



Northern Illinois
University

Search for Charged Higgs Bosons in the $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ Final State with 139 fb^{-1} of pp Collision Data at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS Experiment

Dissertation Defense

Elliot Parrish[†]

[†]Northern Illinois University, USA


October 13, 2022

Introduction	Experimental Apparatus	$H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$	Current Search
Theory		Background	PNN
The Standard Model	LHC	Modeling	PNN HPO
New Physics	ATLAS	MVA	Systematic
Charged Higgs Bosons	Object Reconstruction	JHEP 09(2018)139	Uncertainties Results
	Simulation	Results	Conclusion


- This defense will take ≈ 1 hour
 - I will walk you through the work that is contained in my PhD dissertation
 - After the presentation is complete, there will be time for public questions, then the committee and I will address comments privately
 - When we are done, I will return, the committee will discuss privately then return
- General Guidelines
 - Please remain muted unless you are speaking
 - There will be time at the end for questions, but feel free to interrupt if there is something urgent
- Thank you for attending!

What are we made of?

- The scientific field of particle physics seeks to explain the building blocks of the universe
 - How many fundamental particles are there?
 - How do they interact with each other?
- The Standard Model of Particle Physics (SM)
 - Matter is comprised of fermions
 - Quarks combine to create hadrons (protons, neutrons, $\pi^{\pm,0}$, etc)
 - Forces are carried by an exchange of bosons
 - Gluon (g) → Strong force
 - Photon (γ) → Electromagnetism
 - W^\pm, Z^0 → Weak force
 - At high energies, electromagnetism and the weak forces are one “electroweak” force




[1] [2]




The Higgs Boson



- Force carrier bosons have no explicit mass terms within the SM
 - Experiment shows that γ is massless while W^\pm and Z^0 are massive
- In 1964 Brout, Englert and Higgs theorized a field that exists everywhere in space [3]
 - Non-zero vacuum expectation value
 - Electroweak symmetry breaking
- Interaction with Higgs field gives mass
- Discovered jointly by the ATLAS and CMS collaborations in 2012




[4]



[5]


The Standard Model

- Predicts the probabilities of creation and decay of particles (among many other things)
 - Has been thoroughly tested
 - Measurements agree to a high degree of accuracy
- Not a complete theory (Not a full list)
 - Gravity
 - Matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe
 - Hierarchy problem
 - EW scale is ~ 100 GeV
 - Planck scale is $\sim 10^{18}$ GeV



[6]


- 2 Higgs Doublet Models and Supersymmetry (SUSY) are large groups of theories attempting to address these issues
 - 2HDM have two complex doublet scalar fields which extend the Higgs sector [7]
 - Two relevant free parameters, m_{H^\pm} and $\tan \beta$
 - $\tan \beta$ is the ratio of the vacuum expectation values of the two doublets
 - SUSY proposes a symmetry between fermions and bosons
 - Many new possible particles
 - Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) is the smallest SUSY extension to the SM




light neutral scalar	h^0
heavy neutral scalar	H^0
neutral pseudoscalar	A^0
two charged scalars	H^\pm

Charged Higgs Bosons


- At the LHC, theoretical production mode of m_{H^\pm} is mainly in top-quark decays or in association with a top-quark (t)
 - H^\pm production mode is dependent on m_{H^\pm}



$$m_{H^\pm} < m_t$$




$$m_{H^\pm} \simeq m_t$$




$$m_{H^\pm} > m_t$$

- $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ decay channel remains significant for high $\tan \beta$




[8]




[9]

Other $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ Searches




[10]



[10]

Particle Collisions

- Most particles we are interested in are not stable and cannot be observed in our environment
- Collide known particles to study them and potentially new particles
 - $E^2 = m^2 c^4 + p^2 c^2$
- Hadronization
 - Quarks cannot exist on their own
 - Must combine to form bound states
 - Combine shower into a jet



[11]

Large Hadron Collider




- Largest particle collider ever built
- Highest energy particle collider
- Located at CERN outside of Geneva, Switzerland
- Four main collision points
 - **ATLAS**, ALICE, CMS, LHCb

Selected Run-2 LHC Parameters

Circumference	26,659 m
Magnet operating temperature	1.9 K
Beam energy	6.5 TeV (13 CM TeV)
Protons per bunch	1.2×10^{11}
Bunches per beam	2808
Speed of bunches	> 1,000,000,000 km/hr ($\simeq 99.999\% c$)

[12]




► H⁻ (hydrogen anions) ► p (protons) ► ions ► RIBs (Radioactive Ion Beams) ► n (neutrons) ► \bar{p} (antiprotons) ► e⁻ (electrons) ► μ (muons)
LHC - Large Hadron Collider // SPS - Super Proton Synchrotron // PS - Proton Synchrotron // AD - Antiproton Decelerator // CLEAR - CERN Linear Electron Accelerator for Research // AWAKE - Advanced WAkefield Experiment // ISOLDE - Isotope Separator OnLine // REX/HIE-ISOLDE - Radioactive Experiment/High Intensity and Energy ISOLDE // MEDICIS // LEIR - Low Energy Ion Ring // LINAC - LINear ACcelerator // n_TOF - Neutrons Time Of Flight // HiRadMat - High-Radiation to Materials // Neutrino Platform

[13]

The ATLAS Detector


- General purpose particle detector
 - Magnet System
 - Tracker
 - Calorimeters
 - Muon Spectrometer
- Coordinate system origin at interaction point
 - r is radial distance
 - $\eta \equiv -\ln(\tan(\frac{\theta}{2}))$
 - ϕ is azimuthal angle



Magnet System and Inner Detector





- Central solenoid
 - 2 T magnetic field
 - Bends charged particles in transverse plane
- Toroid system
 - 3.9 T magnetic field
 - Bends charged particles (μ) along beam axis





[14]

- Inner detector (ID)
 - Tracks trajectories of charged particles
 - Used to measure momentum in the transverse plane (p_T)




Calorimeters

- Important in jet, τ , E_T^{miss} and μ identification and triggering
- Measure energy of charged particles
 - Designed to fully absorb particles






[14]



Tile Calorimeter





- Scintillating tile with steel absorber
- I served as Data Quality Co-Coordinator for several years
 - In Run-2 99.65% DQ efficiency [16]



Muon System and Trigger




- Muon Spectrometers (MS) detect and measure μ
 - Muons are minimally ionizing
 - μ reach the outermost region of the detector
 - Information combined with inner detector to reconstruct μ



