

CS 320

Computer Language Processing

Review Exercises

April 02, 2025

Note: these questions are collected from previous exams, which are also available to you in full. Some solutions were not available in the previous exams, and were added later. The solutions have not been rigorously verified. Use them as a quick reference, but do not assume they are always the correct expected answer.

2016 **Exercise 1** Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ for distinct a, b . Let L_1, L_2, L range over subsets of Σ^* (languages). Remember that for languages, concatenation is given by:

$$L_1 L_2 = \{u_1 u_2 \mid u_1 \in L_1 \wedge u_2 \in L_2\}$$

We say that a language L left-cancels if and only if for every L_1, L_2 :

$$L L_1 = L L_2 \implies L_1 = L_2$$

1. Does $L = \emptyset$ left-cancel? **No**
2. Does $L = \epsilon$ left-cancel? **Yes**
3. Give a regular expression describing an infinite language L that left-cancels. **a^*b**
4. Give a context-free grammar for another language L that left-cancels, but is not regular. **$S ::= aRb; R ::= aRb \mid \epsilon$**

2016 **Exercise 2** Consider the grammar:

```
decl ::= varDecl | funDecl
varDecl ::= type ID;
funDecl ::= type ID (optIDs);
optIDs ::=  $\epsilon$  | IDs
IDs ::= ID | IDs ID
type ::= int | type*
```

1. Compute nullable and first for each non-terminal of the grammar above.

Solution Only *optIDs* is nullable. The first sets are:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{first}(decl) &= \{\text{int}\} \\ \text{first}(varDecl) &= \{\text{int}\} \\ \text{first}(funDecl) &= \{\text{int}\} \\ \text{first}(optIDs) &= \{\text{ID}\} \\ \text{first}(IDs) &= \{\text{ID}\} \\ \text{first}(type) &= \{\text{int}\}\end{aligned}$$

□

2. Explain why the grammar is not LL(1).

Solution *decl*, *IDs*, and *type* each have two rules with the same first set. □

3. Give an LL(1) grammar describing the same sequences of tokens as the previous grammar.

Solution We can remove the common prefix from *decl*:

$$\begin{aligned}decl &= type \text{ ID } decl' \\ decl' &= ; \mid (\text{ optIDs });\end{aligned}$$

We can remove the left recursion from the *IDs* rule:

$$\begin{aligned}IDs &= \text{ID } IDs' \\ IDs' &= \epsilon \mid \text{ID } IDs'\end{aligned}$$

We can also remove the left recursion from the *type* rule:

$$\begin{aligned}type &= \text{int } type' \\ type' &= \epsilon \mid * type'\end{aligned}$$

Note that *IDs* can be followed only by a closing parenthesis. □

2022 **Exercise 3** Consider the following grammar with non-terminals *S* and *A* and terminals **EOF**, **(**, **)**, **[**, and **]**:

$$\begin{aligned}S &::= A \text{ EOF} \\ A &::= (A) A \mid A [A] \mid \epsilon\end{aligned}$$

1. Choose all true statements about the grammar above:
 - (a) “[] ([])” is accepted by the grammar.
 - (b) The grammar is LL(1).
 - (c) The grammar is ambiguous. ✓

(d) nullable(A) = *true*. ✓

(e) nullable(S) = *true*.

2. Choose the correct option:

(a) first(S) = {**EOF**}

(b) first(S) = {(, [}

(c) first(S) = {(,), **EOF**}

(d) first(S) = {(, [, **EOF**} ✓

(e) first(S) = {(,), [,], **EOF**}

3. Choose the correct option:

(a) follow(A) = {),]}

(b) follow(A) = {),], **EOF**}

(c) follow(A) = {(, [,),]}

(d) follow(A) = {(, [,], **EOF**}

(e) follow(A) = {(, [,],], **EOF**}

None of the above are correct; follow(A) = {), [,], **EOF**}

2022 **Exercise 4** Complete (on the next page) the type derivation for the body of the function `f`.

```
def f(x: Int, u: Int, v: Int): Int = {  
  if (x < u) {  
    u  
  }  
  else if (v < x) {  
    v  
  }  
  else {  
    x  
  }  
}
```

You may use the following type rules:

$$\frac{(x, T) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : Int \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : Int}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : Int} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : Int \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : Int}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 * e_2 : Int}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : Bool \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : Bool}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \&\&e_2 : Bool} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : Bool \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : Bool}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 || e_2 : Bool}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : Int \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : Int}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 < e_2 : Bool} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : Bool \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : T \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 : T}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{(x, Int) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : Int} \quad \frac{(u, Int) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash u : Int} \quad \frac{(v, Int) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash v : Int} \quad \frac{(x, Int) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : Int} \quad \frac{(v, Int) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash v : Int} \quad \frac{(x, Int) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : Int} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash x < u : Bool}{\Gamma \vdash if (x < u) then u else if (v < x) v else x : Int}
\end{array}$$

2022, contd **Exercise 5** For which of the following expressions does type unification succeed? For the $+$ operator, assume the type rules as in the previous question.

1. $x \Rightarrow y \Rightarrow y(z \Rightarrow 6) + y(7)$
2. $g \Rightarrow f \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow g(f(x))$
3. $x \Rightarrow y \Rightarrow ((z \Rightarrow y), y)$
4. $g \Rightarrow f \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow g(f(x)) + f(g(x)) + x$

2022, contd **Exercise 6** Consider a programming language with pairs and the usual typing rules, as in the lecture. Apply the unification algorithm on the following function:

```
def swap(t) = {
  (t._2, t._1)
}
```

assuming the following type variables assigned to tree nodes:

$$((t : \tau)_{.2} : \tau_1, (t : \tau)_{.1} : \tau_2) : \tau_3$$

Write each step of the unification algorithm, mentioning what rules of the algorithm you are applying. We provide you with the initial step:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &= (\tau_{10}, \tau_1) \\ \tau &= (\tau_2, \tau_{20}) \\ \tau_3 &= (\tau_1, \tau_2)\end{aligned}$$

Solution

1. Substituting $\tau = (\tau_{10}, \tau_1)$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\tau_{10}, \tau_1) &= (\tau_2, \tau_{20}) \\ \tau_3 &= (\tau_1, \tau_2)\end{aligned}$$

2. Unifying the pair expression:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{10} &= \tau_2 \\ \tau_1 &= \tau_{20} \\ \tau_3 &= (\tau_1, \tau_2)\end{aligned}$$

3. Substituting τ_1 and τ_2 per equations:

$$\tau_3 = (\tau_1, \tau_2)$$

We can get the values of τ and τ_3 in terms of τ_1 and τ_2 by looking at intermediate steps we took during unification. \square

Write down an expression for the argument and return types of `swap` in terms of the type variables τ_1 and τ_2 .

Solution Argument type: $\tau = (\tau_2, \tau_1)$

Return type: $\tau_3 = (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ \square