## Semidefinite programming.

## 1. Reductions

Ai and C can be assumed symmetric, since

$$Tr(Ax) = Tr(Ax)^T = Tr(XA^T) = Tr(A^TX)$$
  
so  $Tr(\frac{ArA^T}{2}x) = Tr(AX)$ 

## 2. Is it a convex optimization problem?

a) Feasible set is convex: . PSD matrices form a convex set

Intersection with the other convex set (affine spectratedron) constraints)

(can have infinitely many vertices)

Does not satisfy the definition of convex opt. problem:

· X 7,0 ↔ y Xy 30 is a convex constraint for every y & 12n

infinitely many convex conditions finitely many polynamial constraints (equivalent to the original pallem)—

· finitely many polynamial constraints (equivalent to the original problem) - from Sylvester criterion.

## 3. Existence of optimal value \* existence of optimal solution

Example: [min y]
$$\frac{1}{x} \leq y$$

$$\frac{$$

yn →0 as Xn →0; 0-optimal value that is not attained out a feasible point

Convexity of the constraint set is not enough

Compare with 
$$\angle P$$
:

 $A \times = B$ 
 $A \times = B$ 

4. Duality

Let pr be an optimal value

$$L(X, A, \mu) = Tr(Cx) + Z di(bi - Tr(Aix)) - Tr(X, \mu) - Lagrangian$$

$$D(A, \mu) = \min_{X} h(X, b, \mu) - dual function$$

Lemma + 1, 4 80 D(RN) = p\*

Note: we prove it for the case when optimal solution x+ exists

Dual problem should provide the best lower bound for px.

Idea: maximize D(2,p1)

D(2, M) = min 
$$\mathcal{L}(X, \lambda, \mu) = \begin{cases} \lambda^{T}b & \text{if } C - \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}A_{i} - \mu = 0 \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Solving for 
$$C - \Sigma J; A; -\mu = 0$$
 for some  $\mu : 0$  we have  $C = \Sigma J; A; A;$ 

Dual problem:

s.t. ZdiAi 3C

decision variables

Ao + Ai A + ... + diAn 80

Linear Matrix Inequality (LMI)

Duality theorems:

weak duality: Let X is feasible to SDP-primal, 2-feasible

for SDP-dual. Then TF (CX) ≥ 672

Strong duality X

Examples: Only one of 2 optimal solutions are achieved

· there is a gap Tr (cx\*) < b 7,4

 $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{cases} max & 28 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{cases}$   $C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  $\frac{\text{Recall}}{A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}$ 

(0 -2) 80

 $0 - (3^2) \ge 0 \xrightarrow{\lambda = 0}$ 

Theorem: If primal and dual SDP are strictly feasible (exists positive definite feasible X)

then optimal values are achieved and Tr ((X\*)= 61/4.

Remark: deal form can be convenient to formulate problems:

$$\frac{x_{xamples}}{y_{xamples}}$$
 $\frac{x_{xamples}}{y_{y_{1}-x}}$ 
 $\frac{x_{y_{1}}}{y_{2}} = x(\frac{10}{00}) + (\frac{0}{10}) + (\frac{0}{00}) + \frac{10}{00}$ 
 $\frac{x_{2}}{y_{1}-x}$ 
 $\frac{x_{2}}{y_{2}-x}$ 
 $\frac{x_{2}}{y_{2}-x}$ 

Lemma 
$$X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^{\dagger} & C \end{pmatrix}$$
 is a block matrix with Aso

Then  $X \neq 0 \iff S := C - B^{\dagger} A^{-1}B \neq 0$ 

(Schur complement)

SocP 
$$\int \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{$$

Then, 
$$(c_i^T x + d_i)^2 \ge \|A_i x + b_i\|_2^2$$
  
 $c = (c_i^T x + d_i) - (A_i x + b_i)^T \frac{1}{c_i^T x + d_i} (A_i x + b_i) \ge 0$ 

Cemma (
$$C_i^T \times + cl_i$$
)  $I = (A_i \times + b_i)$ 

[Ai  $\times + b_i$ )  $C_i^T \times + d_i$ )  $C_i^T \times + d_i$ )

(Proof of Lemma)  $f(u,v) = u^{T}Au + 2v^{T}B^{T}u + v^{T}Cv = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}^{T}X\begin{pmatrix} y \\ v \end{pmatrix}$  f is strictly convex in u.  $gf = 2Au + 2Bv = 0 \quad u = -A^{-1}Bv \rightarrow \text{gives ghool minimum in } u.$   $f^{*} = \min_{u} f = v^{T}(c - B^{T}A^{-1}B)v = v^{T}Sv$   $= \text{If } S \neq 0 \quad v^{T}Sv = 0 \quad \text{implies min } f = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2^{T}X \neq 0 \quad \text{far} \quad 2^{T}B^{T}B^{T}V = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 2^{T}B^{T}V = 0 \quad 2^{T}B^{T}V = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 2^{T}B^{T}V = 0 \quad 2^{T}B^{T}V =$ 

 $\leftarrow \left( \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \times \left( \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right)^{2} = f(u,v) \geq 0 \quad \text{is } \rho \leq 0.$