# UC SANTA CRUZ

## Math 134: Cryptography

Lecture 2: more on ciphers

Eoin Mackall January 8, 2024

University of California, Santa Cruz

#### **Announcements**

- Homework #1 posted on Canvas
- · Syllabus updated (corrected final exam date of March 18th)
- · Office hours updated (now 11:00 am 12:00 am Tuesdays)

Alice wants to send a message to Bob.

1. Alice and Bob agree beforehand on a secret key k, which is an integer with  $1 \le k \le 25$ .

- 1. Alice and Bob agree beforehand on a secret key k, which is an integer with  $1 \le k \le 25$ .
- 2. Plaintext is converted to ciphertext by the following scheme:

- 1. Alice and Bob agree beforehand on a secret key k, which is an integer with  $1 \le k \le 25$ .
- 2. Plaintext is converted to ciphertext by the following scheme:
  - 2.1 the alphabet is enumerated (e.g. a=0, b=1, ..., z=25)

Alice wants to send a message to Bob.

- 1. Alice and Bob agree beforehand on a secret key k, which is an integer with  $1 \le k \le 25$ .
- 2. Plaintext is converted to ciphertext by the following scheme:
  - 2.1 the alphabet is enumerated (e.g. a = 0, b = 1, ..., z = 25)
  - 2.2 a plaintext letter corresponding to the value j is encrypted to the letter corresponding to value  $j + k \pmod{26}$ .

- 1. Alice and Bob agree beforehand on a secret key k, which is an integer with  $1 \le k \le 25$ .
- 2. Plaintext is converted to ciphertext by the following scheme:
  - 2.1 the alphabet is enumerated (e.g. a = 0, b = 1, ..., z = 25)
  - 2.2 a plaintext letter corresponding to the value j is encrypted to the letter corresponding to value  $j + k \pmod{26}$ .
- 3. This cipher is weak to a brute force attack because:

- 1. Alice and Bob agree beforehand on a secret key k, which is an integer with  $1 \le k \le 25$ .
- 2. Plaintext is converted to ciphertext by the following scheme:
  - 2.1 the alphabet is enumerated (e.g. a = 0, b = 1, ..., z = 25)
  - 2.2 a plaintext letter corresponding to the value j is encrypted to the letter corresponding to value  $j + k \pmod{26}$ .
- 3. This cipher is weak to a brute force attack because:
  - 3.1 the keyspace is small, and it's easy to test all decryption keys,

- 1. Alice and Bob agree beforehand on a secret key k, which is an integer with  $1 \le k \le 25$ .
- 2. Plaintext is converted to ciphertext by the following scheme:
  - 2.1 the alphabet is enumerated (e.g. a = 0, b = 1, ..., z = 25)
  - 2.2 a plaintext letter corresponding to the value j is encrypted to the letter corresponding to value  $j + k \pmod{26}$ .
- 3. This cipher is weak to a brute force attack because:
  - 3.1 the keyspace is small, and it's easy to test all decryption keys,
  - 3.2 the likelihood of finding multiple meaningful messages testing any given decryption key is small.

## Today's plan:

1. Affine ciphers

Modular arithmetic

Affine transformations

Affine ciphers

## Affine ciphers

Let *n* be an integer. We're going to frequently work "modulo n".

Let n be an integer. We're going to frequently work "modulo n". We say two integers a, b are equivalent modulo n, or we write

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

if a - b is an integer multiple of n.

Let n be an integer. We're going to frequently work "modulo n".

We say two integers a, b are equivalent modulo n, or we write

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

if a - b is an integer multiple of n.

#### **Examples**

Let n be an integer. We're going to frequently work "modulo n".

We say two integers a, b are equivalent modulo n, or we write

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

if a - b is an integer multiple of n.

#### **Examples**

• 6  $\equiv$  2 (mod 4) because 6 – 2 = 4 • (1)

Let *n* be an integer. We're going to frequently work "modulo n". We say two integers *a*, *b* are equivalent modulo *n*, or we write

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

if a - b is an integer multiple of n.

