Session Types for Software Engineering

Dimitrios Kouzapas¹, Raymond Hu², Rumyana Neykova², Simon J. Gay¹, Tihana Galinac Grbac⁴, Ornela Dardha¹, Simon Fowler³, Nicholas Ng², Sam Lindley³, J. Garrett Morris³, Roly Perera¹, Philip Wadler³, and Nobuko Yoshida²

- ¹ University of Glasgow, UK
- $^{2}\,$ Imperial College London, UK
- ³ University of Edinburgh, UK
- ⁴ University of Rijeka, Croatia

Abstract. Session types are formal descriptions of communication protocols, which can be incorporated into programming languages and tools so that the technology of typechecking can be used to verify the dynamic structure of communication as well as the static structure of data. During recent years, research on session types has moved from theoretical studies towards applications in practical programming; the field is maturing to the point where session types can be integrated into software engineering methodologies. However, some of the relevant literature is not sufficiently accessible to a wider academic and industrial audience; more systematic technology transfer is required. The present paper provides an entry point to a substantial repository of practical use-cases, drawn from a range of application domains, for programming languages and tools based on session types. It aims to serve as an accessible introduction to session types as a practical foundation for the development of communication-oriented software, and to encourage the adoption of session-type-based tools.

1 Introduction

Session types are formal descriptions of communication protocols, which can be incorporated into programming languages and tools so that the technology of typechecking can be used to verify the dynamic structure of communication as well as the static structure of data. Since the introduction of session types by Honda et al. more than twenty years ago, there has been a broad research effort to study their properties and apply their principles to a range of computational models and programming paradigms. Current research is converging towards the development of tools and technologies that use session types as part of the software development process. In order to take full advantage of the possibilities of session types, it is important to start a programme of technology transfer so that they can be integrated into broader approaches to software engineering.

Research on session types is mainly driven by the need to demonstrate that realistic, general patterns of concurrency and communication can be described. A typical research paper identifies a problem in terms of a real-world use-case

and proposes a session-typed framework, expressed in a strict mathematical form, that applies the principles of session types to the solution of the problem. The development of session-typed tools and technologies is a consequence of this research process: tools are developed following the theory and are applied to real-world use-cases.

This approach to presenting results about session types, although successful within the session types community, presents difficulties for the process of knowledge exchange between experts and interested practitioners.

- 1. There is a huge volume of research information about session types. Interested individuals need to invest substantial effort to find and study a large and diverse literature.
- 2. There is no uniform approach or terminology in the presentation of research results. Due to the diversity of session types, there are many terms that derive from different disciplines and are extended to refer to the same concepts within session types. This may lead to confusion among less expert readers. An example is the use of the terms typestate, session type and protocol for essentially the same concept in the context of object-oriented programming.
- 3. Individual results about session types are often presented in isolation and not placed in the context of the whole field. Obtaining a broader understanding of the subject requires a systematic literature search, which may be off-putting for non-experts.
- 4. The majority of results are tightly coupled with a high level of formal technicality, making the comprehension of session types by people without a formal background even more difficult.

Summarising this discussion, we conclude that a wider audience would need to study a large series of partial results and at the same time filter out a huge amount of unnecessary technical detail in order to achieve a satisfying level of understanding session types. This situation is an obstacle for the adoption of session types as part of practical software development.

Motivated by the goal of integrating session types ito the software development process, this paper identifies the problem of accessibility of session types to a wider academic and industrial audience. Our aims are: (i) to demonstrate session types in a comprehensive way and in terms that are easier to understand by non-experts; and (ii) to enable a process that will integrate session types into a broader context in computing.

A way to meet these objectives is to establish a common ground that can be used for knowledge exchange between researchers. This paper identifies as a common means of communication the demonstration of practical scenarios from a broad area of application in computer science. Use-cases can bridge the communication gap between researchers and can help the wider promotion of the principles of session types.

Furthermore, the current research directions on session types are pushing towards a dialectic between session types and disciplines like software engineering, and system design and implementation. This is justified by the research experience gained by working on the project "From Data Types to Session Types:

A Basis for Concurrency and Distribution" (ABCD for short) [2]. Part of the requirement of the ABCD project is to compile a set of usecases for session types that can be used for current and future research on the design and development of frameworks and technologies.

