Supplementary material

Language structure is influenced by the proportion of non-native speakers: A reply to Koplenig (2019)

Henri Kauhanen, Sarah Einhaus & George Walkden

16 December 2022

Contents

1	Introduction	1									
2	Prerequisites										
3 Data preprocessing											
4	Descriptive statistics 4.1 General characteristics of the dataset	2 2 3 3									
5	Remove zero-imputation from uncertain non-vehiculars	3									
6	Overall missingness in the data	4									
7	Family and area coverage	5									
8		5 6 6 7 8									
9	Multiple imputation analysis 9.1 Preparatory steps										
10	10.1 Histogram of L2 speaker proportion	15									

1 Introduction

This supplementary materials document provides all the statistical analyses reported in the paper, plus additional analyses that include interaction effects and a second imputation analysis in which a single imputation model is used to regress both types of complexity.

Tested with R version 4.0.4.

2 Prerequisites

The following R packages are required:

```
library(lme4)
library(lmerTest)
library(mice)
library(broom.mixed)
library(lattice)
library(effects)
library(gridExtra)
```

3 Data preprocessing

The raw data resides in two files (Koplenig's original dataset and our additions) in the ../data directory. We first merge these two datasets and carry out a few transformations that will facilitate data analysis.

```
kop <- read.csv("../data/rsos181274supp2.csv", stringsAsFactors=FALSE)
new <- read.csv("../data/koplenig-reply.csv", stringsAsFactors=FALSE)
new <- new[, c("ISO", "ethnologue_L2_users", "used_as_L2_by", "notes")]</pre>
```

Format the data slightly differently:

Merge the two dataframes:

```
data <- merge(kop, new, by="ISO")</pre>
```

For some languages, the area is missing, but these are read in as empty strings rather than as missing values. Need to fix that:

```
data$Area <- ifelse(data$Area == "", NA, data$Area)
data$Family <- ifelse(data$Family == "", NA, data$Family)</pre>
```

Make sure language family and area are factors (important for imputation model and regression analysis):

```
data$Family <- factor(data$Family)
data$Area <- factor(data$Area)</pre>
```

Encode the logarithm of population size and the logarithm of the range size as variables in the dataframe (useful for some of the regressions and plots):

```
data$logPop <- log(data$Population)
data$logRangesize <- log(data$Rangesize)</pre>
```

4 Descriptive statistics

4.1 General characteristics of the dataset

There are a total of

```
nrow(data)
```

```
## [1] 2143
```

languages in the dataset. However, not every language has data for each column of the data frame. The number of vehicular languages is

```
nrow(data[data$vehicularity==1, ])
## [1] 241
Of these,
nrow(data[data$vehicularity==1 & is.na(data$L2prop), ])
## [1] 152
do not have an L2 proportion estimate (either real or imputed).
The number of non-vehicular languages is
nrow(data[data$vehicularity==0, ])
## [1] 1902
These all have an L2 proportion estimate, either real or imputed:
nrow(data[data$vehicularity==0 & is.na(data$L2prop), ])
## [1] 0
4.2
      How many non-vehiculars have an imputed L2 proportion?
The number of non-vehicular languages with a zero L2 proportion is
nv0 <- nrow(data[data$vehicularity==0 & data$L2prop==0, ])</pre>
nv0
## [1] 1824
Of these, Ethnologue actually provides a numerical zero L2 proportion estimate for
nv0E <- nrow(data[data$vehicularity==0 & data$L2prop==0 &
             data$ethnologue_L2_users==TRUE, ])
nv0E
## [1] 4
languages. The rest have been imputed.
      In how many cases is the data imputation wrong?
Ethnologue notes that the language is used as an L2 by speakers of some other set of languages (without
giving numerical estimates) in
asL2 <- nrow(data[data$vehicularity==0 & data$L2prop==0 &
              !is.na(data$used_as_L2_by), ])
asL2
## [1] 404
of these cases. In other words, the data imputation is definitely wrong for
asL2/(nv0 - nv0E)
## [1] 0.221978
```