#### **Examples**

- $6 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  because  $6 2 = 4 \cdot (1)$
- 121  $\equiv$  51 (mod 7) because 121 51 = 70 = 7  $\cdot$  (10)

Let *n* be an integer. We're going to frequently work "modulo n". We say two integers *a*, *b* are equivalent modulo *n*, or we write

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

if a - b is an integer multiple of n.

#### **Examples**

- $6 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  because  $6 2 = 4 \cdot (1)$
- 121  $\equiv$  51 (mod 7) because 121 51 = 70 = 7  $\cdot$  (10)
- $\cdot -13 \equiv 13 \pmod{26}$  because  $-13 13 = -26 = 26 \cdot (-1)$

Let *n* be an integer. We're going to frequently work "modulo n". We say two integers *a*, *b* are equivalent modulo *n*, or we write

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

if a - b is an integer multiple of n.

#### **Examples**

- $6 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  because  $6 2 = 4 \cdot (1)$
- 121  $\equiv$  51 (mod 7) because 121 51 = 70 = 7  $\cdot$  (10)
- $\cdot$  -13 = 13 (mod 26) because -13 13 = -26 = 26 \cdot (-1)
- $81 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  because  $81 0 = 81 = 3 \cdot (27)$

We'll see later that the relation  $*_1 \equiv *_2 \pmod{n}$  can be treated like an equality for most arithmetic purposes.

We'll see later that the relation  $*_1 \equiv *_2 \pmod{n}$  can be treated like an equality for most arithmetic purposes.

Specifically, let a, b, c, d be integers such that  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ . Then:

We'll see later that the relation  $*_1 \equiv *_2 \pmod{n}$  can be treated like an equality for most arithmetic purposes.

Specifically, let a, b, c, d be integers such that  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ . Then:

1. We can add modulo *n*,

We'll see later that the relation  $*_1 \equiv *_2 \pmod{n}$  can be treated like an equality for most arithmetic purposes.

Specifically, let a, b, c, d be integers such that  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ . Then:

1. We can add modulo n,

$$a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$$

We'll see later that the relation  $*_1 \equiv *_2 \pmod{n}$  can be treated like an equality for most arithmetic purposes.

Specifically, let a, b, c, d be integers such that  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ . Then:

1. We can add modulo n.

$$a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$$

2. We can substract modulo *n*,

We'll see later that the relation  $*_1 \equiv *_2 \pmod{n}$  can be treated like an equality for most arithmetic purposes.

Specifically, let a, b, c, d be integers such that  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ . Then:

1. We can add modulo n.

$$a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$$

2. We can substract modulo n,

$$a-c\equiv b-d\pmod{n}$$

We'll see later that the relation  $*_1 \equiv *_2 \pmod{n}$  can be treated like an equality for most arithmetic purposes.

Specifically, let a, b, c, d be integers such that  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ . Then:

1. We can add modulo n.

$$a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$$

2. We can substract modulo n,

$$a - c \equiv b - d \pmod{n}$$

3. We can multiply modulo *n*,

We'll see later that the relation  $*_1 \equiv *_2 \pmod{n}$  can be treated like an equality for most arithmetic purposes.

Specifically, let a, b, c, d be integers such that  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ . Then:

1. We can add modulo n,

$$a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$$

2. We can substract modulo n,

$$a - c \equiv b - d \pmod{n}$$

3. We can multiply modulo *n*,

$$a \cdot c \equiv b \cdot d \pmod{n}$$

We'll see later that the relation  $*_1 \equiv *_2 \pmod{n}$  can be treated like an equality for most arithmetic purposes.

Specifically, let a, b, c, d be integers such that  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ . Then:

1. We can add modulo n,

$$a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$$

2. We can substract modulo n,

$$a - c \equiv b - d \pmod{n}$$

3. We can multiply modulo *n*,

$$a \cdot c \equiv b \cdot d \pmod{n}$$

4. Division is tricky.

Not every relation  $ac \equiv bc \pmod n$  implies  $a \equiv b \pmod n$  (similar to matrix multiplication).

