



# **Open Gateway Technical Realisation Guidelines**

## **Version 3.0**

### **23<sup>rd</sup> October 2025**

---

#### **Security Classification: Non-Confidential**

Access to and distribution of this document is restricted to the persons permitted by the security classification. This document is subject to copyright protection. This document is to be used only for the purposes for which it has been supplied and information contained in it must not be disclosed or in any other way made available, in whole or in part, to persons other than those permitted under the security classification without the prior written approval of the Association.

#### **Copyright Notice**

Copyright © 2025 GSM Association

#### **Disclaimer**

The GSMA makes no representation, warranty or undertaking (express or implied) with respect to and does not accept any responsibility for, and hereby disclaims liability for the accuracy or completeness or timeliness of the information contained in this document. The information contained in this document may be subject to change without prior notice.

#### **Compliance Notice**

The information contained herein is in full compliance with the GSMA Antitrust Compliance Policy.

This Permanent Reference Document is classified by GSMA as an Industry Specification, as such it has been developed and is maintained by GSMA in accordance with the provisions set out GSMA AA.35 - Procedures for Industry Specifications.

## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	Overview	5
1.2	Purpose and Scope	5
1.2.1	Audience	5
1.3	Definitions	5
1.4	Abbreviations	8
1.5	References	9
1.6	Conventions	11
<b>2</b>	<b>High Level Architecture</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	General	11
2.2	Detailed Architecture / Components View	11
2.2.1	Common Functions	12
2.2.2	Exposure Functions	28
2.2.3	Federation Functions	29
2.2.4	Transformation Functions	29
2.2.5	Integration Functions	29
2.2.6	Other considerations	30
<b>3</b>	<b>Deployment Scenarios</b>	<b>30</b>
3.1	Aggregation model	30
3.1.1	How to consume Operator Service APIs	31
3.1.2	Detailed CIBA flow (backend-based)	33
3.1.3	Detailed Authorization Code flow (frontend-based)	38
3.1.4	Detailed JWT Bearer flow (backend-based)	41
3.1.5	Enhanced Service API flows	43
3.1.6	Offline Access – Refresh Token	47
3.2	Variants and simplified models	54
3.2.1	Direct Integration Developer – Operator (Single Operator)	55
3.2.2	Direct Integration Developer – Operator (Multiple Operators)	56
3.2.3	Operator – Operator Integration	58
<b>4</b>	<b>Use cases and Operational User Stories</b>	<b>58</b>
4.1	Integration to the OGW Platform	59
4.2	Developer / Application Service Provider management	59
4.2.1	Application Service Provider Onboarding	59
4.2.2	Application Service Provider Inquiry	59
4.2.3	Application Service Provider Update	59
4.2.4	Application Service Provider Deactivation	59
4.3	Application management	59
4.3.1	Application onboarding	59
4.3.2	API Ordering	60
4.3.3	Application Inquiry	60
4.3.4	Application Update	60
4.3.5	API Access Removal	60

4.3.6 Application Deactivation	60
4.4 Order management	60
4.4.1 Product Order Inquiry	60
4.4.2 Product catalogue	60
4.4.3 API Access Product Modification Ordering	60
4.5 Catalogue Management	61
4.5.1 API Product definition	61
4.5.2 Catalogue management functions	61
4.6 Usage Monitoring	61
4.6.1 Real time usage monitoring	61
4.6.2 Usage limits	61
4.7 Billing and Payment	61
4.7.1 Real time charging	61
4.7.2 Billing models	61
4.7.3 Payment gateway integration	61
4.7.4 Automated invoicing	61
4.7.5 Audit logs	62
4.7.6 Real-Time Performance Monitoring	62
4.7.7 Threshold Configuration	62
4.7.8 Historical Data and Reporting	62
4.7.9 FCAPS management	62
4.8 Performance Measurement	62
4.8.1 Business Outcomes	62
4.8.2 Performance Framework	62
4.8.3 Scope	63
4.8.4 Metrics	63
4.8.5 API Response Time	63
4.8.6 Total API Latency	68
4.8.7 Availability	69
4.8.8 Success Rate	69
4.8.9 Percentiles	69
4.8.10 Considerations for Performance Management	69
<b>5 Reporting</b>	<b>70</b>
5.1 Usage reporting attributes	71
5.2 Administrative reporting attributes	73
5.3 CSP-internal reporting attributes	74
<b>6 MVNO implementation</b>	<b>75</b>
6.1 Types of MVNOs	75
6.2 Open gateway MVNO deployment models	76
6.2.1 Resellers	76
6.2.2 Thin MVNOs	77
6.2.3 Thin MVNO deployment with OGW Platform in MVNO	78
6.2.4 Full MVNOs and MVNEs	78
6.2.5 Multi tenanted MVNOs	79

6.3	Summary	81
6.4	Limitations	82
<b>7</b>	<b>Minimum Viable Product</b>	<b>82</b>
7.1	API Functional Scope (CAMARA Conformance)	82
7.2	Operate APIs (TMF 931)	82
7.3	Authorization	82
7.4	Privacy and Consent	82
<b>8</b>	<b>Roaming</b>	<b>82</b>
8.1	Implications on API behaviour and availability	83
8.2	API categorization	84
8.3	Federation requirements	85
<b>Annex A</b>	<b>Telco Finder-related API specifications</b>	<b>86</b>
A.1	Telco Finder API specification (OpenAPI Specification format)	86
A.2	Routing API specification (OpenAPI Specification format)	94
A.3	Network ID API specification (OpenAPI Specification format)	101
<b>Annex B</b>	<b>Document Management</b>	<b>105</b>
B.1	Document History	105
B.2	Other Information	105

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Overview

In the dynamic telecommunications industry, the GSMA Open Gateway initiative represents a significant step toward unified and standardised service delivery and management across Mobile Network Operators (MNOs). This initiative seeks to enhance interoperability, streamline service management, and foster innovation through standardised APIs, ensuring a seamless and consistent user experience across diverse networks. The GSMA Open Gateway Platform is a deployment option of the GSMA Operator Platform.

This GSMA Open Gateway Technical Realisation Guideline document serves as an essential resource for stakeholders—including MNOs, service aggregators, and technology partners—who are involved in deploying and utilising the GSMA Open Gateway Platform. This guideline outlines the required steps, best practices, and technical specifications necessary for successful implementation and utilisation of the GSMA Open Gateway Platform.

### 1.2 Purpose and Scope

The primary objective of this document is to provide a structured framework for the realisation of the GSMA Open Gateway Platform. It aims to facilitate a comprehensive understanding of the platform's architecture, functionalities, and operational procedures. By adhering to these guidelines, stakeholders can ensure efficient deployment and integration of services, thereby enhancing interoperability and service delivery across multiple Operators and Channel Partners.

#### 1.2.1 Audience

This guideline is intended for:

- **Mobile Network Operators:** technical and operational teams responsible for deploying and managing network services.
- **Channel partners:** entities that offer bundled services across multiple MNOs, requiring standardised and interoperable interfaces.
- **Technology Partners:** companies providing technology solutions and support for the implementation of the GSMA Open Gateway Platform.
- **Regulatory Bodies:** authorities overseeing compliance with industry standards and regulations.

### 1.3 Definitions

Term	Description
3-legged Access Token	An access token that involves three parties: the Resource Owner (User), the Authorisation Server (at the Operator or Aggregator), and the client (the AP's Application). In CAMARA, 3-legged access tokens are typically created using the OIDC Authorization Code flow, Client-Initiated Backchannel Authentication (CIBA) flow or OAuth2 JWT Bearer Flow.
Aggregation Platform	A platform through which the Aggregator offers the services. [1]

Term	Description
Aggregator	<p>An actor who provides (or combines) services exposed by different Operators and exposes them for use to the Application Service Providers. [1]</p> <p>Note: Exposed services by the Aggregator may differ from the services provided by the Operators.</p> <p>Synonyms: Channel Partner</p>
API Consumer	An entity that makes an API request to, or within, the OGW Platform
API Initiator (sub-class of API Consumer)	The entity that originates the first message in the API sequence
Application Backend	<p>Server-side component of an Application.</p> <p>Synonym: Backend, Application Backend Part</p>
Application Frontend	<p>UE-side component of an Application.</p> <p>Synonym: Frontend, Application Client</p>
Application Service Provider (ASP)	<p>The provider of the application that accesses the OGW Platform.</p> <p>Synonym: Developer</p>
CAMARA	An open-source project within Linux Foundation that creates, develops and tests Service APIs and other API definitions.
Consent	<p>The agreement of a Subscriber to allow the usage of their personal data.</p> <p>This agreement can be revoked at any time. [1]</p>
Channel Partner	An entity that offers bundled services across multiple CSPs, requiring standardised and interoperable interfaces.
Communication Service Provider (CSP)	An entity that provides communication services. Designs, builds and operates its communication services. The provided communication service can be built with or without a network slice. [1]
Data Protection	Legal control over access to and use of data stored in computers.
East/Westbound Interface	The interface between instances of Operator Platforms that extends an Operator's reach beyond their footprint and Subscriber base. [1]
Ecosystem Party	In the context of GSMA OGW Platform, Ecosystem Party represents either an Application Service Provider, Aggregator, Operator or corresponding synonyms.
End-User	<p>A human participant who uses the application. A customer of the Application Service Provider. [1]</p> <p>Note: The End-User is not always the Subscriber.</p>
Enterprise Platform	An application deployment and execution platform owned by an Enterprise.
Home OGW Platform	The OGW Platform of the Subscriber's Operator; that is, whose PLMN identity Mobile Country Code ((MCC) and Mobile Network Code (MNC)) matches with the MCC and MNC of the Subscriber's International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI).
Leading OGW Platform	The OGW Platform as defined in GSMA PRD OPG.02 [1] connected to the Application Service Provider and receiving the onboarding requests, sharing them to the selected federated platforms/Operators.
Marketplace Platform	A platform where services (and APIs) are published and offered to 3rd parties. [1]

Term	Description
Northbound Interface	Interface through which an OGW Platform exposes services to Applications or Aggregation/Marketplace/Enterprise Platforms
Open Gateway (OGW) Platform	A realisation of a GSMA Operator Platform (defined in [1]), providing APIs for universal access to Operator networks for developers.
Operate APIs	APIs used for the business management of APIs exposed by the GSMA Operator Platform on its NBI. These APIs are defined by TM Forum for the GSMA Open Gateway context per the requirements in [5].
Operator	An entity that exposes capabilities and/or resources of their network (IT, mobile) to the Application Service Providers (directly or via an Aggregator). [1] Synonyms: CSP (Communication Service Provider), MNO (Mobile Network Operator)
Originating OGW Platform	The OGW Platform initiating the federation creation request towards the Partner OGW Platform.
Partner OGW Platform	The OGW Platform that offers exposure of its network capabilities to other OGW Platform via E/WBI.
Privacy Information	Data structure held within the CSP domain used for keeping evidence/records of the lawfulness of Personally Identifiable Information (PII) processing and sharing. Synonym: Application-related Privacy Information
Privacy Management	Service within the CSP domain supporting management of the Application-related Privacy Information. The service supports also notifying (to the interested parties) when the Privacy Information has changed.
Service APIs	APIs abstracting Telco services exposed for use by Applications or Aggregation/Marketplace/Enterprise Platforms. Service APIs are defined by CAMARA.
Southbound Interface	Connects an Operator Platform with the specific Operator infrastructure that delivers the network and charging services and capabilities. [1]
Subscriber	A client/customer of the Operator, identified by a unique identifier. [1]
Synthetic Monitoring	Use of behavioural scripts to simulate actions that an End-User would take in interacting with an API and monitoring them to measure performance.
User / Resource Owner	The End-User or Subscriber which Personal Data processed by a CAMARA API relates to, the Resource Owner has the authority to authorise access to CAMARA APIs which process Personal Data.
User Equipment (UE)	Any device with a SIM used directly by an End-User to communicate. [1]
Visited OGW Platform	OGW Platform that belongs to the Operator providing access to a roaming Subscriber; that is, whose PLMN identity (MCC and MNC) matches with the MCC and MNC of a roaming Subscriber's current VPLMN.

Note: A term defined in the present document might need alignment  
GSMA OPG.02 [1]

## 1.4 Abbreviations

Term	Description
AAPrM	Authentication, Authorization and Privacy Management
ASP	Application Service Provider
API	Application Programming Interface
AuC	Authentication Centre
AUSF	AUthentication Server Function
BSS	Business Support System
CIBA	OpenID Connect Client-Initiated Backchannel Authentication
CSP	Communication Service Provider
EWBI	East-West Bound Interface
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation
HLR	Home Location Register
HSS	Home Subscriber Server
JSON	JavaScript Object Notation
JWKS	JSON Web Key Set
JWT	JSON Web Token
MCC	Mobile Country Code
MNC	Mobile Network Code
MNO	Mobile Network Operator
MVNE	Mobile Virtual Network Enabler
MVNO	Mobile Virtual Network Operator
NBI	North Bound Interface
NNI*	Network-to-Network interface (both Core and BSS)
OGW	Open Gateway
OIDC	OpenID Connect
OP	Operator Platform
OSS	Operations Support System
PII	Personally Identifiable Information
PLMN	Public Land Mobile Network
QoD	Quality On Demand
QoE	Quality of Experience
QoS	Quality of Service
REST	Representational State Transfer
SBI	South Bound Interface
SLA	Service Level Agreement
SOAP	Simple Object Access Protocol
UE	User Equipment
VPLMN	Visited Public Land Mobile Network

Term	Description
XML	Extensible Markup Language
XR	Extended Reality

## 1.5 References

Ref	Doc Number	Title
[1]	GSMA PRD OPG.02	Operator Platform: Requirements and Architecture
[2]	RFC 2119	“Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels”, S. Bradner, March 1997. Available at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt</a>
[3]	RFC 8174	Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174</a>
[4]	GSMA PRD OPG.09	Open Gateway NBI APIs Realisation in the SBI
[5]	GSMA PRD WA.101	Open Gateway Channel Partner Onboarding Guide
[6]		CAMARA Project <a href="https://camaraproject.org/">https://camaraproject.org/</a>
[7]		CAMARA <a href="#">Identity And Consent Management (ICM) Fall25 meta-release</a> / documentation / CAMARA-Security-Interoperability.md
[8]		CAMARA <a href="#">Identity And Consent Management (ICM) Fall25 meta-release</a> / documentation / CAMARA-API-access-and-user-consent.md
[9]		W3C Data Privacy Vocabulary (DPV) <a href="https://w3c.github.io/dpv/2.0/dpv/">https://w3c.github.io/dpv/2.0/dpv/</a>
[10]		The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Framework <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc6749">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc6749</a>
[11]		OpenID Connect Core 1.0 <a href="https://openid.net/specs/openid-connect-core-1_0.html">https://openid.net/specs/openid-connect-core-1_0.html</a>
[12]	OpenID Connect Discovery 1.0	OpenID Provider Metadata <a href="https://openid.net/specs/openid-connect-discovery-1_0.html#ProviderMetadata">https://openid.net/specs/openid-connect-discovery-1_0.html#ProviderMetadata</a>
[13]	RFC 8414	OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server Metadata <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc8414#section-3">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc8414#section-3</a>
[14]	RFC 4632	Classless Inter-domain Routing (CIDR) <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc4632">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc4632</a>
[15]	TS 23.003	Numbering, addressing and identification <a href="https://portal.3gpp.org/desktopmodules/Specifications/SpecificationDetails.aspx?specificationId=729">https://portal.3gpp.org/desktopmodules/Specifications/SpecificationDetails.aspx?specificationId=729</a>
[16]	RFC 6749	The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Framework <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc6749">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc6749</a>

Ref	Doc Number	Title
[17]	OpenID Connect Core 1.0	OIDC Client Authentication <a href="https://openid.net/specs/openid-connect-core-1_0.html#ClientAuthentication">https://openid.net/specs/openid-connect-core-1_0.html#ClientAuthentication</a>
[18]		<a href="#">CAMARA Commonalities Fall25 meta-release</a> / documentation / API-design-guidelines.md and CAMARA-API-Event-Subscription-and-Notification-Guide.md
[19]	TMF 931	Open Gateway Onboarding and Ordering Component Suite <a href="https://www.tmforum.org/oda/open-apis/directory/open-gateway-onboarding-and-ordering-component-suite-TMF931/v5.2">https://www.tmforum.org/oda/open-apis/directory/open-gateway-onboarding-and-ordering-component-suite-TMF931/v5.2</a>
[20]	TS 23.222	Common API Framework for 3GPP Northbound APIs <a href="https://portal.3gpp.org/desktopmodules/Specifications/SpecificationDetails.aspx?specificationId=3337">https://portal.3gpp.org/desktopmodules/Specifications/SpecificationDetails.aspx?specificationId=3337</a>
[21]	GSMA PRD OPG.07	Southbound Interface Charging Function APIs
[22]	TS 32.254	Telecommunication management; Charging management; Exposure function Northbound Application Program Interfaces (APIs) charging <a href="https://portal.3gpp.org/desktopmodules/Specifications/SpecificationDetails.aspx?specificationId=3275">https://portal.3gpp.org/desktopmodules/Specifications/SpecificationDetails.aspx?specificationId=3275</a>
[23]	TMF 767	Product Usage Management User Guide <a href="https://www.tmforum.org/oda/open-apis/directory/product-usage-management-api-TMF767/v5.0">https://www.tmforum.org/oda/open-apis/directory/product-usage-management-api-TMF767/v5.0</a>
[24]	TMF 727	Service Usage Management API REST Specification <a href="https://www.tmforum.org/oda/open-apis/directory/service-usage-management-api-TMF727/v5.0">https://www.tmforum.org/oda/open-apis/directory/service-usage-management-api-TMF727/v5.0</a>
[25]	TMF 771	Resource Usage Management User Guide <a href="https://www.tmforum.org/oda/open-apis/directory/resource-usage-api-TMF771/v5.0">https://www.tmforum.org/oda/open-apis/directory/resource-usage-api-TMF771/v5.0</a>
[26]	RFC 7239	Forwarded HTTP Extension <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7239">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7239</a>
[27]		OpenID Connect Client-Initiated Backchannel Authentication Flow - Core 1.0 <a href="https://openid.net/specs/openid-client-initiated-backchannel-authentication-core-1_0.html">https://openid.net/specs/openid-client-initiated-backchannel-authentication-core-1_0.html</a>
[28]		Google study on page load time statistics <a href="https://thinkwithgoogle.com/marketing-strategies/app-and-mobile/page-load-time-statistics">https://thinkwithgoogle.com/marketing-strategies/app-and-mobile/page-load-time-statistics</a>
[29]	RFC 7523	JSON Web Token (JWT) Profile for OAuth 2.0 Client Authentication and Authorization Grants <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7523">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7523</a>
[30]	TMF 936	Open Gateway Product Catalog API <a href="https://www.tmforum.org/oda/open-apis/directory/open-gateway-product-catalog-api-TMF936/v5.0">https://www.tmforum.org/oda/open-apis/directory/open-gateway-product-catalog-api-TMF936/v5.0</a>

## 1.6 Conventions

The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [2] and clarified by RFC8174 [3], when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

## 2 High Level Architecture


### 2.1 General

GSMA PRD OPG.02 [1] defines the Operator Platform (OP) architecture framework and requirements. An Open Gateway (OGW) Platform is a specific realisation (or deployment option) of a subset of the OP functions. Therefore, the definitions, architecture and requirements provided in [1] apply.

