## CREDIT DEFAULT RISK PREDICTOR

A CLASSIFICATION PROBLEM

#### THE PROBLEM

- Credit Default
- Credit Risk
- Decision to lend and pricing
- Use the data of historical customers to predict if a prospective client will be 'good' or 'bad'

#### DATA SET

#### Customer Payment Record

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
Index: 839345 entries, 1 to 1048574
Data columns (total 4 columns):
# Column Non-Null Count Dtype
--- 0 ID 839345 non-null int64
1 MONTHS_BALANCE 839345 non-null int64
2 STATUS 839345 non-null int64
```

- Kaggle
- ~43k # of unique
   IDs in Customer
   Payment Record

#### Customer Attributes

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 438557 entries, 0 to 438556
Data columns (total 18 columns):
 # Column
                        Non-Null Count
                                        Dtype
                        -----
    ID
                        438557 non-null int64
                        438557 non-null object
    CODE GENDER
   FLAG OWN CAR
                        438557 non-null object
    FLAG OWN REALTY
                        438557 non-null object
    CNT CHILDREN
                        438557 non-null int64
    AMT INCOME TOTAL
                        438557 non-null float64
    NAME INCOME TYPE
                        438557 non-null object
    NAME EDUCATION TYPE
                        438557 non-null object
    NAME FAMILY STATUS
                        438557 non-null object
    NAME HOUSING TYPE
                        438557 non-null object
 10 DAYS BIRTH
                        438557 non-null int64
 11 DAYS EMPLOYED
                        438557 non-null int64
 12 FLAG MOBIL
                        438557 non-null int64
 13 FLAG WORK PHONE
                        438557 non-null int64
 14 FLAG PHONE
                        438557 non-null int64
 15 FLAG EMAIL
                        438557 non-null int64
 16 OCCUPATION TYPE
                        304354 non-null object
 17 CNT FAM MEMBERS
                        438557 non-null float64
dtypes: float64(2), int64(8), object(8)
memory usage: 60.2+ MB
```

#### FEATURE ENGINEERING - CREATING CUSTOMER LABELS

	ID	MONTHS_BALANCE	STATUS I
1	5001711	-1	1
2	5001711	-2	1
3	5001711	-3	1
4	5001712	0	0
5	5001712	-1	0
922			
1048570	5150487	-25	0
1048571	5150487	-26	0
1048572	5150487	-27	0
1048573	5150487	-28	0
1048574	5150487	-29	0

0: 1-29 days past due
1: 30-59 days past due
2: 60-89 days overdue
3: 90-119 days overdue
4: 120-149 days overdue
5: Overdue or bad debts, write-offs for more than 150 days
C: paid off that month
X: No loan for the month

- Duration of the loan is an important attribute.
- Better to have an average loan status metric as dependent var.
- Assigned penalty points per month. Sum them. Divide my duration.
- Average Days Past Due (DPD).
- Average DPD > 45 days. I consider risky.

#### DATA CLEANING

- ID should be unique. For some reason there are duplicated IDs in the customer attributes table.
- Redundant variable: CNT\_CHILDREN
- 'OCCUPATION\_TYPE': this had missing values, better to get rid of.
- 'FLAG\_MOBIL': this was 1 for all. Dropped.

