

## .1 Further remarks and examples

**Problem .1.1.** Generalize the CRT for two ideals, as follows. Let  $I, J$  be ideals in a commutative ring  $R$ ; prove that there is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow I \cap J \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{\varphi} \frac{R}{I} \times \frac{R}{J} \longrightarrow \frac{R}{I+J} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\varphi$  is the natural map. (Also, explain why this implies the first part of Theorem 6.1, for  $k = 2$ .)

*Solution.* Let the map for  $I \cap J \rightarrow R$  be the inclusion. Since it is injective, its kernel is 0 and the first part of the sequence is exact. Furthermore, its image is merely  $I \cap J$ . Now consider the map  $\varphi$  which sends  $r \in R$  to  $(r + I, r + J)$ . Certainly the kernel of this map is the set of elements in  $R$  which are in both  $I$  and  $J$ ; that is, the kernel is  $I \cap J$ . The image of this map is merely the set  $\{r + I, r + J \mid r \in R\}$ . Note that this may not be the entirety of  $(R/I) \times (R/J)$ . Define a map from  $(R/I) \times (R/J)$  to  $R/(I + J)$  which sends  $(a + I, b + J)$  to  $a - b + (I + J)$ . One can easily verify that this is indeed a homomorphism of modules. Note that the kernel of this image is precisely the image of  $\varphi$ . Furthermore, the homomorphism is surjective; and arbitrary  $a + (I + J)$  is mapped to by  $(a + I, 0 + J)$ . With these homomorphisms, we have shown the existence of such an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules.

In the case where  $I + J = (1)$ , then the map  $\varphi$  is surjective. This can be seen by noting that there exist  $i \in I, j \in J$  such that  $i + j = 1$ . Then for all  $(r + I, s + J)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(rj + si) &= (rj + I, si + J) \\ &= (rj + ri + I, si + sj + J) \\ &= (r(j + i) + I, s(i + j) + J) \\ &= (r + I, s + J). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have recovered the desired statement.  $\square$

**Problem .1.2.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, and let  $a \in R$  be an element such that  $a^2 = a$ . Prove that  $R \cong R/(a) \times R/(1 - a)$ .

Show that the multiplication in  $R$  endows the ideal  $(a)$  with a *ring* structure, with  $a$  as the identity. Prove that  $(a) \cong R/(1 - a)$  as rings. Prove that  $R \cong (a) \times (1 - a)$  as rings.

*Solution.* Consider the natural homomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $R$  to  $R/(a) \times R/(1 - a)$  which sends  $r$  to  $(r + (a), r + (1 - a))$ . The kernel of this homomorphism is the set of elements in  $(a) \cap (1 - a)$ . Let  $x \in (a) \cap (1 - a)$  so  $x = ra = s(1 - a)$  for some  $r, s \in R$ . Multiplying both sides by  $a$  yields  $ra^2 = sa - sa^2$ . But then we have

$$x = ra = sa - sa = 0.$$

Thus,  $(a) \cap (1-a) = 0$  so  $\varphi$  is injective. To see that it is surjective, note that  $(a) + (1-a) = (1)$ . By Exercise 6.1, the natural homomorphism is surjective. Therefore,  $\varphi$  is a bijective ring homomorphism and thus an isomorphism.

The ideal  $(a)$  is already an abelian group under addition. To see that it is also a ring under multiplication in  $R$  with  $a$  as an identity, note that for  $ax \in (a)$ , we have  $a \cdot ax = a^2x = ax$ . Distributivity is inherited from  $R$ , making  $(a)$  a ring.

Consider the natural map from  $(a)$  to  $R/(1-a)$  which sends  $ax$  to  $ax + (1-a)$ . This map is surjective as any  $x + (1-a) = ax + (x-ax) + (1-a) = ax + (1-a) = \varphi(ax)$ . Furthermore, the kernel of this map is the set of elements  $ax \in (1-a)$ . But  $ax = (1-a)y \implies a(x+y) = y \implies a(x+y) = ay \implies ax = 0$  so  $x = 0$  and the homomorphism is injective. Thus, we have a bijective homomorphism from  $(a) \rightarrow R/(1-a)$  so the rings are isomorphic. The third isomorphism is relatively similar to show.  $\square$

**Problem .1.3.** Recall (Exercise III.3.15) that a ring  $R$  is called *Boolean* if  $a^2 = a$  for all  $a \in R$ . Let  $R$  be a finite Boolean ring; prove that  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Solution.* Suppose  $R$  has only two elements; then  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $R$  has more than two elements, then there is some idempotent  $e \notin \{0, 1\}$ . Per Exercise 6.2, we can split  $R$  into  $(e) \times (1-e)$ , both of which have strictly fewer elements than  $R$ . Repeating this process will eventually yield a direct product in which each component is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

**Problem .1.4.** Let  $R$  be a finite commutative ring, and let  $p$  be the smallest prime dividing  $|R|$ . Let  $I_1, \dots, I_k$  be proper ideals such that  $I_i + I_j = (1)$  for  $i \neq j$ . Prove that  $k \leq \log_p |R|$ . (Hint: Prove  $|R|^{k-1} \leq |I_1| \cdots |I_k| \leq (|R|/p)^k$ .)

*Solution.* To do.  $\square$

**Problem .1.5.** Show that the map  $\mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x]/(2) \times \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x)$  is not surjective.

*Solution.* Consider the element  $(1, 2) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]/(2) \times \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x)$ . Suppose some polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is sent to this element. Since  $f \equiv 2 \pmod{x}$ , this forces the constant term of  $f$  to be 2. However, if this were the case then the constant term of  $f \pmod{2}$  would be 0, a contradiction. Thus, there is no polynomial mapped to this element and the mapping is not surjective.  $\square$

**Problem .1.6.** Let  $R$  be a UFD.

- Let  $a, b \in R$  such that  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ . Prove that  $(a) \cap (b) = (ab)$ .
- Under the hypotheses of Corollary 6.4 (but only assuming that  $R$  is a UFD) prove that the function  $\varphi$  is injective.

*Solution.* To do. □

**Problem .1.7.** Find a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  such that  $f \equiv 1 \pmod{(x^2 + 1)}$  and  $f \equiv x \pmod{x^{100}}$ .

*Solution.* To do. □

**Problem .1.8.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a positive integer and  $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$  its prime factorization. By the classification theorem for finite abelian groups (or, in fact, simpler considerations; cf. Exercise II.4.9)

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{(n)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{(p_1^{a_1})} \times \cdots \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{(p_r^{a_r})}$$

as abelian groups.

- Use the CRT to prove that this is in fact a *ring* isomorphism.

- Prove that

$$\left( \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{(n)} \right)^* \cong \left( \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{(p_1^{a_1})} \right)^* \times \cdots \times \left( \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{(p_r^{a_r})} \right)^*$$

(recall that  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$  denotes the group of units of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ).

- Recall (Exercise II.6.14) that *Euler's  $\phi$ -function*  $\phi(n)$  denotes the number of positive integers  $< n$  that are relatively prime to  $n$ . Prove that

$$\phi(n) = p_1^{a_1-1}(p_1 - 1) \cdots p_r^{a_r-1}(p_r - 1).$$

*Solution.* To do. □

**Problem .1.9.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of