

Solving SDPs (quicker).

1. Iterative algorithms for solving SDPs
2. Speed up? Solving smaller problems \rightarrow JL and dimension reduction
3. Sketching linear systems
4. Sketching SDPs.

[Laurent - Valentin, Chapter 4]

Conic program
$$\begin{cases} \min C^T x \\ x \in K \\ Ax = b \end{cases}$$

We will talk about general ideas for solving it and discuss the connection with K being "nice"

Review: Newton's method

To start, assume that f is so that its Hessian is PSD everywhere ($\nabla^2 f(u) \succeq 0$).

$$q(x) = f(u) + \nabla f(u)^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T \underbrace{\nabla^2 f(u)}_{\text{PSD}} x$$

As correctly noted in class, strict convexity alone does not imply $\nabla^2 f \succ 0$! (consider x^4)

$$0 = \nabla q(x_*) = \nabla f(u) + \nabla^2 f(u) x_*$$

$$x_* = -(\nabla^2 f(u))^{-1} \cdot \nabla f(u)$$

So, initializing at x_* and solve quadratic approximation

Iteration:
$$\tilde{x} = -(\nabla^2 f(x_k))^{-1} \cdot \nabla f(x_k)$$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \tilde{x}$$

If f strictly convex, $\{x_0 \approx x_*, \text{ converges quadratically}$

If convex but not strictly convex, example:

Other problem: $x_0 + \tilde{x} \notin \Omega$ (\rightarrow take step size ensuring $x_k \in \Omega$)

More intelligent way for equality constraints

Consider Lagrangian

$$L(x, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = f(x) + \sum \lambda_i a_i^T x$$

$$\nabla L(x^*) = 0$$

$$f(x) \approx f(u) + \nabla f(u)^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T \nabla^2 f(u) x$$

Solve systems to find x_* (next direction)

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{4} z^4 - z$$

$$f'(z) = z^3 - 1$$

$$f''(z) = 3z^2$$

$$\arg \min x_* = 1$$

But: $x_0 = 0$ means division by zero

$$x_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2}} \rightarrow x_1 = 0 \text{ (check!)} \text{ and } \infty \text{ again}$$

See wikipedia page "Newton fractal"

for further discussion of this example and beautiful pictures :)

$$\begin{cases} f(u) + \nabla^2 f(u) x_* + \sum \lambda_i a_i = 0 \\ a_i^T (u + x_*) = b_i \quad i=1, \dots, m \end{cases}$$

Now, we have additional constraint: $x \in K$

Idea: modify a function adding a barrier function: $\begin{cases} \inf C^T x + \varphi(x) \\ a_i^T x = b_i \end{cases} \quad \varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in K \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Create more reasonable (strictly convex) functions:

$$\begin{aligned} x &\rightarrow \partial K \\ \varphi(x) &\rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Convexity?

Examples: $K = \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n \quad \varphi(x) = -\ln(x_1 \dots x_n)$

$K = \text{PSD}(n) \quad \varphi(x) = -\ln \det x \quad \nabla(\ln \det x) = x^{-1}$

$$\nabla \varphi(x) = -x^{-1}$$

Solve $\min \begin{bmatrix} t(C^T x) + \varphi(x) \\ a_i^T x = b_i \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow x(t)$ optimal solution - central path

• $t \rightarrow \infty \quad x(t) \rightarrow x_*$

• large t implies the point became far from optimum and Newton is slow

• ① compute $x(t)$ starting from x_0 (Newton)

② $x_0 := x(t)$
 $t := \mu \cdot t$ (increase)

③ repeat until some stopping criterion

For SDPs:

How to find a starting point?

Phase 1: $\begin{bmatrix} \min_{x, \lambda} \lambda \\ x + \lambda I \succeq 0 \\ \langle A_i, x \rangle = b_i \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow$ find strictly feasible solution - apply interior method
- if we find (x, λ) with $\lambda < 0$, we find feasible solution for original problem, otherwise it does not exist

Central path $x(t)$

$$\textcircled{P} \quad \max \begin{bmatrix} \text{Tr}(C^T x) \\ \text{Tr}(A_i x) = b_i \\ x \succeq 0 \end{bmatrix} \longleftrightarrow \textcircled{D} \quad \min \begin{bmatrix} \sum d_i b_i \\ \sum d_i A_i - C \succeq 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sum d_i A_i - C \succeq 0$$

Can be restated in the following way:

With $x_0: \text{Tr}(A_i x_0) = b_i \quad \forall i$ and $L := \{x \succeq 0, \text{Tr}(A_i x) = b_i\}$

$$\max \begin{bmatrix} \text{Tr}(C^T X) \\ X \succeq 0 \\ X \in X_0 + L \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \text{Tr}(X_0 C) + \min \begin{bmatrix} \text{Tr}(X_0 Y) \\ Y \succeq 0 \\ Y \in -C + L^\perp \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{aligned} \sum \lambda_i A_i - C &=: Y \\ \sum \lambda_i \text{Tr}(A_i X_0) - \text{Tr}(X_0 C) &= \text{Tr}(X_0 Y) \end{aligned}$$

Consider path functions:

$P_t(x) := -t \text{Tr}(CX) - \ln \det X \rightarrow$ maximal over $X_0 + L$ at a point we call $X(t)$

$D_t(y) := t \text{Tr}(X_0 Y) - \ln \det Y \rightarrow$ minimal over $-C + L^\perp$ at a point we call $Y(t)$

$(X(t), Y(t))$ is called primal-dual central path.

Then ① $X(t) \cdot Y(t) = \frac{1}{t} I$

$$\textcircled{2} \text{Tr}(X_0 Y(t)) + \text{Tr}(C X_0) - \text{Tr}(X(t) C) = \frac{n}{t}$$

Corollary: linear convergence in t as $t \rightarrow \infty$, both $\rightarrow 0$

Why?

② \Rightarrow ① Let λ_i are so that $\sum \lambda_i b_i = \text{Tr}(CX_0) + \text{Tr}(X_0 \cdot Y(t))$

$$\sum \lambda_i b_i - \text{Tr}(CX(t)) = \sum \lambda_i \text{Tr}(A_i, X(t)) - \text{Tr}(C, X(t))$$

$$= \text{Tr}(Y(t) X(t)) = n \cdot \text{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{t} I\right)$$

(ii) By the assumption about optimality of $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$: $-tC - X(t)^{-1} \in L^\perp$ (Derivative = 0 on the feasible space)
 $tX_0 - Y(t)^{-1} \in L$

Then, $\frac{1}{t} X(t)^{-1} \in C + L^\perp$ - feasible for dual

$\frac{1}{t} Y(t)^{-1} \in X_0 + L$ - feasible for primal

So, $X(t) \succeq \frac{1}{t} Y^{-1}(t)$ optimal and feasible for primal

$Y(t) \preceq \frac{1}{t} X^{-1}(t)$ optimal and feasible for dual

$$\frac{1}{t} I \preceq X(t) Y(t) \preceq \frac{1}{t} I$$

This gives polynomial algorithms (but still not fast...)

I'd like to reduce the size of the problem.