Wk 2 Assessment Objectives with Al

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | ** ********************************** |
|---------------------------------------|-----|--|
| Learning Objecti | ve: | |

Below is the process you will document and submit.

1 CREATE YOUR FIRST DRAFT

You will demonstrate your initial understanding of how to apply the three purposes of assessment into learning objectives. Remember to use the Wk 1 Interactive Review for information on the learning objective requirements for stage 1 of the classroom assessment cycle.

Here's an overview.

Purpose:

Objective Template:

The learner will [action verb] + [topic or materials] + [specific task or deliverable].

- 1. Action Verb = What thinking level will demonstrate proficiency?
- 2. Topic or Materials = What do you want your students to know?
- 3. Specific Task or Deliverable = What will students do to demonstrate they can think about and use what they know?

You will write three objectives, one for each of the purposes of assessment: for learning, as learning, of learning. The objectives need to be on the same topic, lesson, or unit. Number and label each objective. For example, "1. For Learning: The learner will...".

You can save your work in a document, where you will be documenting your process.

2 FEEDBACK FROM GENERATIVE AI

Once you have your first draft of the three objectives, you will then use generative AI to get feedback on your work.

2.1 Use Microsoft Copilot

The recommended chatbot to use is Microsoft Copilot because it will also generate real resources, it will keep your information private, and it will allow you to upload the file. You need to log in to Copilot using the Edge browser and your UTRGV credentials.

Copilot (microsoft.com)

2.2 AI PROMPT

Once you are logged in, use the paperclip icon to upload the assessment task file, then add your prompt using the following prompt template: (I recommend you create your prompt before you upload the file.)

I am a [2nd grade teacher, administrator, etc.] and I am planning a lesson/unit on [topic/lesson]. I am planning for three purposes of assessment, which include assessment for learning (formative), assessment as learning (formative metacognitive), and summative. Each objective needs to be in the following format: The learner will [action verb] + [topic or materials] + [specific task or deliverable].

Please review my three objectives, and give me specific feedback to help me improve them. Please format the feedback so that you tell me if 1) each of the objectives followed the format, 2) if each of the objectives fit the purpose I indicated, 3) if they fit the topic or lesson, and 4) if they are grade level appropriate. Please do not show me a revised set of objectives but provide me feedback that allows me to revise them myself.

Please note that AI can be wrong, so your knowledge of the assignment will help you determine if the feedback is useful or not. This is why AI is also more useful when the process is iterative. That means, have a conversation with it. When you first prompt it, and it generates the first response, ask it a follow up question on anything you need clarification, extension of feedback or a redirection. Try to ask it at least 2 or 3 follow up questions just to make sure you understand exactly what you need to do to revise or improve your assessment task. Copilot also offers you recommendations if you're not sure what to say to continue the conversation.

Do not ask generic or vague questions like, "Anything else? What else do you suggest?" Be specific, as the chatbot gets better the more information and details you give it.

2.3 DOCUMENT THE CONVERSATION

Once you have finished your conversation with the chatbot, copy past your entire conversation, including your initial prompt and all follow up questions and responses from the chatbot. Add this entire conversation in the same document where you wrote your initial objectives draft. Please make sure you provide subheadings to divide each step in the process.

3 REVISED OBJECTIVES WITH CRITICAL ANALYSIS

In the next section of the same document, create a new subheading to write your revised objectives. The process from draft to revision needs to be clear by documenting all the steps in your document.

3.1 REVISION

Based on the feedback you received from the chatbot, revise your objectives so that they better meet the requirements for writing the objectives for the three purposes of assessment.

3.2 CRITICAL ANALYSIS

Add 1-2 well-developed and elaborated paragraphs at the end of the revised objectives that indicate how you analyzed the items after the chatbot gave you feedback. Use the following guiding questions to guide your analysis, but do not include the question text in the paragraphs.

- Were the recommendations unbiased? What did I do to ensure they were not biased?
- Did any of my questions appear to be subjective rather than objective, where I was imposing my opinion without realizing it? What did I do to ensure the questions were objective?
- How did I ensure that my objectives met the stated purpose and format?
- If you looked up additional resources provided by Copilot, how did those assist you in revising the assessment task?

4 GRADING RUBRIC

This rubric adds up to 25 points.

| Criteria | Process Not Demonstrated 0-0 | Process Needs Major Improvements 1-2 | Process Needs Minor Improvements 3-4 | Process Accomplished 5-5 |
|------------|------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Diamaina | Nananana | | | Lliela accalita |
| Planning | No assessment | Inadequate or | Well- | High-quality |
| Parameters | task submitted | poorly | constructed | assessment |
| | or no process | constructed | assessment | task, which |
| | demonstrated. | assessment | task, which | meets the |
| | | task, which | meets most of | formatting and |
| | | minimally met | the formatting | parameters of |
| | | the formatting | and parameters | the assignment |
| | | and parameters | of the | and fits the |

| Criteria | Process Not Demonstrated 0-0 | Process Needs Major Improvements 1-2 | Process Needs Minor Improvements 3-4 | Process Accomplished 5-5 |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | of the assignment. The purpose of the assessment was unclear or not well aligned. | assignment and which mostly fits the purpose of the assessment. | purpose of the assessment. |
| Grade level relevance | No assessment task submitted or no process demonstrated. | The description of the assessment ask was not appropriate to the grade level, with language and jargon used above the age or too below grade level to offer appropriate rigor. | The description of the assessment task was mostly appropriate to the grade level, with some minor use of jargon or other unrelated terms. Connected mostly well to the age. | The description of the assessment task was appropriate to the grade level that was intended, with clear connection to the age. |
| Generative AI Feedback Process | No Al feedback submitted or no process demonstrated. | Feedback from Al is minimally used or not well- documented. The prompt was not thoroughly written or no follow up was used. | Feedback from Al is thoroughly documented though the prompt may have been missing a key element. Some follow up questions asked, though not all of them improved the feedback or extended it. | Feedback from Al is thoroughly documented with an appropriately phrased prompt. Included multiple follow- up questions for clarity and improvement. |
| Feedback Incorporation | No assessment task submitted or no process demonstrated. | Minimal or no incorporation of feedback demonstrated. It may result in missed opportunities for improvement. | Partial incorporation of feedback shows a willingness to adapt. Some revisions based on feedback are evident, but further refinement is possible. | Effective incorporation of feedback demonstrates a thorough revision process. The assessment task reflects a clear and specific understanding of what needed to be improved. |

| Criteria | Process Not Demonstrated | Process Needs Major | Process Needs Minor | Process Accomplished |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| | 0-0 | Improvements | Improvements | 5-5 |
| | | 1-2 | 3-4 | |
| Critical Analysis | No critical | Limited or no | Basic critical | Thorough critical |
| | analysis | critical analysis | analysis | analysis goes |
| | submitted or no | indicates a | acknowledges | beyond surface |
| | process | surface-level | strengths and | observations. It |
| | demonstrated. | approach. The | weaknesses. It | evaluates trade- |
| | | assessment task | identifies areas | offs, considers |
| | | process lacks | for improvement | alternative |
| | | depth, and | and suggests | approaches, and |
| | | potential | minor | ensures an |
| | | weaknesses | adjustments. | appropriate |
| | | remain | | assessment task |
| | | unaddressed. | | design. |