An Open Gateway (OGW) Platform exposes Service APIs (defined by CAMARA), Operate APIs (defined by TM Forum) and possibly other APIs so third-party services can consume them in a secure, consistent and monetisable way.

### 2.2 Detailed Architecture / Components View

Figure 1 presents the high-level architecture and canonical functions used in an OGW Platform.



**Figure 1: OGW Platform - High level architecture and functions**

As shown in Figure 1, the functions can be grouped into four functional levels: a) Exposure, b) Federation, c) Transformation and d) Integration Functions. It is worth mentioning that some common functions can span multiple functional levels (see e.g., API Management in Figure 1).

The functional components in Figure 1 may be deployed in a distributed manner (as an architectural pattern that goes beyond monolithic realisations) enabling also flexible functional composition (for instance, if federation is not a scenario to be considered, the Federation-related functionalities do not need to be deployed).

Note: Alignment with the GSMA OPG on the harmonised architecture might be needed as some of it might have to be reflected in GSMA PRD OPG.02 [1] as well.

## 2.2.1 Common Functions

The following functions may be applicable to all APIs.

### 2.2.1.1 API Management Functions

Providing (among others) the following functions:

- API Catalogue
- Application Service Provider management
- Application Onboarding
- API Subscription management
- API Usage management
- API Monitoring
- API SLA management
- API Provider management
- API Lifecycle management
- API Access Policy management

### 2.2.1.2 API Gateway Functions

API Gateway Functions are available in all of the interfaces in the architecture. They include (among others) the following functions:

- API Registry
- API Access Control / Security enforcement
  - Authentication (see below clause 2.2.1.3)
  - Authorisation (see below clause 2.2.1.3)
  - Plan control
- API Usage Data Generation
- API Logging and Tracing
- API Metrics Generation
- API Audit Logging
- API Traffic Management
  - Spike arrest

- Usage throttling / Rate limiting
- Traffic prioritisation
- Interface translation
  - Format translation (e.g., from XML to JSON)
  - Protocol translation (e.g., from SOAP to REST)
- Caching

### 2.2.1.3 Authentication, Authorisation and Privacy Management

Providing (among others) the following:

- Authentication and Authorisation (server side).
- Identity Management (if applicable)
- Privacy Management (if applicable)
  - key and certificate management
  - whenever Consent is the applicable legal basis:
    - Consent enforcement point (for NBI or EWBI)
    - Caching relevant Consent configuration retrieved from the Privacy Management function in the CSP domain (if allowed by local regulations)
    - Triggering Consent capture by the Privacy Management in the CSP domain
    - In federated scenarios, triggering Consent capture by the Privacy Management function in the CSP domain of the federated partner

Note: OGW Platform may rely on procedures regarding Authentication (including Identity) / Authorisation / Privacy management through interfaces already in place in the CSP domain which are mapped to the SBI-AAPrM.

### 2.2.1.4 API Call Routing Functions

The API call routing functions provides (among others) the following:

- Load balancing
- Telco Finder service which is responsible for resolving the Operator associated with a target user identifier (e.g. based on a specific phone number) and returning information about the associated Operator

#### 2.2.1.4.1 Telco Finder

This document describes the Telco Finder components within the Open Gateway Platform architecture. The Telco Finder is responsible for resolving the Operator associated with a target user identifier (e.g. the Operator that owns a specific phone number) and returns information about the associated Operator (i.e. Operator ID, API root URL, authorisation provider data). It is exposed as a RESTful API.

##### 2.2.1.4.1.1 Service Overview

Telco Finder is an integral component of the Open Gateway Platform architecture designed to provide information about the Operator associated with a user, as well as the relevant endpoints required for performing operations related to that Operator.

Telco Finder can be implemented by any partner, such as an Aggregator, an Operator, or a third-party commercial service. The consumers of the Telco Finder, such as Aggregators or Operators, enter into contractual agreements with the Telco Finder to access and utilise its services. The Telco Finder internal functionality is also contingent on commercial agreements with partner Operators who agree to share routing data with the Telco Finder. This routing data serves as the foundational element of its internal logic.

Telco Finder has two main functions:

- Resolution of User identifier to Operator identifier: The primary function of Telco Finder is to map a user's identifier to the corresponding Operator identifier. This process is managed by an internal Resolution component that queries both internal and external lookup data to achieve the mapping.
- Retrieval of Operator URLs and endpoints: Upon obtaining the Operator identifier, Telco Finder has the capability to retrieve the associated data and the URLs exposed by that Operator. This can be achieved in two ways:
  - Internal Storage: Telco Finder may store the necessary information and provide it directly.
  - Delegation: Telco Finder can delegate the retrieval of information to another Telco Finder, which will return the required data. This approach is particularly beneficial in multi-brand scenarios.

#### 2.2.1.4.1.2 Telco Finder API Interface

Telco Finder is exposed as a RESTful API in OAS format – the specification can be found in the Annex A.1.

The specification contains detailed usage information.

It provides a POST /search endpoint to retrieve information about the Operator associated with a given user identifier. At a fundamental level, it accepts a user identifier as an input and responds with an operatorId. Optionally, based on input control flags, it also returns the Operator's API root URL and the Operator's authorisation server discovery endpoint. For use in regions with mobile number portability, the interface also provides an input parameter that controls the internal search mode of Telco Finder.

##### 2.2.1.4.1.2.1 Request

Consumers invoke the /search endpoint to discover the owning Operator of a particular user. The JSON request payload can contain the following fields:

- **target**: This is a mandatory object field whose purpose is to convey user information. This object comprises of multiple optional fields to identify a target user (**phoneNumber**, **ipv4Address**, **ipv6Address**).
- **includeApiRoot**: This optional boolean field is used to control whether the response should contain the Operator's API root URL. If the field is not included in the request, the default value is false.
- **includeAuthProviderConfiguration**: This optional boolean field is used to control whether the response should contain the Operator's authorisation server discovery endpoint. If the field is not included in the request, the default value is false.

- **portabilitySearchMode**: This optional enum field is used to control the search behaviour of the Telco Finder in regions with mobile number portability. It supports 2 values: **SHALLOW** and **DEEP**. The shallow option instructs Telco Finder to search only its internal records (e.g. cache). This method can be preferred to avoid higher monetary costs associated with extended searches. The full search triggers a comprehensive search against all external systems, providing more thorough results at a potentially higher cost and ensuring up-to-date information by bypassing stale cached data. If the field is not included in the request, the default value is implementation specific.

Example payloads are available in sub-sections below.

#### 2.2.1.4.1.2.2 Response

The data returned by Telco Finder:

- **Operator ID**: The Operator to which the target user belongs. This field will always be returned in the response.
- **API Root of the Operator**: The root URL of the API Gateway managed by the owning Operator. This field is false by default but can be included in the response by setting the request field **includeApiRoot** to true.
- **Authorisation server discovery endpoint**: The discovery endpoint of the Operator's authorisation server. This is a standardised URL in OpenID Connect [12] and OAuth 2.0 [13] that allows clients to dynamically retrieve configuration metadata about the authorisation server. This field is false by default but can be included in the response by setting the request field **includeAuthProviderConfiguration** to true.

#### 2.2.1.4.1.2.3 Rationale for optional fields

The **includeApiRoot** and **includeAuthProviderConfiguration** request fields allow consumers to optimise the response based on their specific needs. By default, only minimal information is returned (Operator ID) to minimise computational costs. If a consumer is interested in further information, they can set the aforementioned field values to true.

#### 2.2.1.4.1.2.4 Examples – Request and Response

##### 2.2.1.4.1.2.4.1 Example A

Searching for a telephone number - using only mandatory input fields:

```
POST /telco-finder/v1/search HTTP/1.1
HOST: api.operator.com
Content-Type: application/json

{
  "target": {
    "phoneNumber": "+447709558432"
  }
}
```

**Response:**

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: application/json

{
    "operatorId": "OPERATOR_ID"
}
```

**2.2.1.4.1.2.4.2 Example B**

Searching for an IP address - using optional boolean input fields to control response granularity. These booleans instruct the Telco Finder to also return the Operator's API root URL and the Operator's authorisation server discovery endpoint.

```
POST /telco-finder/v1/search HTTP/1.1
HOST: api.operator.com
Content-Type: application/json

{
    "target": {
        "ipv4Address": {
            "publicAddress": "84.125.93.10",
            "publicPort": 59765
        }
    },
    "includeApiRoot": true,
    "includeAuthProviderConfiguration": true
}
```

**Response:**

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: application/json

{
    "operatorId": "OPERATOR_ID",
    "apiRoot": "https://example.operator.com",
    "authProviderConfiguration": "https://auth.operator.com/.well-known/openid-configuration"
}
```

**2.2.1.4.1.2.4.3 Example C**

Searching for a phone number and specifying a portability search mode.

```
POST /telco-finder/v1/search HTTP/1.1
HOST: api.operator.com
Content-Type: application/json

{
    "target": {
        "phoneNumber": "+447709558432"
    },
    "portabilitySearchMode": "SHALLOW"
}
```

**Response:**

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: application/json

{
  "operatorId": "OPERATOR_ID",
}
```

**2.2.1.4.1.2.4.4 Example D**

Searching for a phone number and using all possible input parameters

```
POST /telco-finder/v1/search HTTP/1.1
HOST: api.operator.com
Content-Type: application/json

{
  "target": {
    "phoneNumber": "+447709558432"
  },
  "includeApiRoot": true,
  "includeAuthProviderConfiguration": true
  "portabilitySearchMode": "SHALLOW"
}
```


**Response:**

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: application/json

{
  "operatorId": "OPERATOR_ID",
  "apiRoot": "https://example.operator.com",
  "authProviderConfiguration": "https://auth.operator.com/.well-known/openid-configuration"
}
```

**2.2.1.4.1.3 Functional components**

The following diagram demonstrates the core internal components of the Telco Finder:



**Figure 2: Telco Finder – main components**

The main components are as follows:

- Telco Finder API: Telco Finder exposes an API that is consumed by Aggregators seeking to identify the owning Operator for a specific user identifier. This identifier is either a phone number or an IP address, and it is explicitly provided in the API request by the API consumer.
- Internal lookup data storage: Internally, Telco Finder maintains data storage representing routing information. The format and structure of the data storage is implementation specific. However, the data contextually represents:
  - A list of Operators and their MCC/MNCs
  - Routing data including:
    - IP ranges in CIDR format
    - MSISDN prefixes owned by the Operator in regions without mobile number portability.
- The Telco Finder consumes a “**GET /routing**” endpoint that is exposed by each partner Operator. This endpoint returns routing data that belongs to the Operator. Telco Finder periodically retrieves data from these Operator endpoints and consolidates it within its internal lookup data storage.
- Each data element stored internally and received through the "GET /routing" endpoint is categorised as either "Static" or "Dynamic":
  - Static Data: Served directly by a single Operator's API gateway.
  - Dynamic Data: Requires a secondary request to a separate Telco Finder instance belonging to the specific Operator. This is particularly useful in scenarios involving multi-brand Operators where various data sets might be managed by different brands.
- The Telco Finder also consumes a “**NetworkID API**”, which is responsible for returning the owning Operator of a MSISDN in regions with mobile number portability. The implementation of this API is region-specific and needs to be agreed by all parties within a federation/aggregation.

#### 2.2.1.4.1.4 Telco Routing API

Each partner Operator is required to expose a standardised “GET /routing” API. Telco Finder periodically fetches data from this API. This data includes IP ranges, MSISDN prefixes, and MCC/MNCs associated with each Operator. The retrieved data is then aggregated to form a routing ruleset used to resolve ownership of user identifiers.

It is exposed as a RESTful API in OAS format – the specification can be found in the Annex A.2.

##### 2.2.1.4.1.4.1 Routing Rules

The API returns an array of JSON objects where each object represents a rule. Each rule contains the following parameters:

- **ipv4**: array of strings in CIDR notation. List of IP V4 ranges (example: 23.124.1.200/20).

- **ipv6:** array of strings in CIDR notation. List of IP V6 ranges (example: ff22:0:0:ab:23:1a:346:7332/64).
- **msisdnPrefix:** array of strings representing an MSISDN prefix starting by the country code (example: +100234)
- **network:** array of strings representing a MCC\_MNC code (example: 23401)
- **static:** A JSON Object that represents that the aforementioned data is static (served by a single gateway). It contains the following string fields:
  - operatorId: The ID of the Operator
  - apiRoot: The root URL of the Operator's API Gateway
  - authProviderConfiguration: The discovery endpoint of the Operator's authorisation server
- **dynamic:** A JSON Object that represents that the aforementioned data is dynamic and that it requires a call to a second level Telco Finder instance (e.g. to resolve multi-brand routing). It contains the following string fields:
  - telcoFinder: URL of the Operator's Telco Finder
  - authProviderConfiguration: The discovery endpoint of the Operator's authorisation server

Each Rule must contain at least one of ipv4 / ipv6 / msisdnPrefix / network member. Each rule must contain either one of static or dynamic.

#### 2.2.1.4.1.4.1.1 Examples

##### 2.2.1.4.1.4.1.1.1 Static routing rule

```
{
  "ipv4": [
    "23.124.1.200/20",
    "34.231.2.120/22"
  ],
  "ipv6": [
    "ff22:0:0:ab:23:1a:346:7332/64"
  ],
  "network": [
    "23405",
    "23411"
  ],
  "static": {
    "operatorId": "OPERATOR_ID",
    "authProviderConfiguration": "https://auth.operator.com/.well-known/openid-configuration",
    "apiRoot": "https://example.operator.com"
  }
}
```

##### 2.2.1.4.1.4.1.1.2 Dynamic routing rule

```
{
  "network": ["23405", "23411"],
  "dynamic": {
    "authProviderConfiguration": "https://auth.operator.com/.well-known/openid-configuration",
    "telcoFinder": "https://apis.operator.com/telco-finder/v1"
  }
}
```

#### 2.2.1.4.1.4.1.1.3 Dynamic routing rule with MSISDN prefixes

```
{  
    "msisdnPrefix": ["+100234", "+100333"],  
    "dynamic": {  
        "authProviderConfiguration": "https://auth.operator.com/.well-known/openid-configuration",  
        "telcoFinder": "https://apis.operator.com/telco-finder/v1"  
    }  
}
```

#### 2.2.1.4.1.4.1.1.4 Telco Routing API request and response with both static and dynamic rules

##### Request

```
GET /routing HTTP/1.1  
Host: apis.operator.com  
Accept: application/json
```

##### Response

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK  
Content-Type: application/json  
  
[  
    {  
        "ipv4": [  
            "23.124.1.200/20",  
            "34.231.2.120/22"  
        ],  
        "ipv6": [  
            "ff22:0:0:ab:23:1a:346:7332/64"  
        ],  
        "static": {  
            "operatorId": "OPERATOR_ID",  
            "authProviderConfiguration": "https://auth.operator.com/.well-known/openid-configuration",  
            "apiRoot": "https://example.operator.com"  
        }  
    },  
    {  
        "network": [  
            "23405",  
            "23411"  
        ],  
        "dynamic": {  
            "authProviderConfiguration": "https://auth.operator.com/.well-known/openid-configuration",  
            "telcoFinder": "https://apis.operator.com/telco-finder/v1"  
        }  
    }  
]
```

#### 2.2.1.4.1.5 Network Id API

When a country allows number portability, the Operator owning a MSISDN cannot be determined by its prefix alone. Instead, the network ID (MCC\_MNC) must be resolved through an API that should be available for each region.

The implementation of this API must be determined on a region-by-region basis within a federation. For instance, it could be a shared implementation between Operators, or provided by a market champion, or procured as a commercial third-party service.

The specification for this API can be found in the Annex A.3. It defines an operation for requesting network IDs:

```
POST /resolve-network-id HTTP/1.1
Content-Type: application/json
Accept: application/json

{
    "phoneNumber": "+34666777888"
}
```

The response is an MCC\_MNC:

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: application/json

{
    "networkId": "21407"
}
```

#### 2.2.1.4.1.5.1 Commercial MSISDN lookup services

There are a number of commercial services that maintain extensive databases of MSISDNs and can be used to retrieve the home Operator. This is particularly useful where there is mobile number portability but there is no national MNP database. Coverage can be very large. Selection of any particular service provider is the decision of the aggregator. A commercial service or services may be used as the final choice when other methods have failed or as an initial lookup service for speed and convenience.

#### 2.2.1.4.1.6 Operator resolution

Identifying the owning OGW Platform for any Subscriber and device is performed through a routing mechanism that involves all of the aforementioned components. The routing mechanism is reliant on core routing data - this data in turn is dependent on contractual agreements with Operators to share their routing data via a Telco Routing API. Note that in addition to these "supplier" agreements, there are also consumer agreements in place, where a consumer (such as an Aggregator) agrees to commercial terms to access the Telco Finder API.

The Routing API of each Operator is polled and aggregated to form an internal routing table. Per country, the Telco Finder aggregates the Operator routing tables to resolve user identifiers into the Operator brand and API endpoints.

A routing rule is composed by a condition and a resolution action. The condition is based on an ID range. The condition is satisfied if the actual user identifier belongs to one of the ranges:

- **IP Ranges** represented in the CIDR notation as defined in RFC 4632 [14]. For example, 80.23.124.200/22 for IPv4 or ffff:0:0:89fa:cdea:2341:2ds1f:ffff/20 for IPv6.

- **MSISDN prefixes**, for countries without phone number portability. For example +100234.
- **Network identifier**: MCC and MNC components of the IMSI as defined in TS 23.003 [15], for countries with phone number portability. For example, 22401. An MSISDN is resolved into the owning network by the Telco Finder using per-country specific Network Id API.

Mobile Country Code (MCC)	Mobile Network Code (MNC)	Mobile Subscriber Identification Number (MSIN)
3 digits	2 or 3 digits	up to 9 or 10 digits (max IMSI length 15 digits)


**Table 1: IMSI (International Mobile Subscription Identity) structure**

As alluded to in earlier sections, there are two types of routing resolution actions:

- **Static Routing**: In this simplest case, the routing rule directly maps user identifiers to endpoint URLs. All user identifiers within a specified range belong to the same brand and are served by the same endpoint.
- **Dynamic Routing**: When a user identifier range is shared among different brands, each brand exposes its own API endpoints. In this scenario, the routing rule maps the user identifier range to a second-level Telco Finder instance provided by the Telco Operator. The initial Telco Finder calls this interface to resolve the appropriate endpoint.

#### 2.2.1.4.1.6.1 IP address lookup sequence diagram

For IP routing, the routing rule conditions utilised are the ipv4 and ipv6 ranges.




**Figure 3: IP address lookup sequence diagram**

1. Periodically (every x minutes), the Telco Finder consumes the Routing API of each Operator and aggregates the data into its internal lookup datastore (steps 1-4)
2. Each time a user logs in an Application (step 5), the Application requests that the OGW Platform returns the API endpoints for that user (where the user is identified by the calling ip-port of the device where the Application is running; this is observed by the OGW Platform) – steps (6-7).
3. The Telco Finder looks for the IP Address Range of the IP address of the device and determines:
  - a) The IP address belongs to Telco Y and the routing is static (Telco Y provided directly the API links). The Telco Finder then returns the Telco Y API links to the OGW Platform (step 8).
  - b) The IP address belongs to Telco X and the routing is dynamic through a second level Telco Finder. The initial Telco Finder then contacts the second level Telco Finder to resolve the ip-port (step 9). The Telco Finder of Telco X may return:
    - i. If ip-port belongs to one of the Telco X brands, returns the brand api links (step 11)
    - ii. If ip-port belongs to a brand which does not support CAMARA APIs returns a `NotSupported` error (step 12).
  - c) The IP address does not belong to any of the registered telcos and returns a `NotSupported` error (step 13).

