



Vigor vs. Maker Comparison Chart	Vigor 	Maker 
Governance Token	VIG	Maker
Stablecoin Token	Vigor	Dai
Can Borrow Stablecoin?	Yes ✓	Yes ✓
Reasons to Borrow Stablecoin	Leverage to underlying Crypto collateral, tax arb, decentralized and efficient margin trading	Leverage to underlying Crypto collateral, tax arb
Collateralization Ratio (Collateral:Stablecoin Ratio)	Dynamically determined by Risk Model (far lower than 150%)	3:2 = 150%
Scalability of System	Far more scalable than Maker due to dynamic risk modeling. More efficient leverage possible, up to 10x.	Limited scalability, Only low (inefficient) leverage possible, 1.66x, due to lack of dynamic risk modeling
Loan Type	Variable Rate	Variable Rate
Loan Price/Cost	Based on Risk Premia Model that includes system-wide risks including risk-free rate, volatility of underlying collateral, collateral price jump risk, underlying collateral liquidity risk and individual credit risk	Based on stability fee set by Maker Holders (Whales), currently 17.5% as of 6/10/2019
Premium/Interest Repayment Currency	VIG	MKR (if DAI paid, backend converts to MKR)
Interest Repayment	Periodic VIG premium payments, making the system more solvent at all times	No forced periodic interest payments, only mandatory Balloon at close of CDP
Principal Prepayment Penalty?	No ✓	No ✓
Principal Repayment Currency	Vigor	DAI
Principal Repayment	Balloon, at close	Balloon, at close
Can Borrow Crypto Collateral by Locking up Stablecoin?	Yes ✓	No ✗
Can Short sell Crypto Collateral and create synthetic puts?	Yes ✓	No ✗
Reason to Short Collateral	Bearish on Crypto asset, Day and swing trading	Not possible to short
Junior/Senior Tranche possible?	Yes ✓	No ✗

Vigor vs. Maker Comparison Chart (continued)	Vigor 	Maker 
System Bailouts	Low Friction: No trading/auctions required! Insurers post collateral ahead and recap loans as triggered	High Friction: Must trade/auction MKR into market at time when fewer buyers are willing to purchase
Voting Rights	Borrower and Insurer	Insurer Only
Separation of Insurer and Governance?	Yes ✓	No ✕
On-Chain Market-Driven Price Discovery and Risk Models?	Yes ✓	No ✕
Price and Risk Determinations	Via voter delegation to custodians who are experts in risk modeling	Via MKR Holders (Whales) who likely lack this expertise
Price and Risk Models	Pricing based on equity default swaps, risk model via Solvency II risk-based capital requirements	Trial and error price “discovery” through stability fee changes and no risk models
Project considers Jump to Default Risk?	Yes ✓	No ✕
Levels of Stablecoin Backing	<u>3 Levels:</u> Borrower Collateral Lender Collateral Final Reserve	<u>2 Levels:</u> Borrower Collateral MKR Bailout Auctions
Truly Multi-Collateral?	Yes ✓ Via overall Collateral Portfolio Risk Modeling	No ✕ Akin to separate Single-Collateral DAI loans
On-Chain Performance Metrics available like Risk-Adjusted Return on Capital?	Yes ✓	No ✕
Stress Test Modeling?	Yes ✓	No ✕
Decentralized Options Trading Possible, including long calls/puts and short covered calls and cash-secured puts?	Yes ✓	No ✕
Credit Score System Possible?	Yes ✓	No ✕