UC SANTA CRUZ

Math 134: Cryptography

Lecture 8: More on RSA

Eoin Mackall January 24, 2025

University of California, Santa Cruz

Last time

Alice wants to send a message to Bob. Bob has indicated that he wants to use RSA. What is the process for encryption?

Alice wants to send a message to Bob. Bob has indicated that he wants to use RSA. What is the process for encryption?

1. Bob picks beforehand two (large) prime numbers $p \neq q$ and computes their product n = pq.

Alice wants to send a message to Bob. Bob has indicated that he wants to use RSA. What is the process for encryption?

- 1. Bob picks beforehand two (large) prime numbers $p \neq q$ and computes their product n = pq.
- 2. Bob chooses an integer e with $gcd(e, \phi(n)) = 1$.

Alice wants to send a message to Bob. Bob has indicated that he wants to use RSA. What is the process for encryption?

- 1. Bob picks beforehand two (large) prime numbers $p \neq q$ and computes their product n = pq.
- 2. Bob chooses an integer e with $gcd(e, \phi(n)) = 1$.
- 3. Bob sends Alice the pair (n, e).

Alice wants to send a message to Bob. Bob has indicated that he wants to use RSA. What is the process for encryption?

- 1. Bob picks beforehand two (large) prime numbers $p \neq q$ and computes their product n = pq.
- 2. Bob chooses an integer e with $gcd(e, \phi(n)) = 1$.
- 3. Bob sends Alice the pair (n, e).
- 4. Alice will send her message in the form of an integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If m > n, then Alice breaks $m = m_1 m_2 m_3 ...$ into blocks m_i of sizes less than n.

Alice wants to send a message to Bob. Bob has indicated that he wants to use RSA. What is the process for encryption?

- 1. Bob picks beforehand two (large) prime numbers $p \neq q$ and computes their product n = pq.
- 2. Bob chooses an integer e with $gcd(e, \phi(n)) = 1$.
- 3. Bob sends Alice the pair (n, e).
- 4. Alice will send her message in the form of an integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If m > n, then Alice breaks $m = m_1 m_2 m_3 ...$ into blocks m_i of sizes less than n.
- 5. For each block m_i , Alice computes the number $0 \le r_i < n$ with $m_i^e \equiv r_i \pmod{n}$. She then sends Bob the numbers $r_1, r_2, r_3, ...$

Bob has received a message from Alice. Bob had indicated that he wanted to use RSA for communication. What is the process for decryption?

Bob has received a message from Alice. Bob had indicated that he wanted to use RSA for communication. What is the process for decryption?

1. Prior to their communication, Bob had selected an integer d with $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$. Bob probably did this while computing $\gcd(e,\phi(n))=1$ (using, e.g. the Euclidean algorithm).

Bob has received a message from Alice. Bob had indicated that he wanted to use RSA for communication. What is the process for decryption?

- 1. Prior to their communication, Bob had selected an integer d with $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$. Bob probably did this while computing $\gcd(e,\phi(n))=1$ (using, e.g. the Euclidean algorithm).
- 2. Bob receives a sequence of integers $r_1, r_2, r_3, ...$ from Alice.

Bob has received a message from Alice. Bob had indicated that he wanted to use RSA for communication. What is the process for decryption?

- 1. Prior to their communication, Bob had selected an integer d with $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$. Bob probably did this while computing $\gcd(e,\phi(n))=1$ (using, e.g. the Euclidean algorithm).
- 2. Bob receives a sequence of integers $r_1, r_2, r_3, ...$ from Alice.
- 3. For each integer r_i , bob computes

$$r_i^d \equiv (m_i^e)^d \equiv m_i^{ed} \equiv m_i \pmod{n}.$$

Bob has received a message from Alice. Bob had indicated that he wanted to use RSA for communication. What is the process for decryption?

- 1. Prior to their communication, Bob had selected an integer d with $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$. Bob probably did this while computing $\gcd(e,\phi(n))=1$ (using, e.g. the Euclidean algorithm).
- 2. Bob receives a sequence of integers $r_1, r_2, r_3, ...$ from Alice.
- 3. For each integer r_i , bob computes

$$r_i^d \equiv (m_i^e)^d \equiv m_i^{ed} \equiv m_i \pmod{n}.$$

4. Bob combines these integers to obtain Alice's message $m = m_1 m_2 m_3 ...$

Table of contents

1. Last time

RSA encryption RSA decryption

2. Why RSA works

Messages that are coprime to nThe Chinese Remainder Theorem Messages that are not coprime to n

Basis for RSA securityDifficulty of factoring integers

Why RSA works

Alice wants to send a message to Bob. Bob suggests using RSA to communicate. Bob sends Alice the public encryption key (n, e) and keeps private a decryption key d.

