

# Optimization HW 4

er2978

October 13, 2022

## 1 Question 1

*Proof.* Choose  $f(x, y) =: x^5 + y^5$ , Then

$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} 5x^4 \\ 5y^4 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\nabla^2 f = \begin{bmatrix} 20x^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 20y^3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consider also the point  $(x^*, y^*) = (0, 0)$ . The value of  $f$  at this point is 0. As we see, both second order necessary conditions are fulfilled since both

$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$\nabla^2 f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is positive semidefinite.}$$

But  $(x^*, y^*)$  is not an optimum on the function.

□

## 2 Question 2

*Proof.* Suppose FTSOC that the statement is not true:  $\implies \exists x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  s.t.  $f(x^*) \leq y$  but  $\rho = (1 - \alpha)x^* + \alpha x \notin L(y)$ .<sup>1</sup> Note that  $(1 - \alpha)x^* \leq x^*$  and  $\alpha x \leq x$ . In particular if  $(1 - \alpha)x^* = x^*$  then  $\alpha x = 0$ , and vice versa.  $\implies \min(x^*, x) \leq \rho \leq \max(x^*, x)$  given  $\alpha$ 's range.<sup>2</sup>  $\therefore$  for  $f(\rho) > y$ ,  $f$  cannot be a convex function, since  $f(x) \leq f(\rho) \leq f(x^*)$  on a convex curve.<sup>3</sup>

$\Rightarrow \Leftarrow f(\rho) \notin L \implies f$  is not convex is a contradiction. So  $L(y)$  is a convex set.  $\square$

---

<sup>1</sup>WLOG we assign  $1 - \alpha$  to  $x^*$ .

<sup>2</sup>min and max refer to the position on the surface described by  $f$ : in particular  $x$  and  $x^*$ 's closeness to  $y$ .

<sup>3</sup>WLOG we can say this.

### 3 Question 3

*Proof.* WTS:  $\forall x' \in L(y), x' \in H(x)$ ; i.e. every  $x' \in L(y)$  satisfies:  $\nabla f(x)^T(x' - x) \leq 0$ .

Take  $x^* \in L(f(x))$ . Then  $f(x^*) \leq f(x)$  for some fixed  $x$ .  $\implies f(x^*) - f(x) \leq 0$ .

Let's Taylor expand:  $f(x^*) \approx g(x^*) = f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T(x^* - x) + O(\|x^* - x\|_2^2)$ . We drop the  $O(\|x^* - x\|_2^2)$  for a second order Taylor approximation of  $f(x^*)$ . Note that  $f$  is convex, so the Taylor approximation is an underestimate.  $\implies g(x^*) = f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T(x^* - x) \leq f(x^*) \implies \nabla f(x)^T(x^* - x) \leq f(x^*) - f(x) \leq 0$ .

Therefore we have that if  $x^* \in L(f(x))$  then  $\nabla f(x)^T(x^* - x) \leq 0 \implies x^* \in H(x)$ .  $\square$

## 4 Question 4

*Proof.* Take  $x' \in L(f(x)) \cap \{x' \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla f(x)^T(x' - x) = 0\}$  for some fixed  $x$ .

$x'$  satisfies:  $f(x') \leq f(x)$  and  $\nabla f(x)^T(x' - x) = 0$

Suppose FTSOC  $x' \neq x$ . Then  $x' - x \neq 0$  meaning that in order for  $\nabla f(x)^T(x' - x) = 0$  to be satisfied, we need  $\nabla f(x)^T = 0 \implies f(x)$  is a unique global minimum on the surface of  $f$  (given that it is strictly convex). Therefore  $f(x') \neq f(x) \implies f(x') \geq f(x)$  which directly contradicts  $f(x') \leq f(x)$ .

$\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$  we have a contradiction so our supposition is false, and the original statement is true.  $\square$

## 5 Question 5

Ehhh

*Proof.* Let's Taylor Expand:  $f(x+\alpha p) \approx f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T(\alpha p) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha p)^T \nabla^2 f(x)(\alpha p) + O(\|\alpha p\|_2^3)$  We know that  $\nabla f(x)^T(\alpha p) < 0$  since  $p$  points away from  $\nabla f(x)$ . We also know that  $(\alpha p)^T \nabla^2 f(x)(\alpha p) > 0$  since  $\nabla^2 f$  is positive definite.

$\Rightarrow$  We need  $\alpha$  such that  $\frac{1}{2}(\alpha p)^T \nabla^2 f(x)(\alpha p) + O(\|\alpha p\|_2^3) < |\nabla f(x)^T(\alpha p)|$  in order for  $f(x + \alpha p) < f(x)$ .

$$\frac{1}{2}(\alpha p)^T \nabla^2 f(x)(\alpha p) + O(\|\alpha p\|_2^3) < |\nabla f(x)^T(\alpha p)|$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\alpha p^T \nabla^2 f(x)p + O(\|p\|_2^3) < |\nabla f(x)^T p|$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\alpha p^T \nabla^2 f(x)p < |\nabla f(x)^T p| + O(\|p\|_2^3)$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha p^T \nabla^2 f(x)p < 2[|\nabla f(x)^T p| + O(\|p\|_2^3)]$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha < \frac{2[|\nabla f(x)^T p| + O(\|p\|_2^3)]}{p^T \nabla^2 f(x)p}.$$

pick  $\alpha$  such that this is satisfied.

