

Classification of arXiv Abstracts Using BERT Models

I. Erdem Demir iedemir@kth.se

Levent Güner leventg@kth.se

1. Introduction

This project aims to classify abstracts from arXiv database according to their subjects. arXiv provides categories and sub-categories for each paper, and some papers might have multiple categories. By using novel NLP techniques, we have predicted the category and sub-category of the given abstract.

2. Data

The data is gathered from Cornell University's arXiv dataset [1]. It contains 1,998,660 articles' metadata such as license information, versions, release date, title, abstract, and authors. The data is found in json format and pre-processed before using. The duplicate articles were dropped, and the newest version is used. In the end, we obtained 500,000 articles with 23 main categories and 161 sub-categories. Only papers after 2019 are used. Since the data is quite unbalanced with some classes, we have decided to move with 7 main categories: Computer Science, Mathematics, Physics, Condensed Matter, Astrophysics, Quantum Physics, and High Energy Physics. Each category has around 25,000 articles in the sampled dataset. Some of the articles are belong to more than one category, so we have conducted our work with 127,441 paper abstracts in the end. 75% of this data are put to train set (95,580 entries) and 25% of them are put to test set (31861 entries). Figure 1 shows an example from the dataset that we have used. The data is processed, and the processing methods are told in the next section.

	abstract	cs	math	physics	cond-mat	astro-ph	quant-ph	hep-ph
258752	The JSNS ² (J-PARC Sterile Neutrino Sear...	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
216784	In this paper, we study compressive random a...	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
72699	The purpose of these lectures is threefold: ...	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
523774	Hamiltonian truncation is a non-perturbative...	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
149226	Cosmological datasets have great potential t...	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

Figure 2.1: 5 samples from the dataset

3. Methods

a) Data Preparation and Cleaning

Dask is used to open and handle the data. Dask provides efficient parallelization for data analytics in Python. After opening the data, the necessary fields such as id, title, abstract, and categories are extracted. After the extraction, the categories and sub-categories are split and one-hot encoded. In the end, around 25,000 samples are selected from the seven desired categories and the data is prepared.

The data is cleaned and pre-processed before the LSTM network. For cleaning the data, the URLs and the punctuations are removed, all the text is converted to lowercase, and the stop words are removed.

b) LSTM

LSTM (Long-short term memory) is a variety of RNNs (Recurrent Neural Networks) that are capable of learning long-term dependencies, mostly in sequence prediction problems. LSTMs has feedback connections and can process the whole sequence of the data, apart from single data points like images. [3]

For the LSTM process, after the data cleaning, tokenization is applied with 10,000 max features. Tokenization is basically splitting a text document into smaller units, for example individual words. After the tokenization, the model is generated with TensorFlow's Keras API. In the LSTM network, an embedding layer with 64 dimensions and LSTM layer with 5 units is added. Various network sizes are tested and an optimal model with these values are obtained. In the output layer, softmax activation function is used, and the class probabilities are obtained. Binary cross entropy is used as the loss function since it assigns individual probability values for each class. The model yields class probabilities, and a threshold value 0.4 is applied to determine the classes. If the class probability is more than 0.4, it is considered as the instance is belong to that class. This helps us to handle multi label classification.

c) BERT (Huggingface Transformers)

BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) is a method that is being used for pre-training language representations. The term of pre-training corresponds to how BERT is first trained on a large source of text i.e., Wikipedia. BERT is mainly used for Natural Language Processing (NLP) tasks, in which, several use cases of BERT are sentiment analysis and question answering and so on.[2]

For the BERT modeling, we have tried two different BERT models: BERT Base Model and SciBERT. SciBERT is the pretrained model presented in [4], a BERT model trained on scientific text. Both models' implementations use same processes, but the tokenizers and the base models differ. The task is basically fine tuning a pretrained model for our multi-label classification task.

Google Colab is used for making the analyses for using GPU. Tesla K80 GPU is used during the training process. The data is loaded separately as train and test data, and tokenization is performed. The maximum tokenization length of 100 is used due to Google Colab's GPU memory issues. Stratification for train/validation split is applied to have balanced data. PyTorch's DataLoader iterators are used to save memory during training. Unlike a loop, with an iterator the entire dataset does not need to be loaded into memory. Afterwards, the pretrained model is loaded. AdamW optimizer is set, and the training process is implemented with 2 epochs. In this step, the batches are sent to GPU, the inputs are unpacked from the data loader, forward and backward pass is implemented, and the parameters are updated. PyTorch's BCEWithLogitLoss loss function is used. This loss combines a Sigmoid layer and the Binary Cross Entropy loss in one single class. For validation, the model is evaluated, and the predictions are obtained. The flat accuracies and F1 scores are obtained for the validation set. The fine-tuned model is saved to load and evaluate the data. The model yields class probabilities, and a threshold value 0.5 is applied to determine the classes. If the class

probability is more than 0.5, it is considered as the instance is belong to that class. This helps us to handle multi label classification. These processes are both applied for BERT Base Model and SciBERT Model. The results are discussed in the next section.

4. Results

For evaluating the results in the multi label classification problem (where the instances may belong to more than one class), we have used the classification report and confusion matrices. For obtaining the confusion matrices, we have selected the instances that are only belong to one class. Down below, the classification results are provided.

