

# Interactive Case Study

## Annotations Activity

When you read the scenario presented, you will be applying concepts from this week's topics and readings, which you already reviewed in the interactive review activity. This annotation task is collaborative, so you will see the posts of others in the document. Please read through them to avoid repeating comments. You will be able to extend some of them, selecting the ones to which you can add more, not just repeat.

Your annotations must be substantive, not superficial, and demonstrate a clear and specific understanding of how to apply this week's concepts to the scenario.

Your annotations should address at least 5 of the following questions in various annotations, not all at once. Apply the comments where they are most relevant in the case study:

1. What is the main problem related to assessment in this scenario, as it relates to this week's topics?
2. How is the problem impacting the school and its stakeholders?
3. What types of assessments are currently being used in the scenario?
4. How effective are the current assessment methods in meeting the educational goals?
5. Are there any noted gaps or issues with the current assessment practices? If so, what are they?
6. How are the students affected by the assessment issues described?
7. What challenges are teachers facing about the assessment problem?
8. How do teachers perceive the current assessment practices and their effectiveness?
9. What potential solutions to the assessment problem are mentioned or can be inferred from the scenario? How feasible are these solutions considering the school's context?
10. How might digital tools or technologies improve the assessment process in this scenario?
11. How does this scenario relate to your own experiences with assessments in educational settings?
12. What strategies would you recommend to ensure continuous improvement in assessment practices, as they relate to this week's topics?

## Grading Rubric

Criteria	Not Met 0-0	Needs Improvement 1-2	Proficient 3-4	Accomplished 5-5
Content Understanding	Annotation not completed.	Demonstrates a basic or limited understanding of	Demonstrates a solid understanding of	Demonstrates an exceptional understanding of

Criteria	Not Met 0-0	Needs Improvement 1-2	Proficient 3-4	Accomplished 5-5
		the week's concepts and applies them somewhat to the scenario. Some selected questions are addressed with general insights but not enough.	the week's concepts and applies them appropriately to the scenario. Most selected questions are addressed with clear insights and detail.	the week's concepts and effectively applies them to the scenario. All selected questions are thoroughly addressed with clear, specific insights and details.
Critical Thinking	Annotation not completed	Shows basic or minimal critical thinking and analysis, with some movement beyond surface-level observations. Connections to broader concepts and personal experiences are weak or missing.	Shows good critical thinking and analysis, moving beyond basic observations. Connections to broader concepts and personal experiences are evident.	Shows deep critical thinking and analysis, extending beyond surface-level observations. Connections to broader concepts and personal experiences are well-articulated.
Collaboration	Annotation not completed	Rarely builds on the comments of peers and may lack depth in extending the discussion. Contributes to the conversation but in a limited way.	Builds on and extends the comments of peers, contributing to the collaborative discussion. Adds value to the conversation.	Effectively builds on and extends the comments of peers, fostering a rich, collaborative discussion. Adds significant value to the conversation.
Relevance and Substance	Annotation not completed	Annotations are rarely relevant to the scenario, addressing minor aspects of the case study. They lack substance, with general or vague explanations and little to no supporting evidence from the	Annotations are mostly relevant to the scenario, addressing important aspects of the case study. They are substantive, with clear explanations and supporting evidence from the	Annotations are highly relevant to the scenario, addressing the most pertinent aspects of the case study. They are substantive, with detailed explanations and supporting evidence from the

Criteria	Not Met 0-0	Needs Improvement 1-2	Proficient 3-4	Accomplished 5-5
		readings or external sources.	readings or external sources.	readings or external sources.