5 Remove zero-imputation from uncertain non-vehiculars

We now remove the zero-imputed L2 proportions from uncertain non-vehicular languages:

of the dataset.

```
data2 <- data
data2$L2prop <- ifelse(data2$vehicularity==0 & data2$L2prop==0 &
                         data2$ethnologue_L2_users==FALSE, NA, data2$L2prop)
There are now
nrow(data2[!is.na(data2$L2prop), ])
## [1] 171
languages with a non-NA L2 proportion. Of these,
nrow(data2[!is.na(data2$L2prop) & data2$vehicularity==1, ])
## [1] 89
are vehicular and
nrow(data2[!is.na(data2$L2prop) & data2$vehicularity==0, ])
## [1] 82
non-vehicular.
We point out that there are missing values also in the response variables, morphological complexity and
information-theoretic complexity. In other words, the two complexity measures are available for different
subsets of languages:
nrow(data2[!is.na(data2$MC), ])
## [1] 1581
nrow(data2[!is.na(data2$H), ])
## [1] 1088
In particular, in the subset of languages with a non-missing L2 proportion, these numbers are:
nrow(data2[!is.na(data2$L2prop) & !is.na(data2$MC), ])
## [1] 148
nrow(data2[!is.na(data2$L2prop) & !is.na(data2$H), ])
## [1] 94
     Overall missingness in the data
6
The variables in the dataset now have this many missing values:
nrow(data2[is.na(data2$Family), ])
## [1] 0
nrow(data2[is.na(data2$Area), ])
## [1] 414
nrow(data2[is.na(data2$MC), ])
## [1] 562
nrow(data2[is.na(data2$H), ])
## [1] 1055
nrow(data2[is.na(data2$L2prop), ])
```

[1] 1972

```
nrow(data2[is.na(data2$Population), ])
## [1] 0
nrow(data2[is.na(data2$Rangesize), ])
## [1] 22
7
    Family and area coverage
In the entire dataset, there are
length(unique(data2$Family))
## [1] 126
unique language families and
length(unique(data2$Area))
## [1] 25
unique linguistic areas. The three most frequent families have a fraction of
sum(sort(as.numeric(table(data2$Family)),
     decreasing=TRUE)[1:3])/sum(!is.na(data2$Family))
## [1] 0.3952403
of the languages. The three most frequent areas have a fraction of
sum(sort(as.numeric(table(data2$Area)),
     decreasing=TRUE)[1:3])/sum(!is.na(data2$Area))
## [1] 0.3233083
of the languages (not counting languages for which area is missing).
The above statistics for our reduced sample are:
data2b <- data2
data2b <- data2b[!is.na(data2b$L2prop), ]</pre>
length(unique(data2b$Family))
## [1] 29
length(unique(data2b$Area))
## [1] 21
sum(sort(as.numeric(table(data2b$Family)),
     decreasing=TRUE)[1:3])/sum(!is.na(data2b$Family))
## [1] 0.4853801
sum(sort(as.numeric(table(data2b$Area)),
     decreasing=TRUE)[1:3])/sum(!is.na(data2b$Area))
```

8 Complete cases analysis

[1] 0.4580645

In the complete cases analysis, we do not impute any missing values.