Search for $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ with ATLAS

Trigger System

- Need to quickly sort through data and decide if a collision is interesting or not
- Mix of hardware and software




[18]

Experimental Apparatus ATLAS

Reconstruction: e and μ





- Electron identification
 - Information from the ID and showers in the EM calorimeter are combined
 - This analysis uses tight ID and tight isolation requirements
- μ identification
 - Information from the ID is combined with the MS
 - This analysis uses tight ID and tight isolation requirements



Reconstruction: τ leptons

- τ leptons typically decay before they interact with the detector
- τ leptons decay hadronically $\approx 65\%$ of the time
 - leptonic decays are not considered
- Number of charged hadrons (π^\pm) in decays defines number of prongs
 - 1 π^\pm occurs 72% of the time
 - 3 π^\pm occurs 22% of the time
 - Of these decays, 68% contain $> 1 \pi^0$
- ν_τ are also produced in these decays
 - Only visible part of τ can be reconstructed




[19]

- Reconstruction is imperfect
 - Misidentification of particles is a large source of background

Reconstruction: b -jets and E_T^{miss}



- b quark initiated hadronic showers are identified by a displaced vertex, etc.
- Reconstructed as a jet, tagged as a b -jet
 - Algorithms designed to identify b -jets called taggers [20]




[21]

Search for $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ with ATLAS

Experimental Apparatus Object Reconstruction

- Particles like ν do not leave a signature within ATLAS
- Instead, infer their presence through momentum conservation
 - Negative vector sum of all objects in a collision event




Elliot Parrish (NIU)

19 / 43

Simulation

- Incredibly detailed simulations are used to create analysis strategy
 - Entire data flow from collision to detector readout is simulated
 - Many different options to generate simulations
 - Some excel at specific tasks and not great at others
- Control regions are used to verify simulation agreement with data



[11]

Analysis Overview

- Search for singly charged H^\pm decaying to $\tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ over a wide mass range
 - Low mass ($m_{H^\pm} < m_t$)
 - Intermediate mass* ($m_{H^\pm} \simeq m_t$)
 - High mass ($m_{H^\pm} > m_t$)

- Two sub-channels based on the decay mode of associated t

$t \rightarrow Wb \rightarrow jets$ | $t \rightarrow Wb \rightarrow \ell$


Sensitive at high mass due to higher $W \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ BR

Sensitive at low mass due to easier triggering


- Classifier score is used as the final discriminant
- Dominant backgrounds

Backgrounds w/ prompt hadronic τ	Backgrounds w/ fake τ
$t\bar{t}$ estimated with simulation	Fake $j \rightarrow \tau$ estimated with data driven fake factor method
$V + jets$ estimated with simulation	Fake $\ell \rightarrow \tau$ estimated with simulation, validated on $Z \rightarrow ee$
VV estimated with simulation	


*: First time probed experimentally [JHEP 09\(2018\)139](#)



$$m_{H^\pm} < m_t$$





$$m_{H^\pm} \simeq m_t$$



$$m_{H^\pm} > m_t$$

Background Estimation

- Signal region E_T^{miss} distributions on right show background composition
- Define control regions to verify main sources of background





Background Estimation

- Signal region E_T^{miss} distributions on right show background composition
- Define control regions to verify main sources of background

Background Modeling	$\tau + \text{jets}$	Control Regions	$\tau + \ell$ Control Regions	Data/Model Agreement
$t\bar{t} + \text{single top}$	$t\bar{t}$	Dilepton-btag		✓

- Estimated with simulation





Background Estimation

- Signal region E_T^{miss} distributions on right show background composition
- Define control regions to verify main sources of background





Background Modeling	$\tau + \text{jets}$	Control Regions	$\tau + \ell$ Control Regions	Data/Model Agreement
$t\bar{t} + \text{single top}$	$t\bar{t}$	Dilepton-btag	✓	
V+Jets	W+Jets	Zee (Fake $\ell \rightarrow \tau$ enriched)	✓	

- Estimated with simulation



Background Estimation: $j \rightarrow \tau$ Fakes

- $j \rightarrow \tau$ fakes estimated with a data-driven fake factor (FF) method (from Multijet and W+jets)
 - Anti-selection of τ that fail τ ID but pass looser selection
 - Define CRs to extract fake factors
 - Subtract SM contribution from simulation
 - $FF = \frac{N_{fake\tau}}{N_{anti-\tau}}$
- In SR, measure fraction of fakes (α) using template fit of τ ID score distributions using template shapes from $anti - \tau$ distributions in CRs
- $FF_{sig} = \alpha_{MJ} \times FF_{MJ} + (1 - \alpha_{MJ}) \times FF_{W+jets}$
- In SR, $N_{fake\tau} = FF_{sig} \times N_{anti-\tau}$





Background Estimation: $j \rightarrow \tau$ Fakes


- Signal region E_T^{miss} distributions on right show background composition
- Define control regions to verify main sources of background

Background Modeling	$\tau + \text{jets}$	Control Regions	$\tau + \ell$ Control Regions	Data/Model Agreement
$t\bar{t} + \text{single top}$	$t\bar{t}$	Dilepton-btag		✓
V+Jets	W+Jets	Zee (Fake $\ell \rightarrow \tau$ enriched)		✓
Fake $j \rightarrow \tau$ enriched	b veto $m_T(\tau, E_T^{\text{miss}}) > 100$	Same Sign		✓


- Estimated with a data-driven fake factor method




- Boosted Decision Tree (BDT)
 - Cascading decisions bin parameter space to optimize accuracy
 - Deterministic approach



[22]



- Neural Networks
 - Network of connected nodes of activation functions connected by weights
 - Probabilistic approach



[23]


[22]

- Background modeling and classifier training kept statistically independent via the k-fold method
- BDTs binned in m_{H^\pm}
 - 90-120, 130-160, 160-180, 200-400, 500-2000 GeV
 - Trained separately on $\tau + \text{jets}$ and $\tau + \ell$
 - Independent trainings for 1 or 3 prong τ
 - $\tau + \ell$ trained inclusively, evaluated separately on $\tau + e$ and $\tau + \mu$
- At low m_{H^\pm} , τ polarization is important
 - $\Upsilon = \frac{E_T^{\pi^\pm} - E_T^{\pi^0}}{E_T^\tau} \approx 2 \frac{p_T^{\tau-\text{track}}}{p_T^\tau} - 1$
 - Only defined for 1 track τ candidates
- At high, m_{H^\pm} Υ becomes less important
 - E_T^{miss} , p_T^τ , and $\Delta\phi_{\tau, E_T^{\text{miss}}}$ have higher discriminating power







	Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Background
Partition 1	Evaluation	Train	Train	Train	Train	Fold 1
Partition 2	Train	Evaluation	Train	Train	Train	Fold 2
Partition 3	Train	Train	Evaluation	Train	Train	Fold 3
Partition 4	Train	Train	Train	Evaluation	Train	Fold 4
Partition 5	Train	Train	Train	Train	Evaluation	Fold 5



MVA input variable	$\tau + \text{jets}$	$\tau + \ell$
E_T^{miss}	✓	✓
p_T^τ	✓	✓
p_T^{b-jet}	✓	✓
$\Delta\phi(\tau, E_T^{\text{miss}})$	✓	✓
$\Delta\phi(b-jet, E_T^{\text{miss}})$	✓	✓
$\Delta R(b-jet, \tau)$	✓	
p_T^l		✓
$\Delta\phi(l, E_T^{\text{miss}})$		✓
$\Delta R(\tau, l)$		✓
$\Delta R(b-jet, l)$		✓
$\frac{\Delta\phi(\tau, E_T^{\text{miss}})}{\Delta\phi(jet, E_T^{\text{miss}})}$	✓	✓
$\Upsilon = 2 \frac{p_T^{\tau-\text{track}}}{p_T^\tau} - 1$	< 500 GeV	< 500 GeV

JHEP 09(2018)139 BDT Scores in Signal Regions




JHEP 09(2018)139 BDT Scores in Signal Regions





Updates to analysis since last publication


- Signal mass range extended
 - Previous: $90 \leq m_{H^\pm} \leq 2000$ GeV
 - Current: $80 \leq m_{H^\pm} \leq 3000$ GeV
- Increased statistics of signal due to optimized simulation generation
- New analysis framework centered around using modern Machine Learning tools
- Investigated new multivariate analysis techniques
- Improved particle identification algorithms
- Almost 4× more data



[24]

Parameterized Neural Networks

- Parameterized Neural Networks (PNNs) can be trained and evaluated on entire m_{H^\pm} range
 - PNN learns more information with the same amount of data
 - PNN can be evaluated on mass points that are not simulated
 - Detailed information here: [arXiv:1601.07913](https://arxiv.org/abs/1601.07913)
- Background modeling and classifier training kept statistically independent via the k-fold method ($k = 5$)
- Trained using simulation for backgrounds with true τ
 - Separate models trained on 1 prong and 3 prong τ
 - τ polarization used to enhance low m_{H^\pm} performance
 - $\Upsilon = \frac{E_T^\pi \pm - E_T^\pi{}^0}{E_T^\pi} \approx 2 \frac{p_T^\tau - \text{track}}{p_T^\tau} - 1$
 - $\tau + \ell$ channel is trained inclusive for $\tau + e$ and $\tau + \mu$





[25]

	Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Background
Partition 1	Evaluation	Train	Train	Train	Train	Fold 1
Partition 2	Train	Evaluation	Train	Train	Train	Fold 2
Partition 3	Train	Train	Evaluation	Train	Train	Fold 3
Partition 4	Train	Train	Train	Evaluation	Train	Fold 4
Partition 5	Train	Train	Train	Train	Evaluation	Fold 5

$\tau + \ell$ Input Variables		
p_T^τ	η^τ	ϕ^τ
p_T^ℓ	η^ℓ	ϕ^ℓ
$p_T^{j_0}$	η^{j_0}	ϕ^{j_0}
E_T^{miss}	$\phi^{E_T^{\text{miss}}}$	p_T^h
$m_{\text{Truth}}^{H^\pm}$	Υ^τ	

$\tau + \text{jets}$ Input Variables		
p_T^τ	η^τ	ϕ^τ
$p_T^{j_0}$	η^{j_0}	ϕ^{j_0}
$p_T^{j_1}$	η^{j_1}	ϕ^{j_1}
$p_T^{j_2}$	η^{j_2}	ϕ^{j_2}
E_T^{miss}	$\phi^{E_T^{\text{miss}}}$	$m_{\text{Truth}}^{H^\pm}$
Υ^τ		



Boosted Decision Tree vs Parameterized Neural Network



Boosted Decision Tree vs Parameterized Neural Network



- These comparisons were done with an optimized BDT and an unoptimized PNN
- PNN chosen as classifier



PNN Input Variable Selection




Low Level Input Variables

p_T^τ	η^τ	ϕ^τ
p_T^ℓ	η^ℓ	ϕ^ℓ
p_T^{b-jet}	η^{b-jet}	ϕ^{b-jet}
p_T^{jet}	η^{jet}	ϕ^{jet}
E_T^{miss}	ϕ_E^{miss}	p_T^h
Υ	$m_{H^\pm}^{\text{Truth}}$	

High Level Input Variables

p_T^τ	p_T^{b-jet}	p_T^ℓ
E_T^{miss}	$\Delta\phi_{\tau, \text{miss}}$	$\Delta\phi_{b-jet, \text{miss}}$
$\Delta\phi_{\ell, \text{miss}}$	$\Delta R_{\tau, \ell}$	$\Delta R_{b-jet, \ell}$
$\Delta R_{b-jet, \tau}$	$\Delta\phi_{\tau, \text{miss}}/\Delta\phi_{jet, \text{miss}}$	Υ
$m_{H^\pm}^{\text{Truth}}$		

- Comparison between raw input variables and engineered variables
- Expected limits in the $\tau + \ell$ subchannel was used as figure of merit
- Low level variables best at high m_{H^\pm}




- Hyperparameters define the structure of the PNN
- Performed in the $\tau + \ell$ sub-channel
- Used area under curve (AUC) of scores as figure of merit
 - Averaged over 5 kfolds, standard deviation is taken from kfolds
 - Average AUC from 80 GeV to 500 GeV to optimize for low mass
- Used early stopping for training
 - $\Delta_{min} = 0.00001$ and a patience of 10
 - Best weights were kept
- To speed up hyperparameter optimization (HPO), ran multiple small grids of hyperparameters
 - Scan over activation functions and loss functions
 - Scan over dropout value
 - Scan over LeakyReLU α
 - Fixed alpha over more widths and depths