### 2.2.1.4.1.6.2 MSISDN lookup sequence diagram

For MSISDN routing, the routing rule conditions utilised are:

- msisdnPrefix – for countries without number portability.
- network – a list of MCC\_MNC identifiers for countries with number portability.




**Figure 4: MSISDN lookup sequence diagram**

1. Periodically (every x minutes), the Telco Finder consumes the Routing API of each Operator and aggregates the data into its internal lookup datastore (steps 1-4).
2. Each time a user logs in an Application (step 5), the Application requests that the OGW Platform returns the API endpoints for that user (identified by its msisdn) (steps 6-7)
3. The Telco Finder looks within the routing table for the Telco routing data based on:
  - a) Whether the MSISDN belongs to a MSISDN prefix within its lookup data. If not:
  - b) The Telco Finder contacts the NetworkId API and requests the MCC\_MNC of the network belonging to the msisdn (steps 8-9).
  - c) No routing record is found so a NotSupported error is returned.
4. The Telco Finder gets the resolved routing data:
  - a) If MSISDN or MCC\_MNC belongs to Telco Y and the routing is static (Telco Y directly provided the API links), then the the Telco Y API links are returned to OGW Platform (step 10).

- b) If MSISDN or MCC\_MNC belongs to Telco X and the routing is dynamic, then a request is made to the provided second level Telco Finder URL to resolve the MSISDN (step 11). The Telco Finder of Telco X may return:
- If MSISDN belongs to one of the Telco X brands, the brand API links are returned (steps 12-13).
  - If MSISDN belongs to a brand which does not support CAMARA APIs then a NotSupported error is returned (steps 14-15).

#### 2.2.1.4.1.6.3 Multi-brand lookup



**Figure 5: Multi-brand lookup**

Figure 5 demonstrates how the component delegates obtaining information related to the user to another Telco Finder in a multi-brand scenario.

#### 2.2.1.4.2 Security

The following APIs shall be secured by the client credentials flow of OAuth 2.0 [16]:

- Telco Finder API interface
- Telco Routing API
- Network Id API

The client authentication method for both Telco Routing and Telco Finder is based on private\_key\_jwt, as defined in OIDC Client Authentication [17].

#### 2.2.1.4.3 Path definition

Following CAMARA API Design Guidelines [18], the API paths shall take the following format: <https://host:port/<api>/<version>/<resource>>

For example:

- Telco Finder: <https://apis.router.com/telco-finder/v0/search>
- Telco Routing: <https://apis.telco.com/telco-routing/v1/routing>
- Network Id: <https://apis.network.com/network-id/v0/resolve-network-id>

#### **2.2.1.4.4 API call routing when Subscriber information is not applicable**

In multi-network targeting scenarios in which an OGW Platform aggregates other OGW Platforms or federates with other OGW Platforms, for API calls that are not associated to a specific Subscriber (e.g., Population Density Data, Region Device Count, Dedicated Networks, Application Profiles, etc), mechanisms have to be in place to enable the routing of the incoming API calls. In such scenarios, the Telco Finder is not a suitable solution to find the right target OGW Platform.

To route an API call in such scenarios, the following needs to be obtained:

- Information about the authorization endpoints for the possible target OGW Platforms
- A candidate set of target Operator(s) (depending on the Application and use cases), and
- Operator selection mechanisms (depending on the Application and use cases)

##### **2.2.1.4.4.1 Obtaining authorization endpoints information**

During the interconnection / integration of an OGW Platform with other platforms, the Operator is expected to exchange information regarding certificates, API root(s) and authorization endpoints.

##### **2.2.1.4.4.2 Candidate set of target OGW Platforms (via operational interactions)**

###### *2.2.1.4.4.2.1 Product Catalogue*

Information regarding the geographical availability of an API can be leveraged for routing API calls which are associated to a geographical service area. Currently, the description field of productOfferingPrice [30] allows including a list of countries in ISO-3166 Alpha-2 format to indicate where the API is available and the associated price.

Note: further alignment on supporting mechanism and terminology within TMF936 is FFS.

###### *2.2.1.4.4.2.2 ASP onboarding*

As stated in [19], the ASP may select a set of CSPs at onboarding time. If no explicit selection is made, by default, the set of all possible CSPs is used. Within the context of the application provided by the ASP, the OGW Platform (acting as an Aggregator or Leading OGW Platform) should route API calls respecting the selection performed by the ASP. The authorization endpoint information was obtained during interconnection / integration time of each CSP's OGW Platform.

#### 2.2.1.4.4.3 CSP selection mechanisms


For a specific Application region, and for a set of possible target CSPs, whenever no single CSP is found to be targeted, the OGW Platform (acting as an Aggregator or Leading OGW Platform) could:

- Target one CSP (out of the candidate set)
  - Whenever possible, the target CSP shall be selected based on the Application logic or use case context. For instance, if the Application was developed for an event that is sponsored by one CSP (and no administrative selection of CSP was performed while onboarding), then the OGW Platform should route API calls to the OGW Platform of the sponsor Operator
  - When no selection can be made based on the Application logic or use case context, the OGW Platform can select the target CSP based on criteria like:
    - Load balancing
    - Fairness
    - Accuracy
    - Availability
- Target all the CSPs (in the candidate set)
  - Whenever the use case allows / mandates it, the OGW Platform (acting as an Aggregator or Leading OGW Platform) shall forward an API call to all the candidate CSP OGW Platforms. This may be done for instance when getting aggregated information from all the CSPs will improve the response accuracy towards the Application.

Note: methods for aggregating responses from other OGW Platforms are out-of-scope of this document.

#### 2.2.1.4.4.4 Example decision flow for routing API calls when Subscriber information is not applicable

Figure 6 presents an example on how the concepts described in the previous sections enable making decisions about where to route an API call when Subscriber information is not applicable.



**Figure 6: Example decision flow for routing API calls when Subscriber information is not applicable**

## 2.2.2 Exposure Functions

The Exposure functions enable exposing Service APIs (to Applications or Aggregation/Marketplace/Enterprise Platforms) via the NBI-Service interface and Operate APIs (to Aggregation/Marketplace/Enterprise Platforms) via the NBI-Operate interface. The termination points for the NBI-\* API calls are provided by the corresponding API Gateway function as described below.

Note: The names NBI-Service and NBI-Operate may change in the future based on further discussions taking place across several groups.

### 2.2.2.1 NBI API Gateway

In addition to the common API Gateway functions provided in above clause 2.2.1.2, the NBI API Gateway supports (among others) the following functions:

- Providing termination points for Service API calls from Applications (owned by Application Service Providers) or Aggregation/Marketplace/Enterprise Platforms (owned by an Aggregator or a 3rd party)
- Mapping to Transformation functions / SBI Gateway
- Routing to API Federation Management function / EWBI Gateway in case of API call Federation

Additionally, the NBI API Gateway supports:

- Providing termination points for Operate API calls from Aggregation/Marketplace/Enterprise Platforms
- Mapping to Operations and Business Transformation Functions / SBI Gateway

## 2.2.3 Federation Functions

### 2.2.3.1 EWBI API Gateway

In addition to the common API Gateway functions provided in above clause 2.2.1.2, the EWBI API Gateway supports (among others) the following functions:

- Providing termination of EWBI API calls to/from other Operator exposure platforms
- Routing to API Federation Management function to reach Network Transformation function to SBI API Gateway for API calls forwarded from another OP/OGW Platform.
- Routing to API Federation Management function to reach NBI API Gateway in case of federated API responses.

### 2.2.3.2 API Federation Management

Providing (among others) the following services:

- Handling connectivity aspects among Operators in federated environments
  - For instance, providing Heartbeat/Keep-Alive mechanisms over the EWBI

## 2.2.4 Transformation Functions

### 2.2.4.1 Network Transformation Functions

Providing (among others) the following services:

- Transformation Functions for the realisation of the Service APIs in the lower levels of the architecture (e.g., as in GSMA PRD OPG.09 [4])

### 2.2.4.2 Operations and Business Transformation Functions

Providing (among others) the following services:

- Transformation Functions for the realisation of the TM Forum Operate APIs in the lower levels of the architecture (e.g., on the SBI-OAM interface)

## 2.2.5 Integration Functions

### 2.2.5.1 SBI API Gateway

In addition to the common API Gateway functions provided in above clause 2.2.1.2, the SBI API Gateway provides (among others) the following functions:

- Termination of the SBI towards:
  - Network Resources (SBI-NR)
  - Operations and Management systems (SBI-OAM)

- Authentication, Authorisation and Privacy Management in CSP domain (SBI-AAPrM)
- Cloud Resources (SBI-CR)
- Edge Interconnection Network (SBI-EIN)
- Charging (SBI-CHF)


## 2.2.6 Other considerations

- An OGW Platform requires the integration (i.e., connectivity) with southbound services over the SBI, with federated partner's OGW Platforms (over the EWBI), with Application and Aggregation/Marketplace/Enterprise Platforms (over the NBI) and with OSS/BSS (over the SBI).
- Realisation guidelines on Developer Portal (and associated Developer Services) is considered out-of-the-scope
- API billing is considered an external functionality

Whether one instance of API Gateway per interface (or only one per platform) is needed is left as a realisation option.

## 3 Deployment Scenarios

### 3.1 Aggregation model



**Figure 7: Aggregation model**

The Open Gateway (OGW) Aggregator model consists of five different players (as defined in section 1.3):

- **End-User:** the Operator's Subscriber is usually also the End-User, but this is not always the case. For example, a parent may be the Subscriber of a mobile subscription for their child, the End-User.
- **User / Resource Owner.**

- **Developer / Application Service Provider (ASP)**, who builds an Application that consumes Open Gateway-based services to deliver enhanced functionality to End-Users or enable new use cases.
- **Aggregator**: it aggregates the Operator's CAMARA APIs to build Open Gateway-based services and implement Operator endpoint routing based on Subscriber identification in the network.
- **Operator**: it exposes network capabilities and/or network resources through CAMARA standardised APIs and partners with Aggregators to enable the Open Gateway-based services that they offer to Application Service Providers.

The Aggregator acts as a single point of contact that hides complexity to Applications. It allows Developers to avoid being aware of multiple Operators when building and running their Applications and eliminates the need to dispatch or orchestrate calls to them. This is important from a Developer experience perspective.

The Aggregator role can be played by:

- A hyperscaler offering its own services and APIs that make use of CAMARA APIs exposed by aggregated Operators (see section 3.1.5) or directly exposing CAMARA APIs available at these Operators.
- An Operator acting as an Aggregator, i.e., aggregating other Operators and exposing CAMARA APIs available at those Operators.

An Aggregator needs to interact with Operators with two different roles:

- As a service consumer to call Service APIs (as described in this document section).
- As an administrator to register/unregister Applications using Operate APIs.

In Figure 7, Telco Finder is depicted as a separate entity. It may be a component of the Aggregator or offered by a different party.

### 3.1.1 How to consume Operator Service APIs

For an existing Application, the Aggregator can start consuming Operator Service APIs on behalf of the Application. The Open Gateway Service APIs are defined by CAMARA [6].

This process follows the CAMARA standard mechanisms as described in the “CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile” [7] and “CAMARA APIs access and user consent management” [8] technical specifications.


Some Service APIs process personal data and require a “legal basis” to do so (e.g., “legitimate interest”, “contract”, “Consent”, etc). Operators must follow a privacy-by-default approach to fully comply with the spirit and letter of the different privacy regulations (e.g., GDPR), to protect user privacy. This means that an API that processes personal data may require user Consent, depending on the “legal basis” for processing that data. This Consent is given by users to legal entities to process personal data under a specific purpose [9].

An example of a Service API that requires Consent in most scenarios is the CAMARA Device Location API. This API can verify whether a mobile connection is within certain coordinates of a geographical location. The mobile connection is associated with the

Subscriber's phone number, so processing the phone number network location may require user Consent depending on the legal basis under which the information is processed (and ultimately the purpose of the use of that data). And in that case, it would be necessary to obtain the user Consent for the Application to access the "Device Location API" for a specific purpose such as "fraud prevention and detection".

In some cases, it is not necessary to capture the Consent in the authorisation flow because the data processing is carried out under a different "legal basis" and the access is granted according to that "legal basis" (e.g. through "legitimate interest", "contract", etc.). For example, improving the quality of service (via CAMARA Quality-On-Demand (QoD) API) of a mobile connection under the e.g., "service provision" and "service optimisation" purposes included in the Subscriber's contract with Terms and Conditions (T&Cs).

Figure 8 shows a very high-level summary flow of how to consume a Service API. To simplify the high-level view of the flow, some parts (e.g., Consent capture) are not shown. Take this diagram as a simplified introduction to how the flow works.



**Figure 8: High level flow of the consumption of a Service API**

First, the Application uses an Aggregator service which requires a specific network capability provided by an Operator. The Aggregator receives a user identifier from the Application (Step 1).

Then, the Aggregator resolves the Operator to which the user belongs, using the Telco Finder (Steps 2-3). After that, the Aggregator will know the Operator Platform it has to call, using the CAMARA API.

Before calling any Service API, it is necessary to authenticate the user with the Operator following the CAMARA standard mechanisms as described in the CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile [7]. With this process it is possible to identify the Operator's Subscriber based on the given user identifier. And, if necessary, check for Consent and, if not yet granted, obtain it from the user. If all goes well, the Aggregator receives an OAuth 2.0 access token (Steps 4-6).

**Note:** It is important to remark that in cases where personal user data is processed by the API, and users can exercise their rights through mechanisms such as

opt-in and/or opt-out, the use of 3-legged Access Tokens becomes mandatory as described in “CAMARA APIs access and user consent management” [8].

Once the Aggregator has a valid access token, this must be used to invoke the Operator Service API, which is depicted at the end of the flow (Steps 7-8).

Now, the Aggregator can confirm the Application and provide the corresponding information as per use case (Step 9).

### **3.1.2 Detailed CIBA flow (backend-based)**

To get into the details of consuming Service APIs, the Figure 9 describes the entire CIBA flow.




Figure 9: Detailed CIBA flow

**Scenario description:**

- The Aggregator exposes CAMARA APIs to the Application, access is protected by 3-legged OIDC Access Tokens.
- The same CAMARA APIs are exposed by Open Gateway (OWG) Platform in the Operator to the Aggregator as the Aggregator to the Application i.e.: same northbound interface (NBI).

Note: If the Aggregator is a hyperscaler, the hyperscaler may expose its own services and APIs to Applications, depending on the aggregation model. See section 3.1.5 for more details.

- The Aggregator generated access tokens are always based on an Operator access token. As shown above, the technical solution chosen is to encapsulate the Operator access token within the Aggregator access token.

Note: Other implementation options are possible for the same concept. For example, instead of using self-contained tokens, the Aggregator could store the Operator access token (as well as the required routing information) in a database and use a reference token to access it.

- Operator has (at least):
  - Open Gateway (OGW) platform with authentication server providing CAMARA authorisation/authentication mechanisms [7] to issue 3-legged Access Tokens to the Aggregator (in this case CIBA grant type); and an API gateway to handle API requests with issued access tokens.
  - Privacy Management capability to check if user Consent exists so access tokens can be issued, when applicable.
  - One or several Consent Capture channels.

**Flow description:**

First, the Application requests an access token from the Aggregator. The process follows the OpenID Connect Client-Initiated Backchannel Authentication (CIBA) flow according to the CAMARA-defined specifics [7] for using the CIBA flow.

The Application has to provide in the authentication request (/bc\_authorize) a `login_hint` with a valid user identifier together with the Application credentials (as stated in clause 7.1 in [27]) and indicate the purpose for accessing the data (step 1):

- One option for the identifier is the public IP and (optionally – when applicable) port of the Application. The other option is the phone number.

Note: In IoT scenarios or, in general, in those cases where the consumption device is different than the authorisation device, the IP and port is the one of the consumption device for which the network capabilities will be requested/applied.

- The `login_hint` is a hint regarding the user for whom authentication is being requested. It follows CAMARA defined format for `login_hint` as described in the CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile [7].
- Purpose under which the personal data associated to API consumption will be processed.

Note: The way to declare a purpose when accessing the CAMARA APIs is also defined in the CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile [7].

Using the information provided by the Application, the Aggregator will first determine the Operator for the given user identifier by querying the Telco Finder (steps 2-3). If the Telco Finder is unable to determine which Operator the user belongs to, the Aggregator will return an error.

Once the Operator is known, the Aggregator should obtain a valid access token to consume the Service API exposed by the OGW Platform in that Operator. The same standard OpenID Connect CIBA flow is also used by the Aggregator to obtain a 3-legged Access Token from the Operator following CAMARA OIDC definitions for CIBA as described in “CAMARA APIs access and user consent management” [8].

So, the Aggregator sends the authentication request (`/bc_authorize`) to the OGW Platform at the Operator, sending a `login_hint` with the same user identifier provided by the Application, which has already been set as owned by this Operator (according to the Telco Finder response) (Step 4). As part of the CAMARA standard flow, the OGW Platform will:

- Validate the user identifier, map it to an Operator subscription identifier when applicable, e.g.: map IP to phone number.
- Check whether user Consent is required, depending on the legal basis (“legitimate interest”, “contract”, “Consent”, etc.) associated with the declared purpose. If needed, it checks through the Operator Privacy Management if Consent has already been given for exposing the requested data scope for this purpose to the Application.

When the authentication request process is complete on the Operator side (it may require the Operator to trigger an out-of-band Consent capture mechanism to interact with the user), the OGW Platform returns a 200 OK response with the CIBA authentication request identifier (`auth_req_id=OperatorAuthReqId`) to the Aggregator to indicate that the authentication request has been accepted and will be processed (step 5).

The Aggregator then generates an `AggregatorAuthReqId` and stores the mapping between the `OperatorAuthReqId` and the `AggregatorAuthReqId` along with the Operator routing information (step 6). Finally, the Aggregator returns a 200 OK response to the Application with the CIBA authentication request identifier (`auth_req_id=AggregatorAuthReqId`) (step 7).

The Application then polls the token endpoint by making an HTTP POST request by sending the `grant_type` (`urn:openid:params:grant-type:ciba`) and `auth_req_id` (`AggregatorAuthReqId`) parameters (step 8) according to the CAMARA definitions [7].

- The Aggregator validates the `auth_req_id` and retrieves the `OperatorAuthReqId` and the Operator routing information.

- The Aggregator routes the polling request to the Operator using OperatorAuthReqId obtained before (step 10). This token request process follows CAMARA OIDC definitions for CIBA as described in “CAMARA APIs access and user consent management” [8].
- Finally, and after the user has given Consent (if required), the OGW Platform will provide the access token (OperatorAccessToken) to the Aggregator (step 11).

Once the Aggregator has the Operator access token, it will create a new access token, AggregatorAccessToken, by creating a JWT extended with additional claims that will carry (step 12):

- The access token issued by the Operator (OperatorAccessToken).
- Routing information to know where to route later API Calls using AggregatorAccessToken.

Note: As mentioned above, there are other ways to implement the same concept. For example, the Aggregator could store the OperatorAccessToken (as well as the necessary routing information) in a database and use a reference token to access it.

