

What to do if a problem is hard?

$$LP \subseteq QCP \subseteq SOCP \subseteq SDP$$

For NP-hard problems:

- consider special instances of a problem (could be easier...)
- do a convex relaxation and look for bounds (what is the gap?)
- approximation algorithms (e.g. involving randomness)

Polynomial nonnegativity and Sum-of-Squares

Consider a polynomial optimization problem

$$\begin{cases} \min & p(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & x \in K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_i(x) \geq 0, h_i(x) = 0\} \end{cases}$$

p, g_i, h_i - multivariate polynomials



$$\begin{cases} \max & \gamma \\ \text{s.t.} & p(x) - \gamma \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in K \end{cases}$$

Recall: • $p(x)$ is deg 2
 $g_i(x), h_i(x)$ are deg 1 \rightarrow NP-hard

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 \\ x_1 x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_1 x_n \end{pmatrix}^T M \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 \\ x_1 x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_1 x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} \min & x^T M x \\ \text{s.t.} & x \geq 0 \end{cases} \text{ is NP-hard}$$

however

$$\begin{cases} \min & x^T M x \\ \text{s.t.} & x^T x \leq 1 \end{cases} \text{ is "easy" (eigenvalue problem is in P)}$$

$x^T M x = v(x)^T M v(x)$
 if $v(x) = (x_1^2, x_1 x_2, \dots, x_1 x_n)^T$
 So, we know $v(x)^T M v(x)$ is nonnegative...

$$\text{If } p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k q_i^2(x)$$

SOS

sum-of-squares of other polynomials is always ≥ 0 !

Can we test this sufficient condition independently?

Yes!

Thm Let $p(x)$ have n variables and degree $2d$. It can be written as SOS \Leftrightarrow

There exists a PSD matrix Q : $p(x) = z^T Q z$, where

$$z = [1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_1 x_2, \dots, x_1^d]'$$

all monomials up to degree d

Proof : • if Q exists, $Q = V^T V$ (Cholesky)

$$p(x) = z^T V^T V z = \|Vz\|^2 \leftarrow \text{sum of squares!}$$

• if $p(x) = \sum q_i^2(x)$, then

$$p(x) = \sum [a_i^T z(x)]^2 = z^T(x) \cdot \left(\sum a_i a_i^T \right) \cdot z(x)$$

This is an SDP problem!

$Q \succeq 0$ and coefficients of Q are coming from the coefficients of p .

Example: $p(x) = x^2 y^4 + x^4 y^2 + 1 - 3x^2 y^2$ $n=2$
 $2d=6$ $d=3$

$$z = (1, x, y, xy, x^2, y^2, x^2y, xy^2, x^3, y^3)$$

Q is 10×10

$$z^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y & xy & x^2 & y^2 & x^2y & xy^2 & x^3 & y^3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} z$$

this is not SDP :)

$$x^2 y = x^2 \cdot xy$$

$$x \cdot x^2 y$$

So, checking nonnegativity is harder than SOS?

But it corresponds in some cases, including $n=1$, $d=1$, or $n=2, d=2$
 \uparrow \uparrow
univariate quadratic

Motzkin polynomial is nonnegative: $\frac{x^2y^4 + x^4y^2 + 1}{3} \geq x^2y^2$ (AMGM)

Exercise: prove that if $x^2 + y^2 = 1 \Rightarrow x + y \leq \sqrt{2}$ using SOS ideas

(with constraint)

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2} - x - y &= \frac{x^2 + y^2}{\sqrt{2}} - x - y + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= \frac{(x-y)^2}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{(x+y)^2}{2\sqrt{2}} - (x+y) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= \frac{(x-y)^2}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (x+y-\sqrt{2})^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(x+y)^2 = x^2 + y^2 + 2xy$$

A problem can be hard because it is large-scale (even in P)!

An idea: do dimension reduction and solve a smaller problem instead:

Random projections

- $Ax = b \rightarrow SAx = Sb \quad x = (SA)^+ Sb$
- $\min \|b - Ax\|_2 \rightarrow x_{opt} = A^+ b, \tilde{x}_{opt} = (SA)^+ Sb$

$\min \|Sb - SAx\|_2$

Are they close?

Do they give similar error?