More concretely, the aims of this paper are to:

- 1. Describe in non-technical language, and develop common terminology for, the mathematical terms currently used in the theory of session types. The terminology is then used to describe the practical use-cases that are exhibited in the paper.
- 2. Demonstrate the methods that are currently used to present, analyse, and express an application in terms of session types. These methods include the Scribble [10] protocol description language and tool chain and different diagrammatic languages. But are we really presenting these diagrams as part of the session types approach?
- 3. Demonstrate the robustness, functionality, and overall applicability of session types through a diverse overview of use-cases that cover:
 - Different domains that exhibit different computational needs.
 - Different interpretations of session types depending on the underlying programming paradigm used to implement a use-case. This would help realise the perspective of applying session types to further programming paradigms.
 - Communication based programming, events, typestate-OO, functional programming
 - Current tools and technologies that use session types in the software development process.
- 4. Demonstrate software design and development techniques that are derived from the principles of session types. Engineers and developers should be able to appreciate the usage of session types in the software development process.
- 5. Share the experience of using session types for the implementation of different use-case scenarios. This is the first paper to exhibit diverse practical results and can be used as a reference point for future work.

Overall this paper can be used by experts and non-experts to exchange knowledge about the overall discipline of session types, and to enable future work on the application of session types.

1.1 Overview of the paper

The paper begins in Section 2 with the definition of the basic terms and notions that are used throughout the paper for the exhibition of the usecase results.

The usecases that are presented in the paper are compiled by the researchers working on the ABCD project and can be found in the ABCD online repository [1]. In Section ?? there is a summary presentation of the usecases in the online repository that gives an overview for each usecase and discusses its design and implementation specifics as well as the features that are being demonstrated.

In Section ?? there is the selection of two usecases from the online repository in order to demonstrate some of the basic notions of the application of session types. The first example is an example of an online book-store, where two buyers want to share the expenses to buy a book. The online book-store is consider in the bibliography as the standard example for the presentation session types. It first demonstrates the basic send receive operations between multiple entities in a concurrent protocol. A second feature is the fact that it demonstrate in a comprehensive way the interaction logic between the entities. The implementation of the book-store usecase using different technologies gives a further insight to the applicability of session types. The second usecase presented in full is the design and implementation of the Simple Mail Transfer Protocol [?] (SMTP), as a way to demonstrate how session types cope with real network protocols. SMTP is a protocol based on states. The increased complexity of states makes the design and implementation of the SMTP a demanding procedure. The paper claims that the use of session types can reduce the demands of the implementation of SMTP.

Section ?? makes a summary of the software engineering practices that are identified... put more

2 Preliminaries

In this section the basic notions and terms that are used throughout the paper, are defined and discussed.

2.1 Session Types: Notions and Terminology

Typically, a type is broadly understood as a meta-information concept, used describe a class of a data. Structures of types are used to describe data structures. When types are structured as input/output requirements they are used to describe functionality. The combination of functionality and data structures give rise to the concepts of classes and interfaces. A session type extends the notion of a type to capture the communication behaviour in concurrent systems. A session type is a type structure that describes a communication behaviour in terms of a series of send and receive interactions between a set of concurrent entities.

A session type may also be seen as a formal specification of a communication protocol among concurrent roles. The syntax for session types is defined on send and receive, and select and branch operators. Sending and receiving data gives rise to the notion of message passing. In a session type messages are described via their types. The case where a session typed value is passed as a message is called session delegation. The interaction of select and branch defines the choice interaction. Choice requires for a role to use a value called label to select a communication behaviour out of a set of behaviours, called branch, offered by another role.

Session types assume a *global* protocol that describes the communication interaction of all the roles inside a concurrent system. The perspective of a

global protocol through a single role is called *local* protocol. The local protocol describes the communication interaction of a single role with all other roles in the system.

The relation between a global protocol and the local protocols of its roles, is expressed through the *projection* procedure; given the global protocol and a role, projection returns as a local protocol only the interactions of the global protocol that are concerned with the role.

The reverse procedure from projection is called *synthesis*, where a set of local protocols are composed together in a global protocol.

Below the term system is used to describe the whole concurrent system and the term program is used for communication module inside the system.

Typically, a protocol is first expressed globally and then projected locally on the protocol roles. Local specifications are then implemented by the corresponding programs. The term *session fidelity* is used to express the conformance of a program, to the local protocol of the corresponding role. Session fidelity can be checked mechanically, through techniques like type-checking and monitoring.

If all the roles of a protocol are implemented by a system and session fidelity is ensured, then a number of communication properties can be guaranteed for the communication behaviour of the system:

- Every execution state of the system has *safe* communication behaviour:
 - Communication operator matching: Every send (resp., select) operation has a corresponding receive (resp., branch) operation.
 - Message type matching: The type of every message being send matches the type of the message expected to be receive.
 - Deadlock-freedom: Consequently, every message send will be eventually received.
- Every state of the protocol will *progress* to a safe state.

