

XM452 Lecture Notes 1

ERDAIFU LUO

19 February 2023

§1 Introduction to Number Theory

§1.1 Notation

The integers $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$.

The rational numbers $\mathbb{Q} = \{m/n : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

The real number \mathbb{R} .

Those $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$, are called irrational.

§1.2 Primes and Composites

Definition 1.1 (Prime Numbers). An integer greater than one whose only positive (integer) divisors are itself and one is called a **prime number**.

Definition 1.2 (Composite Number). An integer greater than one which is not a prime number is said to be **composite**. So if $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a composite number then

$$n = ab, \text{ where } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a, b > 1.$$

If n is composite, we can write

$$n = a_1 \cdot a_2,$$

where

$$a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, a_i > 1.$$

Proposition 1.3

If n is a composite integer, then n can be written as a product of primes

$$n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k.$$

where the p_i 's are prime.

This decomposition is unique except for the order of the p_i 's.

Definition 1.4 (Divides). When an integer a divides into an integer b so that the quotient $b/a \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $a \mid b$ and say that “ a divides b ”.

Theorem 1.5

There are infinite many primes.

Proof. Assume the contrary. That is there are finitely many primes. We'll write them in a list: $p_1 \dots p_k$.

Let $N = p_1 \dots p_k + 1$. Notice that $N > p_i \mid N$ for each i so N is not a prime. Therefore, N is a composite integer. By the above proposition, there exists a prime that divides N .

Since every prime is in the list $p_1 \dots p_k$, then for some i , $p_i \mid N$. That is,

$$p_i \mid p_1 \dots p_k + 1.$$

On the other hand, clearly

$$p_i \mid p_1 \dots p_k,$$

which means that $p_i \mid N - 1$. So we have

$$p_i \mid N - 1 \text{ and } p_i \mid N.$$

Say $p_i \cdot u = N - 1$ and $p_i \cdot v = N$, where $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u, v > 0$. Subtracting,

$$p_i \cdot v - p_i \cdot u = N - (N - 1) = 1,$$

meaning that $p_i \cdot (v - u) = 1$.

That statement was a contradiction (you cannot have the product of two integers where one is a prime equal 1), which means that the original statement is false, and there exist infinite primes. \square

§2 Famous Theorems about Primes

Theorem 2.1

n is prime if, and only if, $n \mid ((n - 1)! + 1)$.

§3 Pythagorean Triples, Diophantine Equations, Fermat's Last Theorem

§3.1 Proof of Pythagorean Triples

Determining whether an integer is prime or composite, or questions related to such are examples of **multiplicative questions** in number theory.

Another category of questions are **additive questions**.

Example 3.1

When is a perfect square integer the sum of two perfect squares (e.g. $5^2 = 3^2 + 4^2$)?

Proof. Due to the Pythagorean theorem, this question is equivalent to the sum of two perfect square integers, which is equivalent to the magnitude of c when there is a right triangle a, b and c with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By observing Pythagorean triples, we can see that some triples are $(3, 4, 5)$ and $(5, 12, 13)$ where $c = b + 1$ where b is an arbitrary side.

Therefore, we need to find integers a, b, c such that:

$$(1) \quad a^2 + b^2 = c^2$$

$$(2) \quad b + 1 = c$$

Substituting,

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 + b^2 &= (b + 1)^2 \\ &= b^2 + 2b + 1 \\ a^2 &= 2b + 1. \end{aligned}$$

So, since odd numbers are represented as $o = 2n + 1$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, so a itself must be an odd number, and $a = 2n + 1$.

So now,

$$a^2 = 2b + 1$$

can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (2n + 1)^2 &= 2b + 1 \\ \frac{(2n + 1)^2 - 1}{2} &= b \\ \frac{4n^2 + 4n}{2} &= b \\ 2n^2 + 2n &= b. \end{aligned}$$

But by (2), $b + 1 = c$, therefore

$$2n^2 + 2n + 1 = c.$$

So for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n > 0$,

$$(2n + 1, 2n^2 + 2n, 2n^2 + 2n + c)$$

is an Pythagorean triples. □

§3.2 Diophantine Equations

Equations of the form $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ are called **Diophantine Equations**.

§3.3 Fermat's Last Theorem

Theorem 3.2 (Fermat's Last Theorem)

If $x \neq 2$, then $x^n + y^n = z^n$ has no solutions where x, y and z are all nonzero integers.

§4 The Euclidean Algorithm

Definition 4.1. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. The set of **common divisors** of a and b is the set, $\{m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } m \mid a \text{ and } m \mid b\}$.

If $a = b = 0$, then the set of common divisors is the set of all integers.

If a and b are not both zero, then this set is finite, and always contains 1.

Therefore there is always a largest number in this set.

Definition 4.2. If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ are not both zero, then the largest number in the set of common divisors of a and b is called the **greatest common divisor** (GCD).

if d is this number, we write $d = (a, b)$.

The **Euclidean Algorithm** is a method for finding the GCD. The basic principle is that if $n \mid a$ and $n \mid b$, then for any integer r and s , $n \mid (r \cdot a + s \cdot b)$.

Theorem 4.3 (Euclidean Algorithm)

If a and b are positive integers, $b > a$, and r_k is found using the Euclidean Algorithm method, then

$$r_k = (a, b).$$

Moreover, from these equations there is a systematic way to find integers m and n such that

$$r_k = ma + nb.$$

§5 Proof of the Euclidean Algorithm

Proof. Let $d = (a, b)$. Rewrite the equation in the form

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 &= a - q_0 \cdot b \\ r_1 &= b - q_1 \cdot r_0 \\ r_2 &= r_0 - q_2 \cdot r_1 \\ &\vdots \\ r_k &= r_{k-2} - q_k \cdot r_{k-1} \\ 0 &= r_{k-1} - q_{k+1} \cdot r_k \end{aligned}$$

□

Since $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$, $d \mid (a - q_0 \cdot b)$, meaning that $d \mid r_0$. Furthermore, $d \mid (b - q_1 \cdot r_0)$, meaning that $d \mid r_1$.

Similarly, $d \mid r_2, d \mid r_3, \dots, d \mid r_k$. Thus, $d \leq r_k$.

Since $r_{k-1} = q_{k+1} \cdot r_k$,

$$r_k \mid r_{k-1}.$$

Similarly, since $r_{k-2} = q_k \cdot r_{k-1} + r_k$,

$$r_k \mid r_{k-2}.$$

Continuing, $r_k \mid r_{k-3}, r_k \mid r_{k-4}, \dots, r_k \mid r_1, r_k \mid r_0$. But $b = q_1 r_0 + r_1$, so $r_k \mid b$, and $a = q_0 b + r_0$, so $r_k \mid a$.

So r_k is common divisor of a and b with $a \neq b$. Thus, $r_k \leq (a, b) = d \leq r_k$, so $d = r_k$.

$r_k = ma + nb$, with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, is called a **linear combination of a and b** . If we can write r_{j-1} and r_{j-2} as linear combinations of a and b , then we use the equation

$$r_j = r_{j-2} - q_j r_{j-1}$$

to express r_j as a linear combination of a and b .

Let S_j be the statement that there are integers $m_{j-2}, n_{j-2}, m_{j-1}, n_{j-1}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{j-2} &= m_{j-2}a + n_{j-2}b \\ r_{j-1} &= m_{j-1}a + n_{j-1}b \end{aligned}$$

Claim 5.1 — Statement S_k is true.

Proof. By induction,

Base Case: Let $r_{-2} = a$ and $r_{-1} = b$. Then

$$r_{-2} = a = 1 \cdot a + 0 \cdot b$$

$$r_{-1} = b = 0 \cdot a + 1 \cdot b$$

Thus, S_0 is true with $m_{-2} = 1$, $n_{-2} = 0$, $m_{-1} = 0$, and $n_{-1} = 1$.

Inductive case: Assume S_j holds, i.e.

$$r_{j-2} = m_{j-2}a + n_{j-2}b$$

$$r_{j-1} = m_{j-1}a + n_{j-1}b$$

Inductive step: Show S_{j+1} holds, i.e.

$$r_{j-1} = m_{j-1}a + n_{j-1}b$$

$$r_j = m_j a + n_j b$$

By S_j ,

$$r_{j-1} = m_{j-1}a + n_{j-1} \cdot b.$$

Find m_j and n_j so that $r_j = m_j \cdot a + n_j \cdot b$.

We know

$$r_{j-2} = q_j r_{j-1} + r_j$$

$$r_j = r_{j-2} - q_j r_{j-1}$$

Substituting,

$$\begin{aligned} r_j &= (m_{j-2}a + n_{j-2}b) - q_j(m_{j-1}a + n_{j-1}b) \\ &= (m_{j-2}a - q_j m_{j-1}a) - (n_{j-2}b - q_j n_{j-1}b). \end{aligned}$$

Then, $r_j = m_j \cdot a + n_j \cdot b$. □