8.1 Morphological complexity

```
mod <- lmer(MC~L2prop+logPop+(1|Family)+(1|Area), data2)</pre>
summary(mod)
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: MC ~ L2prop + logPop + (1 | Family) + (1 | Area)
##
      Data: data2
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 21.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
##
       Min
                  1Q
                       Median
                                     3Q
                                             Max
## -2.80485 -0.54828 0.04692
                               0.49637
                                         2.73758
##
## Random effects:
## Groups
             Name
                         Variance Std.Dev.
##
   Family
             (Intercept) 0.0002365 0.01538
##
   Area
             (Intercept) 0.0136480 0.11682
## Residual
                         0.0551430 0.23483
## Number of obs: 144, groups: Family, 28; Area, 19
## Fixed effects:
##
                 Estimate Std. Error
                                              df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                 0.816737
                            0.084203 52.135172
                                                   9.700 2.87e-13 ***
                -0.243060
                            0.082497 114.936041
## L2prop
                                                  -2.946 0.00389 **
                -0.015513
                            0.005734 36.541790 -2.705 0.01030 *
## logPop
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
          (Intr) L2prop
##
## L2prop -0.482
## logPop -0.848
                  0.251
Adding an interaction between L2 proportion and population size leads to a worse model:
modb <- lmer(MC~L2prop*logPop+(1|Family)+(1|Area), data2)</pre>
AIC(mod)
## [1] 33.49946
AIC (modb)
## [1] 39.12415
```

8.2 Morphological complexity, varying slopes by language family

In general, inclusion of random slopes in our models leads to convergence problems, so we do not include them. An exception is the regression of morphological complexity, where we can include a random slope for L2 speaker proportion conditioned by language family if no random effect is included for linguistic area. The results are in line with the above analysis:

```
modRS <- lmer(MC~L2prop+logPop+(1+L2prop|Family), data2)
summary(modRS)

## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: MC ~ L2prop + logPop + (1 + L2prop | Family)
## Data: data2</pre>
```

```
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 20.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
      Min
               1Q Median
                                3Q
                                      Max
## -2.6266 -0.6141 0.0137 0.6052 2.0701
##
## Random effects:
##
   Groups
                        Variance Std.Dev. Corr
             (Intercept) 2.593e-05 0.005092
##
   Family
                         1.050e-01 0.323979 -1.00
##
            L2prop
   Residual
                         5.483e-02 0.234158
##
## Number of obs: 148, groups: Family, 28
##
## Fixed effects:
                 Estimate Std. Error
                                            df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                0.863557
                           0.074697 126.505634 11.561 < 2e-16 ***
               -0.396555
                           0.122504 18.134626 -3.237 0.00454 **
## L2prop
                                    93.629011 -2.906 0.00457 **
                           0.005215
## logPop
               -0.015154
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##
          (Intr) L2prop
## L2prop -0.437
## logPop -0.930 0.275
```

8.3 Morphological complexity, ≥ 6 features

When only looking at languages in which at least 6 features are available for the determination of morphological complexity, we cannot include the same random effects structure because it leads to a singular fit:

```
mod6 <- lmer(MC~L2prop+logPop+(1|Family)+(1|Area), data2[data2$NumChap>=6, ])
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

Apparently, this is because the area is missing for many languages. Hence we run the following, simpler model instead:

```
mod6 <- lmer(MC~L2prop+logPop+(1|Family), data2[data2$NumChap>=6, ])
summary(mod6)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: MC ~ L2prop + logPop + (1 | Family)
      Data: data2[data2$NumChap >= 6, ]
##
##
## REML criterion at convergence: -28.4
##
## Scaled residuals:
##
       Min
                  10
                       Median
                                    30
                                            Max
## -2.60076 -0.49290 0.05634 0.62396
##
## Random effects:
                         Variance Std.Dev.
## Groups
             Name
##
   Family
             (Intercept) 0.01760 0.1327
                         0.03071
## Number of obs: 101, groups: Family, 24
##
```

```
## Fixed effects:
##
             Estimate Std. Error
                                    df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 0.780778 0.078449 66.528252 9.953 8.38e-15 ***
## L2prop
            ## logPop
            ## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##
        (Intr) L2prop
## L2prop -0.474
## logPop -0.846
              0.260
Adding an interaction between L2 proportion and population size again leads to a worse model:
mod6b <- lmer(MC~L2prop*logPop+(1|Family), data2[data2$NumChap>=6,])
AIC(mod6)
## [1] -18.35238
AIC(mod6b)
## [1] -9.698234
    Information-theoretic complexity
```

For information-theoretic complexity, the random effects structure with a random intercept for area again

```
leads to a singular fit:
modIC <- lmer(H~L2prop+logPop+(1|Family)+(1|Area), data2)</pre>
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
Hence we only include a random intercept for family:
modIC <- lmer(H~L2prop+logPop+(1|Family), data2)</pre>
summary(modIC)
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: H ~ L2prop + logPop + (1 | Family)
##
     Data: data2
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 14.4
##
## Scaled residuals:
##
      Min
           1Q Median
                                3Q
                                       Max
## -2.1438 -0.4771 -0.1613 0.3000 4.0062
##
## Random effects:
## Groups
                         Variance Std.Dev.
## Family
            (Intercept) 0.01599 0.1265
## Residual
                         0.05339 0.2311
## Number of obs: 94, groups: Family, 13
##
## Fixed effects:
##
              Estimate Std. Error
                                         df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 1.42854 0.18511 71.44401
                                            7.717 5.4e-11 ***
## L2prop
              -0.16136
                           0.10037 90.95967 -1.608
                                                       0.111
## logPop
               0.01810
                           0.01182 77.91547
                                             1.532
                                                       0.130
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
## (Intr) L2prop
## L2prop -0.329
## logPop -0.955 0.201

Adding an interaction between L2 proportion and population size leads to a worse model:
modICb <- lmer(H~L2prop*logPop+(1|Family), data2)
AIC(modIC)

## [1] 24.4098

AIC(modICb)

## [1] 31.4476</pre>
```

9 Multiple imputation analysis

9.1 Preparatory steps

We provide two different kinds of analysis: first, one which has separate imputation models for the two kinds of complexities, and second, an analysis which has a single imputation model for both complexities.

The rationale for constructing separate imputation models (the analysis reported in the main paper) is that the number of languages for which both morphological complexity and information-theoretic complexity are attested is rather small:

```
nrow(data2[!is.na(data2$MC), ])
## [1] 1581
nrow(data2[!is.na(data2$H), ])
## [1] 1088
nrow(data2[!is.na(data2$MC) & !is.na(data2$H), ])
## [1] 526
```

All of our imputation models take language family as a clustering variable. The implementation in mice requires this as a numeric:

```
datai <- data2
datai$cluster <- as.numeric(datai$Family)</pre>
```

Also, we want to make sure that impossible L2 speaker proportions (outside the interval [0,1]) are never imputed. To do this, we take a logit transform of L2 speaker proportion:

```
epsilon <- 10^-5
datai$L2prop_t <- epsilon + (1 - 2*epsilon)*datai$L2prop
datai$L2prop_t <- log(datai$L2prop_t/(1 - datai$L2prop_t))</pre>
```

9.2 Separate imputation models

9.2.1 Morphological complexity

We need the following variables in this imputation model:

We first set up the predictor matrix. L2 speaker proportion is imputed using morphological complexity, logarithmic population size and logarithmic range size, with language family as a clustering variable. We do not impute other missing values; we have tried to do so, but the model becomes too complicated to run.

```
pred_MC <- make.predictorMatrix(datai_MC)
pred_MC[1:nrow(pred_MC), ] <- 0
pred_MC["L2prop_t", ] <- c(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, -2)
pred_MC</pre>
```

##	ISO	Language	Family	Area	L2prop_t	MC	logPop	${\tt logRangesize}$	cluster
## ISO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
## Language	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
## Family	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
## Area	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
## L2prop_t	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	-2
## MC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
## logPop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
## logRangesize	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
## cluster	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

We also need to set the imputation method:

```
impmethod_MC <- character(ncol(datai_MC))
names(impmethod_MC) <- colnames(datai_MC)
impmethod_MC["L2prop_t"] <- "21.lmer"
impmethod_MC</pre>
```

```
##
              IS0
                        Language
                                                                                               MC
                                          Family
                                                             Area
                                                                        L2prop_t
##
               11 11
                                                               11 11
                                                                       "21.lmer"
                                                                                               11 11
##
           logPop logRangesize
                                         cluster
##
```

We now construct the imputation model. Note that we do not need more than one iteration, as missing values are only imputed in one variable.

Finally, we run the regression analysis on the m=100 completed copies of the dataset:

```
modImp <- with(imp_MC, lmer(MC~L2prop_t+logPop+(1|Family)+(1|Area)))
tidy(pool(modImp))</pre>
```

```
##
                                                        p.value
                    estimate
                               std.error statistic
## 1 (Intercept) 0.72986298 0.036108997 20.212773 0.000000e+00 2.868957e-04
       L2prop_t -0.01874615 0.006962809 -2.692326 8.837320e-03 4.267541e-05
## 2
## 3
          logPop -0.01365290 0.003093889 -4.412861 1.200876e-05 2.471982e-06
##
            df dfcom
                           fmi
                                  lambda
                                           m
                                                   riv
## 1 734.64192 1493 0.2243449 0.2222361 100 0.2857372 1.014095e-03
## 2 71.27845 1493 0.8920452 0.8890580 100 8.0137216 5.378545e-06
## 3 627.13709 1493 0.2631759 0.2608298 100 0.3528684 7.075448e-06
```

For purposes of illustration, here is the regression on one of the 100 completed datasets (that is, before pooling):

```
summary(modImp$analyses[[1]])
```

```
##
## Random effects:
   Groups
            Name
                        Variance Std.Dev.
   Family
             (Intercept) 0.005923 0.07696
             (Intercept) 0.007056 0.08400
   Area
##
   Residual
                        0.068388 0.26151
## Number of obs: 1499, groups: Family, 122; Area, 24
##
## Fixed effects:
##
                Estimate Std. Error
                                            df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 7.374e-01 3.232e-02
                                     1.233e+02 22.813
                                                       < 2e-16 ***
## L2prop_t
              -7.829e-03 2.412e-03
                                     1.412e+03
                                                -3.246
                                                         0.0012 **
## logPop
              -1.231e-02 2.718e-03
                                     1.021e+03 -4.530
                                                        6.6e-06 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##
            (Intr) L2prp_
## L2prop_t 0.025
           -0.699 0.166
## logPop
```

9.2.2 Information-theoretic complexity

We need the following variables in this imputation model:

We first set up the predictor matrix. L2 speaker proportion is imputed using information-theoretic complexity, logarithmic population size and logarithmic range size, with language family as a clustering variable. We do not impute other missing values; we have tried to do so, but the model becomes too complicated to run.

```
pred_H <- make.predictorMatrix(datai_H)
pred_H[1:nrow(pred_H), ] <- 0
pred_H["L2prop_t", ] <- c(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, -2)
pred_H</pre>
```

```
##
                  ISO Language Family Area L2prop_t H logPop logRangesize cluster
## ISO
                    0
                               0
                                       0
                                             0
                                                       0 0
                                                                 0
                                                                                          0
## Language
                    0
                               0
                                       0
                                             0
                                                       0 0
                                                                 0
                                                                                0
                                                                                          0
## Family
                    0
                               0
                                       0
                                             0
                                                       0 0
                                                                 0
                                                                                0
                                                                                          0
                    0
                               0
                                       0
                                             0
                                                       0 0
                                                                 0
                                                                                0
                                                                                          0
## Area
## L2prop_t
                    0
                               0
                                       0
                                             0
                                                       0 1
                                                                 1
                                                                                1
                                                                                         -2
                    0
                               0
                                       0
                                             0
                                                       0 0
                                                                                          0
## H
                                                                 0
                                                                                0
## logPop
                    0
                               0
                                       0
                                             0
                                                       0 0
                                                                 0
                                                                                0
                                                                                         0
## logRangesize
                    0
                               0
                                       0
                                             0
                                                       0 0
                                                                 0
                                                                                0
                                                                                          0
                    0
                               0
                                                                                          0
## cluster
                                                       0 0
```

We also need to set the imputation method:

```
impmethod_H <- character(ncol(datai_H))
names(impmethod_H) <- colnames(datai_H)
impmethod_H["L2prop_t"] <- "21.lmer"
impmethod_H</pre>
```

```
##
                 IS<sub>0</sub>
                                                                                                              Η
                                                Family
                                                                     Area
                                                                                  L2prop_t
                            Language
                                                                                                             11 11
                  11 11
                                                      11 11
                                                                        11 11
                                                                                 "21.lmer"
##
            logPop logRangesize
##
                                               cluster
##
```

We now construct the imputation model. Note that we do not need more than one iteration, as missing values are only imputed in one variable.

Regression for information-theoretic complexity:

```
modImpIC <- with(imp_H, lmer(H~L2prop_t+logPop+(1|Family)+(1|Area)))
tidy(pool(modImpIC))</pre>
```

```
p.value
##
            term
                     estimate
                                std.error statistic
                                                                             b
## 1 (Intercept) 1.298043169 0.048003183 27.0407728 0.000000e+00 4.231238e-05
        L2prop t -0.002607529 0.004203372 -0.6203422 5.360764e-01 1.068608e-05
## 3
          logPop 0.021600461 0.002743905 7.8721601 1.465494e-14 4.375615e-07
##
           df dfcom
                           fmi
                                   lambda
                                            m
                711 0.02136143 0.01854593 100 0.01889639 2.261570e-03
## 1 694.1809
## 2 135.2499
                711 0.61649288 0.61086341 100 1.56979177 6.875396e-06
## 3 652.2416
                711 0.06157101 0.05869786 100 0.06235815 7.087079e-06
```

For purposes of illustration, here is the regression on one of the 100 completed datasets (that is, before pooling):

```
summary(modImpIC$analyses[[1]])
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: H ~ L2prop_t + logPop + (1 | Family) + (1 | Area)
## REML criterion at convergence: -741
##
## Scaled residuals:
      Min
                1Q Median
                                ЗQ
                                       Max
## -4.4771 -0.5538 -0.0305 0.5657
                                    7.5260
##
## Random effects:
## Groups
                         Variance Std.Dev.
             Name
##
   Family
             (Intercept) 0.0007237 0.0269
## Area
             (Intercept) 0.0288797 0.1699
## Residual
                         0.0178086 0.1334
## Number of obs: 717, groups: Family, 79; Area, 23
##
## Fixed effects:
##
                 Estimate Std. Error
                                             df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                 1.291823
                            0.047295 42.449622
                                                 27.314 < 2e-16 ***
## L2prop_t
                -0.006657
                            0.002607 646.960573
                                                 -2.554
                                                          0.0109 *
                            0.002626 654.644187
## logPop
                 0.021585
                                                  8.219
                                                        1.1e-15 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##
            (Intr) L2prp_
## L2prop_t 0.046
## logPop
            -0.613 0.051
```

9.3 Single imputation model

For the analysis with a single imputation model, we include both morphological and information-theoretic complexity:

We first set up the predictor matrix. L2 speaker proportion is imputed using morphological complexity, information-theoretic complexity, logarithmic population size and logarithmic range size, with language family as a clustering variable. We do not impute other missing values; we have tried to do so, but the model becomes too complicated to run.

```
pred_s <- make.predictorMatrix(datai_s)
pred_s[1:nrow(pred_s), ] <- 0
pred_s["L2prop_t", ] <- c(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, -2)
pred_s</pre>
```

##		ISO	Language	Family	Area	L2prop_t	MC	Н	logPop	${\tt logRangesize}$	cluster
##	ISO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
##	Language	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
##	Family	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
##	Area	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
##	L2prop_t	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	-2
##	MC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
##	H	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
##	logPop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
##	${\tt logRangesize}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
##	cluster	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

We also need to set the imputation method:

```
impmethod_s <- character(ncol(datai_s))
names(impmethod_s) <- colnames(datai_s)
impmethod_s["L2prop_t"] <- "21.lmer"
impmethod_s</pre>
```

