#### Levels of Control Flow:

- 1. Within expressions
- 2. Among program units
- 3. Among program statements

#### **Evolution:**

- FORTRAN I control statements were based directly on IBM 704 hardware
- Much research and argument in the 1960s about the issue
  - One important result: It was proven that all flowcharts can be coded with only two-way selection and pretest logical loops

Def: A *control structure* is a control statement and the statements whose execution it controls

### Overall Design Question:

What control statements should a language have, beyond selection and pretest logical loops?

Compound statements - introduced by ALGOL 60 in the form of begin...end

A *block* is a compound statement that can define a new scope (with local variables)

### **Selection Statements**

### Design Issues:

- 1. What is the form and type of the control expression?
- 2. What is the selectable segment form (single statement, statement sequence, compound statement)?
- 3. How should the meaning of nested selectors be specified?

### Single-Way Examples

FORTRAN IF: IF (boolean\_expr) statement

Problem: can select only a single statement; to select more, a goto must be used, as in the following example

### **FORTRAN** example:

```
IF (.NOT. condition) GOTO 20
...
20 CONTINUE
```

#### ALGOL 60 if:

```
if (boolean_expr) then
  begin
  ...
end
```

### Two-way Selector Examples

```
ALGOL 60 if:
```

```
if (boolean_expr)
  then statement (the then clause)
  else statement (the else clause)
```

- The statements could be single or compound

#### **Nested Selectors**

Which then gets the else?

Pascal's rule: else goes with the nearest then

### ALGOL 60's solution - disallow direct nesting

```
if ... then
    begin
    if ... then
    begin
    if ... then ...
    then ...
    then ...
    end
    else ...
end
```

FORTRAN 77, Ada, Modula-2 solution - closing special words

e.g. (Ada)

Advantage: flexibility and readability

Modula-2 uses the same closing special word for for all control structures (END)

- This results in poor readability

## **Multiple Selection Constructs**

### Design Issues:

- 1. What is the form and type of the control expression?
- 2. What segments are selectable (single, compound, sequential)?
- 3. Is the entire construct encapsulated?
- 4. Is execution flow through the structure restricted to include just a single selectable segment?
- 5. What is done about unrepresented expression values?

### Early Multiple Selectors:

1. FORTRAN arithmetic IF (a three-way selector)
IF (arithmetic expression) N1, N2, N3

#### Bad aspects:

- Not encapsulated (selectable segments could be anywhere)
- Segments require GOTOS
- 2. FORTRAN computed GOTO and assigned GOTO

### Modern Multiple Selectors

1. Pascal case (from Hoare's contribution to ALGOL W)

```
case expression of
  constant_list_1 : statement_1;
...
  constant_list_n : statement_n
end
```

### Design choices:

- Expression is any ordinal type (int, boolean, char, enum)
- 2. Segments can be single or compound
- 3. Construct is encapsulated
- 4. Only one segment can be executed per execution of the construct
- 5. In Wirth's Pascal, result of an unrepresented control expression value is undefined (In 1984 ISO Standard, it is a runtime error)
  - Many dialects now have otherwise or else clause

2. The C and C++ switch

```
switch (expression) {
  constant_expression_1 : statement_1;
  ...
  constant_expression_n : statement_n;
  [default: statement_n+1]
}
```

Design Choices: (for switch)

- 1. Control expression can be only an integer type
- 2. Selectable segments can be statement sequences, blocks, or compound statements
- 3. Construct is encapsulated
- 4. Any number of segments can be executed in one execution of the construct (there is no implicit branch at the end of selectable segments)
- 5. default clause is for unrepresented values (if there is no default, the whole statement does nothing)
- Design choice 4 is a trade-off between reliability and flexibility (convenience)
  - To avoid it, the programmer must supply a break statement for each segment

- 3. Ada's case is similar to Pascal's case, except:
  - 1. Constant lists can include:
    - **Subranges e.g.,** 10...15
    - Boolean OR operators

```
e.g., 1..5 | 7 | 15..20
```