<u>Categories</u>	precision	recall	f1-score	support
cs	0.88	0.82	0.85	5918
math	0.92	0.76	0.83	5997
physics	0.73	0.73	0.73	6800
cond-mat	0.88	0.79	0.83	6767
astro-ph	0.93	0.91	0.92	5900
quant-ph	0.88	0.83	0.85	5501
hep-ph	0.94	0.85	0.89	5100
<u>Metrics</u>				
micro avg	0.87	0.81	0.84	41983
macro avg	0.88	0.81	0.84	41983
weighted avg	0.88	0.81	0.84	41983
samples avg	0.90	0.86	0.86	41983

Table 4.1: Classification Report for BERT Model

<u>Categories</u>	precision	recall	f1-score	support
cs	0.91	0.81	0.86	5918
math	0.88	0.84	0.86	5997
physics	0.79	0.69	0.74	6800
cond-mat	0.82	0.88	0.85	6767
astro-ph	0.94	0.92	0.93	5900
quant-ph	0.84	0.89	0.86	5501
hep-ph	0.96	0.84	0.90	5100
<u>Metrics</u>				
micro avg	0.87	0.84	0.85	41983
macro avg	0.88	0.84	0.86	41983
weighted avg	0.87	0.84	0.85	41983
samples avg	0.90	0.88	0.87	41983

Table 4.2: Classification Report for SciBERT Model

<u>Categories</u>	precision	recall	f1-score	support
cs	0.76	0.84	0.80	5918
math	0.97	0.40	0.57	5997
physics	0.95	0.00	0.01	6800
cond-mat	0.76	0.61	0.68	6767
astro-ph	0.91	0.82	0.86	5900
quant-ph	0.85	0.71	0.77	5501
hep-ph	0.92	0.77	0.84	5100
<u>Metrics</u>				
micro avg	0.85	0.58	0.69	41983
macro avg	0.88	0.59	0.65	41983
weighted avg	0.87	0.58	0.63	41983
samples avg	0.76	0.64	0.68	41983

Table 4.3: Classification Report for LSTM Model

Overall, the calculated scores indicate that SciBERT model achieved comparatively better results than BERT and LSTM models. SciBERT model is followed by BERT model than LSTM model with respect to the model performances on the test set over the classification results.




Figure 4.1: BERT Base Model Confusion Matrix




Figure 4.2: SciBERT Confusion Matrix




Figure 4.3: LSTM Confusion Matrix

On the confusion matrices (Figure 4.1 – 4.3), the categories of cs, math, physics, condensed matter, astrophysics, quantum physics and high energy physics are significantly predicted decently by all the models. Figure 4.4 shows 10 random samples from predicted data.

The LSTM model’s predictions are confused in physics and condensed matter classes, where the model predicted 18% of physics class correctly and predicted 42% of the physics class as condensed matter and 24% as computer science. The reverse situation happens in BERT Base Model, where the model predicted 22% of the condensed matter class as physics class, however, in the SciBERT model this has been corrected and only 12% of the condensed matter class is predicted as physics.

These subjects are close each other, where condensed matter physics is the field of physics that deals with the macroscopic and microscopic physical properties of matter. In the end, it is not surprising to get results like that.

	abstract	true_labels	pred_labels
9192	the main purpose of this paper is to obtain an...	['astro-ph']	['astro-ph']
12320	this work sets a road - map towards an experim...	['physics', 'cond-mat', 'quant-ph']	['cond-mat', 'quant-ph']
4445	active particle assemblies can exhibit a wide ...	['physics', 'cond-mat']	['cond-mat']
27033	satellite remote sensing is playing an increas...	['cs', 'physics']	['cs']
8609	pixel scaling effects have been a major issue ...	['physics']	['physics']
4984	we briefly review a recently proposed formalis...	['hep-ph']	['hep-ph']
22349	in order to explore the effect of external tem...	['quant-ph']	['cond-mat', 'quant-ph']
9494	the padme experiment at the Inf beam test faci...	['physics']	['physics']
1504	we develop a general framework for designing c...	['cs', 'math', 'physics']	['cs', 'math']
12131	analyte translocation involves three phases : ...	['physics', 'cond-mat']	['physics', 'cond-mat']

Figure 4.4: Predicted Data

5. Conclusion & Discussion

We have seen that LSTM model and BERT models are differing in the performance. Both BERT and SciBERT models perform very well, and we see slightly better performance on SciBERT dataset, since it is trained with the scientific data. Even though the topics are close to each other in condensed matter, physics, high energy physics, astrophysics, and quantum physics, and sharing similar context, the models performed well by distinguishing the labels. When we conclude the results, SciBERT is the best performing model.

Especially the labels that are belong to more than one label may underperform in some cases. This happens in the cases where subjects are close to each other, for instance if the paper both belongs to physics and quantum physics categories. This may be adjusted with the threshold value for the predictions.

As a future work, the sub-categories can also be trained as different models and a combined model could be used to determine the sub-categories of the desired abstracts. The combined model can be used as a recommendation tool for scientific databases. On the other hand, the data used in this project only belongs from 2019. More data could be used to train even better performing models.

References

- [1] Cornell University, “ArXiv dataset,” *Kaggle*, 02-Jan-2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.kaggle.com/Cornell-University/arxiv>. [Accessed: 07-Jan-2022].
- [2] Google, “Getting started with the built-in Bert Algorithm | AI platform training | google cloud,” *Google*. [Online]. Available: <https://cloud.google.com/ai-platform/training/docs/algorithms/bert-start>. [Accessed: 07-Jan-2022].
- [3] Intellipaat, “What is LSTM - introduction to long, short-term memory,” *Intellipaat Blog*, 13-Mar-2021. [Online]. Available: <https://intellipaat.com/blog/what-is-lstm/>. [Accessed: 07-Jan-2022].
- [4] I. Beltagy, K. Lo, and A. Cohan, “SciBERT: A pretrained language model for scientific text,” *arXiv.org*, 10-Sep-2019. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.10676>. [Accessed: 07-Jan-2022].

Appendix

The zip file contains project report, prediction results, and the code. The instructions about running the code are located in the beginning of Jupyter Notebook. The *comparisons.csv* file contains the prediction results on test data, where the abstracts, their true labels, and predicted labels are located.