```
##
                IS<sub>0</sub>
                           Language
                                               Family
                                                                    Area
                                                                               L2prop_t
                                                                                                          MC
##
                 11 11
                                                                      11 11
                                                                               "21.lmer"
                                                                                                          11 11
##
                  Н
                              logPop logRangesize
                                                                cluster
##
```

We now construct the imputation model. Note that we do not need more than one iteration, as missing values are only imputed in one variable.

Finally, we run the regression analyses on the m=100 completed copies of the dataset:

```
modImp_s <- with(imp_s, lmer(MC~L2prop_t+logPop+(1|Family)+(1|Area)))
tidy(pool(modImp_s))</pre>
```

```
##
                               std.error statistic
                                                                           b
                    estimate
                                                       p.value
## 1 (Intercept) 0.71200919 0.064058014 11.115068 0.000000000 1.251487e-03
## 2
        L2prop_t -0.02830966 0.010399004 -2.722343 0.008467932 8.789569e-05
## 3
          logPop -0.01275560 0.005096583 -2.502774 0.012819845 6.873378e-06
##
            df dfcom
                           fmi
                                  lambda
                                           m
                                                   riv
                 571 0.3128265 0.3080355 100 0.4451608 2.839427e-03
## 1 285.85950
## 2 60.16309
                 571 0.8265988 0.8209287 100 4.5843681 1.936464e-05
## 3 320.51934
                571 0.2717895 0.2672597 100 0.3647399 1.903305e-05
modImpIC_s <- with(imp_s, lmer(H~L2prop_t+logPop+(1|Family)+(1|Area)))
tidy(pool(modImpIC_s))
```

```
## term estimate std.error statistic p.value b
## 1 (Intercept) 1.2833032476 0.054378382 23.59951148 0.000000e+00 7.215062e-05
```

```
L2prop_t 0.0002734669 0.005019497 0.05448093 9.566425e-01 1.469735e-05
## 2
## 3
         logPop 0.0229421835 0.003336011 6.87713126 2.016787e-11 8.028186e-07
##
          df dfcom
                        fmi
                                lambda
                                                riv
                                       m
## 1 491.0824 507 0.02859202 0.02464387 100 0.02526653 2.884136e-03
## 2 120.1038 507 0.59584354 0.58916899 100 1.43409085 1.035103e-05
## 3 456.7498 507 0.07689236 0.07285912 100 0.07858474 1.031812e-05
Example regressions:
summary(modImp_s$analyses[[1]])
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: MC ~ L2prop_t + logPop + (1 | Family) + (1 | Area)
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 79.7
## Scaled residuals:
      Min
           1Q
                   Median
                                 3Q
                                        Max
## -2.92403 -0.63112 -0.05689 0.70294 2.48337
## Random effects:
                      Variance Std.Dev.
## Groups
## Family
            (Intercept) 0.003768 0.06138
## Area
            (Intercept) 0.011394 0.10674
                       0.059184 0.24328
## Residual
## Number of obs: 577, groups: Family, 80; Area, 24
##
## Fixed effects:
               Estimate Std. Error
##
                                         df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 0.770688 0.054821 140.764179 14.058 < 2e-16 ***
## L2prop t
            ## logPop
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
          (Intr) L2prp_
## L2prop_t -0.198
          -0.853 0.284
## logPop
summary(modImpIC_s$analyses[[1]])
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: H ~ L2prop_t + logPop + (1 | Family) + (1 | Area)
## REML criterion at convergence: -457.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
     Min 1Q Median
                             3Q
                                   Max
## -4.2441 -0.5593 -0.0349 0.5194 7.1771
##
## Random effects:
                      Variance Std.Dev.
## Groups
           Name
            (Intercept) 0.0005678 0.02383
## Family
## Area
           (Intercept) 0.0311854 0.17659
## Residual
                      0.0197975 0.14070
## Number of obs: 513, groups: Family, 74; Area, 22
##
```

