Logic Coursework 2024/25: Written Work

Student name: Huseyin Emre Ozden

Module: *Computational Thinking* – Professor: *Prof. Barnaby Martin* Due date: *March* 25th, 2025

Question 1

Answer the following questions about complete sets of logical connectives, in each case justifying your answer.

(i) Show $\{\neg, \rightarrow\}$ is a complete set of connectives.

(ii) Show $\{\rightarrow, 0\}$ is a complete set of connectives (where 0 is the constant false).

(iii) Is $\{NAND, \wedge\}$ a complete set of connectives?

(iv) Is $\{\land, \lor\}$ a complete set of connectives?

Answer. In order to determine whether the sets are complete, I will be showing whether \land , \lor and \neg can be expressed using the connectives in the set, in which case any logical expression can be written in CNF or DNF, meaning that it's a complete set.

Proof for part (i).

Can \vee be expressed using $\{\neg, \rightarrow\}$?

Yes: $\neg p \to q \equiv \neg(\neg p) \lor q \equiv p \lor q$

Can \land be expressed using $\{\neg, to\}$?

Yes: $\neg(p \to \neg q) \equiv \neg(\neg p \lor \neg q) \equiv p \land q$

Since \neg is already in our set of logical connectives, we can then conclude that $\{\neg, \rightarrow\}$ is a complete set of logical connectives, as any logical expression can be expressed in CNF/DNF using the connectives within the set.

Proof for part (ii).

Can \neg be expressed using $\{\rightarrow,0\}$?

Yes: $p \to 0 \equiv \neg p \lor 0 \equiv \neg p$

Can \vee be expressed using $\{\rightarrow,0\}$?

Yes: $(p \to 0) \to q \equiv \neg(p \to 0) \lor q \equiv \neg(\neg p) \lor q \equiv p \lor q$

Can \land be expressed using $\{\rightarrow,0\}$?

Yes: $(p \to (q \to 0)) \to 0 \equiv (p \to (\neg q \lor 0)) \to 0 \equiv (p \to \neg q) \to 0 \equiv (\neg p \lor \neg q) \to 0 \equiv (\neg p \lor \neg q) \lor 0 \equiv p \land q$

Therefore $\{\rightarrow, 0\}$ is a complete set of logical connectives

Proof for part (iii).

To denote NAND, I will use the symbol: $\bar{\wedge}$

Can \neg be expressed using $\{\overline{\wedge}, \wedge\}$?

Yes: $p \bar{\wedge} p \equiv \neg(p \wedge p) \equiv \neg p$

Can \vee be expressed using $\{\overline{\wedge}, \wedge\}$?

Yes: $(p \overline{\wedge} p) \overline{\wedge} (q \overline{\wedge} q) \equiv \neg p \overline{\wedge} \neg q \equiv \neg (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \equiv p \vee q$

 \land is already in the set of logical connectives, therefore the set of logical connectives $\{NAND, \land\}$ is complete.

Proof for part (iv).

The set of logical connectives $\{\land,\lor\}$ is not complete as there is no way to represent one of the propositional variables being equal to zero (i.e. negation) when writing an expression in CNF/DNF. That is to say there is no way to express a tautology or contradiction using these logical connectives due to their property of idempotence. \Box

Ouestion 2

```
Convert (((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (s \rightarrow t)) to (i) Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF) (ii) Disjunctive Normal Form (DNF)
```

Question 3

What is the purpose of Tseitin's Algorithm? Apply Tseitin's Algorithm to turn the propositional formula $(((x_1 \land x_2 \land x_3) \rightarrow (y_1 \land y_2 \land y_3)) \lor z)$ to CNF.

Question 4

State with justification if each of the following sentences of predicate logic is logically valid.

```
valid:

(i) (\forall x \exists y \forall z \ E(x,y) \land E(y,z)) \rightarrow (\forall x \forall z \exists y \ E(x,y) \land E(y,z))

(ii) (\forall x \exists y \exists u \forall v \ E(x,y) \land E(u,v)) \rightarrow (\exists u \forall v \forall x \exists y \ E(x,y) \land E(u,v))

(iii) (\forall x \exists y \forall z \ R(x,y,z)) \rightarrow (\exists x \forall y \exists z \ R(x,y,z))

(iv) ((\forall x \forall y \exists z (E(x,y) \land E(y,z))) \rightarrow (\forall x \forall y \forall z (E(x,y) \lor E(y,z))))
```

Question 5

```
Evaluate the given sentence on the respective relation E over domain \{0,1,2\} (i) \forall x \forall y \forall z \exists w (E(x,w) \land E(y,w) \land E(z,w)) (ii) \exists x \forall y \forall z \exists w (E(x,w) \land E(y,w) \land E(z,w)) (iii) \forall y \exists x \forall z \exists w (E(x,w) \land E(y,w) \land E(z,w)) (iv) \exists x \exists y \exists z \forall w (E(x,w) \land E(y,w) \land E(z,w)) (v) \forall x_1 \exists x_2 \forall y_1 \exists y_2 \forall z_1 \exists z_2 \forall z \exists y \ E(x_1,x_2) \land E(x_2,w) \land E(y_1,y_2) \land E(y_2,w) \land E(z_1,z_2) \land E(z_2,w) \land E(z,w) (vi) \forall x_1 \exists x_2 \forall y_1 \exists y_2 \forall z_1 \forall z \exists z_2 \exists y \ E(x_1,x_2) \land E(x_2,w) \land E(y_1,y_2) \land E(y_2,w) \land E(z_1,z_2) \land E(z_2,w) \land E(z,w)
```