Not every relation  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{n}$  implies  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  (similar to matrix multiplication).

#### Example

$$4 \cdot 6 \equiv 2 \cdot 6 \pmod{6}$$
 since  $24 - 12 = 12 = 6 \cdot (2)$ .

Not every relation  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{n}$  implies  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  (similar to matrix multiplication).

#### Example

 $4 \cdot 6 \equiv 2 \cdot 6 \pmod{6}$  since  $24 - 12 = 12 = 6 \cdot (2)$ .

But  $4 \not\equiv 2 \pmod{6}$  since  $4-2=2 \not\equiv 6 \cdot m$  for any integer m.

Not every relation  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{n}$  implies  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  (similar to matrix multiplication).

#### Example

 $4 \cdot 6 \equiv 2 \cdot 6 \pmod{6}$  since  $24 - 12 = 12 = 6 \cdot (2)$ .

But  $4 \not\equiv 2 \pmod{6}$  since  $4-2=2 \not\equiv 6 \cdot m$  for any integer m.

Instead, for a given integer b, we can ask: when does there exist an integer that multiplies like we expect (1/b) would?

Not every relation  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{n}$  implies  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  (similar to matrix multiplication).

#### Example

 $4 \cdot 6 \equiv 2 \cdot 6 \pmod{6}$  since  $24 - 12 = 12 = 6 \cdot (2)$ .

But  $4 \not\equiv 2 \pmod{6}$  since  $4 - 2 = 2 \not\equiv 6 \cdot m$  for any integer m.

Instead, for a given integer b, we can ask: when does there exist an integer that multiplies like we expect (1/b) would?

I.e. if we choose an integer b, then under what conditions is there an integer c such that  $b \cdot c \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ ?

#### Claim (to be checked later)

If b and n share no prime divisors, then there exists an integer c such that  $b \cdot c \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

#### Claim (to be checked later)

If b and n share no prime divisors, then there exists an integer c such that  $b \cdot c \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

#### Example

 $15 = 3 \cdot 5$  and  $26 = 2 \cdot 13$  so 15 and 26 share have no common prime divisors, so we should be able to find a multiplicative inverse for 15 modulo 26.

#### Claim (to be checked later)

If b and n share no prime divisors, then there exists an integer c such that  $b \cdot c \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

#### Example

 $15 = 3 \cdot 5$  and  $26 = 2 \cdot 13$  so 15 and 26 share have no common prime divisors, so we should be able to find a multiplicative inverse for 15 modulo 26.

In fact,

$$15 \cdot 33 \equiv 300 + 150 + 30 + 15 \equiv 495 \equiv 19 \cdot (26) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{26}$$

since 
$$(19 \cdot (26) + 1) - 1 = 19 \cdot (26)$$
.

### Modular arithmetic (abridged)

#### Claim (to be checked later)

If b and n share no prime divisors, then there exists an integer c such that  $b \cdot c \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

#### Example

 $15 = 3 \cdot 5$  and  $26 = 2 \cdot 13$  so 15 and 26 share have no common prime divisors, so we should be able to find a multiplicative inverse for 15 modulo 26.

In fact,

$$15 \cdot 33 \equiv 300 + 150 + 30 + 15 \equiv 495 \equiv 19 \cdot (26) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{26}$$

since 
$$(19 \cdot (26) + 1) - 1 = 19 \cdot (26)$$
.

4. If *b* shares no common divisors with *n*, then we can effectively divide by *b*. I.e. we can find an integer *c* with  $b \cdot c \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  and if  $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$  then  $x \cdot c \equiv y \cdot c \pmod{n}$ .

Alice wants to send a message to Bob.

1. Alice and Bob agree beforehand on a pair of integers  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $1 \le \alpha \le 25$ ,  $0 \le \beta \le 25$ , and such that  $\alpha$  is odd and  $\alpha \ne 13$ .

