# MT Coursera Week 02

### Erdaifu Luo

14 May 2023

## §1 Implication

In mathematics, we frequently occur the expressions  $\phi \implies \psi$ . Implication is the means by which we prove results in mathematics, starting with observations and axioms.

We say that  $\phi$  implies  $\psi$  is the truth of  $\phi$  follows from the truth of  $\psi$ .

#### Example 1.1

Let  $\phi$  be the statement that  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational.

Let  $\psi$  be the statement that 0 < 1.

Is " $\phi$  implies  $\psi$ " true?

Although both statements are truth, this does not mean that  $\phi \implies \psi$ , but the truth of  $\phi$  does not follow from the truth of  $\psi$ .

There is a certain complexity in this that we did not encounter previously, that being **implication involves causality**. Implication has a truth part, and a causation part. However, we only need to focus on the <u>truth part</u>, and not the causation part, as the truth part is enough for mathematics. We shall call the truth part, **the conditional** (or sometimes, the material conditional).

We will split implication into two parts: **conditional** ( $\Longrightarrow$ ), and the causation (which we shall leave for the philosophers).

For example,  $\phi \implies \psi$  is the truth part of " $\phi$  implies  $\psi$ ", where  $\phi$  is the **antecedent**, and  $\psi$  is the **consequent**.

We will define the truth of  $\phi \implies \psi$  in the terms of the truth or falsity of  $\psi$  or  $\phi$ . When  $\phi$  does imply  $\psi$ ,  $\phi \implies \psi$ . The causation is always defined.

The truth table for this is going to be

| $\phi$        | $\psi$ | $\phi \implies \psi$ |
|---------------|--------|----------------------|
| T             | T      | T                    |
| $\mid T \mid$ | F      | F                    |
| F             | T      | T                    |
| $\mid F \mid$ | F      | T                    |

## §2 Equivalence

Two statements are said to be equivalent, or **logically equivalent**, if one implies the other. We have to introduce an analogous version of the conditional for equivalence, called the **biconditional**.

Two statementes  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are said to be logically equivalent, if one implies the other. Biconditional is represented by  $\phi \iff \psi$ , which is an abbreviation of  $(\phi \implies \psi) \land (\psi \implies \phi)$ . The previous is true of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are both true or both false. One way to show that two statements  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  are equivalent if they have the same truth values.

#### Example 2.1

 $(\phi \wedge \psi) \vee (\neg)$  is equivalent to  $\phi \implies \psi$ , where the first sentence is  $\Phi$  and the second is  $\Psi$ .

The following all means " $\phi$  implies  $\psi$ ",

- 1. If  $\phi$ , then  $\psi$ .
- 2.  $\phi$  is sufficient for  $\psi$ .
- 3.  $\phi$  only if  $\psi$ .
- 4.  $\psi$  if  $\phi$ .
- 5.  $\psi$  whenever  $\phi$ .
- 6.  $\psi$  is necessary for  $\phi$ .

To understand this, an example would be to interpret  $\phi$  as "I have a bicycle", and  $\psi$  as "I can ride in the tour de france".

The following all means " $\phi$  is equivalent to  $\psi$ ",

- 1.  $\phi$  is necessary and sufficient for  $\psi$ .
- 2.  $\phi$  if and only if  $\psi$  (abbreviated **iff**).

Both shows how ubquious iff is, as both conditions must imply the other.