The created AggregatorAccessToken will be encrypted so no relevant information is disclosed.


The AggregatorAccessToken will be provided to the Application (step 13), completing the OIDC flow.

At this point, the Application has a valid access token that can be used to invoke the CAMARA API provided by the Aggregator (step 14).

The Aggregator will decrypt the access token, check its validity, find the routing information in it and extract the OperatorAccessToken (step 15). It will then forward the CAMARA API request unchanged to the Operator, including the OperatorAccessToken in the request (step 16). Finally, the Operator will validate the OperatorAccessToken, grant access to the API based on the scopes bound to the access token, forward the request to the corresponding API backend and retrieve the API response. The CAMARA API access process follows CAMARA NBI definitions as described in “CAMARA APIs access and user consent management” [8].

The Operator will provide the API response to the Aggregator (step 17), which will then be sent to the Application (step 18).

### 3.1.3 Detailed Authorization Code flow (frontend-based)



**Figure 10: Detailed Authorization Code flow**

**Flow description:**

First, the Application Backend instructs the Application Frontend client in the device to initiate the OIDC Authorization code flow with the Aggregator (steps 1-18) according to the CAMARA-defined specifics [7] regarding the use of the Authorization code flow.

The device Application is redirected to the Aggregator's authorisation endpoint (steps 1-3), providing a redirect\_uri (app\_callback) pointing to the Application Backend (where the authorisation code will be eventually sent), as well as the purpose for accessing the data.

**Note:** The way to declare a purpose when accessing the CAMARA APIs is defined by CAMARA as described in the CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile [7].

The Aggregator receives the request from the device Application and collects the IP from which the device Application is accessing. The Aggregator will then discover the Operator for the connection in the device where the Application is running by querying the Telco Finder (steps 4-5). If the Telco Finder is unable to determine which Operator the user belongs to, the Aggregator will return an error to the Application Backend via the redirect\_uri (app\_callback).

Once the Operator is known, the Aggregator itself initiates the OIDC Authorization code flow with the Operator (steps 6-11) following CAMARA OIDC definitions for Authorization code flow as described in “CAMARA APIs access and user consent management” [8].

According to the CAMARA Authorization code flow, the device Application is redirected to the authorisation endpoint of the OGW Platform (step 7), providing a redirect\_uri (aggregator\_callback) pointing to the Aggregator where the auth code will be sent, as well as the purpose for accessing the data originally sent by the device Application to the Aggregator.

**Note:** The way to declare a purpose when accessing the CAMARA APIs is defined by CAMARA as described in the CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile [7].

As part of the CAMARA standard flow, the OGW Platform will:

- Use network-based authentication mechanism to obtain an Operator subscription identifier, e.g.: phone number.
- Check whether user Consent is required, depending on the legal basis (“legitimate interest”, “contract”, “Consent”, etc.) associated with the declared purpose. If needed, it checks through the Operator Privacy Management if Consent has already been given for exposing the requested data scope for this purpose to the Application.

When the authorisation request process is complete on the Operator side (it may require Consent capture from user), the Authorization code flow continues by redirecting to the Aggregator's redirect\_uri (aggregator\_callback) and including the authorisation code (OperatorCode) (step 8).

Once the Aggregator receives the redirect with the authorisation code (OperatorCode - step 9), it will retrieve the access token from the OGW Platform (OperatorAccessToken) (steps

10-11). This token request process follows CAMARA OIDC definitions for the Authorization code flow as described in the CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile [7].

The Aggregator will then continue the Authorization code flow by redirecting to the Application's redirect\_uri (app\_callback) and including the authorisation code (AggregatorCode - steps 12-13).

The Application will request an access token from Aggregator (step 14). Aggregator creates a new access token, AggregatorAccessToken, by creating a JWT extended with additional claims that will carry (step 15):

- The access token issued by the Operator (OperatorAccessToken).
- Routing information to know where to route later API calls using the AggregatorAccessToken.

Note: As mentioned above, there are other ways to implement the same concept. For example, the Aggregator could store the OperatorAccessToken (as well as the necessary routing information) in a database and use a reference token to access it.

The AggregatorAccessToken created is encrypted so that no sensitive information is exposed.

The AggregatorAccessToken is made available to the Application (step 16). The Application completes the OIDC flow by interacting backend with frontend (steps 17-18).


Note: The point at which the /token call from the Aggregator to the OGW Platform (OperatorAccessToken) is made is an implementation decision. The flowchart currently shows this happening at steps 10-11. Alternatively, it could also take place directly after the Application Backend makes a /token call to the Aggregator, which would be immediately after step 14 in the current flowchart.

Now the Application has a valid access token that can be used to invoke the CAMARA API provided by the Aggregator (step 20).

The Aggregator will decrypt the access token, check its validity, find the routing information inside and extract the OperatorAccessToken (step 21). Then it will forward the CAMARA API request unchanged to the Operator, including the OperatorAccessToken in the request (step 22). Finally, the Operator will validate OperatorAccessToken, grant the access to the API based on the scopes bound to the access token, progress request to the corresponding API backend and retrieve the API response. The CAMARA API access process follows CAMARA NBI definitions as described in "CAMARA APIs access and user consent management" [8].

Finally, the Operator will provide API response to the Aggregator (step 23) which is then sent to the Application (steps 24-25).

### 3.1.4 Detailed JWT Bearer flow (backend-based)



**Figure 11 Detailed JWT Bearer flow**

**Flow description:**

Before the flow begins, the Application must share its public key (e.g. JWKS URI) with the Aggregator (e.g., during ASP onboarding). This enables the Aggregator to verify the digital signature of JWT assertions issued by the Application in the JWT Bearer flow [29].

First, the Application requests an access token from the Aggregator, which will subsequently be used to access CAMARA APIs. The process follows the JWT Bearer flow [29] according to the CAMARA-defined specifics for using the JWT Bearer flow [8]. This flow enables an Application Backend to obtain a 3-legged access token from the Aggregator by presenting a signed JWT assertion on behalf of the User.

To start, the Application selects the User identifier for which the access token is requested, which can either be a phone number or a TS.43 token. The User is identified by the sub claim in the JWT assertion, which must uniquely identify the User in the Operator's system. As per the CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile [7], the sub claim MUST be either a phone number prefixed by "tel:" or a TS.43 token prefixed by "operatortoken:".

Following this, the Application will create a signed JWT assertion. This assertion functions as an authorization grant on behalf of the User, packed with essential claims: the grant\_type is set to "urn:ietf:params:oauth:grant-type:jwt-bearer", and the assertion itself includes the requested scopes, the sub claim representing the User identifier, the iss claim identifying the Application client id, etc. The specific content and claims of the JWT assertion are also specified by the CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile [7].

**Note:** The way to declare a purpose when accessing the CAMARA APIs is defined by CAMARA as described in the CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile [7].

The Application Backend then sends this assertion within a token request (POST /token) to the Aggregator (step 1).

Upon receiving the request, the Aggregator validates the JWT using the Application's public key and confirms the validity of the User identifier specified in the sub claim (step 2). If the User identifier is a phone number, the Aggregator might, when necessary, interact with the Telco Finder to pinpoint the exact Operator associated with that identifier (steps 3-4). If the Telco Finder is unable to determine which Operator the User belongs to, the Aggregator will return an error.

Once the relevant Operator is determined, the Aggregator initiates its own JWT Bearer flow with the Operator's OGW Platform to fetch a 3-legged Operator access token (steps 5-6). This sub-flow strictly adheres to CAMARA definitions for JWT Bearer as described in "CAMARA APIs access and user consent management" [8]. The Aggregator sends a token request to the Operator's OGW Platform, which validates the JWT and the legal basis for the requested access, and if positive, responds with an Operator access token (OperatorAccessToken).

With this Operator access token in hand, the Aggregator proceeds to create a self-contained Aggregator access token (AggregatorAccessToken). This unique token embeds both the

Operator access token and any crucial routing information needed for subsequent API calls (step 7).

Note: As mentioned above, there are other ways to implement the same concept. For example, the Aggregator could store the OperatorAccessToken (as well as the necessary routing information) in a database and use a reference token to access it.

Finally, the Aggregator completes this phase by responding to the Application Backend with an HTTP 200 OK, providing the newly minted AggregatorAccessToken (step 8).

With the AggregatorAccessToken successfully acquired, the Application Backend is now ready to access the desired CAMARA API. It sends its API request to the Aggregator's endpoint, including the AggregatorAccessToken securely in the Authorization header (step 9).

The Aggregator will decrypt the access token, check its validity, find the routing information inside and extract the OperatorAccessToken (step 10). Then it will forward the CAMARA API request unchanged to the Operator, including the OperatorAccessToken in the request (step 11). Finally, the Operator will validate OperatorAccessToken, grant the access to the API based on the scopes bound to the access token, progress the request to the corresponding API backend and retrieve the API response. The CAMARA API access process follows CAMARA NBI definitions as described in “CAMARA APIs access and user consent management” [8].

Finally, the Operator will provide an API response to the Aggregator (step 12) which is then sent to the Application (step 13).

### 3.1.5 Enhanced Service API flows

The Aggregator may expose its own services and APIs to Applications depending on the aggregation model (instead of exposing CAMARA APIs available at the aggregated Operators). In this case, the Aggregator uses the Operator's CAMARA APIs to implement either explicit or implicit functions, providing Developers with enhanced services using the Operator's network capabilities and /or network resources.

When exposing their own services, the northbound authentication mechanism of Aggregators is up to them, as long as their flows contain the necessary information for each call to the Operator. The Aggregator is responsible for obtaining the Operator access token and including it in the CAMARA API calls to the Operator, following the CAMARA standard mechanisms as described in the “CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile” [7] and “CAMARA APIs access and user consent management” [8] technical specifications.


The flows provided in the previous sections represent the scenario where the Aggregator exposes the same NBI as the Operator, i.e., the Aggregator exposes the same CAMARA APIs as the aggregated Operators. The flows below provide a generic view of the flows when the Aggregator exposes its own enhanced service APIs to the Application.

Note: The parts of the flow in blue corresponds to the interaction between the Aggregator and the Application. When the Aggregator exposes its own services, these parts would actually be outside the scope of Open Gateway

Technical Group since each Aggregator would have its own mechanisms and services.

### 3.1.5.1 Enhanced Service API - CIBA flow (backend-based)


The Aggregator will be responsible for triggering the OIDC CIBA standard flow to the Operator when required according to its service design. Finally, it will be responsible for using Operator's CAMARA API(s) response as required by its enhanced service. The CIBA flow towards the Operator follows the CAMARA OIDC definitions for CIBA as described in the CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile [7].



**Figure 12: Enhanced Service API - CIBA flow**

### **3.1.5.2 Enhanced Service API - Authorization Code flow**

The Aggregator will be responsible for redirecting the user device and triggering the Authorization code flow to the Operator when required according to its service design. Finally, it will be responsible for using Operator's CAMARA API response as required by its enhanced service. The Authorization code flow towards the Operator follows the CAMARA OIDC definitions for Authorisation code as described in the CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile [7].




**Figure 13: Enhanced Service API - Authorization Code flow**

### 3.1.5.3 Enhanced Service API JWT Bearer flow

The Aggregator will be responsible for triggering the JWT Bearer standard flow to the Operator when required according to its service design. Finally, they will be responsible for using Operator's CAMARA API(s) response as required by its enhanced service. The JWT

Bearer flow towards the Operator follows the CAMARA definitions for JWT Bearer as described in the CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile [7].



**Figure 14 Enhanced Service API - JWT Bearer flow**

### 3.1.6 Offline Access – Refresh Token

The defined solution uses the OAuth 2.0 refresh token to enable Service APIs access in offline scenarios. In Open Gateway, online access means that there is an existing connection to the Operator's network, either a mobile network connection in mobile use cases or a fixed network connection in fixed use cases.

- The refresh token can be issued as a result of the authentication/authorisation process if requested by the API client and allowed by the use case.

- OAuth 2.0 Authorization Framework [10]: a refresh token is a string representing the authorisation granted to the client by the resource owner. The string is usually opaque to the client. The token denotes an identifier used to retrieve the authorisation information. Therefore, the refresh token is bound to the authorisation of the End-User to the application.
- As indicated in the OIDC Specification [11], one of the uses of the refresh token is to allow offline access.
- Thus, a refresh token can be used for offline access because it represents an existing authorisation, that was collected in a previously online access.
- It can also be used for online access to avoid a re-authentication. This would be use case driven and depends on the security requirements for each API, e.g., APIs may require more frequent authentication or even authentication for each use (like Number Verification API).

This chapter includes the flows for Open Gateway showing the usage of the refresh token.

- How to request it in both frontend and backend flows
- How to handle refresh tokens in an architecture involving an Aggregator.

The flows described below follow the “CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile” [7] technical specification.

### 3.1.6.1 Request a Refresh Token in frontend-based flow




Figure 15: Request a Refresh Token in frontend-based flow

**Scenario description:**

- The same considerations that apply to general call flows in section 3.1.3 apply to this flow.
- Refresh token issuance is achieved by requesting offline\_access scope.

**Flow description**

The Application Backend instructs the Application Frontend client in the device to initiate the OIDC Authorization code flow with the Aggregator as described in the general call flows in section 3.1.3.

The Application includes offline\_access scope to signal that a refresh token is expected (step 1). The same flow depicted in the general call flows occur (steps 2-10) following the “CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile” [7] technical specification.

**Note:** The process has been simplified, full details are provided in the general call flow description in section 3.1.3.

When the Operator issues the access token, it also issues a refresh token (OperatorRefreshToken) (step 11), because offline\_access scope was requested.

**Note:** Depending on the use case this will be allowed or not. i.e.,: not every Application will have the right to have a refresh token by default.


The Aggregator receives both the access token and the refresh token (step 12) and continues with the regular Authorization code flow between the Application and the Aggregator (steps 13-16).

In addition, the Aggregator creates its refresh token (AggregatorRefreshToken) and stores it along with the OperatorRefreshToken and routing information (step 17).

**Note:** Other implementation options are possible for the same concept. For example, self-contained refresh tokens may be used instead.

The flow is completed normally, so the Aggregator provides both the access token and the refresh token to the Application (steps 18-20).

### 3.1.6.2 Request a Refresh Token in backend-based flow



**Figure 16: Request a Refresh Token in backend-based flow**

## Scenario description

- The same considerations that apply to general call flows in section 3.1.2 apply to this flow.
- Refresh token issuance is achieved by requesting offline\_access scope.

## Flow description

The Application Backend requests an OIDC access token to the Aggregator. The process follows the OpenID Connect Client-Initiated Backchannel Authentication (CIBA) flow as described in the general call flows in section 3.1.2.

The Application determines the user identifier to use and performs the authorisation request (/bc-authorize) including the offline\_access scope to signal that a refresh token is expected (step 1). The same flow as shown in the general call flows occur (steps 2-10) following the “CAMARA Security and Interoperability Profile” [7] technical specification.

**Note:** The process has been simplified, full details are provided in the general call flow description in section 3.1.2.

When the Operator issues the access token, it also issues a refresh token (OperatorRefreshToken) (step 11), because offline\_access scope was requested.

**Note:** Depending on the use case this will be allowed or not. i.e., not every Application will have the right to have a refresh token by default.

The Aggregator receives both the access token and the refresh token (step 12) and continues with the regular CIBA flow, creating the AggregatorAccessToken (step 13).

In addition, the Aggregator creates its refresh token (AggregatorRefreshToken) and stores it along with the OperatorRefreshToken and routing information (step 14).

**Note:** Other implementation options are possible for the same concept. For example, self-contained refresh tokens may be used instead.

The flow is completed normally, so the Aggregator provides both the access token and the refresh token to the Application (step 15).

### 3.1.6.3 Refresh token flow




Figure 17: Refresh token flow

## Scenario description

- The same considerations that apply to general call flows in the previous sections apply to this flow.

## Flow description

When a network feature is needed (step 1), the Application tries to consume an API with an access token, but the token has already expired, so it gets an error (steps 2-4).

Upon this error, the Application performs the standard refresh token grant flow, providing the Aggregator refresh token to obtain a new pair of Aggregator refresh token and Aggregator access token (step 5).

The Aggregator validates the refresh token and retrieves the Operator refresh token and the routing information (step 6).

In turn, the Aggregator performs the standard refresh token flow with the Operator, providing the Operator refresh token to obtain a new pair of Operator refresh token and Operator access token (step 7).

The Operator validates the refresh token and retrieves the related information, i.e., scopes/purpose (step 8) and checks the legal basis of the purpose associated with the token (step 9). If the purpose requires the user's Consent, the Operator validates whether the Consent is granted (step 10). If the Consent is NOT granted e.g., the Consent has expired or the user has revoked the Consent, the Operator will not issue a new token and will return an error (step 11) and the refresh token flow will fail (step 12).

If the user's Consent is granted or not required for the applied legal basis, the Operator generates a new pair of Operator access token and Operator refresh token (step 13), saves the refresh token (step 14), and responds to the Aggregator with this information (step 15).

Same as in general call flows, the Aggregator will generate a new Aggregator access token (step 16) and this time will also generate a new Aggregator refresh token (step 17) that will be saved bound to Operator refresh token and routing information.

Note: As mentioned on other occasions, using self-contained tokens or any other options such as storing them, is an implementation decision that applies to both access tokens and refresh tokens.

The Aggregator will provide the Application with the new generated Aggregator access token and Aggregator refresh token (step 18).

The Application can then access the API normally using the new Aggregator access token (steps 19-24). This part of the flow is the same as the general call flows.

## 3.2 Variants and simplified models

The aggregation model described in section 3.1 has some variants in scenarios where not every actor/role is present and/or an actor plays more than one role.

The following variants exist:

- Direct Integration Developer – Operator (Single Operator)
- Direct Integration Developer – Operator (Multiple Operators)
- Operator - Operator Integration


Note: Roaming scenarios are not considered variants or alternative scenarios for the Aggregation model.

The Aggregation flows described in section 3.1 ensure that API calls to a Service API will always be routed to the user's home Operator, ensuring consistent API access regardless of the user's roaming status. Once an access token is obtained through the authentication and authorisation procedures, it contains the necessary routing information to direct the Service API request to the user's home network Service Northbound Interface (NBI). The Telco Finder step is performed only once during this initial process and is not required for each subsequent API call.

However, the specific network functionality provided by the Service API and its handling in the Southbound Interface (SBI) between the API server and the Operator network (Transformation function) could be affected by the user's roaming status. Federation agreements among Operators may be required to provide the API functionality under roaming conditions, or the API functionality might not be supported if the user is roaming.

### 3.2.1 Direct Integration Developer – Operator (Single Operator)

In this model, the Aggregator's role is omitted, simplifying the integration process. The Developer/Application Service Provider directly communicates with a single Operator, bypassing the need for an intermediary Aggregator.



**Figure 18: Direct Integration Developer – Operator (Single Operator)**

This simplified variant allows the Developer's Application to directly interface with a single OGW Platform. The Operator exposes its network capabilities and services through Service APIs. By eliminating the Aggregator, the integration process becomes more straightforward and reduces the overhead associated with managing multiple Operator endpoints or services.

**Key Points:**

- Simplicity: without the Aggregator, the Developer's Application connects directly to the single Operator, simplifying the integration architecture.
- Direct communication: the Developer interacts directly with the Operator's APIs using the CAMARA standard NBI, ensuring a clear and consistent integration process.
- Known endpoint: since there is only one Operator, the endpoint for API calls is predefined and consistent, eliminating the need for dynamic endpoint resolution or Telco Finder services.