- 2. Lists of constants must be exhaustive
  - Often accomplished with others clause
  - This makes it more reliable

Multiple Selectors can appear as direct extensions to two-way selectors, using else-if clauses (ALGOL 68, FORTRAN 77, Modula-2, Ada) Ada:

```
if ...
  then ...
elsif ...
then ...
elsif ...
elsif ...
else ...
end if
```

- Far more readable than deeply nested if's
- Allows a boolean gate on every selectable group

### **Iterative Statements**

- The repeated execution of a statement or compound statement is accomplished either by iteration or recursion; here we look at iteration, because recursion is unit-level control

# General design Issues for iteration control statements:

- 1. How is iteration controlled?
- 2. Where is the control mechanism in the loop?

## **Counter-Controlled Loops**

#### Design Issues:

- 1. What is the type and scope of the loop var?
- 2. What is the value of the loop var at loop termination?
- 3. Should it be legal for the loop var or loop parameters to be changed in the loop body, and if so, does the change affect loop control?
- 4. Should the loop parameters be evaluated only once, or once for every iteration?

#### 1. FORTRAN 77 and 90

- Syntax: DO label var = start, finish [, stepsize]
- Stepsize can be any value but zero
- Parameters can be expressions
- Design choices:
- 1. Loop var can be integer, real, or double
- 2. Loop var always has its last value
- 3. The loop var cannot be changed in the loop, but the parameters can; because they are evaluated only once, it does not affect loop control
- 4. Loop parameters are evaluated only once

#### FORTRAN 90's Other DO

- Syntax:

[name:] DO variable = initial, terminal [, stepsize]

- - -

END DO [name]

- Loop var must be an INTEGER

#### 2. ALGOL 60

- Syntax: for var := <list\_of\_stuff> do statement where <list\_of\_stuff> can have:
  - list of expressions
  - expression step expression until expression
  - expression while boolean\_expression

- ALGOL 60 Design choices:
  - 1. Control expression can be int or real; its scope is whatever it is declared to be
  - 2. Control var has its last assigned value after loop termination
  - 3. The loop var cannot be changed in the loop, but the parameters can, and when they are, it affects loop control
  - 4. Parameters are evaluated with every iteration, making it very complex and difficult to read

#### 3. Pascal

- Syntax:

```
for variable := initial (to | downto) final do statement
```

- Design Choices:
  - 1. Loop var must be an ordinal type of usual scope
  - 2. After normal termination, loop var is undefined
  - 3. The loop var cannot be changed in the loop; the loop parameters can be changed, but they are evaluated just once, so it does not affect loop control
  - 4. Just once

#### 4. Ada

- Syntax:

```
for var in [reverse] discrete_range loop
   ...
end loop
```

### Ada Design choices:

- 1. Type of the loop var is that of the discrete range; its scope is the loop body (it is implicitly declared)
- 2. The loop var does not exist outside the loop
- 3. The loop var cannot be changed in the loop, but the discrete range can; it does not affect loop control
- 4. The discrete range is evaluated just once

#### 5. C

- Syntax:

```
for ([expr_1]; [expr_2]; [expr_3]) statement
```

- The expressions can be whole statements, or even statement sequences, with the statements separated by commas
- The value of a multiple-statement expression is the value of the last statement in the expression e.g.,

```
for (i = 0, j = 10; j == i; i++) \dots
```

- If the second expression is absent, it is an infinite loop

### C Design Choices:

- 1. There is no explicit loop var
- 2. Irrelevant
- 3. Everything can be changed in the loop
- 4. Pretest
- 5. The first expression is evaluated once, but the other two are evaluated with each iteration
- This loop statement is the most flexible

#### 6. C++

- Differs from C in two ways:
  - 1. The control expression can also be Boolean
  - 2. The initial expression can include variable definitions (scope is from the definition to the end of the function in which it is defined)

