ECE421: Introduction to Machine Learning — Fall 2024

Assignment 2: Gradient Descent, Multiclass Logistic Regression, and K-Means

Due Date: Friday, October 18, 11:59 PM

General Notes

- 1. Programming assignments can be done in groups of up to 2 students. Students can be in different sections.
- 2. Only one submission from a group member is required.
- 3. Group members will receive the same grade.
- 4. Please post assignment-related questions on Piazza.

Turning It In

You need to submit your version of the following files:

- myTorch.py
- PA2_qa.pdf that answer questions related to the implementations.
- The cover file with your name and student ID filled (it can be as the first page of your PA2_qa.pdf or as a separate PDF file.)

Please pack them into a single folder, compress into a zip file and name it as PA2.zip. Please submit the zip file to Quercus.

Group Members

| Name (and Name on Quercus) | UTORid |
|----------------------------|--------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

1 Gradient Descent

- 1.1 Optimizer.sgd method
- 1.1.a Test function q1().
 - 1.1.a.i Describe the termination criteria used in the test_sgd function in the tests_A2.py file. (1 mark)

 Answer. Your answer ...
 - 1.1.a.ii Include the figures generated by q1() in your PA2_qa.pdf file. (1 mark)



Your Image

(a) SGD decision parameter trace.

(b) SGD Loss vs. iteration.

Figure 1: Figures generated by q1().

1.1.a.iii With learning rate $\eta=0.05$, what would be the value of w_1 , i.e., after one iteration of SGD update. Show your mathematical process. If you implemented SGD correctly, the figures generated by q1() should verify your w_1 . (1 mark)

- 1.1.b Test function q2().
 - 1.1.b.i Include the figures generated by q2() in your PA2_qa.pdf file. (1 mark)

Your Image

Your Image

(a) SGD decision parameter trace.

(b) SGD Loss vs. iteration.

Figure 2: Figures generated by q2().

1.1.b.ii When $\eta=0.05$, SGD would fail to converge to the optimal solution. What causes such behavior? (1 mark)

1.1.c Test function q3().

1.1.c.i Include the figures generated by q3() in your PA2_qa.pdf file. (1 mark)

Your Image

Your Image

(a) SGD decision parameter trace.

(b) SGD Loss vs. iteration.

Figure 3: Figures generated by q3().

1.1.c.ii In 1-2 sentences describe the behavior of SGD in q3() when $\eta=0.001,0.005$, and 0.01. Explain why SGD fails to find the global optimum point? (1 mark)

Answer. Your answer ...

1.1.c.iii In 1-2 sentences describe the behavior of SGD in q3() when $\eta=0.05.$ (1 mark)

1.1.d Test function q4().

1.1.d.i Include the figures generated by q4() in your PA2_qa.pdf file. (1 mark)

Your Image

Your Image

(a) SGD decision parameter trace.

(b) SGD Loss vs. iteration.

Figure 4: Figures generated by q4().

1.1.d.ii In 1-2 sentences describe the behavior of SGD in q4() when $\eta=0.001$ and 0.01. How is this behavior related to the stretched nature of the function $f(\underline{w})$? (1 mark)

Answer. Your answer ...

1.1.d.iii In 1-2 sentences describe the behavior of SGD in q4() when $\eta=0.03$. (1 mark)

- ${\bf 1.2} \quad {\tt Optimizer.heavyball_momentum} \ \ {\bf and} \ \ {\tt Optimizer.nestrov_momentum} \ \ {\bf methods}$
- 1.2.a Test function q5().
 - 1.2.a.i Include the figures generated by q5() in your PA2_qa.pdf file. (1 mark) use proper address to your png files





- (a) Heavy-ball momentum decision parameter trace.
- (b) Heavy-ball momentum Loss vs. iteration.

Figure 5: Figures generated by q5().

1.2.a.ii In 1-2 sentences, compare the performance of SGD with and without heavy-ball momentum by comparing the outcome of tests q3() and q5() (2 marks)

1.2.b Test function q6().

1.2.b.i Include the figures generated by q6() in your PA2_qa.pdf file. (1 mark)

Your Image

Your Image

- (a) Heavy-ball momentum decision parameter trace.
- (b) Heavy-ball momentum Loss vs. iteration.

Figure 6: Figures generated by q6().

1.2.c Test function q7().

1.2.c.i Include the figures generated by q7() in your PA2_qa.pdf file. (1 mark)

Your Image

Your Image

- (a) Nestrov momentum decision parameter trace.
- (b) Nestrov momentum Loss vs. iteration.

Figure 7: Figures generated by q7().

1.2.d Test function q8().

1.2.d.i Include the figures generated by q8() in your PA2_qa.pdf file. (1 mark)

Your Image

Your Image

- (a) Nestrov momentum decision parameter trace.
- (b) Nestrov momentum Loss vs. iteration.

Figure 8: Figures generated by q8().

1.2.d.ii In 1-2 sentences, compare the performance of Nestrov Momentum with the heavy-ball momentum by comparing the outcome of tests q5() and q6() with that of q7() and q8(). (1 mark)

1.3 Optimizer.adam method

1.3.a Test function q9()

1.3.a.i Include the figures generated by q9() in your PA2_qa.pdf file. (1 mark)

Your Image

Your Image

- (a) Adam momentum decision parameter trace.
- (b) Adam momentum Loss vs. iteration.

Figure 9: Figures generated by q9().

1.3.a.ii In 1-2 sentences, compare the performance of adam with momentum method (heavy-ball or Nestrov) (2 marks)

- 1.3.b Test function q10().
 - 1.3.b.i Include the figures generated by q10() in your PA2_qa.pdf file. (1 mark)

Your Image

Your Image

- (a) Adam momentum decision parameter trace.
- (b) Adam momentum Loss vs. iteration.

Figure 10: Figures generated by q10().

1.3.b.ii Based on the outcome of q9() and q10(), describe the advantage of Adam in 1-2 sentence. (2 marks) [HINT: run q11() to see what could be the impact of scaling the function (or gradients) on the other optimization method such as gradient descent with Nestrov Momentum. You don't need to report the output of q11() in your report. Also, note that q11() would most often result in error. Don't worry. That is intentional. Try to understand why this happens.]

2 Multiclass Logistic Regression

2.1 Implementing the Learning Model

No written part.

2.2 Implementing the Learning Algorithm

- 2.2.a The test function q22() runs your implementation on the Iris dataset.
 - 2.2.a.i Include the figures generated by q22() in your PA2_qa.pdf file. (2 marks)



Figure 11: Figures generated by q22().

2.2.a.ii In 1-2 sentences, compare the performance of the four variants of gradient descent on this dataset (2 marks)

Answer. Your answer ...

2.2.a.iii In 1-2 sentences, explain how is it possible that the loss derived by the Adam optimizer is smaller than that of Heavy-ball Momentum, but the evaluation score of Adam is equal to the evaluation score of the heavy-ball momentum. (2 marks)

2.2.b The test function q23() runs your implementation on the digits dataset.

2.2.b.i Include the figures generated by q23() in your PA2_qa.pdf file. (2 marks)



Figure 12: Figures generated by q23().

3 K-Means Clustering (Bonus)

No Written part.

4 Discussion

4.a How much time did you spend on each part of this assignment? (1 mark)

Answer. Your answer ...

4.b Any additional feedback? (optional)