

# ELLIPTIC CURVES

## CONTENTS

1. Lecture 1 (Monday 1/11)	1
1.1. Why study elliptic curves?	1
1.2. Examples	2

These notes are from a course taught by Professor Bao Le Hung at Northwestern University during the winter quarter of the 20/21 academic year. The note taker is responsible for any mistakes or inaccuracies that occur.

## 1. LECTURE 1 (MONDAY 1/11)

This is a topics class about **elliptic curves**. The goal of today is to give an overview.

A quick and concrete definition:

**Definition 1.** Let  $k$  be a field. An *elliptic curve*  $E/k$  is a smooth projective plane cubic determined by an affine equation

$$(1) \quad y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6,$$

where  $a_i \in k$ , i. e.  $E$  is the closure of this affine curve in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , and the data of a rational point  $0 \in E(k)$ .

In other words, if  $\mathbb{P}^2$  has homogeneous coordinates  $[X : Y : Z]$ , then  $E$  is the curve in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  determined by the equation

$$Y^2Z + a_1XYZ + a_3YZ^2 = X^3 + a_2X^2Z + a_4XZ^2 + a_6Z^3.$$

For this to be *smooth*, there is a condition  $\Delta(a_1, a_2, \dots) \neq 0$ , where  $\Delta$  is a polynomial in the  $a_i$ . (The precise formula can be found in Silverman.) In the case where the equation is

$$(2) \quad y^2 = x^3 + ax + b,$$

we have  $\Delta(a, b) = -4a^3 - 27b^2$ . By the way, Equation 1 is called the *Weierstrass equation*, and Equation 2 is called the *short Weierstrass equation*.

**1.1. Why study elliptic curves?** Firstly, the plane cubics in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  are exactly the smooth projective genus 1 curves. Being genus 1 is between being genus 0, i. e.  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , and of genus  $> 1$ , of “general type”. In the theory of curves, there is a trichotomy where the geometry behaves very differently based on whether the genus is 0, 1, or higher than 1.

Secondly, an elliptic curve is exactly a proper group variety of dimension 1. There is a multiplication map  $m: E \times E \rightarrow E$ , and inversion map  $\text{inv}: E \rightarrow E$ , and an identity map  $0: \text{Spec } k \rightarrow E$ , satisfying a bunch of commutative diagrams. The point is that these maps are algebraic maps.

Being a proper group variety means that we have direct access to cohomological invariants of  $E$ . For example the *Tate module*. The interaction between the group structure and algebraic variety structure implies many things.

*Themes:*

- (1) Study nature of the abelian group  $E(k)$ . (We will see later on that *abelian* is a formal consequence of being proper.)
- (2) See how cohomological invariant control  $E(k)$ . More or less everything you want to know about  $E(k)$  will be controlled by cohomological invariants, namely the Tate module.

## 1.2. Examples.

- (1)  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . In this case,  $E/\mathbb{C}$  is a compact Riemann surface of genus 1. By the uniformization, a genus 1 Riemann surface has universal cover  $\mathbb{C}$ , hence  $E(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$  is a lattice. These are all complex tori. It turns out that the isomorphism  $E(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$  can be made to respect the group structure.

More canonically,  $\Lambda \cong \pi_1(E(\mathbb{C}), 0) = H_1(E(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ . We can understand  $\mathbb{C}$  as the Lie algebra of  $E$ , and the exponential map  $\text{Lie}(E) \rightarrow E(\mathbb{C})$  corresponds to the quotient map  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ . We have  $\text{Lie}(E) = T_0 E(\mathbb{C}) = \{\text{invariant global vector fields on } E(\mathbb{C})\} = H^0(E(\mathbb{C}), \Omega^1)^*$ .

Note that the isomorphism  $E(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$  is complex analytic, and the  $x, y$  that show up in the Weierstrass equation correspond to some doubly periodic meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$ . These are so-called *elliptic functions*.