```
## Fixed effects:
##
               Estimate Std. Error
                                         df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 1.280e+00 5.450e-02 5.202e+01 23.493 < 2e-16 ***
## L2prop_t
              9.496e-04 2.779e-03 2.695e+02
                                             0.342
                                                      0.733
              2.321e-02 3.319e-03 4.133e+02
## logPop
                                             6.992 1.1e-11 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##
           (Intr) L2prp_
## L2prop_t -0.195
## logPop
          -0.689 0.312
```

10 Plots

10.1 Histogram of L2 speaker proportion

```
mypar1 <- list(par.main.text=list(just="left", x=grid::unit(21.5, "mm")))</pre>
mypar2 <- list(par.main.text=list(just="left", x=grid::unit(27.5, "mm")))</pre>
mycol <- "azure2"</pre>
g1 <- histogram(~L2prop, data2[!is.na(data2$L2prop) & data2$vehicularity==0,],
                type="percent",
                col=mycol, xlab="L2 speaker proportion", par.settings=mypar1,
                main=list("Non-vehicular languages", cex=1.0), nint=13)
g2 <- histogram(~L2prop, data2[!is.na(data2$L2prop) & data2$vehicularity==1,],
                type="percent",
                col=mycol, xlab="L2 speaker proportion", par.settings=mypar2,
                main=list("Vehicular languages", cex=1.0), nint=13)
# save as pdf
pdf("../plots/histogram.pdf", height=3, width=7)
grid.arrange(g1, g2, nrow=1, ncol=2)
dev.off()
## pdf
##
```

10.2 Effects plots (complete cases analysis)

```
g1 <- plot(predictorEffect("L2prop", mod), xlab="L2 speaker proportion",
           ylim=c(0,1), ylab="Morphological complexity",
                                                               ", cex=1.0)
           main=list("A
g2 <- plot(predictorEffect("logPop", mod), xlab="log(population size)",</pre>
           ylim=c(0,1), ylab="Morphological complexity",
           main=list("B
                                                               ", cex=1.0))
g3 <- plot(predictorEffect("L2prop", modIC), xlab="L2 speaker proportion",
           ylim=c(1.3, 2.0), ylab="Information-theoretic complexity",
                                                               ", cex=1.0))
           main=list("C
g4 <- plot(predictorEffect("logPop", modIC), xlab="log(population size)",
           ylim=c(1.3, 2.0), ylab="Information-theoretic complexity",
           main=list("D
                                                               ", cex=1.0)
# pdf out
pdf("../plots/result.pdf", height=7.5, width=7)
grid.arrange(g1, g2, g3, g4, nrow=2, ncol=2)
```

```
dev.off()
## pdf
## 2
```

10.3 Histogram of L2 proportion coefficients in imputation analysis

```
mypar1 <- list(par.main.text=list(just="left", x=grid::unit(21.5, "mm")))</pre>
mypar2 <- list(par.main.text=list(just="left", x=grid::unit(14.5, "mm")))</pre>
mycol <- "azure2"</pre>
getcoef <- function(X) {</pre>
  estimate <- coef(X)$Family$L2prop_t[1]</pre>
}
d1 <- do.call(rbind, lapply(X=modImp$analyses, FUN=getcoef))</pre>
d2 <- do.call(rbind, lapply(X=modImpIC$analyses, FUN=getcoef))</pre>
g1 <- histogram(d1, type="percent",</pre>
                 xlab=expression("Coefficient estimate for"~rho*"'"),
                 col=mycol, par.settings=mypar1,
                 main=list("Morphological complexity", cex=1.0), nint=11)
g2 <- histogram(d2, type="percent",</pre>
                 xlab=expression("Coefficient estimate for"~rho*"'"),
                 col=mycol, par.settings=mypar2,
                 main=list("Information-theoretic complexity", cex=1.0), nint=11)
# save as pdf
pdf("../plots/imputation.pdf", height=3, width=7)
grid.arrange(g1, g2, nrow=1, ncol=2)
dev.off()
```

pdf ## 2