

# Part I Exam Cheatsheets: Probability and Statistics Theory

Eric Ordoñez

April 2025

## 1 Common distributions

Distribution	Mean	Variance	PMF/PDF
Unif $([a, b])$	$\frac{a+b}{2}$	$\frac{(b-a)(b-a+1)}{12}$	$\frac{1}{b-a+1}$
Ber $(p)$	$p$	$p(1-p)$	$p^x(1-p)^{1-x}$
Bin $(n, p)$	$np$	$np(1-p)$	$\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$
Geom $(p)$	$\frac{1}{p}$	$\frac{1-p}{p^2}$	$p(1-p)^{k-1}$
Poiss $(\lambda)$	$\lambda$	$\lambda$	$\frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}$
Unif $([a, b])$	$\frac{a+b}{2}$	$\frac{(b-a)^2}{12}$	$\frac{1}{b-a}$
$\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$	$\mu$	$\sigma^2$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$
Exp $(\lambda)$	$\frac{1}{\lambda}$	$\frac{1}{\lambda^2}$	$\lambda e^{-\lambda x}$
Beta $(\alpha, \beta)$	$\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}$	$\frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha+\beta)^2(\alpha+\beta+1)}$	$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1}$
Gamma $(\alpha, \beta)$	$\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$	$\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}$	$\frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x}$

## 2 Conditioning, independence, and counting

### 2.1 Conditional probability

**Multiplication rule:** Given a countable set of events  $\{A_i\}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \mathbb{P}(A_1) \mathbb{P}(A_2 | A_1) \mathbb{P}(A_3 | A_1 \cap A_2) \cdots \mathbb{P}\left(A_n \mid \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i\right)$$

**Law of total probability:** Given a mutually exclusive, collectively exhaustive, and countable set of events  $\{A_i\}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(B) = \sum_i \mathbb{P}(A_i) \mathbb{P}(B | A_i)$ .

### 2.2 Independence

A countable set of events  $\{A_i\}$  is independent if  $\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{i \in S} A_i\right) = \prod_{i \in S} \mathbb{P}(A_i)$  for every subsets  $S$  of the enumeration of  $\{A_i\}$ .

Some facts about independence:

- $A$  and  $B$  are independent iff  $\mathbb{P}(A | B) = \mathbb{P}(A)$ .
- If  $A$  and  $B$  are independent, so are  $A$  and  $B^c$  (and so are  $A^c$  and  $B^c$ ).
- Independence implies pairwise independence, but not vice versa.
- Independence does not imply conditional independence, and vice versa.
- If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent r.v.s, then  $\mathbb{E}[g(X)h(Y)] = \mathbb{E}[g(X)] \mathbb{E}[h(Y)]$  for any functions  $g, h$ , and  $\text{Var}(X+Y) = \text{Var} X + \text{Var} Y$ .

### 2.3 Counting

Number of...

- Permutations of  $n$  objects:  $n!$
- $k$ -permutations of  $n$  objects:  $n!/(n-k)!$
- Combinations of  $k$  out of  $n$  objects:  $n!/(k!(n-k)!)$
- Partitions of  $n$  objects into  $r$  groups with the  $i$ -th group having  $n_i$  objects:  $n!/(n_1!n_2! \cdots n_r!)$

## 3 Random variables

### 3.1 Properties of expectation and variance

**Law of iterated expectations:**  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X | Y]] = \mathbb{E}[X]$

**Law of total expectation:**  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_Y \mathbb{E}[X | Y = y] f_Y(y) dy$

**Law of total variance:**  $\text{Var} X = \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(X | Y)] + \text{Var} \mathbb{E}[X | Y]$

### 3.2 Derived distributions

How to find the distribution of a function  $Y = g(X)$  of a continuous r.v.  $X$  with known distribution  $f_X$ :

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{dF_Y(y)}{dy} = \frac{d}{dy} \mathbb{P}[g(X) \leq y] = \frac{d}{dy} \int_{\{x \mid g(x) \leq y\}} f_X(x) dx$$

Two important cases:

- A linear transformation  $Y = aX + b$ :

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{|a|} f_X\left(\frac{y-b}{a}\right)$$

- A monotonic transformation  $Y = g(X)$ , where  $h(y) = g^{-1}(y)$ :

$$f_Y(y) = f_X(h(y)) \left| \frac{dh(y)}{dy} \right|$$

### 3.3 Sum of independent random variables

The PDF of the sum of two independent r.v.s is the *convolution* of their PDFs. If  $Z = X + Y$ , then  $f_Z(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_X(x) f_Y(z-x) dx$ .