The  $n$ -torsion  $E[n](\mathbb{C}) \cong \frac{1}{n}\Lambda/\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}/n \times \mathbb{Z}/n$ . For a prime  $p$ , Tate module  $T_p E$  is defined as

$$T_p(E) = \varprojlim_{\times p} E[p^k](\mathbb{C}) = \varprojlim (E[p] \leftarrow E[p^2] \leftarrow \cdots).$$

Canonically, in this case

$$T_p E = \varprojlim_{p^k} \frac{1}{p^k} \Lambda/\Lambda = \varprojlim_{\text{projection}} \Lambda/p^k \Lambda = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p = H_1(E(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\Lambda = H_1(E(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ .

For the first theme, we have seen that  $E(\mathbb{C})$  is an divisible uncountable abelian group. For the second,  $E$  is completely determined by the pair  $(\text{Lie } E, H_1(E, \mathbb{Z})) = (H^0(E, \Omega^1)^*, H_1(E, \mathbb{Z}))$ . Here,  $H_1(E, \mathbb{Z})$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, and  $H^0(E, \Omega^1)^*$  is a line in  $H_1(E, \mathbb{Z})^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ . This is the data of a *Hodge structure* of weight -1. The interesting fact here is that a nonlinear thing like  $E$  is determined by just linear algebraic data that can be extracted from  $E$  by cohomological data.

- (2)  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  a finite field. Now  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is a finite abelian group. A basic fact is that if  $a = q+1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , then  $|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$ . This is known as the *Hasse bound*. We have a double cover

$$\begin{aligned} E &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \\ (x, y) &\mapsto x \end{aligned}$$

which should mean that the number of rational points of  $E$  is roughly the same as the number of points of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , which is  $q+1$ . The discrepancy is of order  $\sqrt{q}$ , and the heuristic is that the Hasse bound is as if  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is “random” (subject to some natural constraints).

How do the cohomological invariants come into play? We don’t have singular cohomology, but we do have the Tate module  $T_l(E)$ , where  $l \neq p = \text{char } q$ . It is defined as

$$T_l E = \varprojlim E[l^n](\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}).$$

There are two important facts about this Tate module. The first is that  $E[l^n](\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/l^n \times \mathbb{Z}/l^n$  as in the complex case, and consequently  $T_l E \cong \mathbb{Z}_l^2$ . The second is that  $T_l E$  has a natural action of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ . This Galois group is simple, namely procyclic  $\cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ , as topologically it is generated by the Frobenius  $\text{Frob}_q$ . In sum,  $T_l E$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -module of rank two with an action of  $\text{Frob}_q$ . The meaning of  $a$  from before is that  $a = \text{tr } \text{Frob}_q$ . (Note that this does not hold for  $l = p$ .)

In the general context, for algebraic varieties over finite fields you don’t have singular cohomology, but you do have  $l$ -adic or étale cohomology. Secretly,  $T_l E \cong H_1(E/\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ , the  $l$ -adic homology of  $E$ . One advantage of working with the Tate module is that it is elementary to define, whereas defining  $l$ -adic cohomology is rather complicated.

In the finite field case,  $E$  is almost recovered from the action of  $\text{Frob}_q$  on  $T_l E$ . The problem is the choice of  $l$ : If you know this action for all  $l$ , even  $l = p$ , then you can indeed recover  $E$ . Compared to the complex case, we only work with homology and we have no Hodge structure. However, we do have an action of the Galois group as a substitute for the Hodge structure. This is a recurring theme.

- (3)  $k$  a local field, i. e. a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Let's stick with  $k = \mathbb{Q}_p$  for simplicity, but this will not impact the results. Now  $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is a  $p$ -adic manifold, i. e. has charts that are isomorphic to  $p$ -adic balls  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , and in fact a  $p$ -adic Lie groups. One feature of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is the existence of a good integral structure: There is a maximal compact subring  $\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p$ , and one can contemplate if there are integral models  $\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{Z}_p$  for  $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$ . We can obtain one such integral model cheaply by clearing denominators in a Weierstrass equation, but it is a subtle question to get the “optimal” one, and this is given by the Néron model. The source of the subtlety is that if  $\mathcal{E}$  is proper, then  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , but  $\mathcal{E}$  may not have a group structure. It may be impossible for  $\mathcal{E}$  to be proper and have a group structure at the same time, but the Néron model  $\mathcal{E}$  has a group structure and still satisfies  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . If  $E$  has *good reduction* we can take  $\mathcal{E}$  to be proper and have a group structure. This implies that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \underbrace{\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}_{\text{formal group}} \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)}_{\text{finite group}} \rightarrow 0.$$

The formal group on the left, whatever it is, is a pro- $p$ -group.