Final PNN Model Performance



Layers	Number of Neurons	Loss Function	Activation Function	LeakyReLu α	Dropout
3	128	Binary Crossentropy	LeakyReLu	0.1	0.1

width	depth	LowMassAvg
128	3	0.8261 ± 0.0968
128	5	0.8235 ± 0.1000
128	4	0.8232 ± 0.0994
128	2	0.8231 ± 0.1006
64	4	0.8230 ± 0.0994
64	2	0.8228 ± 0.0996
64	5	0.8224 ± 0.0997
64	3	0.8223 ± 0.0994
256	5	0.8213 ± 0.1003
256	4	0.8181 ± 0.1013
32	3	0.8139 ± 0.1031
32	4	0.8139 ± 0.1031
32	2	0.8135 ± 0.1023
32	5	0.8128 ± 0.1035
256	2	0.8120 ± 0.1023






Sources of Systematic Uncertainty



- Errors shown in plots include systematic uncertainties
 - Signal and $t\bar{t}$ theory uncertainties are not included
 - Studies are being finalized

Source	Impact on the expected event yield (%)					
	$\tau + \text{jets}$		$\tau + e$		$\tau + \mu$	
	$t\bar{t}$	$H^\pm 200 \text{ GeV}$	$t\bar{t}$	$H^\pm 200 \text{ GeV}$	$t\bar{t}$	$H^\pm 200 \text{ GeV}$
Fake factor uncertainties	+9.11 -9.04	+9.11 -9.04	+8.23 -8.34	+8.23 -8.34	+8.23 -8.34	+8.23 -8.34
jet uncertainties	+7.38 -8.39	+6.51 -9.06	+3.41 -3.31	+4.49 -2.78	+3.18 -3.24	+3.67 -2.96
τ uncertainties	± 4.36	+2.91 -2.80	+2.84 -2.74	+2.65 -2.70	+2.77 -2.78	+2.58 -2.97
E_T^{miss} uncertainties	+1.31 -1.12	+1.15 -1.49	+0.29 -0.24	+0.88 -0.34	+0.30 -0.23	+0.21 -0.11
trigger uncertainties	+1.23 -1.61	0	± 0.03	0	+0.55 -0.56	± 0.56
e uncertainties	0	0	± 0.71	± 0.73	0	0
μ uncertainties	0	0	-0.01	-0.11	+0.97 -1.41	+1.08 -2.96

PNN Results




Search for $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ with ATLAS

$H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ Results

Elliot Parrish (NIU) 40 / 43

$H^\pm \rightarrow \tau\nu$ Expected Limits




Combined $\tau + \text{jets}$ and $\tau + \ell$ signal regions

Conclusions



- A search for $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ was improved upon
- Investigated, optimized, and implemented modern machine learning techniques
- New analysis strategy outperforms previous analysis by $\gtrsim 3\times$
- Analysis is still blinded and work is ongoing towards a publication



Thank You



References i

- [1] D. Hemphill, *The behavior of the primordial universe*, Apr. 2020. [Online]. Available:
https://www.physics.purdue.edu/about/prizes_awards/charlotte_ida_litman_tubis_award/2017_behavior_primordial_universe.html.
- [2] D. Leah, *The standard model: The most successful theory ever*, [Online]. Available: <https://news.fnal.gov/2011/11/the-standard-model-the-most-successful-theory-ever/>.
- [3] P. W. Higgs, “Broken symmetries and the masses of gauge bosons,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 13, pp. 508–509, 16 Oct. 1964. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.508. [Online]. Available: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.508>.

References ii

- [4] J. Ellis, "Higgs Physics," , 117–168. 52 p, Dec. 2013, 52 pages, 45 figures, Lectures presented at the ESHEP 2013 School of High-Energy Physics, to appear as part of the proceedings in a CERN Yellow Report. DOI: 10.5170/CERN-2015-004.117. arXiv: 1312.5672. [Online]. Available: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1638469>.
- [5] ATLAS Collaboration, "Observation of a new particle in the search for the standard model higgs boson with the atlas detector at the lhc," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 716, no. 1, pp. 1–29, 2012.
- [6] ——, "Standard Model Summary Plots February 2022," CERN, Geneva, Tech. Rep., 2022, All figures including auxiliary figures are available at <https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PUBNOTES/ATL-PHYS-PUB-2022-009>. [Online]. Available: <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2804061>.

References iii

- [7] G. Branco, P. Ferreira, L. Lavoura, M. Rebelo, M. Sher, and J. P. Silva, “Theory and phenomenology of two-higgs-doublet models,” *Physics Reports*, vol. 516, no. 1–2, pp. 1–102, Jul. 2012, ISSN: 0370-1573. DOI: 10.1016/j.physrep.2012.02.002. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.02.002>.
- [8] The ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for charged Higgs bosons decaying via $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ in the $\tau + \text{jets}$ and $\tau + \text{lepton}$ final states with 36 fb^{-1} of pp collision data recorded at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS experiment,” *JHEP*, vol. 09, p. 139, 2018. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP09(2018)139. arXiv: 1807.07915 [hep-ex].

- [9] C. T. e. a. Potter, *Handbook of lhc higgs cross sections: 3. higgs properties: Report of the lhc higgs cross section working group*, en, 2013. DOI: 10.5170/CERN-2013-004. [Online]. Available: <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1559921>.
- [10] CMS Collaboration, "Search for charged higgs bosons in the $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ decay channel in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ tev," *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2019, no. 7, Jul. 2019. DOI: 10.1007/jhep07(2019)142. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007%2Fjhep07%282019%29142>.
- [11] C. Wanotayaroj, "Search for a Scalar Partner of the Top Quark in the Jets+MET Final State with the ATLAS detector," Presented 25 Oct 2016, Nov. 2016. [Online]. Available: <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2242196>.

References v

- [12] CERN, *Facts and figures about the lhc*, [Online]. Available: <https://home.cern/resources/faqs/facts-and-figures-about-lhc>.
- [13] E. Lopienska, “The CERN accelerator complex, layout in 2022. Complexe des accélérateurs du CERN en janvier 2022,” , Feb. 2022, General Photo. [Online]. Available: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2800984>.
- [14] ATLAS Collaboration, *Atlas schematics*, [Online]. Available: <https://atlas.cern/Resources/Schematics>.
- [15] *Pseudorapidity*. Wikipedia, Feb. 2009. [Online]. Available: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Pseudorapidity2.png>.

- [16] ——, “ATLAS data quality operations and performance for 2015–2018 data-taking,” *Journal of Instrumentation*, vol. 15, no. 04, P04003–P04003, Apr. 2020. DOI: 10.1088/1748-0221/15/04/p04003. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/15/04/p04003>.
- [17] ——, *ATLAS tile calorimeter: Technical Design Report*, ser. Technical design report. ATLAS. Geneva: CERN, 1996. DOI: 10.17181/CERN.JRBJ.7028. [Online]. Available: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/331062>.
- [18] ——, *Atlas daq approved plots*, [Online]. Available: <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/ApprovedPlotsDAQ>.