Alice wants to send a message to Bob. Bob suggests using RSA to communicate. Bob sends Alice the public encryption key (n, e) and keeps private a decryption key d.

Suppose that Alice's message m is coprime to n, i.e. gcd(m, n) = 1.

Alice wants to send a message to Bob. Bob suggests using RSA to communicate. Bob sends Alice the public encryption key (n, e) and keeps private a decryption key d.

Suppose that Alice's message m is coprime to n, i.e. gcd(m, n) = 1.

Then since $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$, there is a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $de = k\phi(n) + 1$. We can compute:

Alice wants to send a message to Bob. Bob suggests using RSA to communicate. Bob sends Alice the public encryption key (n, e) and keeps private a decryption key d.

Suppose that Alice's message m is coprime to n, i.e. gcd(m, n) = 1.

Then since $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$, there is a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $de = k\phi(n) + 1$. We can compute:

$$(m^e)^d \equiv m^{de} \pmod{n}$$

Alice wants to send a message to Bob. Bob suggests using RSA to communicate. Bob sends Alice the public encryption key (n, e) and keeps private a decryption key d.

Suppose that Alice's message m is coprime to n, i.e. gcd(m, n) = 1.

Then since $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$, there is a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $de = k\phi(n) + 1$. We can compute:

$$(m^e)^d \equiv m^{de} \pmod{n}$$
$$\equiv m^{k\phi(n)+1} \pmod{n}$$

Alice wants to send a message to Bob. Bob suggests using RSA to communicate. Bob sends Alice the public encryption key (n, e) and keeps private a decryption key d.

Suppose that Alice's message m is coprime to n, i.e. gcd(m, n) = 1.

Then since $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$, there is a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $de = k\phi(n) + 1$. We can compute:

$$(m^e)^d \equiv m^{de} \pmod{n}$$
$$\equiv m^{k\phi(n)+1} \pmod{n}$$
$$\equiv (m^{\phi(n)})^k \cdot m \pmod{n}$$

Alice wants to send a message to Bob. Bob suggests using RSA to communicate. Bob sends Alice the public encryption key (n, e) and keeps private a decryption key d.

Suppose that Alice's message m is coprime to n, i.e. gcd(m, n) = 1.

Then since $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$, there is a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $de = k\phi(n) + 1$. We can compute:

$$(m^e)^d \equiv m^{de} \pmod{n}$$

$$\equiv m^{k\phi(n)+1} \pmod{n}$$

$$\equiv (m^{\phi(n)})^k \cdot m \pmod{n}$$

$$\equiv (1)^k \cdot m \pmod{n}$$

Alice wants to send a message to Bob. Bob suggests using RSA to communicate. Bob sends Alice the public encryption key (n, e) and keeps private a decryption key d.

Suppose that Alice's message m is coprime to n, i.e. gcd(m, n) = 1.

Then since $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$, there is a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $de = k\phi(n) + 1$. We can compute:

$$(m^e)^d \equiv m^{de} \pmod{n}$$

$$\equiv m^{k\phi(n)+1} \pmod{n}$$

$$\equiv (m^{\phi(n)})^k \cdot m \pmod{n}$$

$$\equiv (1)^k \cdot m \pmod{n}$$

$$\equiv m \pmod{n}.$$



What if $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$?

If $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$ then, since n = pq for primes p, q we must have either $p \mid m$ or $q \mid m$.

What if $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$?

If $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$ then, since n = pq for primes p, q we must have either $p \mid m$ or $q \mid m$.

Suppose that $p, q \approx 10^{150}$ so that $n \approx 10^{300}$. Then there are n/p = q many numbers between p and n divisible by p. Similarly there are n/q = p many numbers between q and q divisible by q.

What if $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$?

If $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$ then, since n = pq for primes p, q we must have either $p \mid m$ or $q \mid m$.