One application of this is that the sum of finitely many independent normal variables is normal:  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2) \sim \mathcal{N}(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2)$ .

### 3.4 Correlation and covariance

The *correlation coefficient* measures the linear association between variables:

$$\rho_{XY} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{X_i - \bar{X}_n}{\sigma_X} \right) \left( \frac{Y_i - \bar{Y}_n}{\sigma_Y} \right) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} \in [-1, 1]$$

Properties of covariance:

- $\text{Cov}(aX + b, Y) = a \text{Cov}(X, Y)$
- $\text{Cov}(X, Y + Z) = \text{Cov}(X, Y) + \text{Cov}(X, Z)$
- $\text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var} X_i + \sum_{\{(i,j) \mid i \neq j\}} \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)$

## 4 Stochastic processes

Start with a sequence of independent geometric (exponential) random variables  $(T_n)$  with common parameter  $p(\lambda)$ . (Let these be the interarrival times). Then the sequence  $(Y_n)$  of arrival times is a Bernoulli (Poisson) process defined  $Y_k = \sum_{i=1}^k T_i$ .

If Bernoulli, the PMF of  $Y_k$  is the Pascal PMF of order  $k$ :

$$p_{Y_k}(t) = \binom{t-1}{k-1} p^k (1-p)^{t-k} \quad t = k, k+1, \dots$$

If Poisson, the PDF of  $Y_k$  is the Erlang PDF of order  $k$ :

$$f_{Y_k}(y) = \frac{\lambda^k y^{k-1} e^{-\lambda y}}{(k-1)!}$$

For a Bernoulli process with parameter  $p$  over  $n$  steps, the number of arrivals is  $S \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ . For a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$  over an interval of length  $\tau$ , the number of arrivals is  $N_\tau \sim \text{Poiss}(\lambda\tau)$ .

Splitting a Bernoulli (Poisson) process with parameter  $p(\lambda)$ :

1. Keep with probability  $q$  and get a Bernoulli process with parameter  $pq$ .
2. Keep with probability  $p$  and get a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda p$ .

Merging two independent Bernoulli (Poisson) processes with parameters  $p$  and  $q(\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2)$ , respectively:

1. Get a Bernoulli process with parameter  $1 - (1-p)(1-q) = p + q - pq$ .
2. Get a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda^* = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ , with arrival probabilities  $\lambda_1/\lambda^*$  and  $\lambda_2/\lambda^*$  of originating from the first and second process, respectively.

## 5 Convergence and limit theorems

### 5.1 Useful inequalities

**Markov:** For  $X \geq 0$  with  $\mathbb{E}[X] > 0$  and  $t > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}[X \geq t] \leq \mathbb{E}[X]/t$ .

**Chebyshev:** For  $X$  with  $\mathbb{E}[X] < \infty$  and  $t > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}[|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq t] \leq (\text{Var } X)/t^2$ .

**Hoeffding:** Given  $X_{i \in [n]} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} X$  that are a.s. bounded, i.e., there exist  $a < b$  such that  $\mathbb{P}[X_i \notin [a, b]] = 0$ , then  $\mathbb{P}[|\bar{X}_n - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq \epsilon] \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2n\epsilon^2}{(b-a)^2}\right)$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

### 5.2 Modes of convergence

Let  $(T_n)$  be a sequence of r.v.s and  $T$  another r.v., all in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

1. *Convergence almost surely:*  $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} T \iff \mathbb{P}[\{\omega \mid T_n(\omega) \rightarrow T(\omega)\}] = 1$
2. *Convergence in probability:*  $T_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} T \iff \mathbb{P}[|T_n - T| \geq \epsilon] \rightarrow 0$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$
3. *Convergence in distribution:*  $T_n \xrightarrow{d} T \iff \mathbb{E}[f(T_n)] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f(T)]$  for all continuous and bounded  $f$

(1) implies (2) implies (3), but (3) implies (2) only if the limit  $T$  has a density:  $T_n \xrightarrow{d} T \implies \mathbb{P}[a \leq T_n \leq b] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}[a \leq T \leq b]$ .

**Continuous mapping theorem:** Continuous functions preserve limits.

## 5.3 Limit theorems

Let  $X_{i \in [n]} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} X$  with finite mean  $\mu$  and sample mean  $\bar{X}_n$ .

- **Strong LLN:**  $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mu$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{P}[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{X}_n = \mu] = 1$ .
- **Weak LLN:** If  $\text{Var } X < \infty$ , then  $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \mu$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{P}[|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \geq \epsilon] \rightarrow 0$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Central limit theorem:** If, in addition,  $\text{Var } X = \sigma^2 < \infty$ , then the sample mean is asymptotically normal, i.e.,  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ .

**Slutsky's theorem:** Let  $(T_n)$  and  $(U_n)$  be sequences of r.v.s such that  $T_n \xrightarrow{d} T$  and  $U_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} u \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

- $T_n + U_n \xrightarrow{d} T + u$
- $T_n U_n \xrightarrow{d} T u$
- $\frac{T_n}{U_n} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{T}{u}$  if  $u \neq 0$ .

## 6 Statistical inference

### 6.1 Models and estimation

For a statistical model  $(E, \{\mathbb{P}_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta})$ :

- The model is *parametric* if  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\mathbb{P}_\theta$  is uniquely specified by  $\theta$ .
- $\theta$  is *identifiable* if the map  $\theta \mapsto \mathbb{P}_\theta$  is injective.

For an associated i.i.d. sample  $X_{i \in [n]}$  drawn from a distribution  $\mathbb{P}_\theta$ :

- A *statistic* is any measurable function of the sample.
- An *estimator* of  $\theta$  is a statistic whose expression does not depend on  $\theta$ .
- An estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n \dots$ 
  - is *weakly consistent* if  $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \theta$ .
  - is *asymptotically normal* if  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ , with *asymptotic variance*  $\sigma^2$ .
  - has *bias* equal to  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_n] - \theta$ .
  - has *quadratic risk* equal to  $\mathbb{E}[|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta|^2] = \text{variance} + \text{bias}^2$ .

## 6.2 Delta method

Let  $(Z_n)$  be a sequence of r.v.s that are asymptotically normal around  $\theta$  with variance  $\sigma^2$ . If the function  $g$  is continuously differentiable at  $\theta$ , then  $g(Z_n) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} g(\theta)$  and  $g(Z_n)$  is asymptotically normal around  $g(\theta)$  with variance  $g'(\theta)^2 \sigma^2$ .

## 7 Bayesian inference

Recall **Bayes' theorem**:

$$\mathbb{P}(A_i | B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A_i) \mathbb{P}(B | A_i)}{\sum_j \mathbb{P}(A_j) \mathbb{P}(B | A_j)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A) \mathbb{P}(B | A)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} \quad \text{if only one event } A$$

Let  $\pi(\theta)$  and  $\pi(\theta | X)$  be the prior and posterior distributions, respectively.

- **Bayes estimate:**  $\hat{\theta}^{(\pi)} = \int_{\Theta} \pi(\theta | X)$
- **Maximum a posteriori estimate:**  $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MAP}} = \arg\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \pi(\theta | X)$ .
- **Least mean squares estimate:**  $\hat{\theta}^{\text{LMS}} = \mathbb{E}[\Theta | X = x]$ .

Ways to evaluate a Bayesian estimator (can be unconditional or conditional):

- **Probability of error:**  $\mathbb{P}[\hat{\theta} \neq \theta]$
- **Mean squared error:**  $\mathbb{E}[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2]$

On prior and posterior distributions:

- If the PDF of  $X$  can be written  $f(x) = ce^{-(\alpha x^2 + \beta x + \gamma)}$  with  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $X$  is normal with mean  $-\beta/2\alpha$  and variance  $1/2\alpha$ .
- An *improper prior* is measurable, nonnegative, but not integrable.
- Example: Bernoulli experiment with a beta prior parameterized  $(\alpha, \beta)$  has a beta posterior with updated parameters  $(\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \beta + n - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i)$ .
- Jeffreys prior: A *non-informative prior*, i.e., lacking prior information about a parameter, defined  $\pi_J(\theta) \propto \sqrt{\det I(\theta)}$ .

## 8 Hypothesis testing

### 8.1 Confidence intervals

The *quantile* of order  $1 - \alpha$  of a r.v.  $X$  is the number  $q_\alpha$  such that  $\mathbb{P}[X \leq q_\alpha] = 1 - \alpha$ .

A *confidence interval* of (asymptotic) level  $1 - \alpha$  for  $\theta$  is any random (dependent upon the random sample) interval  $\mathcal{I}$ , whose boundaries do not depend on  $\theta$ , such that  $(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}) \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{I} \ni \theta] \geq 1 - \alpha$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

## 8.2 Errors and p-values

The *p-value* is the smallest significance level at which  $H_0$  is rejected.

- *Type I error*: Reject  $H_0$  when  $H_0$  is true.
- *Type II error*: Fail to reject  $H_0$  when  $H_1$  is true.
- *Significance level*  $\alpha$ :  $\mathbb{P}(\text{Type I error}) \leq \alpha$ .
- *Power*:  $1 - \mathbb{P}(\text{Type II error})$ .