- [19] Y. Sakurai, “The ATLAS Tau Trigger Performance during LHC Run 1 and Prospects for Run 2,” Tech. Rep., 2014, Comments: LHCP Conference 2014. arXiv: 1409.2699. [Online]. Available: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1754701>.
- [20] ATLAS Collaboration, “Optimisation and performance studies of the ATLAS b -tagging algorithms for the 2017-18 LHC run,”, Jul. 2017, All figures including auxiliary figures are available at <https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PUBNOTES/ATL-PHYS-PUB-2017-013>. [Online]. Available: <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2273281>.
- [21] P. O. Hansson Adrian, “The ATLAS b -jet Trigger,”, Nov. 2011, Comments: 4 pages, 6 figures, conference proceedings for PIC2011. arXiv: 1111.4190. [Online]. Available: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1397942>.


- [22] *Classifier comparison*, [Online]. Available:
https://scikit-learn.org/stable/auto_examples/classification/plot_classifier_comparison.html.
- [23] A. Ye, *When and why tree-based models (often) outperform neural networks*, Sep. 2020. [Online]. Available:
<https://towardsdatascience.com/when-and-why-tree-based-models-often-outperform-neural-networks-ceba9ecd0fd8>.
- [24] ATLAS Collaboration, *Public atlas luminosity results for run-2 of the lhc*, [Online]. Available:
https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/LuminosityPublicResultsRun2#Integrated_and_Instantaneous_Lum.

- [25] P. Baldi, K. Cranmer, T. Faucett, P. Sadowski, and D. Whiteson, “Parameterized neural networks for high-energy physics,” *The European Physical Journal C*, vol. 76, no. 5, p. 235, 2016. DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4099-4. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4099-4>.
- [26] ATLAS collaboration, “Search for charged higgs bosons decaying into a top quark and a bottom quark at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ tev with the atlas detector,” *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2021, no. 6, p. 145, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP06(2021)145. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2021\)145](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2021)145).


References x

- [27] “Search for a light charged Higgs boson in $t \rightarrow H^\pm b$ decays, with $H^\pm \rightarrow$, in the lepton+jets final state in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector,” CERN, Geneva, Tech. Rep., 2021, All figures including auxiliary figures are available at
<https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/CONFNOTES/ATLAS-CONF-2021-037>. [Online]. Available: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2779169>.
- [28] ATLAS collaboration, “Search for charged higgs bosons decaying into top and bottom quarks at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ tev with the atlas detector,” *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2018, no. 11, p. 85, 2018. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2018)085. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11\(2018\)085](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2018)085).

Other H^\pm Searches









[26]





[27]

JHEP 09(2018)139 BDT Scores in Signal Regions



Signal Acceptance





Background Estimation


- Control regions defined to verify main sources of background

	$t\bar{t}$ CR	W+Jets CR	b-veto CR	b-veto $m_T > 100$ CR
Number of $\tau_{had-vis}$	1	1	0	0
p_T^τ	> 40 GeV	> 40 GeV	> 40 GeV	> 40 GeV
Number of jets	≥ 3	≥ 3	≥ 3	≥ 3
p_T^{jet}	≥ 25 GeV	≥ 25 GeV	≥ 25 GeV	≥ 25 GeV
Number of b-jets	≥ 2	0	0	0
Number of ℓ	0	0	0	0
E_T^{miss}	> 150 GeV	> 150 GeV	> 150 GeV	> 150 GeV
$m_T(\tau, E_T^{\text{miss}})$	< 100 GeV	< 100 GeV	> 50 GeV	> 100 GeV
Type of modeling	$t\bar{t}$	W+Jets	Close to SR	Fake $j \rightarrow \tau$ enriched

	Dilepton-btag CR	Zee CR	b-veto CR	Same Sign CR
Number of $\tau_{had-vis}$	0	1	0	0
p_T^τ	> 30 GeV	> 30 GeV	> 30 GeV	> 30 GeV
Number of jets	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1	≥ 1
p_T^{jet}	≥ 25 GeV	≥ 25 GeV	≥ 25 GeV	≥ 25 GeV
Number of b-jets	≥ 1	0	0	≥ 1
Number of ℓ	2 (1 e, 1 μ)	1 e	1 tight e (μ)	1 tight e (μ)
E_T^{miss}	> 50 GeV	> 50 GeV	> 50 GeV	> 50 GeV
mass(τ, e)	N/A	> 40; < 140 GeV	N/A	N/A
Type of modeling	$t\bar{t}$ and single-top	Fake $\ell \rightarrow \tau$ enriched	Close to SR	Fake $j \rightarrow \tau$ enriched



$\tau + \text{jets}$ Background Modeling




Background Estimation: $j \rightarrow \tau$ Fakes

- Extract Fake-Factors $FF = \frac{N_{\tau_{had-vis}}^{CR}}{N_{\bar{\tau}_{had-vis}}^{CR}}$ from two orthogonal control regions:

Multi-Jet (gluon enriched)	W+Jets (quark enriched)
E_T^{miss} or Multi-Jet trigger	Single lepton triggers
$\geq 1 \tau_{had}, p_T^{\tau} > 30 \text{ GeV}$	$\geq 1 \tau_{had}, p_T^{\tau} > 30 \text{ GeV}$
$\geq 3 \text{ jets}$	$\geq 1 \text{ jet}$
0 b-jets	0 b-jets

- Combine the two Fake-Factors via the template fit method:
 - Find two separate templates for anti- τ in each CR
 - Fit both templates to the shape of the anti- τ in the SR
 - Lowest χ^2 of the fit defines α_{MJ} value and the corresponding error
- Number of events with fake τ in the signal region is given by:


$$N_{fakes}^{Thad-vis} = \sum_i N_{\bar{\tau}_{had-vis}}^{SRi} FF_i$$



- $\bar{\tau}_0$ jet width used in α fitting of 1-prong and 3-prong $\bar{\tau}$


$\bar{\tau} ID$
RNN Score > 0.01
Not loose

$\tau + \ell$ Background Modeling



PNN Hyperparameter Optimization Search

- LeakyReLU activation function has an α parameter
- Slope of negative portion
 - Prevents neurons from “dying” by allowing negative weight values
- Standard relu is where $\alpha = 0$