**Simplification:**

- Reduced complexity: the absence of an Aggregator reduces the layers of interaction.
- Cost efficiency: without the need for an Aggregator, potential costs associated with intermediary services are eliminated.
- Improved performance: direct communication with the Operator can result in lower latency and faster response times since there are fewer intermediary steps.


**Limitations:**

- Limited scalability: this model is less scalable when the Application needs to interface with multiple Operators in the future. Each new integration would require additional development effort.
- Feature limitation: the Application's capabilities are limited to the services provided by the single Operator, potentially restricting functionality compared to an environment with aggregated services from multiple Operators.
- Lack of interoperability.
- How the Operator knows which users are valid (Operator's Subscribers) is outside the scope and should be resolved by the Application Service Provider.

In this model, the Telco Finder service is not required. Since the Developer's Application always communicates with the single known Operator, there is no need to dynamically discover or resolve endpoints. This further simplifies the integration process, as the endpoint for API calls is predetermined and static.

### **3.2.2 Direct Integration Developer – Operator (Multiple Operators)**

In this model, the Aggregator is not explicitly present. Instead, the Application Service Provider communicates directly with multiple Operators, effectively taking on part of the role of the Aggregator itself. This approach simplifies the Aggregator model in section 3.1 by allowing the Application to manage integrations with multiple Operators.



**Figure 19: Direct Integration Developer – Operator (Multiple Operators)**

This model allows the Developer's Application to interface directly with multiple OGW Platforms. Each Operator exposes its network capabilities and services through Service APIs. The Application handles the aggregation, orchestration, and management of these multiple Operator endpoints.

#### Key Points:

- Direct communication: the Developer's Application interfaces directly with each Operator's APIs, maintaining multiple direct connections.
- Application takes on part of the Aggregator role: the Application itself aggregates the services from different Operators, taking on responsibilities typically handled by an Aggregator.
- Flexibility: the Developer can choose which Operators to integrate with based on specific needs and use cases.

#### Simplification:

- Increased control: the Developer has full control over how integrations are managed, allowing for custom aggregation logic and optimisation.
- Tailored functionality: direct access to multiple Operators enables the Developer to leverage specific features and services unique to each Operator, creating a more customised Application experience.
- Cost efficiency: by eliminating the Aggregator, the Developer can potentially reduce costs associated with intermediary services.

**Limitations:**

- Complexity: managing multiple direct integrations increases the complexity of the Application, requiring more sophisticated handling of different APIs and services.
- Scalability challenges: as the number of integrated Operators grows, the overhead of managing these connections can become significant, potentially impacting performance and maintenance.
- Developer burden: the Developer assumes additional responsibilities typically managed by an Aggregator, such as endpoint orchestration, service discovery, and error handling across multiple Operators.

In this model, the Developer's Application can utilise a Telco Finder service similarly to how an Aggregator would. The Telco Finder assists in identifying and selecting the appropriate Operator endpoints for different services, facilitating dynamic endpoint resolution and reducing the complexity associated with managing multiple direct integrations.

### 3.2.3 Operator – Operator Integration

As indicated in section 3.1, the Aggregator role can be played by an Operator acting as an Aggregator, i.e., aggregating other Operators and exposing CAMARA APIs available at these Operators.

Therefore, the Operator-to-Operator integration model simply represents the same Aggregation model described, the same flows and descriptions apply, but just with an Operator playing the role of Aggregator.

In some cases, an Operator A, acting as the Aggregator, may aggregate its services along with those of other Operators (Operator B, C, etc.). This scenario may simplify the communication flows when Operator A interacts with itself as both an Aggregator and an Operator:

- Internal optimisation: operator A can implement internal optimisations to streamline interactions between its Aggregator and Operator roles.
- Simplified routing: when communicating between Operator A's Aggregator and Operator services, the routing and flow of API calls can be simplified.

## 4 Use cases and Operational User Stories

The OGW Platform as a GSMA Operator Platform realisation (OGW) is designed to provide seamless integration between telecom Operators and external systems such as portals, marketplaces, and aggregators. To support this, the OGW Platform includes a comprehensive set of Operation, Administration, and Management (OAM) capabilities that streamline API management, resource provisioning, and service delivery. These capabilities ensure the OGW Platform supports critical business operations like onboarding Application Service Providers, managing API access, monitoring usage, and handling billing processes. By enabling real-time usage monitoring, flexible billing models, and automated invoicing, the OGW Platform simplifies the management of API consumption and financial transactions, ensuring a smooth and efficient integration process for both telecom Operators and external partners. The following requirements detail the functionalities necessary for the OGW Platform to effectively manage the lifecycle of applications, API access, usage, and billing.

The next section describes the use case requirements for the OGW Platform.

## 4.1 Integration to the OGW Platform

Channel Partners are an ideal go-to-market for Operators seeking to sell their APIs to a broad range of Developers who may not wish to integrate individually with each of them. For more detailed information refer to the GSMA Open Gateway Channel Partner Onboarding Guide [5].

For effective aggregator integration, the OGW Platform shall expose the TMF Operate APIs (e.g., TMF931 [19]). These APIs allow aggregators to handle orchestration and management of resources across different service providers, ensuring standardised communication and interoperability in multi-vendor environments. Additionally, to allow direct connection for Application Service Provider, a dedicated marketplace or portal can be used, providing an interface where developers can easily discover, access, and manage API offerings. This setup will promote a more efficient ecosystem, empowering developers to integrate telecom network services into their applications with minimal friction while ensuring scalability and consistent service quality across platforms.

## 4.2 Developer / Application Service Provider management

### 4.2.1 Application Service Provider Onboarding

The OGW Platform shall enable functionality to onboard new Application Service Providers, allowing them to register their organisation, set up credentials, and configure API offerings that they require for integrating with network services.

### 4.2.2 Application Service Provider Inquiry

The OGW Platform shall provide an inquiry function that allows authorised users to search for and retrieve detailed information about registered Application Service Providers, including their profile, contact information, and active services.

### 4.2.3 Application Service Provider Update

The OGW Platform shall support the functionality for updating Application Service Provider details. This includes changing contact information, updating business credentials, and reflecting these changes across all associated applications and API access.

### 4.2.4 Application Service Provider Deactivation

The OGW Platform shall allow deactivation of an entire Application Service Provider entity, deactivating all associated applications, services, and API access linked to the Application Service Provider in one streamlined process.

## 4.3 Application management

### 4.3.1 Application onboarding

The OGW Platform shall allow existing Application Service Providers to create new applications, providing a user interface for configuring application settings, linking resources, and selecting relevant network capabilities for the application.

### **4.3.2 API Ordering**

The OGW Platform shall enable Application Service Providers to order APIs for their existing applications. This includes the ability to browse available APIs, request access, and configure the integration within the application settings.

### **4.3.3 Application Inquiry**

The OGW Platform shall allow Application Service Providers and administrators to query details about existing applications, such as configuration, status, associated API subscriptions, and usage statistics.

### **4.3.4 Application Update**

The OGW Platform shall provide the ability for Application Service Providers to update the configuration of their applications. This includes modifying application settings, changing API subscriptions, and adjusting resource allocations.

### **4.3.5 API Access Removal**

The OGW Platform shall allow Application Service Providers to remove API access for their applications when no longer needed. The system should manage the de-provisioning of the API, ensuring that access is securely revoked.

### **4.3.6 Application Deactivation**

The OGW Platform shall provide a feature for deactivating applications. This shall include the ability to gracefully deactivate the application, stopping all active services, and revoking API access while preserving application data for future reference in line with local regulatory requirements.

## **4.4 Order management**

### **4.4.1 Product Order Inquiry**

The OGW Platform shall offer functionality to inquire about the status of product orders. This may include real-time updates on order progress, service provisioning, and activation timelines.

### **4.4.2 Product catalogue**

The OGW Platform shall provide a searchable catalogue of products and services, including APIs. Application Service Providers shall be able to view product features, pricing, and service-level agreements, helping them make informed decisions about service subscriptions.

### **4.4.3 API Access Product Modification Ordering**

The OGW Platform shall allow Application Service Providers to modify their existing API access orders. This includes upgrading service tiers, adding new API functionalities, or downgrading to remove unnecessary features.

## 4.5 Catalogue Management

### 4.5.1 API Product definition

The OGW Platform may provide functionality for the definition and management of API products. This includes the ability to define and group individual APIs into products that can be offered to consumers or external partners

### 4.5.2 Catalogue management functions

The OGW Platform may provide comprehensive catalogue management functionality, enabling API product owners to organise, update, and manage API products in a structured manner. This includes categorising APIs, supporting version control, managing access control.

## 4.6 Usage Monitoring

### 4.6.1 Real time usage monitoring

The OGW Platform may provide real-time usage monitoring and reporting capabilities for applications and API access to authorised parties. This shall include metrics such as API call volumes, response times, data consumption, and performance trends, giving Application Service Providers visibility into their resource utilisation.

### 4.6.2 Usage limits

The OGW Platform may support alerts for API usage limits or performance thresholds being reached, enabling proactive management and adjustments by Application Service Providers to avoid service disruption or overuse costs.

## 4.7 Billing and Payment

### 4.7.1 Real time charging

The OGW Platform may support real-time charging information for API usage, providing Application Service Providers with detailed breakdowns of charges based on consumption (e.g., API calls, bandwidth, or data usage).

### 4.7.2 Billing models

The OGW Platform may support multiple billing models, such as pay-per-use, subscription-based, and tiered pricing options, enabling flexibility based on API consumption patterns.

### 4.7.3 Payment gateway integration

The OGW Platform may integrate with a payment gateway to allow for seamless payment processing, including features for managing payment methods (e.g., credit cards, direct debits), invoices, and transaction history.

### 4.7.4 Automated invoicing

The OGW Platform may provide automated invoicing, generating invoices based on API consumption at predefined intervals (e.g., monthly, quarterly). The invoicing should reflect detailed charges and be exportable in various formats

The OGW Platform may allow Application Service Providers to view their billing history and manage overdue payments, including receiving notifications or alerts for pending invoices or reaching usage limits that might incur additional charges.

#### **4.7.5 Audit logs**

The OGW Platform shall provide audit logs for all transactions and may provide logs for billable activities, ensuring compliance with regulations. API Service Performance Monitoring and Assurance.

#### **4.7.6 Real-Time Performance Monitoring**

The OGW Platform shall provide real-time monitoring of critical metrics, including latency, bandwidth, API response times, and application uptime and service performance.

#### **4.7.7 Threshold Configuration**

The OGW Platform may provide functions to set performance thresholds for critical metrics to monitor service performance. These metrics can be varied per API.

The OGW Platform may trigger alerts when these thresholds are breached.

#### **4.7.8 Historical Data and Reporting**

The OGW Platform may store historical performance data and allow to generate reports for analysis adhering to local regulations Platforms

#### **4.7.9 FCAPS management**

The OGW Platform may also implement a comprehensive set of Fault, Configuration, Accounting, Performance, and Security (FCAPS) management functionalities to ensure robust network and service management of the OGW Platform.

### **4.8 Performance Measurement**

#### **4.8.1 Business Outcomes**

Poor API performance can impact API adoption and usage in the following ways:

1. Contributes to poor user experience. Delays in API responses means that application features such as buttons, pages, or data-heavy features (e.g., search, chat, dashboards) appear unresponsive. End-Users perceive the app as slow or broken, even if everything else works correctly.
2. Impacts End-User retention and conversion rates. A Google study [28] found that as page load time goes from 1 second to 3 seconds, the probability of bounce increases 32%. Application Service Providers may leverage Network APIs during critical services such as account sign-up or online payments where conversion metrics are monitored closely. For the Application Service Provider, the financial value of the Network API must outweigh any loss of conversion due to additional latency.

#### **4.8.2 Performance Framework**

This section defines a common methodology, including metrics, to define and measure API performance. Specific requirements on API performance (for example, API Response Time

per API call) are out of scope, however recommended targets are provided, and these are based on best practice in high transactional API industries.

### 4.8.3 Scope

- Metrics and how they are measured are described from the perspective of OGW Platform Owners (Operators) and Aggregators.
- Monitoring for availability should only include successful 'final responses' as deemed appropriate by the API consumer. For example, 200, 204 responses or friendly 400 error responses.
- Exception error responses such as 50x should be excluded.
- Subscription creation, as in Device Status and Geofencing APIs should follow the same metrics.

### 4.8.4 Metrics

Metrics and how they are measured are described from the perspective of OGW Platform Owners and Aggregators.

To measure API performance, the following metrics are used:

Metric	Measure	Unit	Responsible for Measurement
API Response Time	Percentiles P50, P95, P99	Milliseconds	Leading Operator
Total API Latency	Percentiles P50, P95, P99	Milliseconds	API Initiator / Aggregator / Leading Operator
Availability	Percentage of time a given API is available, divided by the total time it's expected to be available, then multiplied by 100.	Percentage	Leading Operator
Success Rate	The number of successful API requests divided by the total number of attempts, then multiplied by 100. 'Success' is defined in section 4.8.11.	Percentage	API Initiator / Aggregator / Leading Operator


**Table 2 Performance Metrics**

Metrics of API Response Time, Total API Latency and Success Rate may be measured using Synthetic Monitoring deployed and maintained by the northbound Aggregators or OGW Platform Owners.

### 4.8.5 API Response Time

#### 4.8.5.1 Model Definition

API Response Time is the delay incurred at an API Endpoint between receiving and responding to an API request.



**Figure 20 API Response Time for an API endpoint.**


API Response Time at a given API endpoint is calculated as follows:

$$\text{API Response Time} = (\text{API Endpoint dispatch epoch} - \text{API Endpoint receive epoch}) * 1000$$

#### 4.8.5.2 API Response Time in the Open Gateway System


The model in the previous section measures the API Response Time for a single API endpoint. An Open Gateway System may involve a sequence of API calls between multiple API endpoints to fulfil the initiating API Consumer's request, as per the scenarios described in section 3. The following legend applies to each diagram:






**Figure 21 Aggregation model (Multiple OGW Platform Owners)**

In the scenario in Figure 21 an Aggregation / Marketplace / Enterprise Platform receives the request from the API Initiator (Application) at its NBI. The interfaces used to fulfil the request are coloured red in the diagram and include an API request to the Telco Finder to determine the Target OGW Platform to route to. API Response Time can be measured at each of these individual interfaces and contribute to the API Response Time measured at the Aggregator / Marketplace / Enterprise Platform NBI. Note this is not the same as the overall API Latency experienced by the API Initiator, which is covered under the heading ‘Total API Latency’ below.



**Figure 22 Partner OGW Platform Owner model (East/West federation)**

The scenario in Figure 22 is similar to the one described in clause 3.1, with one OGW Platform Owner (Operator A) playing the role of the Aggregator. The difference is that in this scenario, the East/Westbound Interface is used to route the request between the Leading OGW Platform Owner (the Operator playing the role of Aggregator) and the Home OGW Platform Owner (the Operator able to fulfil the initial API request, as it is the Home Operator of the target user identifier).



**Figure 23 Direct API Initiator model**

In the scenario in Figure 23, the OGW Platform Owner exposing the NBI to the Application is also the Home Operator of the target user identifier. Fulfilment of the API call will typically involve querying one or more SBIs (e.g. to retrieve data or request a network action) and may involve a Telco Finder API call in establishing that the OGW Platform Owner is the Home Operator. Each SBI has a distinct API endpoint with its own API Response Time. Hence when the NBI queries the SBI(s), the NBI's API Response Time will depend on the API Response Time of the SBI(s), the network delay between NBI and SBI(s), and processing delay at the NBI.

Alternatively, the NBI may fulfil the API request from local cache or throw an immediate exception (4XX or NBI server unavailable), in which case the SBI is not required to be called.

#### 4.8.5.3 Components of API Response Time

- Delay in authorization of the API Consumer's API request (Access Token validation).
- Delay in checking for Consent (and potentially acquiring Consent).
- Network delay, which is itself composed of propagation delay, serialization delay, and network queuing. Note this refers to any network delay in forwarding the API request between Ecosystem Parties, including routing of requests to a roaming customer's home network. It does not include the Internet hop when the initiating API Consumer makes the API request.
- Server infrastructure load (e.g. CPU, memory, bandwidth)
- Route discovery delay, where the Northbound API establishes where to forward the API request (i.e. to the Southbound interface or an East/West interface)


- API fulfilment delay. This is composed of the delay in creating a response to the API request, typically by querying internal network functions. Considerations for fulfilment delay include:
  - The number of actors involved in routing and fulfilling an HTTP request, each adding network delay and additional authorization delay.
  - Synchronous vs. asynchronous API calls. An asynchronous API call may immediately return an 'Accepted' acknowledgement, however, that is not fulfilment.
  - Caching, which may be appropriate for certain APIs but not others.
  - Quality of response - for example, an accurate location lookup would be expected to take longer than an approximate lookup. A server-side error response may be returned quickly but does not fulfil the API call (from the API Consumer's perspective)

#### 4.8.6 Total API Latency

This is the delay experienced by the API Initiator between submitting an API Request and receiving an API response. Where the API Initiator is an ASP (Application Developer), the Total API Latency will be the sum of the API request time northbound from the Application to the Aggregation / Marketplace / Enterprise Platform and to the OGW Platform, plus the response time back to the Application.

The following are factors affecting Total API latency:

- The network speed
- The resource server load
- The resource server geographical location
- The performance of the load balancer
- The size of the data being sent or requested
- The API design



**Figure 24 Total API Latency – Aggregation Model**

To cover the segment including the Application and the internet segments, the API Initiator, Aggregation / Marketplace / Enterprise Platform or Leading OGW Platform Owner may provide external monitoring of metrics to calculate the Total API Latency. These would be achieved through Synthetic Monitoring, via testing applications that are deployed and hosted by the Aggregation / Marketplace / Enterprise Platform or OGW Platform Owner.

#### 4.8.7 Availability

Measured by: Leading Operators

Reporting period time the API is available divided by total time of reporting period, then multiplied by 100.

#### 4.8.8 Success Rate

Measured by: API Initiators / Aggregators / Leading Operators

The number of successful API requests for the reporting period divided by the total number of attempts for the reporting period, then multiplied by 100.

Synthetic monitoring at an API endpoint may be used to measure success rate.

Successful API requests are HTTP 20x response codes containing the desired payload (where ‘desired payload’ means the API publisher has fulfilled a valid request, to an available service, according to the terms of its SLA), or ‘friendly’ 4xx status codes (where ‘friendly’ means the response status and/or response message informs the API Consumer what to do next to retry the request), or a 501 response that means that the API endpoint is not implemented.

Note that the API Consumer may consider the accuracy of the response when determining ‘success’, however that is a qualitative metric rather than performance-based and depends on the SLA between the API Consumer and the OGW Platform Owner.

An API Initiator, Aggregator, or OGW Platform Owner may use synthetic monitoring at an API Endpoint to measure success rate.

The API Initiator, Aggregator and OGW Platform Owner may conduct their own log analysis and compare success rates.

#### 4.8.9 Percentiles

To analyse the data captured in ‘API Response Time’ and ‘Total API Latency’ we can use percentiles.

The data set is sorted in descending order and split into % points. Commonly used percentiles are P50, P95, P99.

- P50, or the Median, is the value below which 50% of the data falls. This is the typical performance of your API.
- P95 is more useful for server applications where the data is more consistent.
- P99, also known as Peak Response Time, is also useful for server applications. It marks the upper limit of the performance.

#### 4.8.10 Considerations for Performance Management

##### 4.8.10.1 Caching

Certain APIs may have caching implemented meaning that performance measurements may be distorted.

#### **4.8.10.2 Leveraging External Systems**

Certain APIs will reuse existing internally facing infrastructure meaning that we expect the performance to be slower than, for example, native cloud applications.

#### **4.8.10.3 Service Distribution**

When Leading OGW Platform is different to Home OGW Platform there is an additional ‘hop’ that will add latency to the Total API Latency.

#### **4.8.10.4 Real time Performance Monitoring**

##### **4.8.10.4.1 Synthetic Monitoring**

Synthetic monitoring is relevant for the following metrics:

1. Total API Latency
2. Success Rate

To cover the production segments including the application and the internet segments, the API Initiator, Aggregator or OGW Platform Owner may conduct Synthetic Monitoring to calculate the metrics of Total API Latency and Availability of production systems. These would be achieved through external testing applications that are deployed and hosted by the API Initiator, Aggregator or OGW Platform Owner. Data logged during Synthetic Monitoring should be shared freely and periodically between parties, and/or during root-cause analysis or a specific issue.

#### **4.8.10.5 Service Status Web Page**

The OGW Platform Owner may provide service status page to increase service awareness to cover the metrics listed in clause 4.8.4.

#### **4.8.10.6 Threshold Configuration**

The OGW Platform Owner may provide functions to set performance thresholds for critical metrics to monitor service performance. These metrics can be varied per API.

The OGW Platform may trigger alerts when these thresholds are breached.

#### **4.8.10.7 Historical Data and Reporting**

The OGW Platform may store historical performance data and allow to generate reports for analysis, whilst adhering to local data protection and privacy regulations.

### **5 Reporting**

OGW Platform shall support collecting, processing and reporting information about the service API invocations as well as about the supporting operations enabling those invocations.

The collected information (either in raw format or aggregated) may be used to generate insights in several scenarios:

- Rating, billing and invoicing processes,
- (Re)-conciliation processes among several parties,

- Analytics-driven decisions,
- Root-cause analysis,
- Auditing processes (e.g., during privacy control checks, etc.)

It is expected that the OGW Platform supports gathering the relevant information across all the interfaces depicted in Figure 1 since the above scenarios may involve interactions among several parties, e.g.:

- Operator and Aggregation/Marketplace/Enterprise Platform (NBI)
- Operator A and Operator B (EWBI)

Additionally, the collected information may need to be aggregated at several granularities, namely (non-exhaustive list):

- Time (e.g. daily or hourly)
- ASP
- Application
- Aggregation/Marketplace/Enterprise Platform (if applicable)
- Product ID / Product Order ID
- Partner OGW Platform (if applicable)
- API name
- API version
- Coarse geographic characteristics

To enable such a collection (and aggregation) of information, the OGW Platform provides the API Management Functions (clause 2.2.1.1) and API Gateway Functions (clause 2.2.1.2) to support:

- API Monitoring
- API Usage Data Generation
- API Logging and Tracing
- API Metrics Generation
- API Audit Logging

In order to protect the privacy of the End-Users, the reporting information to be exchanged by the OGW Platform with other parties must not include any Personally Identifiable Information (PII) data.

A recommended set of reporting attributes has been identified based on [20], [5], [21], [22], [19] and clause 2.2.1.4.1 and is presented in clause 5.1 for usage reporting, clause 5.2 for administrative reporting and clause 5.3 for CSP-internal handling.

## 5.1 Usage reporting attributes

As stated in [19], a service API may be considered a product from TM Forum perspective.

Note: TM Forum is defining the specification for TMF 937 Operate API – Usage for usage reports exchange between OGW Platform and Aggregation/Marketplace/Enterprise Platform. Therefore alignment of terms and definitions may be needed in the future.

In accordance with [23] (but also [25], [24]), the management of usage information (i.e., creation, update, retrieval, import and export) builds on:

- product usage specification(s): the set of usage characteristics that are of interest to the business (and may have associated charges). There may be one or more usage specifications per service API.
- product usage(s): a record that registers the usage of a product (i.e. the service API consumption by an application). This record shall include a reference to the area established through a contract between these parties.

The consumption of API products may be based on request/response or subscribe/notify patterns.

- For request/response patterns, consumption may be influenced by the values of certain parameters in the API request (e.g. bandwidth, duration, location accuracy, information age, ...),
- For subscribe/notify pattern, it may be possible to additionally set thresholds targeting specific attributes in Table 3.

Category	Attribute	Possible Consumer
Data traffic usage in the Operator's Network [21]	Data Volume imposed to the network due to the service API consumption	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)
	Duration of the session requested through the service API	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)
Service API invocation (both exchange patterns) [21]	Identity of the service API consumer e.g., ASP ID and Application ID	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)
	API name	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)
	API version	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)
	Targeted resource	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)
	Full URI of the targeted API resource (see Note 2)	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)
	Protocol invoked (e.g., HTTP 1.1., HTTP 2, MQTT, WEBSOCKET)	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)
	HTTP verb	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)
	HTTP status code	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)
	List of input parameters. May include (when applicable): purpose, scope, ... (see Note 2)	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)
	List of output parameters (see Note 1 and Note 2)	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)

**Table 3: Usage reporting attributes**

- Note 1: Some parameters may signal a differentiated treatment/outcome. If so, they should be collected/logged consistently. For instance, consider the case of regression error in a forecast (for the ConnectivityInsights APIs) or location accuracy (for the DeviceLocation APIs).
- Note 2: The information exchange among ecosystem parties is possible as long as the respective privacy controls are in place and enforced by the involved parties so no PII is shared.

## 5.2 Administrative reporting attributes

Table 4 presents a list of administrative reporting attributes which may be shared with other parties but are not necessarily billable.

Categor y	Attribute	Possible Consumer
Operatio nal Aspects	User identifier to Operator identifier Resolution (Telco Finder API)	Partner OGW Platform(s)
	Portability Search Mode (Telco Finder API)	Partner OGW Platform(s)
	Getting Routing Rules (Telco Routing API)	Partner OGW Platform(s)
	Resolution under portability scenarios (Network Id API)	Partner OGW Platform(s)
	API Product Order State Change Event (see Note 3)	ASP, Aggregator
	Application Approval Status Change Event (see Note 3)	ASP, Aggregator
	Application Owner Approval Status Change Event (see Note 3)	ASP, Aggregator
	Access Control Policies Change Event	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform
Service API invocation (both exchange patterns)	Service API Availability Change Event	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform
	Time elapsed between API request and response	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)
Event Notificati on	List of all forwarding entities between service API consumer and API producer (see [26])	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)
	Event Notifications related to the successful/failed of service APIs consumption	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)
Operatio nal Event	API Product Offering Create Event	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)

Notifications	API Product Offering Attribute Value Change Event	ASP, Aggregator, Partner OGW Platform(s)
---------------	---	--

**Table 4: Administrative reporting attributes**

Note 3: This attribute may be alternatively categorized as “Service activation”-related [21]: as part of the ASP onboarding process [19], it is possible to generate (one time/recurring) service activation fees.

### 5.3 CSP-internal reporting attributes

Not all the attributes are meant to be shared with other parties due (for instance) to:

- privacy constraints, or
- they mainly provide insights for optimizing internal operational aspects, or for internal root-cause-analysis purposes.

Table 5 provides a set of attributes which are categorized as non-shareable.

Category	Attribute
Service API invocation	Source [ipv4Addr:port, ipv6Addr:port, fqdn...]
	Destination [ipv4Addr:port, ipv6Addr:port, fqdn...]
Operational Aspects	ASP Onboarding
	ASP Inquiry/Modification
	ASP Deactivation
	ASP Approval Status
	ASP Approval Delay
	Application Onboarding
	Application Inquiry/Modification
	Application Deactivation
	Application Approval Status
	Application Approval Delay
	API Product Offering Creation
	API Product Offering Inquiry
	API Product Order Creation
	API Product Order Inquiry/Modification
	API Access Removal
	User identifier to Operator identifier Resolution Delay (Telco Finder API)
	Getting Routing Rules Delay (Telco Routing API)
	Resolution delay under portability scenarios (Network Id API)
Operational Event Notifications	API Product Order Create Event
	API Product Order Attribute Value Change Event
	Application Create Event

	Application Attribute Value Change Event
	Application Owner Create Event
	Application Owner Attribute Value Change Event


**Table 5: CSP-internal attributes**

## 6 MVNO implementation

Mobile Virtual Network Operators (MVNOs) function by leasing network capacity from traditional Mobile Network Operators (MNOs) instead of owning their own infrastructure. MVNOs can employ different business models, each with specific characteristics and operational scopes. There are several challenges in the open gateway ecosystem for MVNOs, depending on their operational model.

### 6.1 Types of MVNOs

The various business models organize MVNOs into specific categories. These categories determine the technical architecture for their deployment.

**Figure 25 MVNO Categories**

- **Full MVNO**

A Full MVNO (Mobile Virtual Network Operator) operates almost like a traditional MNO (Mobile Network Operator) but without owning the radio spectrum or RAN infrastructure. They own BSS stack and most core network infrastructure elements, including the Home Location Register (HLR) / Home Subscriber Server (HSS), the Authentication Centre (AuC) / AUthentication Server Function (AUSF), and other systems to manage Subscriber information. This grants them greater control over their service, pricing, and customer experience.

- **Thin MVNO**

A Thin MVNO, sometimes referred to as a Light MVNO, relies more heavily on the infrastructure and systems of the MNO. They do not own as many network elements (or network functions) as a Full MVNO and usually depend on their partner MNO for

much of their service delivery and operational needs. They usually own the BSS stack. This model typically involves less investment and lower operational complexity.

- **Reseller**

A Reseller or MVNO does not own any network infrastructure; instead, it simply resells the mobile services provided by an MNO. This type of MVNO focuses on marketing, sales, and customer service, offering branded mobile services without the need for technical investments in network assets.

- **MVNE**

An Aggregator MVNO serves as an intermediary between one or more MNOs and other MVNOs, providing wholesale network access and possibly additional services such as billing and customer support.

- **Multi tenanted MVO**


A multi-tenanted MVNO (Mobile Virtual Network Operator) is an MVNO that leverages wholesale network access from multiple Mobile Network Operators (MNOs), often across different geographic regions or for specific service quality/cost advantages.

## **6.2 Open gateway MVNO deployment models**

The various models offer different levels of control over the infrastructure, services offered, and the data required to fulfil the requirements set by the different APIs.

### **6.2.1 Resellers**

Resellers typically do not own their own infrastructure and rely on the MNO's core network and BSS stack to serve their customers. It is recommended that they utilize the MNO's OGW Platform for service delivery.



**Figure 26 Reseller deployment**

It is recommended that for any data transfer requirements from the MVNO to the MNO to fulfil API requirements utilize the existing Network-to-Network or BSS-to-BSS interface (NNI\*).

The Telco Finder utilizes IP addresses and MSISDNs to identify the OGW Platform. This process is relatively straightforward in this case as the MVNO employs the MNO's IMSI and IP address ranges. For the detailed process please refer to section 2.2.1.4.1.

Resellers using the services of an aggregator would rely on the MVNEs OGW Platform.

## 6.2.2 Thin MVNOs

As Thin MVNOs own some infrastructure their capability is likely greater to host their own OGW Platform however they can utilize the MNOs OGW Platform as well. Using their own OGW Platform would reduce the dependency on the MNO for some services.

### 6.2.2.1 Thin MVNO deployment with OGW Platform in MNO

For smaller Thin MVNOs, it may be more practical to use the MNOs OGW Platform as it could be more cost-effective. The deployment model would be identical to the option described in the section 6.2.1.


**Note:** The need to transfer data from the MNO to the MVNO to fulfil the services required by some APIs is greater than in the reseller case.

To meet API requirements, it is recommended that any necessary data transfers from the MVNO to the MNO utilize the existing Network-to-Network or BSS-to-BSS interface (NNI\*).

The process to locate the correct OGW Platform is identical to the resellers case described in section 6.2.1.

### 6.2.3 Thin MVNO deployment with OGW Platform in MVNO

For larger MVNOs it could be more practical to deploy their own OGW Platform. In this case dependent of service offerings information may need to be transferred from the MNO to the MVNO.



**Figure 27 OGW Platform in Thin MVNO**

It is recommended that for any data transfer requirements from the MVNO to the MNO to fulfil API requirements the existing Network-to-Network / BSS-to-BSS interface used (NNI\*).

In this scenario as the MVNO using IMSI and IP address ranges from the MNO it is necessary to utilize the secondary Telco Finder function described in clause 2.2.1.4.1.3.

### 6.2.4 Full MVNOs and MVNEs

Since Full MVNOs typically own the entire core network and BSS stack, they are well-positioned to deploy their own OGW Platform. However, they also have the option to use the MNO-hosted OGW Platform. Deploying their own OGW Platform minimizes reliance on the MNO for most services.

#### 6.2.4.1 Full MVNO deployment with OGW Platform in MNO

Similar to the Thin MVNO scenario for smaller Full MVNOs, leveraging the MNO's OGW Platform may be a more practical and cost-effective solution. The deployment approach would align with the option outlined in Section 6.2.1.


**Note:** The need to transfer data from the MNO to the MVNO to support certain API-driven services will increase significantly.

To meet API requirements, it is recommended that any necessary data transfers from the MVNO to the MNO utilize the existing Network-to-Network or BSS-to-BSS interface (NNI\*).

The method for identifying the appropriate OGW Platform follows the approach used for resellers, as detailed in Section 6.2.1. In this case, the MVNO's IMSI and IP address ranges should be directed to the MNO's OGW.

#### 6.2.4.2 Full MVNO deployment with OGW Platform in MVNO

Deploying the OGW Platform in their own infrastructure provides clear advantages to a larger MVNO by reducing the reliance on the MNO for API services and provides more flexibility in the future.



**Figure 28 OGW Platform in Full MVNO**

The method for identifying the appropriate OGW Platform follows the standard approach as the MVNO in this instance using their OWN IMSI and IP ranges.

To meet API requirements, it is recommended that any necessary data transfers from the MVNO to the MNO utilize the existing Network-to-Network or BSS-to-BSS interface (NNI\*).

#### 6.2.5 Multi tenanted MVNOs

##### 6.2.5.1 Resellers


In the multi tenanted reseller model the recommended deployment model is the same as described in section 6.2.1.

For services requiring reserved MNO resources (e.g., QoS), dynamic MNO switching for a Subscriber is not recommended. Differentiated services will cease if the Subscriber moves to another MNO.

##### 6.2.5.2 Thin MVNO

The recommended Thin MVNO deployment model closely follows the standard Thin VMNO model where the MVNO deploys their own MVNO platform. As the MVNO would utilize a

multi IMSI model to switch between MNOs the Telco Finder would retrieve the routing information from the MNOs secondary Telco Finder to route the request to the MNO.




**Figure 29 Multi tenanted Thin MVNO**

For services requiring reserved MNO resources (e.g., QoS), dynamic MNO switching for a Subscriber requires the MVNO to request services again from the new MNO dependent on SLAs this may cause a break in the differentiated services.

Note: The scenario where OGW Platform is not deployed by the MVNO is for further study

#### 6.2.5.3 Thick MVNO

The recommended deployment option closely follows the Thick MVNO model.



**Figure 30 Multi tenanted Thick MVNO**

For services requiring reserved MNO resources (e.g., QoS), dynamic MNO switching for a Subscriber requires the MVNO to request services again from the new MNO dependent on SLAs this may cause a break in the differentiated services.

#### Key Considerations for Multi-Tenanted MVNOs:

- **Dynamic Subscriber switching:** When the Subscriber can move freely between MNOs it is crucial to have the relevant agreements in place with all MNOs to provide a consistent user experience.
- **Billing and Charging:** Ensuring accurate and fair billing across multiple MNOs for API consumption, especially when dynamically switching networks, is a complex but vital aspect.
- **Data Consistency and Sync:** Maintaining a consistent view of Subscriber data and Consent across potentially disparate MNO systems via APIs is challenging. The recommendation is that for Multi tenanted MVNOs the MVNO holds the Consent for each of its Subscribers.
- **QoS Alignment:** If an MVNO promises certain QoS levels, its Open Gateway Platform implementation needs to ensure that the underlying MNOs can deliver on that intent, potentially using QoS APIs.

### 6.3 Summary

MVNOs have the flexibility to deploy the OGW Platform with varying levels of reliance on the MNO, depending on their network architecture and operational strategy. A Full MVNO with its own core network and BSS stack can implement an independent OGW Platform, minimizing dependency on the MNO for most services. Conversely, a Thin MVNO, which relies heavily on the MNO's infrastructure, may opt to use the MNO-hosted OGW Platform for cost efficiency and streamlined integration. The degree of reliance is influenced by

factors such as operational control, cost considerations, regulatory requirements, and the level of customization needed for service offerings.

## 6.4 Limitations

The following topics require further study:

- Privacy management implications in certain scenarios
- Integration of MVNOs using the EWBI interface

# 7 Minimum Viable Product

To ensure interoperability between various implementations of the OGW Platform the following section outlines the critical components and functional scope recommended for the deployment. This includes guidelines for API management, security protocols, and the effective operation and discovery of APIs.

## 7.1 API Functional Scope (CAMARA Conformance)

Adhere to a clear API versioning and deprecation policy. META releases are the target, and all API implementations should be uplifted within a 6-month window from the corresponding META release. Older API versions, if supported, should be maintained for at least one year for stable releases and a minimum of 6 months for non-stable releases. Implementations should be prepared for quicker deprecation cycles due to security or privacy considerations.

## 7.2 Operate APIs (TMF 931)

CSPs and Aggregators implementing Open Gateway APIs should ensure they are kept up to date with the latest TMF 931 releases. Specifically, all deployments should be updated within 6 months of a new major release from the standards body.

## 7.3 Authorization

A robust security framework based on 3-Legged Access Tokens should be implemented. This protects user data, facilitates proper Consent management, and safeguards Operator networks. Security must be a primary concern, especially when accessing sensitive information.

## 7.4 Privacy and Consent

API implementations should comply with all applicable local, legal, and regulatory requirements concerning data privacy and protection.

A robust mechanism should be implemented to obtain explicit and informed user Consent for all data access and usage if mandated by local regulation and when needed. This Consent mechanism should be transparent and easy to understand.

# 8 Roaming


Roaming introduces unique challenges for implementing CAMARA APIs across multi-Operator environments, where maintaining service continuity, enforcing consistent policies, and ensuring accurate data exposure require enhanced coordination between home and visited networks. When considering the implementation of these APIs in roaming scenarios,

two main challenges must be addressed. The first is technical, involving the need to guarantee that the API operates seamlessly from the home network to the visited network and across any intermediary transit Operators. The second challenge pertains to navigating a complex set of legal and regulatory frameworks across various jurisdictions; as user data and network activities cross international borders, it is vital to clarify issues concerning data privacy, Consent, cross-border access, and inter-Operator agreements.

This section outlines:

- The implications of roaming on API behaviour and availability
- API categorization
- Federation requirements

The high-level roaming scenario for the Open Gateway Platform depicted in Figure 31.