Suppose that $p, q \approx 10^{150}$ so that $n \approx 10^{300}$. Then there are n/p = q many numbers between p and n divisible by p. Similarly there are n/q = p many numbers between q and n divisible by q.

This means there are no more than $p+q\approx 2\cdot 10^{150}$ numbers divisible by one of p or q. Assuming that m appears in [0,n-1] randomly and uniformly, the probability that $\gcd(m,n)\neq 1$ is then

$$\mathbb{P}(\gcd(m,n) \neq 1) \approx (2 \cdot 10^{150})/(10^{300}) \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-150}.$$

What if $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$?

If $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$ then, since n = pq for primes p, q we must have either $p \mid m$ or $q \mid m$.

Suppose that $p, q \approx 10^{150}$ so that $n \approx 10^{300}$. Then there are n/p = q many numbers between p and n divisible by p. Similarly there are n/q = p many numbers between q and q divisible by q.

This means there are no more than $p+q\approx 2\cdot 10^{150}$ numbers divisible by one of p or q. Assuming that m appears in [0,n-1] randomly and uniformly, the probability that $\gcd(m,n)\neq 1$ is then

$$\mathbb{P}(\gcd(m,n) \neq 1) \approx (2 \cdot 10^{150})/(10^{300}) \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-150}.$$

Still, what if $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$?

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ be two integers with gcd(a, b) = 1.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ be two integers with gcd(a, b) = 1.

Theorem (The Chinese Remainder Theorem)

Let $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ be arbitrary, a and b as above. Then there exists a solution $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ to the system of equations

$$x \equiv r \pmod{a}$$

 $x \equiv s \pmod{b}$.

An integer $x' \in \mathbb{Z}$ solves the above system if and only if $x \equiv x' \pmod{ab}$. In particular, there is a unique solution x to the above system with $0 \le x < ab$.

Proof.

Since gcd(a,b) = 1 we can find integers $m,n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$am + bn = 1$$
.

Proof.

Since gcd(a,b) = 1 we can find integers $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$am + bn = 1$$
.

Note

$$am \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$$
 and $bn \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$.

Proof.

Since gcd(a,b) = 1 we can find integers $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$am + bn = 1$$
.

Note

$$am \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$$
 and $bn \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$.

Let x = r(bn) + s(am).

Proof.

Since gcd(a,b) = 1 we can find integers $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$am + bn = 1$$
.

Note

$$am \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$$
 and $bn \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$.

Let x = r(bn) + s(am). Then

$$x \equiv r(bn) \equiv r \pmod{a}$$

Proof.

Since gcd(a,b) = 1 we can find integers $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$am + bn = 1$$
.

Note

$$am \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$$
 and $bn \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$.

Let x = r(bn) + s(am). Then

$$x \equiv r(bn) \equiv r \pmod{a}$$

$$x \equiv s(am) \equiv s \pmod{b}$$
.

Proof.

Since gcd(a,b) = 1 we can find integers $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$am + bn = 1$$
.

Note

$$am \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$$
 and $bn \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$.

Let x = r(bn) + s(am). Then

$$x \equiv r(bn) \equiv r \pmod{a}$$

 $x \equiv s(am) \equiv s \pmod{b}$.

If x' is another solution, then $a \mid (x - x')$ and $b \mid (x - x')$ so $ab \mid (x - x')$ since gcd(a, b) = 1.

Proof.

Since gcd(a,b) = 1 we can find integers $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$am + bn = 1$$
.

Note

$$am \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$$
 and $bn \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$.

Let x = r(bn) + s(am). Then

$$x \equiv r(bn) \equiv r \pmod{a}$$

 $x \equiv s(am) \equiv s \pmod{b}$.

If x' is another solution, then $a \mid (x - x')$ and $b \mid (x - x')$ so $ab \mid (x - x')$ since gcd(a, b) = 1. The converse is easier.

Example

Let's solve the system of equations

$$x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$$
$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$$

for the unique integer $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \le x < 77$.

Example

Let's solve the system of equations

$$x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$$
$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$$

for the unique integer $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \le x < 77$.

Note that if $x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ then x - 6 = 7k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example

Let's solve the system of equations

$$x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$$
$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$$

for the unique integer $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \le x < 77$.

Note that if $x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ then x - 6 = 7k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $6 + 7k \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$ so that

$$7k \equiv 3 - 6 \equiv -3 \equiv 8 \pmod{11}$$
.

