Algorith Analysis
Mid Tenn I

Ephraim wany
wang 0037

a) Frave that initial heaping takes O(n) operations.

After implementing a Binary Tree from an unsarted array, heapily is applied to the last non-lest node, located at index  $\left[\frac{n}{2}-1\right]$ . This way, we implement heapily from the last non-lest node up to the root.

Number of Nodes at depth of from root:  $2^d$ Number of Nodes at height h from bottom:  $\frac{n}{2^{h+1}}$ At height h, each node takes at most O(h) time complexity.

T(n) =  $\sum_{h=0}^{\log n} \left(\frac{n}{2^{n+1}} \cdot O(h)\right) = O(n) \cdot \sum_{h=0}^{\log n} \frac{n}{2^h}$ =  $O(n) \cdot O(1)$ 

= 0 (n) A verage case complexity focuses on the typical time complexitude of algorithms by dividing the sum of time complexities for all possible inputs by the number of possible inputs.

Quick Sort

Let T(n) be average case time complexity on array size n.  $T(n) = T(n_L) + T(n_R) + O(n) \quad \text{for } n > 1$ 

Where possible sizes of NL & NR are equally possible from [O, n-1],

each  $\underline{w}$   $\frac{1}{n}$  possibility.

Hence,  $T(n_L) = T(n_R) = T(n-1-n_L)$   $T(n) = n + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [T(k) + T(n-1-k)]$ 

 $nT(n) = n^2 + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T(k)$  $(n-1)T(n-1) = 2 \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} T(k)\right] + (n-1)^2$ 

$$n \cdot T(n) - (n-1)T(n-1) = n^{2} - (n^{2} - 2n+1) + 2\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T(k)\right] - 2\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} T(k)\right]$$

$$= 2n - 1 + 2T(n-1)$$

$$= 2n - 1 + (n-1)T(n-1)$$

$$= 2n - 1 + (n+1)T(n-1)$$

$$\frac{T(n)}{(n+1)} = \frac{2n-1}{n(n+1)} + \frac{T(n-1)}{n}$$
Let  $\frac{T(n)}{n+1} = S(n)$  where  $S(n) = S(n+1) + \frac{2n-1}{n(n+1)}$ 

$$S(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \approx \log n$$

$$\therefore T(n) = (n+1)(\log n) = n\log n + \log n$$

= O(n log n)

25) 
$$T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n^{2}$$
,  $T(1) = 1$ ,  $n = 2^{16}$ 
 $T(n) = 2\left[2T(\frac{n}{2^{2}}) + (\frac{n}{2})\right] + n^{2}$ 
 $= 2^{2}\left[T(\frac{n}{2^{3}})\right] + 2(\frac{n}{2^{2}})^{2} + n^{2}$ 
 $= 2^{3}\left[T(\frac{n}{2^{3}}) + (\frac{n}{2^{2}})^{2} + 2(\frac{n}{2})^{2} + 2^{n}(\frac{n}{2^{2}})^{2} + 2^{n}(\frac{n}{2^{2}})^{2}$ 
 $= 2^{m}\left[T(\frac{n}{2^{m}})\right] + \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} 2^{l}(\frac{n}{2^{2}})^{2}$ 

Since  $n = 2^{m}$ , we expand  $t = 1$ 
 $n = 2^{m}$ 
 $n = 2^{m}$ 
 $n = 100^{2}n$ 
 $n = 100^{2}n$ 

```
Cost for chain
l = 2
                           5 · 10 · 20
                            10 · 20 · 25 =
                            20.25.10 = 5 000
  A_1A_2A_3 = Min \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} A_2A_3 & A_1(A_2A_3) & A_1A_2 & (A_1A_2)A_3 \\ 1000 + 15.5.20 & 750 + 15.10.20 \end{array} \right] = 2500
  A_2 A_3 A_4 = Min \left[ \begin{array}{cc} A_3 A_4 & A_2 (A_5 A_4) \\ \hline 5000 + 5 \cdot 10 \cdot 25 \end{array} \right]
                                                      A_3 A_4 A_5 = Min \left[ \begin{array}{cc} A_4 A_5 & A_3 (A_4 A_5) \\ 5000 + 10 \cdot 20 \cdot 10 \end{array} \right]
                                                              (A_5A_4)A_5 J = 7000
                                                               A 5 A 4 (A, Az (Az Az A)
                          A2A3A4 A.(A2A5A4) A,A2
  A, A, A, A, = Min 2 3500 + 15.5.25, 750 + 5000 + 15.10.25
                           A, A2 A3 (A, A2 A3) A4.
                            2500 + 15.20.25 \int_{A_2A_3} = 5375
A_2(A_3A_4A_5) A_2A_3 A_4A_4
A_2A_3A_4A_5 = Min \left[\begin{array}{ccc} A_3A_4A_5 & A_2(A_3A_4A_5) \\ 7000 & + 5\cdot 10\cdot 10 \end{array}\right]
                                                      A_2A_3 A_4A_5 1000 + 5000
                           ALA3A4 (A2A3A4)A5
3500 + 5.25.60] = 4750
                           ALA3A4
                        A, (A2A3A4A5) A, A2 A2A4A5 (A, A2)(A3A4A5)
1=5 A2A344A5
  Min 4750 + 15.5.10, 450 + 7000 + 15.10-10,
             A1A2A3 A4A5 (A1A2A3) (A4A5) A1A2A3A4
             2500 + 5000 + 15.20.10, 5375 + 15.25.10
```

An inversion in permutation is a pair of indices (a, b) such that:  $a \times b$  and Value[a] > Value[b]. In other words, a situation where larger elements appear earlier than smaller elements in an array.

Since bubble sort works by suppling adjacent elements we the larger element being supplied towards the end of the list. This algorithm uses a twice nested for-loop to ensure that each element is "bubbled" or swapped to its sorted position in the array. When the outer for-loop is finished, all inversions in an array should be eliminated, resulting in a sorted array.

Therefore, the more inversion in an array, the greater the number of suaps required, resulting in greater time complexity.

Best case: O(n)

Worst case: O (n2)

$$idx \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 181 & 5 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 7 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{1}{5} \xrightarrow{pass} \frac{1}{5} \xrightarrow{pass} \frac{1}{5$$