**Figure 31 High level roaming scenario**

## 8.1 Implications on API behaviour and availability

When a Subscriber roams outside their home network, the behaviour of CAMARA APIs—and the data they can expose—can change significantly due to network boundaries, regulatory constraints, and technical dependencies. The effectiveness and accuracy of API responses may vary depending on whether the required data resides in the home network, the visited network, or must be coordinated across both.

To manage API availability across complex cross-border regulations, it is recommended that Operators (both home and visited networks) implement a jurisdictional whitelist/blacklist system. This framework helps enforce compliance by pre-approving permitted APIs (whitelisting) and blocking known non-compliant ones (blacklisting) on a per-country basis. While this system provides a technical control, each Operator must still make its own final legal judgment on whether to offer an API in any specific roaming context, as the ultimate responsibility for compliance rests with them.

To manage API availability upon application requirements, it is recommended that Operators implement a mechanism to provision application requirements with respect roaming conditions, e.g., white lists or black lists whether an application is willing to be served or not served, and if appropriate, duly charged, when the UE is roaming

## 8.2 API categorization

The APIs can be categorized based on several factors These factors can highly influence the technical and regulatory complexity of the API implementation.

**Data Locality:** Describes where the necessary data for the API resides—either in the home network, the visited network, or both.

- In roaming, data such as real-time location, session telemetry, and RAN-related metrics typically reside in the visited network. Depending on the requested accuracy (e.g. "PLMN" level) the metrics can be served from the home network.
- Home network APIs may not have direct access to this data unless federation or data synchronization mechanisms are standardized and implemented.

**Execution Locality:** Indicates where the API logic or service must be executed to ensure proper functioning—home, visited, or edge environment.

- Edge services (e.g., MEC APIs) and QoS enforcement need to execute close to the user to meet latency, bandwidth, or control requirements.
- APIs that are "location-aware" (e.g., geofencing) require network-local execution for timely and accurate event processing.

**Latency Sensitivity:** Reflects whether the API requires low-latency response times (e.g., real-time control or user-facing experiences).

- APIs like Quality on Demand or Edge Discovery are often tied to End-User experiences (e.g., gaming, streaming, or XR) and are highly delay sensitive.
- Latency introduced by home-visited network hops may break QoE expectations.

**Privacy & Regulatory Sensitivity:** Determines if the API handles personally identifiable information (PII), location data, or other regulated attributes that require Consent and legal safeguards.

- Location APIs and identity verification functions may invoke GDPR, ePrivacy, or other local data protection frameworks.
- Consent mechanisms, audit trails, and cross-border data transfer governance become mandatory.


**Federation Dependency:** Highlights whether API functionality depends on federation between home and visited Operators to access real-time data or network functions.

- APIs such as Location Retrieval or QoS Management cannot deliver valid results without visited network collaboration.
- This requires OGW Platforms to federate API exposure and trust models (e.g., via EWBI).
- The dependency relies on the underlying technology and the established roaming agreements between the home and visited networks on a per-API basis.

### 8.3 Federation requirements

In any scenario where the home Operator cannot fulfil the API requirement due to either the data locality or the execution locality in the visited network the home Operator must request the data or resource allocation from the visited network. Most of the requests can be managed using existing Network-to-Network Interfaces (NNI) depending on roaming agreements and the technology involved

Figure 32 provides an example model for home network Operators to handle incoming CAMARA API calls for their roaming subscribers.



**Figure 32 Example model for handling CAMARA API calls in roaming scenarios**

## Annex A Telco Finder-related API specifications

### A.1 Telco Finder API specification (OpenAPI Specification format)

```
openapi: 3.0.3
```

```
#####
#                               API Information
#####
info:
  title: Telco Finder API
  version: '1.0.0-wip'
  description: |
    Telco Finder allows consumers to discover information about the operator to which a target
    user belongs.

  Consumers invoke the `search` endpoint to discover the owning operator of a specific user.
  Detailed information about API functionality and usage is contained below within the path
  description.

  license:
    name: Apache 2.0
    url: https://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0.html

  termsOfService: "TBD"

  contact:
    name: Telco Finder Support
    url: https://tbc.com
    email: tbc@tbc.com

#####
#                               Server Definitions
#####
servers:
  - url: "https://{{baseUrl}}:{{port}}/{{domainContext}}/{{apiVersion}}"
    description: Definition of the server URL

variables:
  baseUrl:
    default: localhost
    description: Machine name or base URL

  port:
    enum:
      - '443'
    default: '443'
    description: Listening port of the service

  domainContext:
    default: telco-finder
    description: Domain context

  apiVersion:
    default: "v1"
    description: Major version of semantic versioning

#####
#                               Tags
#####

```

```
tags:
  - name: Telco Finder search
    description: Search API for resources

#####
# Path Definitions #
#####

paths:

/search:
  post:
    summary: Create a request to search for the operator that owns a specific user
    tags:
      - Telco Finder search
    security:
      - openId:
        - telco-finder:search
    parameters:
      - $ref: '#/components/parameters/x-correlator'
    requestBody:
      required: true
      description:
        This operation retrieves information about the operator associated with a given
user.

<br/><br/>**Request:**
```

User information is conveyed within the JSON payload via the `target` object. This object comprises of multiple optional fields to identify a target user (`phoneNumber`, `ipv4Address`, `ipv6Address`). Consumers have the option to control the response verbosity using the `includeApiRoot` and `includeAuthProviderConfiguration` boolean fields within the request. These fields dictate whether the response includes the operator's API root URL and authorisation server discovery endpoint data.

In regions with Mobile Number Portability, consumers have the option to control a phone number search mode by setting the `portabilitySearchMode` enum. This provides 2 options - a Shallow search mode and a Deep search mode. The shallow option directs Telco Finder to search only its internal records (e.g. cache). This method can be preferred to avoid higher monetary costs associated with extended searches. The full search triggers a comprehensive search against all external systems, providing more thorough results at a potentially higher cost and ensuring up-to-date information by bypassing stale cached data.

\*\*Response:\*\*

The data returned by Telco Finder -

\* \*\*Operator ID:\*\* The operator to which the target user belongs. This field will always be returned in the response.

\* \*\*API Root of the Operator:\*\* The root URL of the API Gateway managed by the owning operator. This field is false by default but can be included in the response by setting the request field `includeApiRoot` to true.

\* \*\*Authorisation server discovery endpoint:\*\* The discovery endpoint of the operator's authorisation server. This is a standardised URL in [OpenID Connect] ([https://openid.net/specs/openid-connect-discovery-1\\_0.html#ProviderMetadata](https://openid.net/specs/openid-connect-discovery-1_0.html#ProviderMetadata)) and [OAuth 2.0] (<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc8414#section-3>) that allows clients to dynamically retrieve configuration metadata about the authorisation server. This field is false by default but can be included in the response by setting the request field `includeAuthProviderConfiguration` to true.

\*\*Rationale for optional fields:\*\* The `includeApiRoot` and `includeAuthProviderConfiguration` request fields allow consumers to optimise the response based on their specific needs. By default, only minimal information is returned (Operator ID)

## Official Document OPG.10 – Open Gateway Technical Realisation Guidelines

to minimise computational costs. If a consumer is interested in further information, they can set the aforementioned field values to 'true'.

```
content:
  application/json:
    schema:
      $ref: "#/components/schemas/TelcoFinderSearchRequestBody"
    examples:
      Phone number without filters:
        summary: Search using phone number
        description: A request that contains only mandatory fields (the `target` object). Boolean filters are not specified in the request, so default values will be used (false) for `includeApiRoot` and `includeAuthProviderConfiguration` - meaning minimal results will be returned in response.
        value:
          target:
            phoneNumber: "+447709558432"
      IPv4 address with filters:
        summary: Search using IPv4 address with filters to control response granularity
        description: A request that contains the optional boolean fields `includeApiRoot` and `includeAuthProviderConfiguration` to control the response granularity. Setting both filters with a value of true means that the response will contain 2 additional result fields - `apiRoot` and `authProviderConfiguration`.
        value:
          target:
            ipv4Address:
              publicAddress: "84.125.93.10"
              publicPort: 59765
              includeApiRoot: true
              includeAuthProviderConfiguration: true
      Phone number with MNP Mode:
        summary: Specifying an MNP search mode
        description: A request for a phone number search in an MNP region, where the search mode specified is a Shallow search
        value:
          target:
            phoneNumber: "+447709558432"
            portabilitySearchMode: "SHALLOW"
      All request fields:
        summary: Specifying all fields
        description: A request containing all request fields (mandatory + optional).
        value:
          target:
            phoneNumber: "+447709558432"
            includeApiRoot: true
            includeAuthProviderConfiguration: true
            portabilitySearchMode: "SHALLOW"

responses:
  "200":
    description: OK
    headers:
      x-correlator:
        $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'
    content:
      application/json:
        schema:
          $ref: "#/components/schemas/TelcoFinderSearchResponseBody"

    examples:
      Default response - only operator ID returned:
        summary: Default response - Only operator ID returned
        description: Response where only operator ID is returned because the consumer did not set the boolean filters to true
        value:
          operatorId: OPERATOR_ID
```

## Official Document OPG.10 – Open Gateway Technical Realisation Guidelines

```
All fields returned:  
    summary: Response with all fields returned  
    description: Response where all fields are returned because `apiRoot` and  
`authProviderConfiguration` were both set to true in request payload  
    value:  
        operatorId: OPERATOR_ID  
        apiRoot: https://example.operator.com  
        authProviderConfiguration: https://auth.operator.com/.well-known/openid-  
configuration  
  
"400":  
    $ref: "#/components/responses/Generic400"  
  
"401":  
    $ref: "#/components/responses/Generic401"  
  
"422":  
    $ref: "#/components/responses/Generic422"  
  
"403":  
    $ref: "#/components/responses/Generic403"  
  
"404":  
    $ref: "#/components/responses/Generic404"  
  
"500":  
    $ref: "#/components/responses/Generic500"  
  
"503":  
    $ref: "#/components/responses/Generic503"  
  
default:  
    description: Generic Error  
    headers:  
        x-correlator:  
            $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'  
  
#####  
#          Component Definitions          #  
#####  
  
components:  
  
#-----#  
#          Headers Definitions          #  
#-----#  
    headers:  
  
        x-correlator:  
            description: Correlation id for the different services  
            schema:  
                type: string  
  
#-----#  
#          Parameters Definitions         #  
#-----#  
    parameters:  
  
        x-correlator:  
            name: x-correlator  
            in: header  
            description: Correlation id for the different services  
            schema:  
                type: string  
  
#-----#  
#          Schema Definitions           #  
#-----#
```

```
#-----#
schemas:

  ErrorInfo:
    type: object
    required:
      - status
      - code
      - message
    properties:
      status:
        type: integer
        description: HTTP status code returned along with this error response
      code:
        type: string
        description: Code given to this error
      message:
        type: string
        description: Detailed error description

  Target:
    description: |
      Represents the user that is the target of the search request. The API consumer can choose to provide one of the the below specified target user identifiers:
      * `phoneNumber`
      * `ipv4Address`
      * `ipv6Address`

    type: object
    properties:
      phoneNumber:
        $ref: "#/components/schemas/PhoneNumber"
      ipv4Address:
        $ref: "#/components/schemas/DeviceIpv4Addr"
      ipv6Address:
        $ref: "#/components/schemas/DeviceIpv6Address"
    minProperties: 1
    maxProperties: 1

  PhoneNumber:
    description: A public identifier addressing a telephone subscription. In mobile networks it corresponds to the MSISDN (Mobile Station International Subscriber Directory Number). In order to be globally unique it has to be formatted in international format, according to E.164 standard, prefixed with '+'.
    type: string
    pattern: '^\+[1-9][0-9]{4,14}$'
    example: "+123456789"

  DeviceIpv4Addr:
    type: object
    description: |
      The user device should be identified by either the public (observed) IP address and port as seen by the application server, or the private (local) and any public (observed) IP addresses in use by the device (this information can be obtained by various means, for example from some DNS servers).

      If the allocated and observed IP addresses are the same (i.e. NAT is not in use) then the same address should be specified for both publicAddress and privateAddress.

      If NAT64 is in use, the device should be identified by its publicAddress and publicPort, or separately by its allocated IPv6 address (field ipv6Address of the Device object)

      In all cases, publicAddress must be specified, along with at least one of either privateAddress or publicPort, dependent upon which is known. In general, mobile devices cannot be identified by their public IPv4 address alone.

    properties:
      publicAddress:
```

```
$ref: "#/components/schemas/SingleIpv4Addr"
privateAddress:
  $ref: "#/components/schemas/SingleIpv4Addr"
publicPort:
  $ref: "#/components/schemas/Port"
anyOf:
  - required: [publicAddress, privateAddress]
  - required: [publicAddress, publicPort]
example:
  publicAddress: "84.125.93.10"
  publicPort: 59765

SingleIpv4Addr:
description: A single IPv4 address with no subnet mask
type: string
format: ipv4
example: "84.125.93.10"

Port:
description: TCP or UDP port number
type: integer
minimum: 0
maximum: 65535

DeviceIpv6Address:
description: |
  The user device should be identified by the observed IPv6 address, or by any single
  IPv6 address from within the subnet allocated to the device (e.g. adding ::0 to the /64
  prefix).
type: string
format: ipv6
example: 2001:db8:85a3:8d3:1319:8a2e:370:7344

IncludeAPIRoot:
description: |
  Boolean filter to control whether the response includes the Operator's API gateway
root URL. By default, the root URL is not included.
type: boolean
default: false
example: true

includeAuthProviderConfiguration:
description: |
  Boolean filter to indicate whether the response should contain the discovery endpoint
of the Operator's authorisation server
type: boolean
default: false
example: true

PortabilitySearchMode:
description: |
  For use in regions with Mobile Number Portability. This optional field represents the
consumers preference when performing phone number searches. The shallow option directs Telco
Finder to search only its internal records (e.g. cache). This method can be preferred to avoid
higher monetary costs associated with extended searches. The full search triggers a
comprehensive search against all external systems, providing more thorough results at a
potentially higher cost and ensuring up-to-date information by bypassing stale cached data.
type: string
enum: ["SHALLOW", "DEEP"]
example: "SHALLOW"
nullable: true

#-----#
#          Request & Response Payload Definitions
#-----#
```

TelcoFinderSearchRequestBody:

```
type: object
required:
  - target
properties:
  target:
    $ref: "#/components/schemas/Target"
  includeApiRoot:
    $ref: "#/components/schemas/IncludeAPIRoot"
  includeAuthProviderConfiguration:
    $ref: "#/components/schemas/includeAuthProviderConfiguration"
  portabilitySearchMode:
    $ref: "#/components/schemas/PortabilitySearchMode"
```

TelcoFinderSearchResponseBody:

```
type: object
required:
  - operatorId
properties:
  operatorId:
    type: string
  apiRoot:
    type: string
  authProviderConfiguration:
    type: string
additionalProperties: false
```

```
#-----#
#          4xx and 5xx Error Response Definitions      #
#-----#
```

responses:

```
Generic400:
  description: Invalid input
  headers:
    x-correlator:
      $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'
  content:
    application/json:
      schema:
        $ref: '#/components/schemas/ErrorInfo'
      example:
        status: 400
        code: INVALID_ARGUMENT
        message: 'Invalid input'
```

```
Generic401:
  description: Unauthorized
  headers:
    x-correlator:
      $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'
  content:
    application/json:
      schema:
        $ref: '#/components/schemas/ErrorInfo'
      example:
        status: 401
        code: AUTHENTICATION_REQUIRED
        message: 'Authentication required'
```

```
Generic422:
  description: Target not identified by operator. For example, IP is not in range
               supported by Telco Finder.
  headers:
```

```
x-correlator:  
  $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'  
content:  
  application/json:  
    schema:  
      $ref: '#/components/schemas/ErrorInfo'  
    example:  
      status: 422  
      code: TARGET_NOT_APPLICABLE  
      message: 'The service is not available for the requested target.'  
  
Generic403:  
  description: Forbidden  
  headers:  
    x-correlator:  
      $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'  
  content:  
    application/json:  
      schema:  
        $ref: '#/components/schemas/ErrorInfo'  
      example:  
        status: 403  
        code: PERMISSION_DENIED  
        message: 'Operation not allowed'  
  
Generic404:  
  description: Not found  
  headers:  
    x-correlator:  
      $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'  
  content:  
    application/json:  
      schema:  
        $ref: '#/components/schemas/ErrorInfo'  
      example:  
        status: 404  
        code: NOT_FOUND  
        message: 'The specified resource is not found'  
  
Generic500:  
  description: Internal server error  
  headers:  
    x-correlator:  
      $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'  
  content:  
    application/json:  
      schema:  
        $ref: '#/components/schemas/ErrorInfo'  
      example:  
        status: 500  
        code: INTERNAL  
        message: 'Internal server error'  
  
Generic503:  
  description: Service unavailable  
  headers:  
    x-correlator:  
      $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'  
  content:  
    application/json:  
      schema:  
        $ref: '#/components/schemas/ErrorInfo'  
      example:  
        status: 503  
        code: UNAVAILABLE  
        message: 'Service unavailable'
```

```
#-----#
#           Security Schemes          #
#-----#
```

```
securitySchemes:
  openId:
    type: openIdConnect
    openIdConnectUrl: ./well-known/openid-configuration
```

## A.2 Routing API specification (OpenAPI Specification format)

```
openapi: 3.0.0
info:
  title: API to provide Telco-Finder with Operator's routing rules
  description: |
```

This is the definition of the [GSMA Telco Routing API] (<https://github.com/GSMA-Open-Gateway/Open-Gateway-Documents/blob/main/Chapters/Chapter%2005.md#telco-routing-api>).

# Relevant Definitions and concepts

- \* \*\*Telco Finder\*\*: allows any component of the Open Gateway architecture to know information about the operator to which a user belongs as well as the endpoints that it will have to use if it wants to carry out any operation about their.
- \* \*\*Telco Proxy\*\*: Component in the Open Gateway Architecture which redirects Application API calls to the proper Operator API based on the end-user id. It uses Telco Finder to look for the end-user's operator.
- \* \*\*MSISDN\*\*: Mobile Station Integrated Service Digital Network, phone number.
- \* \*\*MCC\*\*: Mobile Country Code, consists of three decimal digits, the first of which identifies the geographic region.
- \* \*\*MNC\*\*: Mobile Network Code, consists of two or three decimal digits.
- \* \*\*Telco Finder Routing Rule\*\*: mapping rule which matches a range of user IDs (IP address, MSISDN prefix or network ID) to a static operator resolution (operator name and related links) or to a dynamic resolution which requires a second level resolution.

# API Functionality

Telco Routing API provides Telco Finder a set of routing rules to find the operator owning an end-user (identified by MSISDN or IP/port).

The Telco Finder aggregates routing rules from Operators and creates a regional routing table to resolve search queries from a Telco Proxy.

In countries where number portability is required, MSISDN are mapped onto network IDs.