Example

Let's solve the system of equations

$$x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$$
$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$$

for the unique integer $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \le x < 77$.

Note that if $x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ then x - 6 = 7k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $6 + 7k \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$ so that

$$7k \equiv 3 - 6 \equiv -3 \equiv 8 \pmod{11}$$
.

Since $7 \equiv -4 \pmod{11}$ and $(-3) \cdot (-4) \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$ we have

$$k \equiv (-3) \cdot 8 \equiv 9 \pmod{11}.$$

Example

Let's solve the system of equations

$$x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$$
$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$$

for the unique integer $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \le x < 77$.

Note that if $x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ then x - 6 = 7k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $6 + 7k \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$ so that

$$7k \equiv 3 - 6 \equiv -3 \equiv 8 \pmod{11}$$
.

Since $7 \equiv -4 \pmod{11}$ and $(-3) \cdot (-4) \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$ we have

$$k \equiv (-3) \cdot 8 \equiv 9 \pmod{11}.$$

Plugging this in gives x = 7(9) + 6 = 69.

Suppose that n=pq for primes p,q. Let $e\in\mathbb{Z}$ be an integer with $\gcd(e,\phi(n))=1$ and $d\in\mathbb{Z}$ be such that $de\equiv 1\pmod{\phi(n)}$.

Suppose that n=pq for primes p,q. Let $e\in\mathbb{Z}$ be an integer with $\gcd(e,\phi(n))=1$ and $d\in\mathbb{Z}$ be such that $de\equiv 1\pmod{\phi(n)}$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer such that $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$.

Suppose that n = pq for primes p, q. Let $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer with $gcd(e, \phi(n)) = 1$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer such that $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$.

Case I

If gcd(m, n) = p then $m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Since $q \nmid m$, we have that $m \equiv a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$.

Suppose that n = pq for primes p, q. Let $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer with $gcd(e, \phi(n)) = 1$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer such that $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$.

Case I

If gcd(m, n) = p then $m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Since $q \nmid m$, we have that $m \equiv a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$.

Then we find

$$m^e \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

 $m^e \equiv a^e \pmod{q}$

so that

$$(m^e)^d \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

 $(m^e)^d \equiv a^{ed} \pmod{q}$.

Suppose that n = pq for primes p, q. Let $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer with $gcd(e, \phi(n)) = 1$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer such that $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$

Case I

If gcd(m, n) = p then $m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Since $q \nmid m$, we have that $m \equiv a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$.

$$(m^e)^d \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

 $(m^e)^d \equiv a^{ed} \pmod{q}$.

Since $ed = 1 + k\phi(n) = 1 + k(p-1)(q-1)$ we find

$$m^{ed} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

$$m^{ed} \equiv a^{ed} \equiv a^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv a \cdot (a^{q-1})^{k(p-1)} \equiv a \pmod{q}$$

by Fermat's little theorem.

Suppose that n = pq for primes p, q. Let $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer with $gcd(e, \phi(n)) = 1$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer such that $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$

Case I

If gcd(m, n) = p then $m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Since $q \nmid m$, we have that $m \equiv a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$.

Since
$$ed = 1 + k\phi(n) = 1 + k(p-1)(q-1)$$
 we find

$$m^{ed} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

$$m^{ed} \equiv a^{ed} \equiv a^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv a \cdot (a^{q-1})^{k(p-1)} \equiv a \pmod{q}$$

by Fermat's little theorem.

Now the Chinese Remainder theorem implies that if 0 < m < n then $m^{ed} \equiv m \pmod{n}$.

Suppose that n=pq for primes p,q. Let $e\in\mathbb{Z}$ be an integer with $\gcd(e,\phi(n))=1$ and $d\in\mathbb{Z}$ be such that $de\equiv 1\pmod{\phi(n)}$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer such that $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$

Case II

If
$$gcd(m, n) = q$$
,

Suppose that n=pq for primes p,q. Let $e\in\mathbb{Z}$ be an integer with $\gcd(e,\phi(n))=1$ and $d\in\mathbb{Z}$ be such that $de\equiv 1\pmod{\phi(n)}$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer such that $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$

Case II

If gcd(m, n) = q, then we proceed in the same way as before.

