

# Survivable Network Design

Mihir Kaskhedikar  
Raghav Ajmera

IIT Delhi

COL754

# Problem Statement

We are given as input an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , costs  $c_e \geq 0$  for all  $e \in E$ , and connectivity requirements  $r_{ij}$  for all pairs of vertices  $i, j \in V$ , where  $i \neq j$ . The connectivity requirements are nonnegative integers. The goal is to find a minimum-cost set of edges  $F \subseteq E$  such that for all pairs of vertices  $i, j$  with  $i \neq j$ , there are at least  $r_{ij}$  edge-disjoint paths connecting  $i$  and  $j$  in  $(V, F)$ .

# Integer Program

The problem can be modelled by the following integer program:

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & \sum_{e \in E} c_e x_e \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{e \in \delta(S)} x_e \geq \max_{i \in S, j \notin S} r_{ij}, \quad \forall S \subseteq V, \\ & x_e \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \forall e \in E.\end{array}$$

# Solution of LP

- ▶ relax  $x_e$  as  $0 \leq x_e \leq 1$
- ▶ We can find the optimal solution using ellipsoid method
- ▶ max flow instance between each pair of nodes serves as the polynomial time separation oracle

# Weakly Supermodular

The function  $f(S) = \max_{i \in S, j \notin S} r_{ij}$  is weakly supermodular.

- ▶ For any solution to LP if  $f$  is weakly super modular then there exists an edge  $e \in E'$  such that  $x_e \geq 1/2$
- ▶ This can be proved by the coin charging method done in class

# Iterated Rounding

---

**Algorithm 1** Pseudocode for Solving LP on Edge Set

---

```
1:  $F \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
2:  $i \leftarrow 1$ 
3: while  $F$  is not a feasible solution do
4:   Solve LP on edge set  $E - F$  with function  $f_i$ , where
5:    $f_i(S) = f(S) - |\delta(S) \cap F|$ , to obtain basic optimal solution  $x$ 
6:    $F_i \leftarrow \{e \in E - F : x_e \geq 1/2\}$ 
7:    $F \leftarrow F \cup F_i$ 
8:    $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
9: end while
10: return  $F$ 
```

---

## 2—Approximation

- ▶ The above algorithm is a 2—approximation
- ▶ Intuitively in every iteration, the cost increases by less than 2 times the optimal value of the remaining LP
- ▶ Polynomial in time, at most  $|E|$  iterations and in each iteration LP is solved in polynomial time using ellipsoid method

# Implementation Challenges

- ▶ exponentially many constraints in LP
- ▶ we implemented ellipsoid method to solve this LP
- ▶ used Edmonds-Karp max flow algorithm as separation oracle



# Ellipsoid Method

equation of ellipsoid at the  $k^{th}$  iteration

$$\mathcal{E}^{(k)} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left( x - x^{(k)} \right)^\top P_k^{-1} \left( x - x^{(k)} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Obtain a plane using separation oracle such that

$$g^{(k+1)\top} \left( x^* - x^{(k)} \right) \leq 0.$$

# Ellipsoid Method

We therefore conclude that

$$x^* \in \mathcal{E}^{(k)} \cap \left\{ z : g^{(k+1)\top} (z - x^{(k)}) \leq 0 \right\}.$$

Update the equation of ellipse and jump the query point to new center

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - \frac{1}{n+1} P_k \tilde{g}^{(k+1)},$$

$$P_{k+1} = \frac{n^2}{n^2 - 1} \left( P_k - \frac{2}{n+1} P_k \tilde{g}^{(k+1)} \tilde{g}^{(k+1)\top} P_k \right),$$

where

$$\tilde{g}^{(k+1)} = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^{(k+1)\top} P_k g^{(k+1)}}} \right) g^{(k+1)}.$$

# Ellipsoid Method

```
feasible, hyperplane = separation_oracle(x, lamda, edges_found)
if feasible:
    print(f"Solution found for {lamda}")
    solution_found = True
    found = True
    break

a = hyperplane
norm = np.sqrt(a.T @ P @ a)
if(norm < tol_x):
    print("Norm value is too small")

a = a / norm

x = x - (1 / (m + 1)) * (P @ a)
P = (m**2 / (m**2 - 1)) * (P - (2 / (m + 1)) * (P @ np.outer(a, a) @ P))

if np.linalg.det(P) < tol_det:
    print(f"Ellipsoid volume too small, no solution found for lambda : {lamda}")
    break
```

Figure: Ellipsoid Implementation

# Time Complexity

We performed binary search on the optimal solution as ellipsoid method only checks feasibility, ellipsoid method requires at most steps

$$2(n-1)n \cdot \ln \left( \frac{V(p)}{\epsilon} \right)$$

Each step computes flow  $O(n^2)$  times, and in each step of iterated rounding we add at least one edge, therefore at most  $|E|$  steps.