PNN Hyperparameter Optimization

Parameter			
activation function	softsign	relu	LeakyReLU
loss function	binary crossentropy	mean squared error	mean absolute error
width	32		
depth	10		

Parameter			
width	8	16	32
depth	3	5	10
dropout	0.1	0.3	
activation function	softsign		
loss function	binary crossentropy		

Parameter			
width	32	64	128
depth	2	3	4
dropout	0.1		
activation function	softsign	relu	LeakyReLU
batch size	1025		
loss function	binary crossentropy		

Parameter				
width	32	64	128	
depth	2	3	4	
α	0.01	0.05	0.001	0.005
batch size	1024			
dropout	0.1			
activation function	LeakyReLU			
loss function	binary crossentropy			

Parameter				
width	32	64	128	256
depth	2	3	4	5
batch size	1024			
dropout	0.1			
activation function	LeakyReLU			
α	0.05			
loss function	binary crossentropy			

PNN Hyperparameter Optimization Results

width	depth	80	150	250	500	Avg	LowMassAvg
128	3	0.6661 ± 0.0000	0.8145 ± 0.0000	0.9031 ± 0.0000	0.9633 ± 0.0000	0.8876 ± 0.0000	0.8261 ± 0.0968
128	5	0.6492 ± 0.0000	0.8043 ± 0.0000	0.9078 ± 0.0000	0.9628 ± 0.0000	0.8861 ± 0.0000	0.8235 ± 0.1000
128	4	0.6593 ± 0.0000	0.8117 ± 0.0000	0.9012 ± 0.0000	0.9638 ± 0.0000	0.8858 ± 0.0000	0.8232 ± 0.0994
128	2	0.6444 ± 0.0000	0.8070 ± 0.0000	0.9075 ± 0.0000	0.9631 ± 0.0000	0.8857 ± 0.0000	0.8231 ± 0.1006
64	4	0.6576 ± 0.0050	0.8080 ± 0.0013	0.9052 ± 0.0045	0.9656 ± 0.0016	0.8857 ± 0.0002	0.8230 ± 0.0994
64	2	0.6528 ± 0.0066	0.8052 ± 0.0023	0.9057 ± 0.0032	0.9651 ± 0.0007	0.8855 ± 0.0004	0.8228 ± 0.0996
64	5	0.6538 ± 0.0050	0.8044 ± 0.0019	0.9058 ± 0.0037	0.9653 ± 0.0014	0.8853 ± 0.0005	0.8224 ± 0.0997
64	3	0.6520 ± 0.0067	0.8051 ± 0.0018	0.9042 ± 0.0044	0.9649 ± 0.0019	0.8853 ± 0.0011	0.8223 ± 0.0994
256	5	0.6536 ± 0.0010	0.8044 ± 0.0033	0.9036 ± 0.0042	0.9644 ± 0.0022	0.8844 ± 0.0002	0.8213 ± 0.1003
256	4	0.6434 ± 0.0000	0.8018 ± 0.0000	0.9017 ± 0.0000	0.9619 ± 0.0000	0.8823 ± 0.0000	0.8181 ± 0.1013
32	3	0.6369 ± 0.0094	0.7950 ± 0.0041	0.8977 ± 0.0032	0.9635 ± 0.0022	0.8798 ± 0.0012	0.8139 ± 0.1031
32	4	0.6384 ± 0.0037	0.7935 ± 0.0033	0.8986 ± 0.0037	0.9636 ± 0.0016	0.8799 ± 0.0009	0.8139 ± 0.1031
32	2	0.6399 ± 0.0058	0.7924 ± 0.0024	0.8983 ± 0.0033	0.9629 ± 0.0023	0.8796 ± 0.0004	0.8135 ± 0.1023
32	5	0.6350 ± 0.0077	0.7931 ± 0.0056	0.8981 ± 0.0022	0.9625 ± 0.0005	0.8792 ± 0.0011	0.8128 ± 0.1035
256	2	0.6320 ± 0.0044	0.7971 ± 0.0000	0.8939 ± 0.0034	0.9587 ± 0.0018	0.8781 ± 0.0002	0.8120 ± 0.1023

Fake-factor method uncertainties

Sources of systematic uncertainties associated with the FF method:

- Statistical uncertainties
- True τ contamination in the anti- τ CR
- α_{MJ} fitting procedure uncertainty
- Tau RNN Identification SF variation
- Heavy flavor jet fraction

Source of uncertainty	$\tau + \text{jets}$		$\tau + \ell$	
	Effect on yield	Shape	Effect on yield	Shape
Fake factors: statistical uncertainties	3.9%	✗	3.2%	✗
Fake factors: True $\tau_{\text{had-vis}}$ in the anti- $\tau_{\text{had-vis}}$ CR	+3.4% -3.2%	✗	+4% -4.3%	✗
Fake factors: tau RNN Identification SF	2.7%	✓	2.7%	✓
Fake factors: α_{MJ} uncertainty	3.6%	✗	1.9%	✗
Fake factors: heavy flavor jet fraction	6%	✓	5.53%	✓

Sources of Systematic Uncertainty


Source	Impact on the expected event yield (%)					
	τ -jets		$\tau+e$		$\tau+\mu$	
	$t\bar{t}$	$H^\pm 200 \text{ GeV}$	$t\bar{t}$	$H^\pm 200 \text{ GeV}$	$t\bar{t}$	$H^\pm 200 \text{ GeV}$
$T_{had-vis}$ reconstruction efficiency	± 1.24	± 1.22	± 1.23	$+1.22$ -1.23	± 1.23	± 1.22
$T_{had-vis}$ -id	± 1.79	± 0.52	± 1.40	± 0.50	± 1.40	± 0.48
$T_{had-vis}$ energy scale	$+2.53$ -2.80	$+2.00$ -1.66	$+1.60$ -1.44	$+1.28$ -1.66	$+1.53$ -1.39	$+1.72$ -1.46
$T_{had-vis}$ energy scale (detector)	$+1.96$ -1.55	$+1.64$ -1.49	$+0.23$ -0.21	$+1.15$ -1.08	$+0.16$ -0.55	$+0.49$ -1.5
$T_{had-vis}$ energy scale (in-situ)	$+144$ -1.43	$+0.22$ -0.74	$+1.17$ -1.20	$+0.74$ -0.63	$+1.14$ -1.15	$+0.54$ -0.37
$T_{had-vis}$ energy scale (model)	$+0.56$ -0.61	-0.06 -0.21	$+0.23$ -0.18	$+1.15$ -1.08	$+0.16$ -0.55	$+0.49$ -1.50
$T_{had-vis}$ energy scale (physics list)	$+1.27$ -1.26	-0.72 -0.65	$+0.74$ -0.65	$+0.67$ -0.25	$+0.72$ -0.63	$+0.83$ -0.60
jet uncertainties	$+7.38$ -8.39	$+6.51$ -9.06	$+3.41$ -3.31	$+4.49$ -2.78	$+3.18$ -3.24	$+3.67$ -2.96
E_T^{miss} soft term scale/resolution	$+1.31$ -1.12	$+1.15$ -1.49	$+0.29$ -0.24	$+0.88$ -0.34	$+0.30$ -0.23	$+0.21$ -0.11
trigger	$+1.23$ -1.61	± 0.03	0	0	$+0.55$ -0.56	± 56
e-id	0	0	± 0.71	± 0.73	0	0
μ -id/reconstruction/isolation	0	0	0 -0.01	0 -0.11	$+0.97$ -1.40	$+1.00$ -2.94
μ MS	0	0	0	0	$+0.09$ -0.12	$+0.40$ -0.34