#### 7. Java

- Differs from C++ in two ways:
  - 1. Control expression must be Boolean
  - 2. Scope of variables defined in the initial expression is only the loop body

### **Logically-Controlled Loops**

- Design Issues:
  - 1. Pretest or postest?
  - 2. Should this be a special case of the counting loop statement (or a separate statement)?
- Language Examples:
- 1. Pascal has separate pretest and posttest logical loop statements (while-do and repeat-until)
- 2. C and C++ also have both, but the control expression for the posttest version is treated just like in the pretest case (while do and do while)
- 3 Java is like C, except the control expression must be Boolean (and the body can only be entered at the beginning--Java has no goto)
- 4. Ada has a pretest version, but no posttest
- 5. FORTRAN 77 and 90 have neither

### User-Located Loop Control Mechanisms

- Design issues:
  - 1. Should the conditional be part of the exit?
  - 2. Should the mechanism be allowed in an already controlled loop?
  - 3. Should control be transferable out of more than one loop?

### Examples:

1. Ada - conditional or unconditional; for any loop; any number of levels

```
for ... loop LOOP1:
    ... while ... loop
    exit when ...
    LOOP2:
end loop for ... loop
    ...
    exit LOOP1 when ..
    end loop LOOP2;
    ...
end loop LOOP1;
```

2. C , C++, and Java - break
Unconditional; for any loop or switch;
one level only (Java's can have a label)

There is also has a continue statement for loops; it skips the remainder of this iteration, but does not exit the loop

3. FORTRAN 90 - EXIT

Unconditional; for any loop, any number of levels

FORTRAN 90 also has CYCLE, which has the same semantics as C's continue

#### Iteration Based on Data Structures

- Concept: use order and number of elements of some data structure to control iteration
- Control mechanism is a call to a function that returns the next element in some chosen order, if there is one; else exit loop

C's for can be used to build a user-defined iterator

```
e.g. for (p=hdr; p; p=next(p)) { ... }
```

 Perl has a built-in iterator for arrays and hashes e.g.,

```
foreach $name (@names) { print $name }
```

## **Unconditional Branching**

**Problem:** readability

 Some languages do not have them:e.g., Modula-2 and Java

#### Label forms:

- 1. Unsigned int constants: Pascal (with colon) FORTRAN (no colon)
- 2. Identifiers with colons: ALGOL 60, C
- 3. Identifiers in << ... >>: Ada
- 4. Variables as labels: PL/I
  - Can be assigned values and passed as parameters
  - Highly flexible, but make programs impossible to read and difficult to implement

### Restrictions on Pascal's gotos:

A statement group is either a compound statement or the body of a repeat-until

The target of a goto cannot be a statement in a statement group that is not active

- Means the target can never be in a statement group that is at the same level or is nested more deeply than the one with the goto
- An important remaining problem: the target can be in any enclosing subprogram scope, as long as the statement is not in a statement group
  - This means that a goto can terminate any number of subprograms

### **Guarded Commands** (Dijkstra, 1975)

Purpose: to support a new programming methodology (verification during program development)

- 1. Selection: if <boolean> -> <statement> [] <boolean> -> <statement> ... [] <boolean> -> <statement> fi
  - -Semantics: when this construct is reached,
    - Evaluate all boolean expressions
    - If more than one are true, choose one nondeterministically
    - If none are true, it is a runtime error

Idea: if the order of evaluation is not important, the program should not specify one

See book examples (p. 319)!

2. Loops
do <boolean> -> <statement>
[] <boolean> -> <statement>
...
[] <boolean> -> <statement>
od

#### Semantics: For each iteration:

- Evaluate all boolean expressions
- If more than one are true, choose one nondeterministically; then start loop again
- If none are true, exit loop

See book example (p. 320)

Connection between control statements and program verification is intimate

- Verification is impossible with gotos
- Verification is possible with only selection and logical pretest loops
- Verification is relatively simple with only guarded commands

Chapter Conclusion: Choice of control statements beyond selection and logical pretest loops is a trade-off between language size and writability