- 1. Alice and Bob agree beforehand on a pair of integers  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $1 \le \alpha \le 25$ ,  $0 \le \beta \le 25$ , and such that  $\alpha$  is odd and  $\alpha \ne 13$ .
- 2. Plaintext is converted to ciphertext by the following scheme:

- 1. Alice and Bob agree beforehand on a pair of integers  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $1 \le \alpha \le 25$ ,  $0 \le \beta \le 25$ , and such that  $\alpha$  is odd and  $\alpha \ne 13$ .
- 2. Plaintext is converted to ciphertext by the following scheme:
  - 2.1 the alphabet is enumerated (e.g. a=0, b=1, ..., z=25)

- 1. Alice and Bob agree beforehand on a pair of integers  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $1 \le \alpha \le 25$ ,  $0 \le \beta \le 25$ , and such that  $\alpha$  is odd and  $\alpha \ne 13$ .
- 2. Plaintext is converted to ciphertext by the following scheme:
  - 2.1 the alphabet is enumerated (e.g. a=0, b=1, ..., z=25)
  - 2.2 a plaintext letter corresponding to the value j is encrypted to the letter corresponding to value  $\alpha \cdot j + \beta \pmod{26}$ .

Alice wants to send a message to Bob.

- 1. Alice and Bob agree beforehand on a pair of integers  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $1 \le \alpha \le 25$ ,  $0 \le \beta \le 25$ , and such that  $\alpha$  is odd and  $\alpha \ne 13$ .
- 2. Plaintext is converted to ciphertext by the following scheme:
  - 2.1 the alphabet is enumerated (e.g. a = 0, b = 1, ..., z = 25)
  - 2.2 a plaintext letter corresponding to the value j is encrypted to the letter corresponding to value  $\alpha \cdot j + \beta \pmod{26}$ .

#### Remark

• Functions  $x \mapsto \alpha x$  are often said to be linear (think of linear transformations from linear algebra).

Alice wants to send a message to Bob.

- 1. Alice and Bob agree beforehand on a pair of integers  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $1 \le \alpha \le 25$ ,  $0 \le \beta \le 25$ , and such that  $\alpha$  is odd and  $\alpha \ne 13$ .
- 2. Plaintext is converted to ciphertext by the following scheme:
  - 2.1 the alphabet is enumerated (e.g. a = 0, b = 1, ..., z = 25)
  - 2.2 a plaintext letter corresponding to the value j is encrypted to the letter corresponding to value  $\alpha \cdot j + \beta \pmod{26}$ .

#### Remark

- Functions  $x \mapsto \alpha x$  are often said to be linear (think of linear transformations from linear algebra).
- Functions  $x \mapsto \alpha x + \beta$  are often called affine or affine transformations.

Alice wants to send a message to Bob.

- 1. Alice and Bob agree beforehand on a pair of integers  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $1 \le \alpha \le 25$ ,  $0 \le \beta \le 25$ , and such that  $\alpha$  is odd and  $\alpha \ne 13$ .
- 2. Plaintext is converted to ciphertext by the following scheme:
  - 2.1 the alphabet is enumerated (e.g. a = 0, b = 1, ..., z = 25)
  - 2.2 a plaintext letter corresponding to the value j is encrypted to the letter corresponding to value  $\alpha \cdot j + \beta \pmod{26}$ .

#### Remark

- Functions  $x \mapsto \alpha x$  are often said to be linear (think of linear transformations from linear algebra).
- Functions  $x \mapsto \alpha x + \beta$  are often called affine or affine transformations.
- if  $\alpha = 1$  above then we just get back the shift cipher with shift  $\beta$ .

#### Example

Let's encrypt the message This is an example of an affine cipher using an affine cipher with scaling factor  $\alpha=$  15 and shift  $\beta=$  7.

#### Example

Let's encrypt the message This is an example of an affine cipher using an affine cipher with scaling factor  $\alpha=$  15 and shift  $\beta=$  7.

Plaintext	a	b	С	d	е	f	g	 Х	У	Z
j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	 23	24	25
$\alpha j + \beta \pmod{26}$										
Ciphertext										

#### Example

Let's encrypt the message This is an example of an affine cipher using an affine cipher with scaling factor  $\alpha=$  15 and shift  $\beta=$  7.