2.2 Session Types as Protocols: The Scribble Tool-chain

This section presents the Scribble [10] tool-chain as the core tool for the application of session types. This section also uses Scribble to clarify the terminology developed in the previous section.

The basic module of the Scribble tool-chain is the Scribble protocol description language, which is a syntax that expresses session type specifications. The design of the Scribble language draws directly from the principles of session types.

In Figure 1, uses the Scribble protocol for the Bookstore usecase in the online repository [1], to present the Scribble syntax. Line 1 defines the of global protocol Bookstore between roles Buyer1, Buyer2 and Seller. Line 2 gives an example of the simplest endpoint-to-endpoint message passing interaction, where a message with label book and type title (notation book(title)) is send from (keyword from) role Buyer1 to (keyword to) role Seller. In Scribble messages are described as a type structure consisted of a label and a list of message types.

```
global protocol Bookstore(role Buyer1, role Buyer2, role Seller) {
  book(title) from Buyer1 to Seller;
  book(price) from Seller to Buyer1;
  quote(contribution) from Buyer1 to Buyer2;
  choice at Buyer2 {
    agree() fom Buyer2 to Buyer1, Seller;
    transfer(money) from Buyer1 to Seller;
    transfer(money) from Buyer2 to Seller;
} or {
  quit() from Buyer2 to Buyer1, Seller;
}
```

Fig. 1. Scribble: Global protocol for the Bookstore usecase

Fig. 2. Local Protocol for Role Buyer1

Line 5 of the protocol clarifies the choice interaction, where role Buyer2 selects a choice from two possible outcomes, expressed with the labels agree or quit. In both cases roles Buyer1 and Seller offer alternative branches.

describe the recursion construct

2.3 Domain Classification of Usecases

The paper presents a diversity of usecase scenarios of session types from different application domains, in order to demonstrate the fact that session types can capture a broad area of communication specifications. A taxonomy of domains are presented below with the main characteristic that each domain is using technologies from the previous domains in the taxonomy. This taxonomy also implies a stratification of the application of session types in different computation layers.

- 1. Network Application/Business Logic. Session types can be used to develop protocols for applications that run inside a network. A protocol given in a session type structure, apart from the specification of the communication of the application, will reveal a kind of business logic for the application.
- 2. Network Protocols. Session types can be used to describe standard and non-standard network protocols. Typically a standard network protocol should conform to an informal RFC (request for comments specification. Session types can present a network protocol formally its manipulation easier by

- both engineers and machines. Non-standard network protocols can also be developed.
- 3. Systems/Applications. A session type may be used to describe the communication specifics of an application that uses multiple resources inside a computing machine.
- 4. Operating System. Another domain where session types can be applied to is the description of the communication specifics of operating system algorithms and routines, that co-ordinate the usage of hardware resources.
- 5. Data Structures and Algorithms. The above layers are using data structures and algorithms. Session types can express the communication concurrent algorithms are using. Furthermore, session types can express the interaction with data structures.
- Hardware. Hardware mechanisms complete the stratification of domains. The communication of hardware modules may also be expressed using session types.
- 7. Security. Session types can also find applications in the security domain, which is a domain that supports all other domains in the above list.

2.4 Usecase Classification on Technologies used

Just presentation and references

The technologies used to implement the demonstrated usecases are presented below:

- 1. Session Java [5] is the first tool developed as a tool with a library that support binary session types. The tool requires compiler support to perform session type-check.
- 2. The Eventful Session Java [4] is an extension of session types to support asynchronous, event-driven programming.
- 3. Multiparty Session C [9] is the first tool that implemented a multiparty session type library for MPI. The tool requires compiler support to perform session type-check.
- 4. Session fidelity can also be ensured using runtime monitoring. Modules for monitoring were implemented in python and are presented in [7].
- 5. Session types are embedded in the Actors paradigm in the following technologies:
 - An implementation that uses the python monitoring module for session types to monitor python threads that simulate actors [6].
 - An similar approach on monitoring session types is also used for the Erlang programming language, which is a programming language for the Actors model.
- 6. Pabble [8,?] is an extension to Scribble used to express communication structures that have a parametrised number of roles. Pabble protocols are implemented and type-checked for the MPI framework.