Yes if matrix S approximately preserves distances between the points

while doing dimension reduction

↑ ("approximate isometry")

Luckily, many (random) matrices satisfy this property

and some of them can be "applied" fast.

Def A (random) matrix $S \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ forms a Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform JLT (ϵ, δ, d)

if for any set of d points V with prob $\geq 1 - \delta$

$$(1-\epsilon)\|x\|_2^2 \leq \|Sx\|_2^2 \leq (1+\epsilon)\|x\|_2^2 \quad \forall x \in V.$$

(3)

Then, for target dimension $n \sim \frac{d_{\text{opt}}}{\epsilon^2} \leftarrow$

$$\|b - A\tilde{x}_{\text{opt}}\|_2 \leq (1+\epsilon) \|b - Ax_{\text{opt}}\|$$

$$\|x - \tilde{x}_{\text{opt}}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\epsilon} \cdot \kappa(A) \|x_{\text{opt}}\|_2$$

$$\kappa(A) = \frac{\sigma_{\max}}{\sigma_{\min}} \quad \text{condition number of } A$$

(Sarlos, Mahoney, ... ~2006) See [M]

+ Pilanci & Wainwright (constrained least squares, SVM, ...)

Can we sketch other optimization problems?

LP $\begin{cases} \rightarrow \text{simplex algorithm has instances with exponential running time} \\ \rightarrow \text{interior point methods scale poorly} \end{cases}$

LINEAR FEASIBILITY PROBLEM (LFP). Given $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Decide whether there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $Ax = b \wedge x \geq 0$.

\Downarrow

CONE MEMBERSHIP (CM). Given $b, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$, decide whether $b \in \text{cone}\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$.

Projected cone membership $Tb \in \text{cone}\{Ta_1, \dots, Ta_n\}$
[Liberti et al 2015]

Sketching SDPs

$$\begin{cases} \max & \text{Tr}(Cx) \\ & \text{Tr}(A_i x) \leq b_i \\ & x \succeq 0 \end{cases}$$

Sketching symmetric matrix x :

$$\Phi(x) = SXS^T, \quad S \text{ is a JL transform}$$

Note: We cannot sketch all SDPs!

Operator norm needs $O(n^2)$ lower bound

for a fixed constant factor approximation via linear sketch [Woodruff '14]

In general, we can sketch SDP's with data having small Schatten-1 norms...

Claim: if $m \geq \sum \text{rk}(Q_i)$, S is a (ϵ, δ, m) -JL transform

$$\mathbb{P}(\forall i, j \quad \text{Tr}(SQ_i S^T S Q_j S^T) - \text{Tr}(Q_i Q_j)) < 3\epsilon \underbrace{\|Q_i\|_1 \cdot \|Q_j\|_1}_{\sum |\lambda_i|}) \leq 1 - \delta$$

[Bluhm, Frances, R]

Sketched SDP:

$$\begin{cases} \max & \text{Tr}(SCS^T y) \\ \text{s.t.} & \text{Tr}(SA_i S^T y) \leq b_i + \mu \|A_i\|_1 \\ & y \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} \nearrow 3\epsilon \gamma, \gamma \geq \text{Tr}(X^*) \\ \rightarrow \alpha_s \end{matrix}$$

- $\alpha_s + 3\epsilon \|X^*\|_1 \|C\|_1 \geq \alpha$ — one application of a claim \uparrow
- Lower bound can be proved and depends on stability of a problem

$$\frac{\alpha_s}{1 + 3\epsilon k \gamma} \leq \alpha \quad \left(\gamma = \text{Tr} X^*, k = \max_i \|A_i\|_1 \right)$$

Proved via duality and relaxing original SDP.

Application of sketching in particular problem instances:

[Mixon, Xie '20] Clustering \rightarrow

$$\begin{cases} \max & x^T B x \\ & x^T \cdot 1 = 0 \\ & x = \{\pm 1\}^n \end{cases} \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} \max & \text{tr}(BX) \\ & \text{diag } X = 1 \\ & X \succeq 0 \end{cases}$$

Sketch-and-solve approach \uparrow

taking random subsets of x_i 's (graph vertices)

Stochastic block model

- [Yurtsever, Tropp et al]
Udell
- low-rank solutions for SDP's
 - fast low-rank approximation can be also found via sketching
- Randomized SVD