Plaintext	a	b	С	d	е	f	g	 Х	У	Z
j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	 23	24	25
$\alpha j + \beta \pmod{26}$	7									
Ciphertext										

### Computations

$$0 \cdot 15 + 7 \equiv 7 \pmod{26}$$

### Example

Let's encrypt the message This is an example of an affine cipher using an affine cipher with scaling factor  $\alpha=$  15 and shift  $\beta=$  7.

Plaintext	a	b	С	d	е	f	g	 Х	У	Z
j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	 23	24	25
$\alpha j + \beta \pmod{26}$	7	22								
Ciphertext										

#### Computations

$$0 \cdot 15 + 7 \equiv 7 \pmod{26}$$

$$1 \cdot 15 + 7 \equiv 22 \pmod{26}$$

### Example

Let's encrypt the message This is an example of an affine cipher using an affine cipher with scaling factor  $\alpha=$  15 and shift  $\beta=$  7.

Plaintext	a	b	С	d	е	f	g	 Х	У	Z
j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	 23	24	25
$\alpha j + \beta \pmod{26}$	7	22	11							
Ciphertext										

### Computations

$$0 \cdot 15 + 7 \equiv 7 \pmod{26}$$

$$1 \cdot 15 + 7 \equiv 22 \pmod{26}$$

$$2 \cdot 15 + 7 \equiv 37 \equiv 11 \pmod{26}$$

#### Example

Let's encrypt the message This is an example of an affine cipher using an affine cipher with scaling factor  $\alpha=$  15 and shift  $\beta=$  7.

Plaintext	a	b	С	d	е	f	g	 Х	У	Z
j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	 23	24	25
$\alpha j + \beta \pmod{26}$	7	22	11	0	15	4	19	 14	3	18
Ciphertext	h	W	l	a	р	е	t	 0	d	S

### Example

Let's encrypt the message This is an example of an affine cipher using an affine cipher with scaling factor  $\alpha=$  15 and shift  $\beta=$  7.

Plaintext	a	b	С	d	е	f	g	 Χ	У	Z
j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	 23	24	25
$\alpha j + \beta \pmod{26}$	7	22	11	0	15	4	19	 14	3	18
Ciphertext	h	W	l	a	р	е	t	 0	d	S

#### Example (cont'd)

The plaintext message This is an example of an affine cipher is encrypted to the ciphertext message Gixr xr hu pohfyqp je hu heexup lxyipc.

Bob receives a ciphertext message from Alice. How does Bob decrypt to obtain the original plaintext message that Alice sent?

Bob receives a ciphertext message from Alice. How does Bob decrypt to obtain the original plaintext message that Alice sent?

If Alice used the function  $x \mapsto \alpha x + \beta \pmod{26}$  for her encryption, then Bob needs to find the inverse of this function, i.e. a function which takes in  $y \equiv \alpha x + \beta \pmod{26}$  and outputs x.

Bob receives a ciphertext message from Alice. How does Bob decrypt to obtain the original plaintext message that Alice sent?

If Alice used the function  $x \mapsto \alpha x + \beta \pmod{26}$  for her encryption, then Bob needs to find the inverse of this function, i.e. a function which takes in  $y \equiv \alpha x + \beta \pmod{26}$  and outputs x.

Let  $\gamma$  be an integer with  $\alpha\gamma\equiv$  1 (mod 26), which exists since  $\alpha$  is assumed odd and  $\alpha\neq$  13.

Bob receives a ciphertext message from Alice. How does Bob decrypt to obtain the original plaintext message that Alice sent?

If Alice used the function  $x \mapsto \alpha x + \beta \pmod{26}$  for her encryption, then Bob needs to find the inverse of this function, i.e. a function which takes in  $y \equiv \alpha x + \beta \pmod{26}$  and outputs x.

Let  $\gamma$  be an integer with  $\alpha\gamma\equiv$  1 (mod 26), which exists since  $\alpha$  is assumed odd and  $\alpha\neq$  13.

$$y \equiv \alpha x + \beta \pmod{26}$$
  
 $y - \beta \equiv \alpha x \pmod{26}$   
 $\gamma(y - \beta) \equiv (\gamma \alpha) x \pmod{26}$   
 $\gamma(y - \beta) \equiv 1 \cdot x \pmod{26}$   
 $\gamma(y - \beta) \equiv x \pmod{26}$ 

Bob receives a ciphertext message from Alice. How does Bob decrypt to obtain the original plaintext message that Alice sent?

If Alice used the function  $x \mapsto \alpha x + \beta \pmod{26}$  for her encryption, then Bob needs to find the inverse of this function, i.e. a function which takes in  $y \equiv \alpha x + \beta \pmod{26}$  and outputs x.

Let  $\gamma$  be an integer with  $\alpha\gamma\equiv$  1 (mod 26), which exists since  $\alpha$  is assumed odd and  $\alpha\neq$  13.

$$y \equiv \alpha X + \beta \pmod{26}$$
  
 $\gamma(y - \beta) \equiv X \pmod{26}$ 

So to decrypt, Bob calculates  $\gamma(j-\beta)\pmod{26}$  for all  $0\leq j\leq 25$  and the letter corresponding to the result will yield the corresponding plaintext character.

### Example

Suppose we are told the message Hmffg zya gyml was gotten from an affine cipher with  $(\alpha, \beta) = (3, 12)$ .

#### Example

Suppose we are told the message Hmffg zya gyml was gotten from an affine cipher with  $(\alpha, \beta) = (3, 12)$ .

To decrypt, we first need to find a number  $\gamma$  with  $3 \cdot \gamma \equiv 1 \pmod{26}$ . Trial and error leads to  $\gamma = 9$ .

#### Example

Suppose we are told the message Hmffg zya gyml was gotten from an affine cipher with  $(\alpha, \beta) = (3, 12)$ .

To decrypt, we first need to find a number  $\gamma$  with  $3\cdot\gamma\equiv 1\pmod{26}$ . Trial and error leads to  $\gamma=9$ .

Ciphertext	a	b	С	d	е	f	g	 Х	У	Z
j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	 23	24	25
$\gamma(j-\beta) \pmod{26}$	22	5	14	23	6	15	24	 21	4	13
Plaintext	W	f	0	Х	g	р	У	 V	е	n

#### Example

Suppose we are told the message Hmffg zya gyml was gotten from an affine cipher with  $(\alpha, \beta) = (3, 12)$ .

To decrypt, we first need to find a number  $\gamma$  with  $3\cdot\gamma\equiv 1\pmod{26}$ . Trial and error leads to  $\gamma=9$ .

Ciphertext	a	b	С	d	е	f	g	 Х	У	Z
j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	 23	24	25
$\gamma(j-\beta) \pmod{26}$	22	5	14	23	6	15	24	 21	4	13
Plaintext	W	f	0	Х	g	р	У	 V	е	n

#### Example (cont'd)

The plaintext message was: Happy new year.

### Question

Do we really need that  $\alpha$  is odd and  $\alpha \neq$  13?

### Question

Do we really need that  $\alpha$  is odd and  $\alpha \neq$  13?

### Example

Let  $\alpha=$  2 and  $\beta=$  5.

#### Question

Do we really need that  $\alpha$  is odd and  $\alpha \neq 13$ ?

#### Example

Let  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 5$ .

Then n, which corresponds to 13, is sent to

$$\alpha \cdot n + \beta = 2 \cdot 13 + 5 \equiv 5 \pmod{26}$$

and a, which corresponds to 0, is sent to

$$\alpha \cdot a + \beta = 2 \cdot 0 + 5 \equiv 5 \pmod{26}$$
.

#### Question

Do we really need that  $\alpha$  is odd and  $\alpha \neq 13$ ?

#### Example

Let  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 5$ .

