Jan Steczko

https://lh6.googleusercontent.com/FNbPYGS5qLTdXuNyq9NSDcZP01Emv-SVZ57-IT3IWcmUV
Bvxrp1FeITCZPkVjgjQnFn_Bw=w2560-h1268

As a developer would the model help you and why/why not?
It would help to get an idea of what is required. However, some parts of the model are missing.

Do you think a domain expert (for examle the Secretary) would understand the model why/why not?
A domain expert would probably understand the model but expect more from it, for instance a way for the secretary to organise meetings.

What are the strong points of the model, what do you think is really good and why?
The notation is well structured and the naming is clear. The model is well designed and conveys the information in a clear manner. Focus has also been kept on reality, not software.

What are the weaknesses of the model, what do you think should be changed and why?
The model is missing authentication features for the members. There is also no way for the secretary to organize meetings or for members to view them. A few methods would make the domain easier to understand.

Do you think the model has passed the grade 3 critera?
The model does not fulfil the requirements for UC 1, 8, 10, 11 and 12. Therefore, we do not believe the model has passed the grade 3 criteria. The model does however fulfil the requirements for UC 4, 5 and 6, if some methods are inferred.