

1 Generative models

1. Because the samples are assumed to be i.i.d the likelihood function:

$$P(X = x_1, X = x_2, \dots, X = x_n | \theta) = P(X = x_1 | \theta) P(X = x_2 | \theta) \dots P(X = x_n | \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^n} & \forall x_i : x_i \in [0, \theta) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\theta_{MLE}^* \geq \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ because all x_i s must be included in $[0, \theta)$.

2. (a) By applying Bayes rule:

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z_n = k | x_n, \theta_1, \theta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) &= \frac{P(X = x_n | Z_n = k, \theta_1, \theta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) P(Z_n = k | \theta_1, \theta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2)}{P(X = x_n | \theta_1, \theta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{\theta_1} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_1] \omega_1}{\frac{1}{\theta_1} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_1] \omega_1 + \frac{1}{\theta_2} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_2] \omega_2} 1[k == 1] + \frac{\frac{1}{\theta_2} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_2] \omega_2}{\frac{1}{\theta_1} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_1] \omega_1 + \frac{1}{\theta_2} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_2] \omega_2} 1[k == 2] \end{aligned}$$

- (b) By θ , I mean $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_q(\theta, \theta^{OLD}) &= \sum_n \sum_k P(k | x_n; \theta^{OLD}) \log P(x_n, k | \theta) \\ &= \sum_n P(k = 1 | x_n; \theta^{OLD}) \log \frac{1}{\theta_1} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_1] \omega_1 + P(k = 2 | x_n; \theta^{OLD}) \log \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta_2} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_2] \omega_2 \right\} \\ &= \sum_n \left\{ \frac{\frac{1}{\theta_1} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_1] \omega_1}{\frac{1}{\theta_1} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_1] \omega_1 + \frac{1}{\theta_2} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_2] \omega_2} 1[k == 1] \log \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta_1} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_1] \omega_1 \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\frac{1}{\theta_2} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_2] \omega_2}{\frac{1}{\theta_1} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_1] \omega_1 + \frac{1}{\theta_2} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_2] \omega_2} 1[k == 2] \log \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta_2} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_2] \omega_2 \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$Q_q(\theta, \theta^{OLD}) = \sum_n \left\{ P(k = 1 | x_n; \theta^{OLD}) \log \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta_1} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_1] \omega_1 \right\} + P(k = 2 | x_n; \theta^{OLD}) \log \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta_2} 1[0 < x_n \leq \theta_2] \omega_2 \right\} \right\}$$

Now we have to maximize $Q_q(\theta, \theta^{OLD})$:

$$\Rightarrow \theta_1 \geq \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \theta_2 \geq \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

2 Mixture Density Models

- 1.

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_b | x_a) &= \frac{P(x_a, x_b)}{P(x_a)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k P(x_a, x_b | k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K P(x_a, k)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k P(x_a | k) P(x_b | x_a, k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K P(k) P(x_a | k)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k P(x_a | k) P(x_b | x_a, k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k P(x_a | k)} = \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{\pi_k P(x_a | k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k P(x_a | k)} P(x_b | x_a, k) \\ &\Rightarrow \lambda_k = \frac{\pi_k P(x_a | k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k P(x_a | k)} \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that λ_k is non-negative and:

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k = \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{\pi_k P(x_a | k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k P(x_a | k)} = 1$$

