EX2

Ido Kahana

October 2021

1 Q5

Definition 1.1 – Let

$$\begin{split} P_C &= \{ (X_1, X_2) \mid X_1 \cup X_2 = C \land X_1 \cap X_2 = \varnothing \} \\ M_C &= \{ (X_1, X_2) \mid (X_1, X_2) \in P_C \land V_1(X_1) \geq \frac{V_1(C)}{2} \land V_2(X_2) \geq \frac{V_2(C)}{2} \} \\ S_C &= \{ (X_1, X_2) \mid (X_1, X_2) \in M_C \land V_1(X_1) + V_2(X_2) = \underset{(X_i, X_j) \in M_C}{\arg\max} \ V_1(X_i) + V_2(X_j) \} \end{split}$$

Theorem 1.2 – Section 1, Consider $(X_1, X_2) \in S_C$ then (X_1, X_2) is Proportional

Proof. In order to satisfy Proportional we need to show that $\forall_i V_i(X_i) \geq \frac{V_i(C)}{n} = \frac{V_i(C)}{2}$. By the definition of $S_C \subseteq M_C$ we know that

$$(X_1,X_2)\in M_C \Longrightarrow V_1(X_1) \geq \frac{V_1(C)}{2} \wedge V_2(X_2) \geq \frac{V_2(C)}{2}$$

and that proof the theorem.

Theorem 1.3 – Section 2, Consider $(X_1, X_2) \in S_C$ then (X_1, X_2) is Pareto Optimal

Proof. Suppose in the negative that

$$\exists_{(X_i, X_i) \in P_C} (V_1(X_i) > V_1(X_1) \land V_2(X_i) \ge V_2(X_2)) \lor (V_1(X_i) \ge V_1(X_1) \land V_2(X_i) > V_2(X_2))$$

Let (X_i, X_i) be as such, then because

$$(V_1(X_i) > V_1(X_1) \land V_2(X_i) \ge V_2(X_2)) \lor (V_1(X_i) \ge V_1(X_1) \land V_2(X_i) > V_2(X_2))$$

It can be concluded that $V_1(X_i) \geq V_1(X_1) \geq \frac{V_1(C)}{2} \wedge V_2(X_j) \geq V_2(X_2) \geq \frac{V_2(C)}{2}$ therefore $(X_i, X_j) \in M_C$.

Furthermore because

$$(V_1(X_i) > V_1(X_1) \land V_2(X_i) \ge V_2(X_2)) \Longrightarrow V_1(X_i) + V_2(X_i) > V_1(X_1) + V_2(X_2)$$

and also in other case

$$(V_1(X_i) \ge V_1(X_1) \land V_2(X_i) > V_2(X_2)) \Longrightarrow V_1(X_i) + V_2(X_i) > V_1(X_1) + V_2(X_2)$$

therefore

$$V_1(X_i) + V_2(X_i) > V_1(X_1) + V_2(X_2)$$

and that a contradiction to definition of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}$ because

$$V_1(X_1) + V_2(X_2) = \underset{(X_i, X_i) \in M_C}{\operatorname{arg max}} V_1(X_i) + V_2(X_j)$$

but $(X_i, X_j) \in M_C$ and $V_1(X_i) + V_2(X_j) > V_1(X_1) + V_2(X_2)$ and that a contradiction.

Theorem 1.4 — Section 3, Consider $(X_1, X_2) \in S_C$ then (X_1, X_2) is Envy free *Proof.* In order to proof that (X_1, X_2) is envy free we need to show that

$$V_1(X_1) \ge V_1(X_2) \land V_2(X_2) \ge V_2(X_1)$$

(it is trivial that $V_1(X_1) \ge V_1(X_1), V_2(X_2) \ge V_2(X_2)$).

From the fact that $(X_1, X_2) \in S_C \subseteq M_C$ we know for sure that

$$V_1(X_1) \ge \frac{V_1(C)}{2}, V_2(X_2) \ge \frac{V_2(C)}{2}$$

From the $V_1,\,V_2$ evaluation function definition we know that

$$V_1(X_1) + V_1(X_2) = V_1(C) \Longrightarrow V_1(X_1) = V_1(C) - V_1(X_2)$$

$$V_2(X_1) + V_2(X_2) = V_2(C) \Longrightarrow V_2(X_2) = V_2(C) - V_2(X_1)$$

therefore

$$\begin{split} V_1(C) - V_1(X_2) &\geq \frac{V_1(C)}{2}, \qquad V_2(C) - V_2(X_1) \geq \frac{V_2(C)}{2} \Longrightarrow \\ &\frac{V_1(C)}{2} - V_1(X_2) \geq 0, \qquad \frac{V_2(C)}{2} - V_2(X_1) \geq 0 \Longrightarrow \\ &\frac{V_1(C)}{2} \geq V_1(X_2), \qquad \frac{V_2(C)}{2} \geq V_2(X_1) \Longrightarrow \\ &V_1(X_1) \geq \frac{V_1(C)}{2} \geq V_1(X_2), \qquad V_2(X_2) \geq \frac{V_2(C)}{2} \geq V_2(X_1) \end{split}$$

And that is what is required to prove.