Suppose that n=pq for primes p,q. Let $e\in\mathbb{Z}$ be an integer with $\gcd(e,\phi(n))=1$ and $d\in\mathbb{Z}$ be such that $de\equiv 1\pmod{\phi(n)}$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer such that $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$

Case II

If gcd(m, n) = q, then we proceed in the same way as before.

Case III

If
$$gcd(m, n) = n$$
,

Suppose that n=pq for primes p,q. Let $e\in\mathbb{Z}$ be an integer with $gcd(e,\phi(n))=1$ and $d\in\mathbb{Z}$ be such that $de\equiv 1\pmod{\phi(n)}$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer such that $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$

Case II

If gcd(m, n) = q, then we proceed in the same way as before.

Case III

If gcd(m, n) = n, then m = 0 and $m^r \equiv m \pmod{n}$ for all $r \ge 1$.

Suppose that n=pq for primes p,q. Let $e\in\mathbb{Z}$ be an integer with $\gcd(e,\phi(n))=1$ and $d\in\mathbb{Z}$ be such that $de\equiv 1\pmod{\phi(n)}$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer such that $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$

Case II

If gcd(m, n) = q, then we proceed in the same way as before.

Case III

If gcd(m, n) = n, then m = 0 and $m^r \equiv m \pmod{n}$ for all $r \ge 1$.

Thus, for every integer message m, we may recover m exactly after RSA encryption and decryption.

Basis for RSA security

The security of RSA is dependent on an adversary being unable to find the secret key d that Bob uses for decryption.

The security of RSA is dependent on an adversary being unable to find the secret key *d* that Bob uses for decryption.

Claim

This security is closely related to the problem of factoring integers.

The security of RSA is dependent on an adversary being unable to find the secret key *d* that Bob uses for decryption.

Claim

This security is closely related to the problem of factoring integers.

Justification

Suppose that Eve can factor n and recover the primes p, q that Bob uses in his RSA scheme.

The security of RSA is dependent on an adversary being unable to find the secret key *d* that Bob uses for decryption.

Claim

This security is closely related to the problem of factoring integers.

Justification

Suppose that Eve can factor n and recover the primes p, q that Bob uses in his RSA scheme.

Then Eve can calculate $\phi(n)=(p-1)(q-1)$ and, since everyone knows the value of e, she may (efficiently) find an integer $d\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $ed\equiv 1\pmod{\phi(n)}$ using the Euclidean algorithm.

The security of RSA is dependent on an adversary being unable to find the secret key *d* that Bob uses for decryption.

Claim

This security is closely related to the problem of factoring integers.

Justification

Suppose that Eve can factor n and recover the primes p, q that Bob uses in his RSA scheme.

Then Eve can calculate $\phi(n)=(p-1)(q-1)$ and, since everyone knows the value of e, she may (efficiently) find an integer $d\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $ed\equiv 1\pmod{\phi(n)}$ using the Euclidean algorithm.

We will come back to the other direction momentarily.

Clearly if Eve knows $\phi(n)$, then Eve may break Bob's RSA encryption scheme. Maybe it is easier to find $\phi(n)$ than to factor n?

Clearly if Eve knows $\phi(n)$, then Eve may break Bob's RSA encryption scheme. Maybe it is easier to find $\phi(n)$ than to factor n?

Claim

If Eve knows $\phi(n)$, then Eve can factor n.

Clearly if Eve knows $\phi(n)$, then Eve may break Bob's RSA encryption scheme. Maybe it is easier to find $\phi(n)$ than to factor n?

Claim

If Eve knows $\phi(n)$, then Eve can factor n.

Justification

Since n = pq, we know that $\phi(n) = (p - 1)(q - 1) = pq - p - q + 1$.

Clearly if Eve knows $\phi(n)$, then Eve may break Bob's RSA encryption scheme. Maybe it is easier to find $\phi(n)$ than to factor n?

Claim

If Eve knows $\phi(n)$, then Eve can factor n.

Justification

Since n = pq, we know that $\phi(n) = (p - 1)(q - 1) = pq - p - q + 1$.

Hence if Eve knows n and $\phi(n)$, Eve may quickly find

$$n - \phi(n) + 1 = pq - (pq - p - q + 1) + 1 = p + q.$$

Clearly if Eve knows $\phi(n)$, then Eve may break Bob's RSA encryption scheme. Maybe it is easier to find $\phi(n)$ than to factor n?