3 The connection between GMM and K-means

1. Assuming that there is only one minimum for $\|x_n - \mu_j\|^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \gamma(z_{nk}) &= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi_k \exp(-\|x_n - \mu_k\|^2 / (2\sigma^2))}{\sum_j \pi_j \exp(-\|x_n - \mu_j\|^2 / (2\sigma^2))} \\
&= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi_k \exp(-\|x_n - \mu_k\|^2 / (2\sigma^2))}{\max_j \pi_j \exp(-\|x_n - \mu_j\|^2 / (2\sigma^2))} \\
&= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi_k \exp(-\|x_n - \mu_k\|^2 / (2\sigma^2))}{\pi_j \exp(\max_j (-\|x_n - \mu_j\|^2 / (2\sigma^2)))} \\
&= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi_k \exp(-\|x_n - \mu_k\|^2 / (2\sigma^2))}{\pi_j \exp(-\min_j (\|x_n - \mu_j\|^2 / (2\sigma^2)))} \\
&= \begin{cases} 1 & k = \arg\min_j \|x_n - \mu_j\|^2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma(z_{nk}) \log p(x_n, z_n = k) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma(z_{nk}) [\log \pi_k + \log N(x_n | \mu_k, \sigma^2 I)] \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma(z_{nk}) [\log \pi_k + \log \det(2\pi\Sigma)^{-0.5} + -0.5(x_n - \mu_k)^T (\sigma^2 I)^{-1} (x_n - \mu_k)] \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma(z_{nk}) [\text{const} + -0.5/\sigma^2 \|x_n - \mu_k\|^2]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \max_{\mu_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma(z_{nk}) \log p(x_n, z_n = k) &= \max_{\mu_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma(z_{nk}) [\text{const} + -0.5/\sigma^2 \|x_n - \mu_k\|^2] \\
&= \max_{\mu_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K r_{nk} [-0.5/\sigma^2 \|x_n - \mu_k\|^2] \\
&= \min_{\mu_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K r_{nk} \|x_n - \mu_k\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if σ goes to infinity, the maximization of complete data log-likelihood of GMM model is equal to minimization of the cost function in k-means.

4 Naive Bayes

1.

$$\begin{aligned}
L = \log P(D) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \log(P(Y_n = y_n) \prod_{d=1}^D P(X_{nd} = x_{nd} | Y_n = y_n)) \\
&= \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N 1(y_n == c) \log P(Y_n = y_n) + \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{d=1}^D 1(y_n == c) \log P(X_{nd} = x_{nd} | Y_n = y_n) \\
&= \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N 1(y_n == c) \log \pi_c + \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{d=1}^D 1(y_n == c) \left[-\frac{(x_{nd} - \mu_{cd})^2}{2\sigma_{cd}^2} - \log \sigma_{cd} - \log \sqrt{2\pi} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

2. Gradient with respect to μ_{cd} :

$$\frac{\partial \log P(D)}{\partial \mu_{cd}} = \sum_n 1(y_n == c) \frac{(x_{nd} - \mu_{cd})^2}{2\sigma_{cd}^2} = 0 \Rightarrow \mu_{cd}^* = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N 1(y_n == c) x_{nd}}{\sum_{n=1}^N 1(y_n == c)}$$

Gradient with respect to σ_{cd} :

$$\frac{\partial \log P(D)}{\partial \sigma_{cd}} = \sum_n 1(y_n == c) \frac{(x_{nd} - \mu_{cd}^*)^2}{2\sigma_{cd}^3} - \frac{1}{\sigma_{cd}} = 0 \Rightarrow \sigma_{cd}^* = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{n=1}^N 1(y_n == c) (x_{nd} - \mu_{nd}^*)^2}{\sum_{n=1}^N 1(y_n == c)}}$$

First we need apply the constraint $\sum_c \pi_c = 1$ using Lagrangian:

$$L(\log(P(D)), \lambda) = \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N 1(y_n == c) \log \pi_c + \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{d=1}^D 1(y_n == c) \left[-\frac{(x_{nd} - \mu_{cd})^2}{2\sigma_{cd}^2} - \log \sigma_{cd} - \log \sqrt{2\pi} \right] + \lambda \left(1 - \sum_c \pi_c \right)$$

Gradient with respect to π_c :

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\log(P(D)), \lambda)}{\partial \pi_c} = \sum_n 1(y_n == c) / \pi_c - \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \pi_c = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_n 1(y_n == c)$$

$$\sum_c \pi_c = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda = \sum_c \sum_n 1(y_n == c) = N$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_c^* = \frac{1}{N} \sum_n 1(y_n == c)$$