Expected Yields


- Analysis is still blinded
 - Ongoing work with the internal ATLAS review process
- Expected number of events from each background source and two arbitrary m_{H^\pm} points
- PNN is used to separate signal from background

Sample	Event yields $+j$ -jets		Event yields $\tau + e$		Event yields $\tau + \mu$				
$t\bar{t}$	18443.27	\pm 48.35	+1545.67 -1697.11	43813.50	\pm 76.85	+1749.82 -1833.87	44486.48	\pm 75.33	+1811.78 -1907.08
Single-top-quark	2284.34	\pm 17.39	+184.69 -207.49	3260.52	\pm 20.81	+124.27 -134.66	3873.35	\pm 22.06	+158.03 -165.92
$W \rightarrow \tau\nu$	1979.17	\pm 23.63	+179.85 -229.80	2.41	\pm 0.56	+0.22 -2.15	0.07	\pm 0.12	+0.08 -0.16
$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$	242.12	\pm 5.50	+24.27 -32.88	913.55	\pm 20.42	+64.56 -149.42	845.89	\pm 22.07	+88.71 -111.03
Diboson (WW, WZ, ZZ)	133.76	\pm 4.69	+9.47 -12.61	72.64	\pm 1.52	+5.25 -3.91	80.81	\pm 1.53	+5.40 -6.45
Misidentified $e, \mu \rightarrow \tau_{had-vis}$	328.89	\pm 6.85	+25.60 -34.58	1083.97	\pm 24.33	+41.65 -73.42	1060.30	\pm 15.84	+43.44 -70.69
Misidentified jet $\rightarrow \tau_{had-vis}$	2506.28	\pm 17.39	+130.53 -133.40	8662.43	\pm 37.49	+450.65 -470.53	8426.64	\pm 37.12	+440.04 -459.88
All backgrounds	25917.83	\pm 59.82	+1572.87 -1730.97	57809.03	\pm 93.57	+1812.82 -1846.46	58773.63	\pm 90.99	+1873.75 -1970.06
H^\pm (170 GeV), hMSSM $\tan\beta = 40$	1075.81	\pm 9.12	+82.89 -79.19	598.17	\pm 6.60	+20.84 -22.52	702.21	\pm 6.93	+22.39 -16.12
H^\pm (1000 GeV), hMSSM $\tan\beta = 40$	12910.36	\pm 59.30	+784.57 -720.17	938.90	\pm 13.25	+48.99 -37.72	1024.06	\pm 13.21	+48.42 -57.01

H^\pm Expected Limits




[28]




[28]

$H^\pm \rightarrow \tau\nu$ Expected Limits




$\tau + e$




$\tau + \mu$

$H^\pm \rightarrow \tau\nu$ Expected Limits



$\tau + jets$



$\tau + \ell$

$\tau + jets$ Preselection

$\tau + jets$ Preselection

E_T^{miss} Trigger (mostly HLT_xe110)

1 hadronic τ

$p_T^\tau > 40$ GeV













≥ 3 jets

$p_T^j > 25$ GeV













$E_T^{miss} > 150$ GeV

ℓ veto








$\tau + \text{jets}$ Preselection



$\tau + \text{jets}$ Preselection



τ +jets Preselection



$\tau + jets \ t\bar{t}$ CR

$t\bar{t}$ CR ($t\bar{t}$ modeling)

1 hadronic τ

$p_T^\tau > 40$ GeV

≥ 3 jets













≥ 2 b-jets

$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 150$ GeV











$m_T(\tau, E_T^{\text{miss}}) > 100$ GeV

ℓ veto






$\tau + jets$ $t\bar{t}$ CR



$\tau + jets \ t\bar{t}$ CR



$\tau + jets \ t\bar{t}$ CR



$\tau + jets$ W+Jets CR

W+Jets CR (W+Jets modeling)

1 hadronic τ

$p_T^\tau > 40$ GeV

≥ 3 jets

$p_T^{jet} > 25$ GeV













$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 150$ GeV

$m_T(\tau, E_T^{\text{miss}}) > 100$ GeV


b veto

ℓ veto






W+Jets CR



W+Jets CR



W+Jets CR



$\tau + jets$ **b-veto CR**

b-veto CR (Close to SR)

1 hadronic τ

$p_T^\tau > 40 \text{ GeV}$

≥ 3 jets

$p_T^{jet} > 25 \text{ GeV}$


$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 150 \text{ GeV}$

$m_T(\tau, E_T^{\text{miss}}) > 50 \text{ GeV}$


b veto

ℓ veto






b-veto CR



b-veto CR



b-veto CR



$\tau + \text{jets}$ **b-veto** $m_T \geq 100$ GeV

b-veto $m_T \geq 100$ CR (Fake enriched region)

1 hadronic τ

$p_T^\tau > 40$ GeV

≥ 3 jets

$p_T^{\text{jet}} > 25$ GeV













$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 150$ GeV

$m_T(\tau, E_T^{\text{miss}}) > 100$ GeV


b veto

ℓ veto






b-veto $m_T > 100$ CR















b-veto $m_T > 100$ CR













b-veto $m_T > 100$ CR








$\tau + jets$ SR



$\tau + jets$ SR



$\tau + jets$ SR



$\tau + \ell$ Preselection

$\tau + \ell$ Preselection

Single lepton trigger (e or μ)

