

Jakob Wångö  
Benjamin Björk  
Maciej Siwek

# Peer-review Juhani Aavanen

## Workshop 2

Started testing the runnable application file and it started fine, no exceptions what we could get. Good menu system. Noticed the application didn't were fully done, we don't see no problem in that because as we understood there is no point of being fully done for a peer-review, because then the review won't matter.

We understood the class diagram and it matched the code. However we could not see the sequence diagram, there were only text, no picture. Maybe because of the system we are running or something else, so just want to tell that so you fix a picture until the final hand-in.

The overall quality of the implementation is really good and well structured object oriented design. The naming is as we also would have named things so it is all good and we didn't noticed any duplication of code either. We see that you have used the MVC-pattern, which looks like the classes have their own responsibility. Good.

The class diagram would probably help us as developers because it would give us a a good preparation before starting to program and it is easier to understand the whole application itself.

The strong points of this application is the well object oriented code design, and works well while trying the application. Like especially that not every text is showed on the application, just the menu you press into, good menu system. You can really notice that you have good knowledge and experience with programming. Not much that we didn't like, which is a good.

The only thing we thought about is could you had one main controller that does the adding, remove, edit and saves the system file also load from system all in the same class. Squeeze it together a bit. But as i said, its not a weakness, its pretty good already.

Overall the design/implementation was really good, not much faults or any complains so this would absolutely passed the grade 3 criteria.