Overall,

$$O \left( n^3 \cdot E^3 \cdot 2(n-1)n \cdot \ln \left( \frac{V(p)}{\epsilon} \right) \right)$$

# Separation Oracle

```
#checking if each xi is in the range [0,1]
for i in range(m):
    if(x[i] < -tol_x) : #xi >= 0
        arr = np.zeros(m)
        arr[i] = -1
        return (False, arr)
    if(x[i] > 1 + tol_x) : #xi <= 1
        arr = np.zeros(m)
        arr[i] = 1
        return (False, arr)

#checking if sum of cost(i) * x(i) <= lamda
const = 0
for i in range(m) :
    const += (costs[i] * x[i])
if(const > lamda):
    arr = copy.deepcopy(costs)
    return (False, arr)

#checking if for edges already found, xi is 1
for e in edges_found :
    if(x[e] < 1 - tol_x) : #xe >= 1
        arr = np.zeros(m)
        arr[e] = -1
        return (False, arr)
```

Figure: Separation Oracle 1

# Separation Oracle

```
for source in range(0, n, 1) :
    for sink in range(0, n, 1) :
        if(sink == source) :
            continue
        #computing the source-sink flow
        (flow_value, flow_dict) = nx.maximum_flow(G, source, sink, flow_func = nx.algorithms.flow.edmonds_karp)

        #creating residual graph of this flow
        flow_cap = dict()
        for key1, value1 in flow_dict.items():
            for key2, value2 in value1.items():
                flow_cap[(key1, key2)] = value2

        Gres = nx.DiGraph()
        for i in range(n):
            Gres.add_node(i)

        for e, cap in edge_cap.items():
            if((e not in flow_cap) or (flow_cap[e] < cap - tol_x)):
                Gres.add_edge(e[0], e[1], capacity = 1)

        #finding the reachable and non-reachable sets for this flow
        reachable = nx.descendants(Gres, source)
        reachable.add(source)
        non_reachable = set(Gres.nodes()) - reachable

        coeff = np.zeros(m)
        if(flow_value < req[source][sink] - 1e-2) : #checking if some (source,sink) flow is violating requirement
            for i in range(m) :
                if(((edge_list[0][i] in reachable) and (edge_list[1][i] in non_reachable))
                    or ((edge_list[1][i] in reachable) and (edge_list[0][i] in non_reachable))) :
                    if(not (i in edges_found)):
                        coeff[i] = -1
            return (False, coeff) #return the violated cut
```

Figure: Separation Oracle 2

## Comparison with optimal

- ▶ To compare the output of the algorithm with the optimal value of the fractional LP, we generated random graphs  $G = (V, E)$  where for each  $i, j \in V, i \neq j$ ,  $(i, j)$  belongs to  $E$  with the same probability  $edge\_prob$ .

## Comparison with optimal

- ▶ To compare the output of the algorithm with the optimal value of the fractional LP, we generated random graphs  $G = (V, E)$  where for each  $i, j \in V, i \neq j$ ,  $(i, j)$  belongs to  $E$  with the same probability  $edge\_prob$ .
- ▶ Each edge of  $G$  is given a cost in the range  $[1, 10]$



## Comparison with optimal

- ▶ To compare the output of the algorithm with the optimal value of the fractional LP, we generated random graphs  $G = (V, E)$  where for each  $i, j \in V, i \neq j$ ,  $(i, j)$  belongs to  $E$  with the same probability  $edge\_prob$ .
- ▶ Each edge of  $G$  is given a cost in the range  $[1, 10]$
- ▶ We created a symmetric requirement matrix of size  $|V| \times |V|$  where each entry is 0 with probability 0.3 and an equiprobable integer in the range  $[1, max\_req]$  with probability 0.7.

## Comparison with optimal

- ▶ To compare the output of the algorithm with the optimal value of the fractional LP, we generated random graphs  $G = (V, E)$  where for each  $i, j \in V, i \neq j$ ,  $(i, j)$  belongs to  $E$  with the same probability  $edge\_prob$ .
- ▶ Each edge of  $G$  is given a cost in the range  $[1, 10]$
- ▶ We created a symmetric requirement matrix of size  $|V| \times |V|$  where each entry is 0 with probability 0.3 and an equiprobable integer in the range  $[1, max\_req]$  with probability 0.7.
- ▶ We kept  $edge\_prob = 0.8$  for all test-cases.

## Comparison with optimal

n	m	max_req	OPT	ALG	edges in OPT
20	147	2	89.27	120	26
30	350	2	170.72	240	72
40	540	3	260.70	396	135

**Table:** Performance of algorithm compared to the optimal solution