Then *n*, which corresponds to 13, is sent to

$$\alpha \cdot n + \beta = 2 \cdot 13 + 5 \equiv 5 \pmod{26}$$

and a, which corresponds to 0, is sent to

$$\alpha \cdot a + \beta = 2 \cdot 0 + 5 \equiv 5 \pmod{26}.$$

Without the assumption on  $\alpha$ , our encryption isn't reversible.

Benefits of Affine ciphers vs shift ciphers

### Benefits of Affine ciphers vs shift ciphers

• The keyspace of an affine cipher is the set of pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . There are 12 possibilities for  $\alpha$  and 26 possibilities for  $\beta$ . In total, there are 312 possible keys for an affine cipher.

### Benefits of Affine ciphers vs shift ciphers

- The keyspace of an affine cipher is the set of pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . There are 12 possibilities for  $\alpha$  and 26 possibilities for  $\beta$ . In total, there are 312 possible keys for an affine cipher.
- Computational cost to decrypt is now more expensive, making a brute force attack more costly.

### Benefits of Affine ciphers vs shift ciphers

- The keyspace of an affine cipher is the set of pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . There are 12 possibilities for  $\alpha$  and 26 possibilities for  $\beta$ . In total, there are 312 possible keys for an affine cipher.
- Computational cost to decrypt is now more expensive, making a brute force attack more costly.

This is still a pretty weak cipher though.

Suppose Eve wants to break this cipher. Possible attacks include:

Suppose Eve wants to break this cipher. Possible attacks include:

#### Known plaintext

Suppose that Eve knows (or is told) that some specific letters of plaintext  $p_1, ..., p_r$  correspond to some specific letters of ciphertext  $c_1, ..., c_r$ . To crack the encryption, Eve needs to solve the system

$$\alpha \cdot p_1 + \beta \equiv c_1 \pmod{26}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\alpha \cdot p_r + \beta \equiv c_r \pmod{26}$$

Suppose Eve wants to break this cipher. Possible attacks include:

#### Known plaintext

Suppose that Eve knows (or is told) that some specific letters of plaintext  $p_1, ..., p_r$  correspond to some specific letters of ciphertext  $c_1, ..., c_r$ . To crack the encryption, Eve needs to solve the system

$$\alpha \cdot p_1 + \beta \equiv c_1 \pmod{26}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\alpha \cdot p_r + \beta \equiv c_r \pmod{26}$$

Most of the time, Eve will only need r=2 pairs in order to solve (e.g. we only need to divide by  $p_i-p_i$  for some  $1 \le i,j \le r$  pair).

Suppose Eve wants to break this cipher. Possible attacks include:

Suppose Eve wants to break this cipher. Possible attacks include:

#### Chosen plaintext

Suppose that Eve is allowed to choose plaintext characters and find out the corresponding ciphertext characters. Then Eve will want to find out how to encrypt a, b. This corresponds to the equations

$$\alpha \cdot 0 + \beta \equiv \beta \pmod{26}$$
 and  $\alpha \cdot 1 + \beta \equiv \alpha + \beta \pmod{26}$ .

Suppose Eve wants to break this cipher. Possible attacks include:

Suppose Eve wants to break this cipher. Possible attacks include:

#### Chosen ciphertext

If Eve is allowed to decrypt chosen ciphertext, then Eve can choose to decrypt *a, b* as well. Say she gets *x, y* back. Then

$$\gamma(0-\beta) \equiv x \pmod{26}$$
 and  $\gamma(1-\beta) \equiv y \pmod{26}$ .

Suppose Eve wants to break this cipher. Possible attacks include:

#### Chosen ciphertext

If Eve is allowed to decrypt chosen ciphertext, then Eve can choose to decrypt *a, b* as well. Say she gets *x, y* back. Then

$$\gamma(0-\beta) \equiv x \pmod{26}$$
 and  $\gamma(1-\beta) \equiv y \pmod{26}$ .

We can solve,  $\gamma \equiv y-x \pmod{26}$ , which can be used to find  $\alpha$ . Then  $-\beta \equiv \alpha x \pmod{26}$ .