|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| WDTAssignment 2GroupEffortFormGroup Number: 11 | |  |  | | --- | --- | | Score range  (10-7) | The group member made rigorous and regular contributions | | Score  (7-5) | The group member was mostly present and contributing, with minor lapses | | Score  (5-3) | The group member was average in terms of contribution, disappeared from time to time | | Score  (3-0) | This group member made minimal contribution and was disengaged for most of the project. |   **1st** – Use the Self-assessment rubric above to come up with a final score for each of the group members in the team.   |  |  | | --- | --- | | Group Member (Name | Student Number) | Score # | | First member: Theo Riandy | s3827202 | 10 | | Second: Shaoxuan Wei | s3687609 | 10 |   **2nd** – Discuss this among yourselves and rate the efficiency of your group dynamics. Enter a score from 1 to 4.  1 = awful; 2 = average; 3 = most of the times; 4 = always   |  |  | | --- | --- | | Group dynamics | Score # | | We were in complete sync with each other. | 4 | | We communicated on a regular basis. | 4 | | We had positive disagreements. | 4 | | We were very productive in terms of outcomes. | 4 | | We took initiative. | 4 |   **NOTE: YOUR FINAL SCORE WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE SCORE SUBMITTED BY YOUR GROUP** |