## 8.3 Wald test vs t-test

- The t-test requires the data to be Gaussian and can only be performed on expected values.
- The Wald test is asymptotic; the t-test can compute non-asymptotic p-values.
- For large sample sizes, the quantiles of the T distribution converge to those of the standard normal distribution.
- In general, the Wald test is more flexible and leads to lower p-values.

# 9 Methods of estimation

## 9.1 Maximum likelihood estimation

Minimize an estimate of the KL divergence between an observed distribution and a hypothesized distribution defined by a true parameter  $\theta^*$ :

$$\text{KL}(\mathbb{P}_\theta, \mathbb{P}_{\theta'}) = \int_E f_\theta(x) \log \left( \frac{f_\theta(x)}{f_{\theta'}(x)} \right) dx$$

Under some technical conditions, the MLE is a weakly consistent estimator for  $\theta^*$ :

- $\theta^*$  is identifiable.
- $\theta^*$  is in the interior of  $\Theta$ .
- The support of  $\mathbb{P}_\theta$  does not depend on  $\theta$ .

## 9.2 Fisher information

Define the log-likelihood for one observation as  $\ell(\theta) = \log L(X, \theta)$  and assume  $\ell$  is twice differentiable. Under some regularity conditions, the *Fisher information* is

$$I(\theta) = \text{Var} \ell'(\theta) = -\mathbb{E}[\ell''(\theta)]$$

and, if  $I(\theta) \neq 0$  in a neighborhood of  $\theta^*$ , then the MLE is asymptotically normal with variance  $I(\theta^*)^{-1}$ .

Use it to construct the Wald test statistic for the MLE:  $W = \sqrt{nI(\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}})}(\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}} - \theta^*)$ .

## 9.3 M-estimation

Let  $X_{i \in [n]}$  be i.i.d. with some unknown distribution  $\mathbb{P}$  and associated parameter  $\mu^*$  on a sample space  $E$ . An *M-estimator*  $\hat{\mu}$  of  $\mu^*$  is the minimizer of an estimator of a function  $Q(\mu)$  such that:

- $Q(\mu) = \mathbb{E}[\rho(X, \mu)]$  for some function  $\rho : E \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathcal{M}$  is the set of all possible values for  $\mu^*$ .
- $Q(\mu)$  attains a unique minimum at  $\mu^*$ .

The goal is to find a loss function  $\rho$  that satisfies these properties. MLE is a special case of M-estimation where  $\rho$  is negative (log-)likelihood.

## 10 Linear regression

Solve  $\min_{\beta} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2$  to get  $\hat{\beta} = (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top y$ . If  $X$  is not full rank, regularize the objective by adding  $\lambda \|\beta\|_p^2$  with hyperparameter  $\lambda > 0$ .

- If  $p = 2$ , this is  $\ell_2$  regularization that penalizes large values of  $\beta_j$ .
- If  $p = 1$ , this is  $\ell_1$  (lasso) regularization that prefers sparse  $\beta$ .

## 11 Generalized linear models

Relax the assumptions of linear regression: Assume that  $Y|X = x$  is distributed according to some  $\mathbb{P}$  and that  $g(\mu(x)) = x^\top \beta$ , where  $g$  is the *link function* and  $\mu(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y|X = x]$  is the regression function.

*k-parameter exponential family*: A family of distributions  $\{\mathbb{P}_\theta | \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k\}$  such that there exist real-valued functions  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_k$  and  $B$  of  $\theta$  and  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k$  and  $h$  of  $y \in \mathbb{R}^q$  such that the density of  $\mathbb{P}_\theta$  can be written

$$f_\theta(y) = \exp \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i(\theta) T_i(y) - B(\theta) \right] h(y)$$

The *canonical exponential family* ( $k = 1, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ) for some known functions  $b$  and  $c$  is

$$f_\theta(y) = \exp \left[ \frac{y\theta - b(\theta)}{\phi} + c(y, \phi) \right]$$

If the *dispersion parameter*  $\phi$  is known, then this is a one-parameter exponential family with  $\theta$  the canonical parameter. It can be derived from log-likelihood that  $\mathbb{E}[Y] = b'(\theta)$  and  $\text{Var} Y = b''(\theta)\phi$ .

If  $g$  is monotone increasing and differentiable, then  $\mu = g^{-1}(X^\top \beta)$ . The *canonical link* is  $g(\mu) = \theta = (b')^{-1}(\mu)$  for the canonical parameter  $\theta$ .