Insert picture

$\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$  has two points, namely the generic point  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p$ . The elliptic curve  $E$  lives over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}_p$ , and the integral model  $\mathcal{E}$  is a way too expand  $E$  over the other point  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p$ . Any point of  $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  then corresponds to a “section”  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , which specializes to a point  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . We can interpret the exact sequence as decomposing  $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  into  $p$ -adic balls.

The Tate module  $T_l E$ , which is defined as before, has an action of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , a much more complicated Galois group than in the case of finite fields. The Tate module with the Galois action does not quite determine  $E$  as strongly as in the case of finite fields, however it does determine many things about  $\mathcal{E}$ . In particular, it can recognize when  $E$  has good reduction. This is the criterion of *Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich*.

- (4)  $k$  is a number field, i. e. a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let us for simplicity consider the case  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ . **Theorem 2** (Mordell-Weil).  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is a finitely generated abelian group.

In other words,  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \cong E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^r$ . The number  $r$  is the *rank* of  $E$ .

**Remark 3.** Let  $C/\mathbb{Q}$  be a smooth projective curve of genus  $g$ . If  $g = 0$ , then  $C(\mathbb{Q})$  is either  $\infty$  or  $\emptyset$ . If  $g > 1$ , then  $C(\mathbb{Q})$  is finite by Faltings’ Theorem. If  $g = 1$ , then  $C(\mathbb{Q})$  can be  $\emptyset$ , finite, or infinite.

Computing  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is interesting from an arithmetic point of view. As an example, take the *congruent number problem*: An integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  that is square-free is a *congruent number* if there is a triple  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$  and  $n = \frac{1}{2}ab$ , or in geometric terms a right-angled triangle with rational sides  $a, b, c$  and area  $n$ . Equivalently, we can ask about an arithmetic progression  $\alpha^2, \beta^2 = \alpha^2 + n, \gamma^2 = \beta^2 + n$ . For example,  $n = 1$  is not congruent, as shown by Fermat. On the other hand  $n = 5$  is congruent, because we can take  $a = \frac{20}{3}, b = \frac{3}{2}$ .

**Proposition 4.** Let  $E_n$  be the elliptic curve given by  $y^2 = x^3 - n^2x$ . Then  $n$  is a congruent number if and only if  $\text{rank } E_n(\mathbb{Q}) > 0$ , i. e. if and only if  $E_n(\mathbb{Q})$  is infinite.

*Explanation.* If  $(x, y)$  is a rational point on  $E$ , then  $a = \frac{x^2 - n^2}{y}, b = 2n\frac{x}{y}, c = \frac{x^2 + n^2}{y}$  realizes  $n$  as a congruent number. This gives a bijection between triples  $(a, b, c)$  realizing  $n$  as a congruent number and  $(x, y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $y \neq 0$ . To prove the proposition, we check that  $E_n(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \subseteq \{y = 0\}$ , which strongly uses the exact sequence from before.

How does  $T_l E$  control  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ ? The function

$$L(E, s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime of good reduction}} \frac{1}{1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{1-2s}} \prod_{p \text{ prime of bad reduction}} (\cdots),$$

where  $a_p = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , assembles all possible point counts of  $E$  modulo  $p$ .

**Conjecture 5** (Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer).  $L(E, s)$  has holomorphic continuation to all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = \text{rank } E$ .

For the congruent number problem,  $L(E, s)$  is “easy” and there exists an explicit formula for  $L(E, 1)$  involving some ternary quadratic forms.