#### PREPROCESSING

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 33110 entries, 0 to 33109
Data columns (total 29 columns):
# Column
                                      Non-Null Count Dtype
0 Gender F
                                      33110 non-null int32
1 Gender M
                                      33110 non-null int32
2 Income Type Commercial associate
                                      33110 non-null int32
3 Income Type Pensioner
                                      33110 non-null int32
4 Income Type State servant
                                      33110 non-null int32
5 Income Type Student
                                      33110 non-null int32
6 Income Type Working
                                      33110 non-null int32
7 Family Status Civil marriage
                                      33110 non-null int32
8 Family Status Married
                                      33110 non-null int32
9 Family Status Separated
                                      33110 non-null int32
10 Family Status Single / not married 33110 non-null int32
11 Family Status Widow
                                      33110 non-null int32
12 Housing Type Co-op apartment
                                      33110 non-null int32
13 Housing Type House / apartment
                                      33110 non-null int32
14 Housing Type Municipal apartment
                                      33110 non-null int32
15 Housing Type Office apartment
                                      33110 non-null int32
16 Housing Type Rented apartment
                                      33110 non-null int32
17 Housing Type With parents
                                      33110 non-null int32
18 Education Type
                                      33110 non-null float64
19 Months on Book
                                      33110 non-null int64
20 Owns Car
                                      33110 non-null int64
21 Owns Realty
                                      33110 non-null int64
22 Annual Income
                                      33110 non-null float64
23 Days Since Birth
                                      33110 non-null int64
24 Days Employed
                                      33110 non-null int64
25 Has Work Phone
                                      33110 non-null int64
26 Has Phone
                                      33110 non-null int64
27 Has Email
                                      33110 non-null int64
28 Family Size
                                      33110 non-null float64
dtypes: float64(3), int32(18), int64(8)
memory usage: 5.1 MB
```

- **Binary Encoding:** Convert 'Owns\_Car' and 'Owns\_Realty' columns to binary format (0 or 1) based on whether the client owns a car or real estate.
- Numerical and Categorical Separation: Separate the dataset into numerical and categorical features.
- Ordinal Encoding: Encode ordinal categorical feature 'Education\_Type' into numerical values using Ordinal Encoder.
- One-Hot Encoding: Encode nominal categorical features into binary format using one-hot encoding.
- Concatenation: Combine the processed nominal, ordinal, and numerical features into a single dataframe 'ndf'.

### GETTING DATA READY FOR THE MODELS

- X Y Split (0.3)
- Test-Train Split
- Tomek links
- Standart Scaler
- SMOTE

```
Train distibution: Loan_Status

0 24865

1 1301

Name: count, dtype: int64

Test distibution: Loan_Status

0 6226

1 316

Name: count, dtype: int64
```

#### ERROR METRICS

- **Precision:** Precision measures the accuracy of the positive predictions. What percentage of the predicted defaults are actual defaults.
- **Recall:** Recall measures the proportion of actual positives that were correctly identified by the model. So how good model is to predict defaults. Relatively more important.
- **F1 Score:** Harmonic mean of precision and recall and provides a single measure of a model's accuracy.
- **ROC AUC:** The area under the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve, provides an aggregate measure of the model's performance across different classification thresholds.. i.e evaluates the model's ability to discriminate between default and non-default cases across all possible thresholds.

## MODEL COMPARISON

Log. Regression		Log. w. Best Params			Decision Tree w. Best Params			
Error_metric	Train	Test	Error_metric	Train	Test	Error_metric	Train	Test
Accuracy	0.86	0.80	Accuracy	0.87	0.96	Accuracy	0.98	0.96
Precision	0.82	0.18	Precision	0.96	0.53	Precision	0.98	0.55
Recall	0.92	0.91	Recall	0.77	0.71	Recall	0.97	0.74
F1 Score	0.87	0.30	F1 Score	0.85	0.61	F1 Score	0.98	0.64
ROC AUC	0.86	0.85	ROC AUC	0.94	0.93	ROC AUC	0.98	0.86

### MODEL COMPARISON - ENSEMBLED METHODS

eXtreme Gradient Boosting					
Error_metric	Train		Test		
Accuracy	(	0.9960		0.9755	
Precision	(	0.9961		0.7453	
Recall	C	).9959		0.7500	
F1 Score	C	0.9960		0.7476	
ROC AUC	(	).9960		0.8685	

Random Forest					
Error_metric	Train		Test		
Accuracy		0.9993		0.9751	
Precision		0.9991		0.7297	
Recall		0.9994		0.7690	
F1 Score		0.9993		0.7488	
ROC AUC		0.9993		0.8773	

- Both XGBoost and Random Forest exhibit high accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score on both training and test sets.
- XGBoost slightly outperforms Random Forest in precision, while Random Forest shows a slightly higher recall.
- Both models demonstrate strong discriminative power, as indicated by their high ROC AUC scores on the test set.
- Both models demonstrate robust F1 scores, highlighting their balanced performance in terms of both false positives and false negatives.

# THANKS FOR LISTENING!