To encode using the DMC method

- $s \leftarrow 1$ , /\* the current number of states \*/
- Set s ← 1. /\* the current number of states /
  Set t ← 1. /\* the current state \*/
  Set T[1][0] ← 1 and T[1][1] ← 1. /\* initial model \*/
  Set C[1][0] ← 1 and C[1][1] ← 1. /\* set counts to 1 to avoid zero-frequency problem \*/
  - - For each input bit e do
- (a) Set  $u \leftarrow t$ .
- (b) Set  $t \leftarrow T[u][e]$ .  $l^*$  follow the transition  $^*l$
- (c) Code e with probability C[u][e]/(C[u][0] + C[u][1]).
  - (d) Set  $C[u][e] \leftarrow C[u][e] + 1$ .
- Set  $s \leftarrow s + 1$  /\* the new state (t') \*/, (e) If cloning thresholds are exceeded then

Set  $T[s][0] \leftarrow T[t][0]$ , Set  $T[u][e] \leftarrow s$ ,

Set  $T[s][1] \leftarrow T[t][1]$ , and

Move some of the counts from C[t] to C[s].

## 2.31 Encoding using DMC. Figure

rable to that of other high-performance methods, such as PPM, and the differbetween the two methods—both in implementation effort and compression cessive memory usage. In this case, the implementation effort becomes compa-Its speed can be improved by adapting it to work with bytes rather than bits, but this requires more sophisticated data structures to avoid exto implement—only a few lines of code are needed to perform the counting and and this can be slow. Bitwise DMC is probably one of the easiest models cloning—yet DMC is one of the best compression methods in terms of compresence between the two meti efficiency—becomes small.

nonwords. It is assumed that the text consists of strictly alternating words and nonwords (the parsing method needs to ensure this), and so the two models are used alternately. If the models are adaptive, a means of transmitting previously unseen most effective approach is to form a zero-order model for words and another for Word-based compression methods parse a document into "words" (typically, con-word-based compression methods parse a document into "words" (typically, punctuation and tiguous alphanumeric characters) and "nonwords" (typically, punctuation and tiguous alphanumeric characters) between the words. The words and nonwords become the white-space characters) between the words. symbols to be compressed. There are various ways to compress them. Generally, the

SYMBOLWISE MODELS

words and nonwords is required. Usually, some escape symbol is transmitted, and be compressed using a simple model, typically a zero-order model of the characters. then the novel word is spelled out character by character. The explicit characters can

A well-tuned word-based compressor can achieve compression performance close to that of PPM, and it has the potential to be substantially faster because it codes Although this approach seems to be specific to textual documents, it does not then the model effectively reverts to the simple zero-order model of characters, and for nontextual data such as images, this sort of model is reasonably appropriate. perform too badly on other types of data. This is because if few "words" are found, several characters at a time.

but may make the resulting list of words more useful for indexing purposes in a ing a period. This kind of improvement does not have much effect on compression There are many different ways to break English text into words and the intervening nonwords.3 One scheme is to treat any string of contiguous alphabetic characters as a word and anything else as a nonword. More sophisticated schemes could phens, and even accommodate some likely sequences, such as a capital letter followtake into account punctuation that is part of a word, such as apostrophes and hyfull-text retrieval system.

one must be spelled out explicitly, and in a static system, each will be stored in the ated by the numbers and can result in considerable savings in decode-time memory One aspect of parsing that deserves attention is the processing of numbers. If a word. This can cause problems if a document contains many numbers-such as bers will generate 100,000 "words," each of which occurs only once. Such a host of unique words can have a serious impact on operation: in an adaptive system, each compression model. In both cases this is grossly inefficient because the frequency distribution of these numbers is quite different from the frequency distribution of normal words for which the system is designed. One solution is to limit the length of numbers to just a few digits. Longer numbers are broken up into shorter ones, with a null punctuation marker in between. This moderates the vocabulary generdigits are treated in the same way as letters, a sequence of digits will be parsed as when a large document contains page numbers—with 100,000 pages, the page numtables of financial figures. The same situation occurs, and can easily be overlooked,

Word-based schemes can generate a large number of symbols since there is a dif-This means that special attention must be given to efficient data structures for storing the model. In a static or semi-static situation, a canonical Huffman code is ferent symbol for each word and word variant that appears in the text being coded.

<sup>3</sup> Note, however, that not all languages are so cooperative. Most Asian languages are written and stored without any equivalent of the white-space characters that are taken for granted in English, and they are very difficult to segment into words. For compression purposes, this is not a serious handicap, as other methods can be used. But for information retrieval purposes (see Chapter 4), where discrete words are employed as the terms used to search for documents relevant to a query, segmentation is an important problem indeed.