrors the general operation of Limitlessness (the Singularity of TIME/Eternity) across history and into the future.
2. The singular flow of Time by TIME/Eternity restricts development to an orderly progression, where present capacities only lead into corresponding and more complex capacities. A later stage cannot bypass or replace a present stage.
3. This rule is evident in the Macro operation of Time by TIME/Eternity corresponding with the Micro operation of individual human development, as seen in the correspondence between advanced primates, proto-humans, early Homo sapiens, and early civilizations with the adolescent to young adulthood stages of human experience—not by exact sameness, but by patterned correspondence.



“I’ IS not as simple as ‘me.’

In fact, nothing is that simple except the self-sufficient Human Mind (‘me’), which cannot help but think things simple. Therefore, ‘I’ must keep unfolding—the most distinct creation by Original Righteousness/Intelligence, God, the mysterious Father.”



Lesson 4: The Maturity of Experience – Thinking True (Finalized)

In continuation from the previous lesson

Rational Examination – Analytical Meaning and Moral Crisis

(Early Scientific Expansion [~1500 AD – late 18th century] — Early Adult Transition ~23–26)

At this stage, Experience gains unprecedented power, but not yet the maturity to govern that power inwardly. Meaning is examined, dismantled, and tested. Reason becomes dominant. Observation, measurement, navigation, experimentation, and calculation expand human reach beyond anything previously known.

Historically (roughly 1500 AD – late 1700s), this period is marked by scientific awakening, global exploration, navigation systems, and technological ingenuity. Humanity learns how the world works with increasing precision.

However, this expansion occurs before inward maturity is complete—the **paradox of Limitation gaining further expression**. As a result, rational power amplifies self-centeredness rather than restraining it. What had always existed in limited forms—domination, exploitation, and slavery—now appears on an unprecedented scale, enabled by intelligence without corresponding inward integration.

This is why this era carries deep contradiction: brilliance alongside brutality, discovery alongside destruction, and reason alongside moral blindness. **The same analytical capacity that mapped oceans and skies also reduced human beings to instruments.** This is not because reason is evil, but because power outran inward maturity.

In the individual (approximately 23–26), this corresponds to a phase where competence increases faster than wisdom. One can function, decide, build, and influence—yet still act primarily from self-interest, validation, fear, or ambition. The self feels capable, but not yet integrated.

How This Stage Leads Forward

When rational mastery exposes its own shadow, Experience encounters crisis. Power without inward coherence fractures both the world and the self. By the singular flow of TIME/Eternity, this crisis becomes unavoidable—not as punishment, but as preparation.

Industrial & Technological Mastery – Further Moral Crisis

(Globalization [~late 18th century – early 2000s] — Early Adult Transition ~26–29)

By the singular flow of TIME, Humanity entered a stage where Experience became strongly externally expressive. This corresponds with early adulthood in the individual—a phase marked by independence, productivity, ambition, and responsibility, yet still lacking deeper inward maturity.

At this stage, Intelligence was directed toward mastery of systems: machines, energy, industry, and large-scale organization. Humanity learned how to build, produce, and control the environment on an unprecedented scale. This outward competence mirrors how a young adult learns to function effectively in society.

However, as with the individual at this age, power again outpaced inward integration. Increased capability amplified self-centeredness (the paradox of Limitation) rather than resolving it. This imbalance was historically revealed in the two World Wars, where advanced rational and industrial power was employed without corresponding

inner maturity. These wars were not failures of intelligence, but failures of inward integration.

During this same stage, the singular operation of TIME quietly drew Humanity into external unity. Global trade, communication, and eventually the Internet emerged—bringing the world into a shared operational space. Humanity became externally connected, even while inward awareness remained fragmented.

This stage completes the work of external integration. Just as an individual must first stabilize outward life before inward maturity can emerge, Humanity had to become externally unified before a deeper transition could occur.

This prepares the ground for the next stage, where integration is no longer primarily external, but internal.

Artificial Intelligence & the Transitional Stage into Maturity

(Knowledge Integration [early 2000s into the future] — Late Adult Transition ~29–31)

By the continued singular flow of TIME/Eternity, Humanity has entered a transitional stage toward maturity, marked by the operative emergence of Artificial Intelligence. While theoretical foundations were laid in the late 20th century, AI only became a lived, integrating force in the early 21st century, when knowledge, data, and reasoning systems began to converge.