□

## 6 Question 6

*Proof.* •  $Aq_i = \lambda_i q_i \implies$

$$\text{When } i = 1, i \rightarrow n: Aq_i = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 q_{11} & \lambda_2 q_{21} & \cdots & \lambda_n q_{n1} \\ \lambda_1 q_{12} & \lambda_2 q_{22} & \cdots & \lambda_n q_{n2} \\ \vdots & & & \\ \lambda_1 q_{1n} & \lambda_2 q_{2n} & \cdots & \lambda_n q_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

We can pull out:

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

S.t.  $AQ = \Lambda Q$ .

(Note that since  $\Lambda$  is a matrix of unique scalars per each  $q_i$  it can be multiplied on either side of  $Q$ .)

$$AQ = Q\Lambda \implies (AQ)Q^T = \Lambda QQ^T \implies (A)^{-1} = A = (QQ^T\Lambda)^{-1} = \Lambda QQ^{-1} = Q\Lambda Q^T.$$

- Take the  $i$ th entry in each matrix from  $Q\Lambda Q^T$ . the product we get is  $q_i \lambda_i q_i^T$  and since  $\lambda_i$  is a scalar we can rearrange as follows:  $\lambda_i q_i q_i^T$ . As  $i \rightarrow n$  beginning at  $i = 1$ , we get  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i q_i q_i^T$ . Both the matrix product and the sum count the same information, so they are equivalent statements.
- 1.  $\rightarrow$  If  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ , we have  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $A$  is positive definite. We need  $\forall q_i \in Q, q_i A q_i^T > 0$ .

By (1) we know that  $A = Q\Lambda Q^T$ . We know  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ , we have  $\lambda > 0$ , so let's test if  $A$  is positive definite.

Take arbitrary vector  $x$ :  $x^T A x = x^T (Q^T \Lambda Q) x = (x^T Q^T) \Lambda (Qx) = (Qx)^T \Lambda (Qx)$ . Let  $Qx = v$ . Then we have  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (v)^2$  where  $v^2 > 0$ .

Therefore  $\forall \lambda_i, \lambda_i > 0$ .

- 2.  $\leftarrow$  If  $A$  is positive definite then  $\lambda_i > 0$ .

For  $A$  to be positive definite:  $x^T A x > 0$  for any  $x$ . Let  $x$  be an eigenvector. Then  $x^T A x = x^T \lambda x = \lambda x^T x = \lambda > 0$ . Therefore  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda > 0$ .

□

## 7 Question 7

*Proof.* Let  $l = x^* + th$  where  $t = [0, 1]$  be a parameterization between 2 x's in  $S$ , where  $x^*$  is a global minimum. Then we have:

- $F(t) = f(l) = f(x^* + th)$
- $F'(t) = \nabla f(x^* + th)^T h$
- $F''(t) = h^T \nabla^2 f(x^* + th) h$

$$F'(1) = F'(0) + \int_0^1 F''(t) dt = \nabla f(x^*)^T h + \int_0^1 h^T \nabla^2 f(x^* + th) h dt = 0 + \int_0^1 h^T \nabla^2 f(x^* + th) h dt > 0$$

Since the Hessian is positive definite by assumption, then  $h^T \nabla^2 f(x^* + th) h > 0$  so the integral is positive.  $\implies$  the gradients of all points emanating from  $x^*$  in the set  $S$  will be positive.

$$F(1) = F(0) + \int_0^1 F'(t) dt = f(x^*) + \int_0^1 \nabla f(x^* + th)^T h dt = f(x^*) + C$$

where  $C > 0$ .  $\implies F(1) > F(0)$  i.e. every point in  $S$  generates a value through  $f$  that is larger than  $f(x^*)$ .

Therefore,  $f(x^*)$  is a global minimum on a convex set  $S$  when  $\nabla^2 f$  is positive definite.

□

## 8 Question 8

*Proof.* We have  $\nabla g(x) = \nabla f(Ax+b) = A\nabla f(Ax+b)$  and  $\nabla^2(g) = A^T \nabla^2 f(Ax+b)A$ .

Newton:

$$\begin{aligned}x_{n+1} &= x_n - (\nabla^2(g(x_n)))^{-1} \nabla g(x_n) \\&= x_n - (A^T \nabla^2 f(Ax+b)A)^{-1} A \nabla f(Ax+b) \\&= x_n - A^{-1} \nabla^2 f(Ax+b)^{-1} [(A^T)^{-1} A] \nabla f(Ax+b)\end{aligned}$$

Multiply both sides by  $A$  on the left, then add  $b$ :

$$\begin{aligned}Ax_{n+1} &= Ax_n - \nabla^2 f(Ax+b)^{-1} \nabla f(Ax+b) \\Ax_{n+1} + b &= Ax_n + b - \nabla^2 f(Ax+b)^{-1} \nabla f(Ax+b)\end{aligned}$$

Set  $Ax_n + b = y \implies y_{n+1} = y_n - \nabla^2 f(y_n)^{-1} \nabla f(y_n)$  is the same as the Newton Step for  $f$ .  $\square$