Claim

If Eve knows $\phi(n)$, then Eve can factor n.

Justification

Since n = pq, we know that $\phi(n) = (p - 1)(q - 1) = pq - p - q + 1$.

Hence if Eve knows n and $\phi(n)$, Eve may quickly find

$$n - \phi(n) + 1 = pq - (pq - p - q + 1) + 1 = p + q.$$

But then

$$X^{2} - (n - \phi(n) + 1)X + n = X^{2} - (p + q)X + pq = (X - p)(X - q)$$

and the quadratic formula can be used to find p, q. So Eve can factor n.

It would be desirable if, supposing that Eve knows *d*, this would imply that Eve could factor *n*.

It would be desirable if, supposing that Eve knows d, this would imply that Eve could factor n.

Here is some evidence to that effect.

It would be desirable if, supposing that Eve knows *d*, this would imply that Eve could factor *n*.

Here is some evidence to that effect.

Claim

If *e* is sufficiently small, and if Eve knows *d*, then Eve can factor *n*.

Justification

It would be desirable if, supposing that Eve knows *d*, this would imply that Eve could factor *n*.

Here is some evidence to that effect.

Claim

If e is sufficiently small, and if Eve knows d, then Eve can factor n.

Justification

Remember that $de \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$. So there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with de = 1 + k(p-1)(q-1).

It would be desirable if, supposing that Eve knows *d*, this would imply that Eve could factor *n*.

Here is some evidence to that effect.

Claim

If e is sufficiently small, and if Eve knows d, then Eve can factor n.

Justification

Remember that $de \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$. So there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with de = 1 + k(p-1)(q-1).

Since d (or an equivalent number) has the property that 0 < d < (p-1)(q-1) we have

$$(p-1)(q-1)k < de < (p-1)(q-1)e.$$

This implies k < e.

It would be desirable if, supposing that Eve knows *d*, this would imply that Eve could factor *n*.

Here is some evidence to that effect.

Claim

If e is sufficiently small, and if Eve knows d, then Eve can factor n.

Justification

Now with de = 1 + k(p-1)(q-1) we have

$$k = \frac{de - 1}{(p - 1)(q - 1)} > \frac{de - 1}{n} = \frac{(p - 1)(q - 1)k}{n} = \frac{(pq - p - q + 1)k}{n}$$
$$= k - \frac{(p + q - 1)k}{n}.$$

It would be desirable if, supposing that Eve knows *d*, this would imply that Eve could factor *n*.

Here is some evidence to that effect.

Claim

If e is sufficiently small, and if Eve knows d, then Eve can factor n.

Justification

Now with de = 1 + k(p-1)(q-1) we have

$$k = \frac{de - 1}{(p - 1)(q - 1)} > \frac{de - 1}{n} = \frac{(p - 1)(q - 1)k}{n} = \frac{(pq - p - q + 1)k}{n}$$
$$= k - \frac{(p + q - 1)k}{n}.$$

If p, q are large primes, then usually n is much larger. Since k < e, if we know that e is sufficiently small, then $0 \le (p + q - 1)k/n << 1$.

It would be desirable if, supposing that Eve knows *d*, this would imply that Eve could factor *n*.

Here is some evidence to that effect.

Claim

If e is sufficiently small, and if Eve knows d, then Eve can factor n.

Justification

So if e is sufficiently small, we can compute $k = \lceil (de - 1)/n \rceil$.

It would be desirable if, supposing that Eve knows *d*, this would imply that Eve could factor *n*.

Here is some evidence to that effect.

Claim

If e is sufficiently small, and if Eve knows d, then Eve can factor n.

Justification

So if e is sufficiently small, we can compute $k = \lceil (de - 1)/n \rceil$.

Now we can solve $de - 1 = \phi(n)k$ to find $\phi(n)$.

It would be desirable if, supposing that Eve knows *d*, this would imply that Eve could factor *n*.

Here is some evidence to that effect.

Claim

If e is sufficiently small, and if Eve knows d, then Eve can factor n.

Justification

So if e is sufficiently small, we can compute $k = \lceil (de - 1)/n \rceil$.

Now we can solve $de - 1 = \phi(n)k$ to find $\phi(n)$.

Knowing $\phi(n)$, we can factor n.