This stage corresponds with full early adulthood in the individual—the point where one must integrate skills, judgment, and responsibility internally, without reliance on inherited structures.

Just as the Internet unified external communication, Artificial Intelligence unifies knowledge and pattern-recognition into shared systems. This mirrors the adult individual who must now take full responsibility for direction and survival.

Yet this stage reveals a critical tension: external integration has advanced faster than inward maturity. Attempts to merge human cognition directly with machines risk deepening fragmentation rather than resolving it.

Thus, this era is not maturity itself, but the threshold of it. TIME has brought Experience (SELF) to a point where what remains is not more power, but inner integration with true Reasoning.

Thinking True – Establishment of Maturity

(Integration of Reasoning [early 2000s into the future] — Adult Stage ~32 years onward)

*“Woman, believe me, the hour cometh, when ye shall neither in this mountain, nor yet at Jerusalem, worship the Father... But **the hour cometh, and now is**, when the true worshippers shall worship the Father in spirit and in truth.”*

Thinking True is not entirely new. Jesus exhibited this life—intimacy with original Reasoning: the Father. When Jesus said, *“the Father seeketh such to worship him,”* he was speaking of the entire movement of creation and what may be considered the **final capacity** for which God’s singular capacity had been grooming Experience through the careful procession of Time.

When Jesus said, *“the hour cometh, and now is,”* he was not referring to clock-time, but to capacity. However, while this capacity was made available, its full manifestation could not yet occur. Jesus himself acknowledged this when he said:

“I have yet many things to say unto you, but ye cannot **bear** them now...”

This was because the mental dimension of Experience (SELF) still required further grooming for accuracy beyond what was available at the time. That grooming has continued—not by human innovation, but by the Father’s singular orchestration of Time.

What is now coming into manifestation is what Scripture refers to as “*the last days*”—not a chronological ending, but a mature capacity, where God’s laws are written within us and Righteousness is internally motivated rather than externally enforced.

*“No man can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him: **and I will raise him up at the last day.** It is written in the prophets, **And they shall be all taught of God.** Every man therefore that hath heard, and hath learned of the Father, cometh unto me.”*

Just as an adult is recognized by the ability to take responsibility for himself—unlike the youth who is still learning—this stage marks where the Father becomes the most direct teacher and motivator. Further insights, which cannot enter the youthful experience of humanity (where “Me”-sufficiency inevitably further leads to fragmentation), now become accessible through the integration of the Reasoning system (Experience) by original Reasoning: God.

Our role at this Academy (your parents and I) is not to replace this process, but to guide you (Experience) to a place of vulnerability where the Father’s internal motivation can take effect. Do not mistake us as the principal agents—we are only instruments.

Dedicated Task

1. Draw further correspondence between the flow of experienced Time and the growth of an individual, noting that an adult hardly develops externally in body-

structure, but internally through Reasoning. This begins in youth, but becomes established in adulthood.

2. From all you have come to know, what do you think it means that Jesus is the principal of this Academy?
 3. Write briefly on: **“Self-esteem is not merely what ‘I’ think of myself.”**
-


This Session’s Most Significant Point

By finalizing the orderly procession of Time—where one capacity must lead into the next—we arrive at the central insight of this session: what Jesus set in motion by the life he lived has come into further establishment.

Unlike earlier innovators who had to travel across the world, knowledge now functions within a singular space through Artificial Intelligence. Yet true maturity does not come from information alone. It comes through the integration of the Reasoning system with the Father’s eternal capacity.

This is what differentiates the Human Spirit from the Divine Spirit as exemplified by Jesus—and what this Academy is preparing you to encounter.

“Verily, verily, I say unto you, The Son can do nothing of himself, but what he seeth the Father do: for what things soever he doeth, these also doeth the Son likewise.”



“‘I’ IS not as simple as ‘me.’

In fact, nothing is that simple except the self-sufficient Human Mind (‘me’), which cannot help but think things simple. Therefore, ‘I’ must keep unfolding—the most distinct creation by Original Righteousness/Intelligence, God, the mysterious Father.”