1 hadronic τ

$p_T^\tau > 30$ GeV

1 ℓ (e or μ)

$p_T^\ell > 30$ GeV












$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 150$ GeV

≥ 1 jet













$p_T^j > 25$ GeV

Opposite sign τ and ℓ













$\tau + \ell$ Preselection Input Variables















$\tau + \ell$ Preselection Input Variables











$\tau + \ell$ Preselection



$\tau + \ell$ Preselection



$\tau + \ell$ Preselection



$\tau + \ell$ Dilepton-btag CR

Dilepton-btag CR ($t\bar{t}$ modeling, used in fit)

τ veto

≥ 1 jets

$p_T^{jet} > 25 \text{ GeV}$

≥ 1 b-jets












$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 50 \text{ GeV}$

1 e













1 μ

Opposite sign μ and e













$\tau + \ell$ Dilepton-btag CR Input Variables















$\tau + \ell$ Dilepton-btag CR Input Variables











$\tau + \ell$ Dilepton-btag CR



$\tau + \ell$ Dilepton-btag CR



$\tau + \ell$ Dilepton-btag CR



$\tau + \ell$ $Z \rightarrow ee$ **CR**

$Z \rightarrow ee$ **CR** (Fake enriched region)

1 hadronic τ

$p_T^\tau > 30$ GeV

veto μ

Opposite sign τ e

≥ 1 jets

$p_T^{jet} > 25$ GeV












bjet veto

$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 50$ GeV


1 e

$40 < \text{mass}(\tau, e) < 140$ GeV













$\tau + \ell Z \rightarrow ee$ CR Input Variables















$\tau + \ell Z \rightarrow ee$ CR Input Variables











$\tau + \ell$ Z → ee CR



$\tau + \ell^+ \ell^- \rightarrow ee$ CR



$\tau + \ell$ Z → ee CR



$\tau + \ell$ **b-veto** $\tau + e$ CR

b-veto $\tau + e$ CR (Close to SR)

1 hadronic τ

$p_T^\tau > 30$ GeV

1 e

Veto μ

Opposite sign τ e












≥ 1 jets

$p_T^{jet} > 25$ GeV













$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 50$ GeV

1 tight e













$\tau + \ell$ b-veto $\tau + e$ Input Variables















$\tau + \ell$ b-veto $\tau + e$ Input Variables











$\tau + \ell$ **b-veto** $\tau + e$



$\tau + \ell$ **b-veto** $\tau + e$



$\tau + \ell$ **b-veto** $\tau + e$



$\tau + \ell$ **b-veto** $\tau + \mu$ **CR**

b-veto $\tau + \mu$ **CR** (Close to SR)

1 hadronic τ

$p_T^\tau > 30 \text{ GeV}$

1 μ

Veto e

Opposite sign $\tau \mu$












≥ 1 jets

$p_T^{\text{jet}} > 25 \text{ GeV}$













$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 50 \text{ GeV}$

1 tight μ













$\tau + \ell$ b-veto $\tau + \mu$ Input Variables















$\tau + \ell$ b-veto $\tau + \mu$ Input Variables











$\tau + \ell$ **b-veto** $\tau + \mu$



$\tau + \ell$ **b-veto** $\tau + \mu$














$\tau + \ell$ b-veto $\tau + \mu$















$\tau + \ell$ **Same Sign** $\tau + e$ **CR**

Same Sign $\tau + e$ CR (Fake enriched region)
1 hadronic τ
$p_T^\tau > 30 \text{ GeV}$
Same sign τe
Veto μ
$n\text{-jets} \geq 1$
$p_T(\text{jet}) > 25 \text{ GeV}$
$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 50 \text{ GeV}$
1 tight e













$\tau + \ell$ Same Sign $\tau + e$ Input Variables




$\tau + \ell$ Same Sign $\tau + e$ Input Variables











$\tau + \ell$ Same Sign $\tau + e$



$\tau + \ell$ Same Sign $\tau + e$














$\tau + \ell$ Same Sign $\tau + e$















$\tau + \ell$ **Same Sign** $\tau + \mu$ **CR**

Same Sign $\tau + e$ CR (Fake enriched region)
1 hadronic τ
$p_T^\tau > 30 \text{ GeV}$
Same sign $\tau \mu$
Veto e
$n\text{-jets} \geq 1$
$p_T(\text{jet}) > 25 \text{ GeV}$
$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 50 \text{ GeV}$
1 tight μ













$\tau + \ell$ Same Sign $\tau + \mu$ Input Variables















$\tau + \ell$ Same Sign $\tau + \mu$ Input Variables











$\tau + \ell$ Same Sign $\tau + \mu$



$\tau + \ell$ Same Sign $\tau + \mu$



$\tau + \ell$ Same Sign $\tau + \mu$



$\tau + e$ SR

$\tau + e$ SR

Single lepton trigger (e)

1 hadronic τ

$p_T^\tau > 30$ GeV

1 e

$p_T^e > 30$ GeV

≥ 1 jet

$p_T^j > 25$ GeV

≥ 1 b-jets












$p_T^{b-jet} > 25$ GeV

$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 50$ GeV













Opposite sign τ and e

μ veto


$\tau + e$ SR Input Variables




$\tau + e$ SR Input Variables











$\tau + e$ SR



$\tau + e$ SR



$\tau + e$ SR



$\tau + \mu$ **SR**

$\tau + \mu$ **SR**

Single lepton trigger (μ)

1 hadronic τ

$p_T^\tau > 30$ GeV

1 μ

$p_T^\mu > 30$ GeV

≥ 1 jet

$p_T^j > 25$ GeV

≥ 1 b-jets












$p_T^{b-jet} > 25$ GeV

$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 50$ GeV













Opposite sign τ and μ

e veto













$\tau + \mu$ SR Input Variables















$\tau + \mu$ SR Input Variables











$$\tau + \mu$$



$$\tau + \mu$$



$\tau + \mu$ 

$\tau + \ell$ SR

$\tau + \ell$ SR

Single lepton trigger (e or μ)

1 hadronic τ

$p_T^\tau > 30$ GeV

1 ℓ (e or μ)

$p_T^\ell > 30$ GeV

≥ 1 jet

$p_T^j > 25$ GeV












≥ 1 b-jets

$p_T^{b-jet} > 25$ GeV













$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 50$ GeV

Opposite sign τ and ℓ













$\tau + \ell$ SR Input Variables















$\tau + \ell$ SR Input Variables



$\tau + \ell$ SR



$\tau + \ell$ SR



$\tau + \ell$ SR