- 7. Mungo [3] is a tool that integrates session types in the object-oriented programming paradigm through the typestate structure; communication interactions can be seen as an object interface with a behaviour. Mungo uses a protocol description language for capturing typestate. The tool Scribble to Mungo (StMungo) is used to transform Scribble protocols into Mungo protocols.
- 8. GV: A functional programming implementation of binary session types.
- 9. LINKS: Functional programming based on the linear logic interpretation of session types.
- 10. SILL: Functional programming based on the linear dual intuitionistic interpretation of session types.
- 11. A state pattern implementation as a library. Uses Scribble to automatically create an API for state pattern programming and uses runtime checks to cope with linearity requirements.

2.5 Fine/coarse grain representation

this should go as future work

Concurrency patterns e.g. network topology, client/server, race condition, etc. (maybe I am writing nonsense here)

3 Usecases

4 Session types and Software Engineering

- Session types protocols. Structures that describe a behaviour on the communication interface.
- Top down design: The global type to local types via projection procedure as
 - a way for engineers to design
 - a way to co-ordinate software developers.
- Bottom up design: The inference/synthesis procedure as a way for more agile independent teams to co-ordinate and exchange the behaviour of interfaces as session type specifications.
- Testing: Session typed modules can be used to test a software in the development phase.
- Reverse engineering: inference/synthesis
- The relation of session types with diagrammatic languages
 - BPMN [?]
 - global graphs[?]
 - petri nets[?]
 - state machines [?]

	Usecase	Domain	Technologies/Tools	Description
1.	Book Store	Business Application	Mungo, Session Java	Interaction Logic
2.	Chat Server	Network Application	Erlang	Application Logic
3.	Protocol	Network Protocol		Request/Response protocol
4.	Simple Mail Transfer Protocol		Mungo and StMungo, Session Java, LINKS	Stateful protocol
5.	Domain Name System	Network Protocol / Service	Erlang	
6.	Concurrency Problems		Python/Actors	Race conditions
7.	Lock	Operating Systems	Eventful Session Java	Race conditions
8.	Collection	Data Structures	Mungo	A stack client
9.	File Access	Data Structures and Algorithms	Mungo	File Access client
10.	Concurrent Fibonacci	Concurrent Algorithms	Mungo	
11.	Network Topologies Ring Butterfly All to All Stencil Farm (Master-Worker) Map Reduce	Parallel Algorithms	Pabble and MPI	Parametric algorithms
	rithms • Peano Numbers • Add Server	rithms	Links, GV	
117	Memory Coherence	Systems, Hardware	Mungo	

 ${\bf Fig.\,3.}$ Use cases in the ABCD online repository

References

- 1. ABCD: Session Types Usecase Repository. https://github.com/epsrc-abcd/session-types-use-cases.
- 2. From Data Types to Session Types: A Basis for Concurrency and Distribution. http://groups.inf.ed.ac.uk/abcd/.
- 3. Mungo Webpage. http://www.dcs.gla.ac.uk/research/mungo/.
- 4. Raymond Hu, Dimitrios Kouzapas, Olivier Pernet, Nobuko Yoshida, and Kohei Honda. Type-safe eventful sessions in Java. In *ECOOP*, volume 6183 of *LNCS*, pages 329–353. Springer-Verlag, 2010.
- Raymond Hu, Nobuko Yoshida, and Kohei Honda. Session-Based Distributed Programming in Java. In ECOOP'08, volume 5142 of LNCS, pages 516–541. Springer, 2008
- 6. Rumyana Neykova and Nobuko Yoshida. Multiparty session actors. In Coordination Models and Languages 16th IFIP WG 6.1 International Conference, COOR-DINATION 2014, Held as Part of the 9th International Federated Conferences on Distributed Computing Techniques, DisCoTec 2014, Berlin, Germany, June 3-5, 2014, Proceedings, pages 131–146, 2014.
- Rumyana Neykova, Nobuko Yoshida, and Raymond Hu. SPY: local verification of global protocols. In Runtime Verification - 4th International Conference, RV 2013, Rennes, France, September 24-27, 2013. Proceedings, pages 358-363, 2013.
- 8. Nicholas Ng and Nobuko Yoshida. Pabble: Parameterised scribble for parallel programming. In 22nd Euromicro International Conference on Parallel, Distributed, and Network-Based Processing, PDP 2014, Torino, Italy, February 12-14, 2014, pages 707–714, 2014.
- Nicholas Ng, Nobuko Yoshida, and Kohei Honda. Multiparty Session C: Safe parallel programming with message optimisation. In TOOLS, volume 7304 of LNCS, pages 202–218. Springer, 2012.
- 10. Scribble Project homepage. www.scribble.org.