Each operator provides an endpoint of Telco Routing API which provides routing rules. Each routing rule is represented by a JSON Object with next members:

- \* `ipv4`: array of strings in CIDR notation. List of IP V4 ranges (example: `23.124.1.200/20`).
- \* `ipv6`: array of strings in CIDR notation. List of IP V6 ranges (example: `ff22:0:0:ab:23:1a:346:7332/64`).
- \* `msisdnPrefix`: array of strings representing a msisdn prefix starting by the country code (example: `+100234`)
- \* `network`: array of strings representing a MCC\_MNC code (example: `23401`)
- \* `static`: JSON Object representing a static routing rule which is equivalent to the Telco Finder result components:

## Official Document OPG.10 – Open Gateway Technical Realisation Guidelines

- \* `operatorId`: operator brand of owning the end-user.
- \* `apiRoot`: the root URL of the API Gateway managed by the operator.
- \* `authProviderConfiguration`: the discovery endpoint of the operator's authorisation server. This is a standardised URL in [OpenID Connect] ([https://openid.net/specs/openid-connect-discovery-1\\_0.html#ProviderMetadata](https://openid.net/specs/openid-connect-discovery-1_0.html#ProviderMetadata)) and [OAuth 2.0] (<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc8414#section-3>) that allows clients to dynamically retrieve configuration metadata about the authorisation server.
- \* `dynamic`: JSON Object representing the reference to a second level Telco Finder endpoint to resolve multi-brand routing:
  - \* `authProviderConfiguration`: the discovery endpoint of the operator's authorisation server. This is a standardised URL in [OpenID Connect] ([https://openid.net/specs/openid-connect-discovery-1\\_0.html#ProviderMetadata](https://openid.net/specs/openid-connect-discovery-1_0.html#ProviderMetadata)) and [OAuth 2.0] (<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc8414#section-3>) that allows clients to dynamically retrieve configuration metadata about the authorisation server.
  - \* `telcoFinder`: URL of the second level Telco Finder

Each Telco Routing Rule, at least, must have any of `ipv4`, `ipv6`, `msisdnPrefix` or `network` member and one of `static` or `dynamic` member.

## # Resources and Operations overview

There is a single resource in the API, which returns an array of Telco Finder routing rules.

This is an API intended to be used by Telco Finder to gather Operator's routing configuration.

No end-user personal data is managed. Therefore, API is intended to be used in 2-legged mode.

```
termsOfService: http://swagger.io/terms/
contact:
  email: project-email@example.com
license:
  name: Apache 2.0
  url: https://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0.html
version: 2.0.0-wip
tags:
- name: Routing
  description: Information about Telco-Finder routing table
paths:
/routing:
get:
  tags:
    - Routing
  operationId: getRoutingTable
  security:
    - openId:
      - telco-routing:read
  parameters:
    - $ref: '#/components/parameters/x-correlator'
  responses:
    "200":
      description: Routing table found
      headers:
        x-correlator:
          $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'
      content:
        application/json:
          schema:
            $ref: "#/components/schemas/RoutingDescription"
examples:
  'Static Routing Rule':
```

## Official Document OPG.10 – Open Gateway Technical Realisation Guidelines

```
description: 'Static Routing for IP ranges and Network Ids: Telco Finder will return the operatorId and base api URL in the rule'
  value:
    - ipv4:
        - '23.124.1.200/20'
        - '34.231.2.120/22'
    ipv6:
      - 'ff22:0:0:ab:23:1a:346:7332/64'
    network:
      - '23405'
      - '23411'
    static:
      operatorId: "OPERATOR_ID"
      authProviderConfiguration: "https://auth.operator.com/.well-known/openid-configuration"
      apiRoot: "https://example.operator.com"
'Static & Dynamic Routing Rules':
  description: >
    Telco Finder, if user id found in range will:
      * Dynamic Routing for network ids 23405 and 23411 (MCC_MNC): Telco Finder will call the WebFinger url in rule for Operator resolution
      * Static Routing for IP ranges: Telco Finder will return operatorId and links from rule
  value:
    - ipv4:
        - '23.124.1.200/20'
        - '34.231.2.120/22'
    ipv6:
      - 'ff22:0:0:ab:23:1a:346:7332/64'
    static:
      operatorId: "OPERATOR_ID"
      authProviderConfiguration: "https://auth.operator.com/.well-known/openid-configuration"
      apiRoot: "https://example.operator.com"
    - network:
        - '23405'
        - '23411'
    dynamic:
      authProviderConfiguration: "https://auth.operator.com/.well-known/openid-configuration"
      telcoFinder: "https://apis.operator.com/telco-finder/v1"
'Static Routing Rule for IPs and MSISDN Prefixes':
  description: 'Static Routing for IP ranges and MSISDN prefixes: Telco Finder will return the operatorId'
  value:
    - ipv4:
        - '23.124.1.200/20'
        - '34.231.2.120/22'
    ipv6:
      - 'ff22:0:0:ab:23:1a:346:7332/64'
    msisdnPrefix:
      - '+100235'
      - '+100333'
    static:
      operatorId: "OPERATOR_ID"
      authProviderConfiguration: "https://auth.operator.com/.well-known/openid-configuration"
      apiRoot: "https://example.operator.com"
'401':
  $ref: '#/components/responses/Error401Unauthenticated'
'403':
  $ref: '#/components/responses/Error403PermissionDenied'
'404':
```

```
$ref: '#/components/responses/Error404NotFound'
'500':
  $ref: '#/components/responses/Error500Internal'
'503':
  $ref: '#/components/responses/Error503Unavailable'
'504':
  $ref: '#/components/responses/Error504Timeout'

components:
  headers:
    x-correlator:
      description: Correlation id for the different services
      schema:
        type: string
  parameters:
    x-correlator:
      name: x-correlator
      in: header
      description: Correlation id for the different services
      schema:
        type: string
  schemas:
    StaticRouting:
      type: object
      required:
        - operatorId
        - authProviderConfiguration
        - apiRoot
      description: |
        A static routing entry
      properties:
        operatorId:
          type: string
          description: Operator identifier.
        authProviderConfiguration:
          type: string
          description: the discovery endpoint of the operator's authorisation server
        apiRoot:
          type: string
          description: the root URL of the API Gateway managed by the operator
    DynamicRouting:
      type: object
      description: |
        A dynamic routing entry
      required:
        - authProviderConfiguration
        - telcoFinder
      properties:
        authProviderConfiguration:
          type: string
          description: the discovery endpoint of the operator's authorisation server
        telcoFinder:
          type: string
          description: URL of the second level Telco Finder
    RoutingRule:
      type: object
      description: A routing entry
      minProperties: 1
      properties:
        ipv4:
          type: array
          items:
            type: string
            description: A list of IPV4 addresses.
          example: ["23.124.1.200/20", "34.231.2.120/22"]
        ipv6:
```

```
type: array
items:
  type: string
  description: A list of IPV6 addresses.
example: ["ff22:0:0:ab:23:1a:346:7332/64"]
network:
  type: array
  description: A list of network codes.
  items:
    type: string
    description: 'Network ID consisting of MCC (E.164 Country Code) and MNC, format is
5 or 6 digits.'
    pattern: '^\\d{5,6}$'
msisdnPrefix:
  type: array
  description: A list of MSISDN prefixes.
  items:
    type: string
    description: 'Phone number prefix: MSISDN in ''E164 with +'' format.'
    example: '+10023'
StaticRule:
  type: object
  allOf:
    - $ref: "#/components/schemas/RoutingRule"
required:
  - static
properties:
  static:
    $ref: "#/components/schemas/StaticRouting"
DynamicRule:
  type: object
  allOf:
    - $ref: "#/components/schemas/RoutingRule"
required:
  - dynamic
properties:
  dynamic:
    $ref: "#/components/schemas/DynamicRouting"
RoutingEntry:
  oneOf:
    - $ref: "#/components/schemas/StaticRule"
    - $ref: "#/components/schemas/DynamicRule"
RoutingDescription:
  type: array
  description: |
    A list of routing entries
  items:
    $ref: "#/components/schemas/RoutingEntry"
ModelError:
  type: object
  required:
    - status
    - code
    - message
  properties:
    status:
      type: integer
      description: "HTTP Status code"
    code:
      type: string
      description: "A code value within the allowed set of values for this error"
    message:
      type: string
      description: "A human readable description of what the event represent"
Internal:
  allOf:
    - $ref: "#/components/schemas/ModelError"
```

```
type: object
properties:
  code:
    type: string
    enum: [INTERNAL]
    description: "Unknown server error. Typically a server bug."
Unauthenticated:
  allOf:
    - $ref: '#/components/schemas/ModelError'
    - type: object
      properties:
        code:
          type: string
          enum: [UNAUTHENTICATED]
          description: 'Request not authenticated due to missing, invalid, or expired credentials.'
  NotFound:
    allOf:
      - $ref: '#/components/schemas/ModelError'
      - type: object
        properties:
          code:
            type: string
            enum: [NOT_FOUND]
            description: 'The specified resource is not found.'
  PermissionDenied:
    allOf:
      - $ref: '#/components/schemas/ModelError'
      - type: object
        properties:
          code:
            type: string
            enum: [PERMISSION_DENIED]
            description: 'Client does not have sufficient permissions to perform this action.'
  Unavailable:
    allOf:
      - $ref: '#/components/schemas/ModelError'
      - type: object
        properties:
          code:
            type: string
            enum: [UNAVAILABLE]
            description: 'Request timeout exceeded'
Timeout:
  allOf:
    - $ref: '#/components/schemas/ModelError'
    - type: object
      properties:
        code:
          type: string
          enum: [TIMEOUT]
          description: 'Request timeout exceeded'
responses:
  Error401Unauthenticated:
    description: 'Request not authenticated due to missing, invalid, or expired credentials.'
    headers:
      x-correlator:
        $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'
    content:
      application/json:
        schema:
          $ref: '#/components/schemas/Unauthenticated'
        example:
          status: 401
          code: UNAUTHENTICATED
```

```
    message: 'Client not authenticated'
Error403PermissionDenied:
  description: 'Client does not have sufficient permission.'
  headers:
    x-correlator:
      $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'
  content:
    application/json:
      schema:
        $ref: '#/components/schemas/PermissionDenied'
      example:
        status: 403
        code: PERMISSION_DENIED
        message: 'Client does not have sufficient permissions to perform this action.'
Error404NotFound:
  description: 'The specified resource is not found.'
  headers:
    x-correlator:
      $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'
  content:
    application/json:
      schema:
        $ref: '#/components/schemas/NotFound'
      example:
        status: 404
        code: NOT_FOUND
        message: 'The specified resource is not found.'
Error500Internal:
  description: "Server error."
  headers:
    x-correlator:
      $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'
  content:
    application/json:
      schema:
        $ref: "#/components/schemas/Internal"
      example:
        status: 500
        code: INTERNAL
        message: "Server error"
Error503Unavailable:
  description: 'Service unavailable. Typically the server is down.'
  headers:
    x-correlator:
      $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'
  content:
    application/json:
      schema:
        $ref: '#/components/schemas/Unavailable'
      example:
        status: 503
        code: UNAVAILABLE
        message: 'Service unavailable'
Error504Timeout:
  description: 'Request time exceeded. If it happens repeatedly, consider reducing the request complexity.'
  headers:
    x-correlator:
      $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'
  content:
    application/json:
      schema:
        $ref: '#/components/schemas/Timeout'
      example:
        status: 504
        code: TIMEOUT
        message: 'Request timeout exceeded. Try it later'
```

```

securitySchemes:
  openId:
    type: openIdConnect
    openIdConnectUrl: ./well-known/openid-configuration
servers:
  - url: "https://localhost:9091/telco-routing/v1"

```

### A.3 Network ID API specification (OpenAPI Specification format)

```

openapi: 3.0.3
info:
  title: 'Network ID Resolution'
  description: "Allows to retrieve the network id (MCC+MNC) for a given mobile phone number\n# Relevant Definitions and concepts\n\n - **Network ID**: The MCC followed by the MNC, each phone number has only one network id.\n\nFind more information about MCC and MNC in the [ETSI Technical Specification 123 003] (https://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi\_ts/123000\_123099/123003/17.10.00\_60/ts\_123003v171000p.pdf)\n# API Functionality\n This API allows the API Client to learn the specific network id for a given mobile phone number. For example, for Open Gateway Telco Finder may be an API Client."
  termsOfService: http://swagger.io/terms/
  contact:
    email: project-email@sample.com
  license:
    name: Apache 2.0
    url: https://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0.html
  version: 1.0.0
tags:
  - name: 'Network ID'
    description: 'Operations to Resolve the network code of a MSISDN'
paths:
  /resolve-network-id:
    post:
      description: 'Retrieve network id for a given phone number'
      security:
        - openId:
            - network-id:resolve-network-id
      tags:
        - 'Network ID'
      operationId: resolveNetworkID
      requestBody:
        content:
          application/json:
            schema:
              $ref: '#/components/schemas/PhoneNumber'
      required: true
      parameters:
        - $ref: '#/components/parameters/x-correlator'
      summary: 'Retrieve network id'
      responses:
        '200':
          headers:
            x-correlator:
              $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'
          description: OK
          content:
            application/json:
              schema:
                $ref: '#/components/schemas/NetworkInfo'
        '400':
          $ref: '#/components/responses/Error400NetworkIDInvalidArgument'
        '401':
          $ref: '#/components/responses/Error401Unauthenticated'
        '403':
          $ref: '#/components/responses/Error403PermissionDenied'
        '500':
          $ref: '#/components/responses/Error500Internal'

```

```
'503':
    $ref: '#/components/responses/Error503Unavailable'
'504':
    $ref: '#/components/responses/Error504Timeout'

components:
  headers:
    x-correlator:
      schema:
        type: string
        description: 'Correlation id for the different services'
  schemas:
    ModelError:
      type: object
      required:
        - status
        - code
        - message
      properties:
        status:
          type: integer
          description: 'HTTP Status code'
        code:
          type: string
          description: 'A code value within the allowed set of values for this error'
        message:
          type: string
          description: 'A human readable description of what the event represent'
    PhoneNumber:
      type: object
      required:
        - phoneNumber
      properties:
        phoneNumber:
          type: string
          description: 'Phone number for which network id is requested. MSISDN in ''E164 with +'' format.'
          example:
            phoneNumber: '+346667778880'
    NetworkInfo:
      type: object
      required:
        - networkId
      properties:
        networkId:
          type: string
          description: 'Network Identifier as MCC(E.164 Mobile Country Code) concatenated with the MNC (Mobile Network Code). Format is 5 o 6 digits.'
          pattern: '^\\d{5,6}$'
          example: '21407'
    NetworkIDInvalidArgument:
      allOf:
        - $ref: '#/components/schemas/ModelError'
        - type: object
          required: [code]
          properties:
            code:
              type: string
              enum: [INVALID_ARGUMENT, NETWORK_ID_PHONE_NUMBER_NOT_FOUND]
              description: 'Client specified an invalid argument, request body or query param'
              default: INVALID_ARGUMENT
      Unauthenticated:
        allOf:
          - $ref: '#/components/schemas/ModelError'
          - type: object
```

```
properties:
  code:
    type: string
    enum: [UNAUTHENTICATED]
    description: 'Request not authenticated due to missing, invalid, or
expired credentials.'
  PermissionDenied:
    allOf:
      - $ref: '#/components/schemas/ModelError'
      - type: object
        properties:
          code:
            type: string
            enum: [PERMISSION_DENIED]
            description: 'Client does not have sufficient permissions to perform
this action.'
  Internal:
    allOf:
      - $ref: '#/components/schemas/ModelError'
      - type: object
        properties:
          code:
            type: string
            enum: [INTERNAL]
            description: 'Unknown server error. Typically a server bug.'
  Unavailable:
    allOf:
      - $ref: '#/components/schemas/ModelError'
      - type: object
        properties:
          code:
            type: string
            enum: [UNAVAILABLE]
            description: 'Request timeout exceeded'
  Timeout:
    allOf:
      - $ref: '#/components/schemas/ModelError'
      - type: object
        properties:
          code:
            type: string
            enum: [TIMEOUT]
            description: 'Request timeout exceeded'
  responses:
    Error400NetworkIDInvalidArgument:
      description: "Problem with the client request.\n\n In addition to regular scenario
of INVALID_ARGUMENT, another scenarios may exist.\n- The indicated phone_number is well-formed
but is unknown (e.g. It is a landline phone number, cannot obtain any network id associated to
the mobile phone number, ...) (\\"code\\": \"NETWORK_ID.PHONE_NUMBER_NOT_FOUND\", \\"message\\\":
\"Indicated phone_number is well-formed but is unknown\")"
      headers:
        x-correlator:
          $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'
      content:
        application/json:
          schema:
            $ref: '#/components/schemas/NetworkIDInvalidArgument'
          examples:
            response:
              value:
                status: 400
                code: NETWORK_ID.PHONE_NUMBER_NOT_FOUND
                message: 'Indicated phone_number is well-formed but is
unknown'
      Error401Unauthenticated:
        description: 'Request not authenticated due to missing, invalid, or expired
credentials.'
```

```
headers:  
    x-correlator:  
        $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'  
content:  
    application/json:  
        schema:  
            $ref: '#/components/schemas/Unauthenticated'  
        example:  
            status: 401  
            code: UNAUTHENTICATED  
            message: 'Client not authenticated'  
Error403PermissionDenied:  
    description: 'Client does not have sufficient permission.'  
headers:  
    x-correlator:  
        $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'  
content:  
    application/json:  
        schema:  
            $ref: '#/components/schemas/PermissionDenied'  
        example:  
            status: 403  
            code: PERMISSION_DENIED  
            message: 'Client does not have sufficient permissions to perform this  
action.'  
Error500Internal:  
    description: 'Server error.'  
headers:  
    x-correlator:  
        $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'  
content:  
    application/json:  
        schema:  
            $ref: '#/components/schemas/Internal'  
        example:  
            status: 500  
            code: INTERNAL  
            message: 'Server error'  
Error503Unavailable:  
    description: 'Service unavailable. Typically the server is down.'  
headers:  
    x-correlator:  
        $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'  
content:  
    application/json:  
        schema:  
            $ref: '#/components/schemas/Unavailable'  
        example:  
            status: 503  
            code: UNAVAILABLE  
            message: 'Service unavailable'  
Error504Timeout:  
    description: 'Request time exceeded. If it happens repeatedly, consider reducing  
the request complexity.'  
headers:  
    x-correlator:  
        $ref: '#/components/headers/x-correlator'  
content:  
    application/json:  
        schema:  
            $ref: '#/components/schemas/Timeout'  
        example:  
            status: 504  
            code: TIMEOUT  
            message: 'Request timeout exceeded. Try it later'  
securitySchemes:  
    openId:
```

```

type: openIdConnect
openIdConnectUrl: /.well-known/openid-configuration
parameters:
  x-correlator:
    name: x-correlator
    in: header
    schema:
      type: string
      description: 'Correlation id for the different services'
servers:
  - url: 'https://localhost:9091/network-id/v1'

```

## Annex B Document Management

### B.1 Document History

Version	Date	Brief Description of Change	Approval Authority	Editor / Company
1.0	21 <sup>st</sup> Nov 2024	New PRD OPG.10	ISAG	Diego Preciado Rojas / Nokia
2.0	27 <sup>th</sup> May 2025	Update implementing OPG.10 CR1002	ISAG	Diego Preciado Rojas / Nokia
3.0	23 <sup>rd</sup> Oct 2025	Update implementing OPG.10 CR1003	ISAG	Diego Preciado Rojas / Nokia

### B.2 Other Information

Type	Description
Document Owner	Operator Platform Group
Editor / Company	Diego Preciado Rojas / Nokia

It is our intention to provide a quality product for your use. If you find any errors or omissions, please contact us with your comments. You may notify us at [prd@gsma.com](mailto:prd@gsma.com)

Your comments or suggestions & questions are always welcome.