```
In [ ]: !pip install numpy
    !pip install six
```

Requirement already satisfied: numpy in c:\users\w\anaconda\lib\site-packages (1.24.3)
Requirement already satisfied: six in c:\users\w\anaconda\lib\site-packages (1.16.0)

k-Nearest Neighbor (kNN) exercise

Complete and hand in this completed worksheet (including its outputs and any supporting code outside of the worksheet) with your assignment submission. For more details see the assignments page on the course website.

The kNN classifier consists of two stages:

- During training, the classifier takes the training data and simply remembers it
- During testing, kNN classifies every test image by comparing to all training images and transfering the labels of the k most similar training examples
- The value of k is cross-validated

pass

In this exercise you will implement these steps and understand the basic Image Classification pipeline, cross-validation, and gain proficiency in writing efficient, vectorized code.

```
In [ ]: # Run some setup code for this notebook.
        import random
        import numpy as np
        from data_utils import load_CIFAR10
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        # This is a bit of magic to make matplotlib figures appear inline in the notebook
        # rather than in a new window.
        %matplotlib inline
        plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10.0, 8.0) # set default size of plots
        plt.rcParams['image.interpolation'] = 'nearest'
        plt.rcParams['image.cmap'] = 'gray'
        # Some more magic so that the notebook will reload external python modules;
        # see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/autoreload-of-modules-in-ipython
        %load_ext autoreload
        %autoreload 2
In [ ]: # Load the raw CIFAR-10 data.
        cifar10_dir = 'datasets/cifar-10-batches-py'
        # Cleaning up variables to prevent loading data multiple times (which may cause memory issue)
        try:
           del X_train, y_train
           del X_test, y_test
           print('Clear previously loaded data.')
        except:
```

X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test = load_CIFAR10(cifar10_dir)

print('Training data shape: ', X_train.shape)
print('Training labels shape: ', y_train.shape)

As a sanity check, we print out the size of the training and test data.

```
print('Test data shape: ', X_test.shape)
        print('Test labels shape: ', y_test.shape)
        Training data shape: (50000, 32, 32, 3)
        Training labels shape: (50000,)
        Test data shape: (10000, 32, 32, 3)
        Test labels shape: (10000,)
In [ ]: # Visualize some examples from the dataset.
        # We show a few examples of training images from each class.
        classes = ['plane', 'car', 'bird', 'cat', 'deer', 'dog', 'frog', 'horse', 'ship', 'truck']
        num_classes = len(classes)
        samples_per_class = 7
        for y, cls in enumerate(classes):
            idxs = np.flatnonzero(y_train == y)
            idxs = np.random.choice(idxs, samples_per_class, replace=False)
            for i, idx in enumerate(idxs):
                plt_idx = i * num_classes + y + 1
                plt.subplot(samples_per_class, num_classes, plt_idx)
                plt.imshow(X_train[idx].astype('uint8'))
                plt.axis('off')
                if i == 0:
                    plt.title(cls)
        plt.show()
          plane
                              bird
                                        cat
                                                deer
                                                          dog
                                                                   frog
                                                                            horse
                                                                                      ship
        # Subsample the data for more efficient code execution in this exercise
In [ ]:
        num_training = 5000
        mask = list(range(num_training))
        X_train = X_train[mask]
        y_train = y_train[mask]
```

```
mask = list(range(num_test))
X_test = X_test[mask]
y_test = y_test[mask]

# Reshape the image data into rows
X_train = np.reshape(X_train, (X_train.shape[0], -1))
X_test = np.reshape(X_test, (X_test.shape[0], -1))
print(X_train.shape, X_test.shape)

(5000, 3072) (500, 3072)

In []: from k_nearest_neighbor import KNearestNeighbor

# Create a kNN classifier instance.
# Remember that training a kNN classifier is a noop:
# the Classifier simply remembers the data and does no further processing classifier = KNearestNeighbor()
classifier.train(X_train, y_train)
```

We would now like to classify the test data with the kNN classifier. Recall that we can break down this process into two steps:

- 1. First we must compute the distances between all test examples and all train examples.
- 2. Given these distances, for each test example we find the k nearest examples and have them vote for the label

Lets begin with computing the distance matrix between all training and test examples. For example, if there are **Ntr** training examples and **Nte** test examples, this stage should result in a **Nte x Ntr** matrix where each element (i,j) is the distance between the i-th test and j-th train example.

Note: For the three distance computations that we require you to implement in this notebook, you may not use the np.linalg.norm() function that numpy provides.

First, open k_nearest_neighbor.py and implement the function compute_distances_two_loops that uses a (very inefficient) double loop over all pairs of (test, train) examples and computes the distance matrix one element at a time.

```
In []: # Open cs231n/classifiers/k_nearest_neighbor.py and implement
    # compute_distances_two_loops.

# Test your implementation:
    dists = classifier.compute_distances_two_loops(X_test)
    print(dists.shape)

(500, 5000)

In []: # We can visualize the distance matrix: each row is a single test example and
    # its distances to training examples
    plt.imshow(dists, interpolation='none')
    plt.show()
```

2000

3000

4000

1000

250 -

0

 $num_test = 500$

Notice the structured patterns in the distance matrix, where some rows or columns are visibly brighter. (Note that with the default color scheme black indicates low distances while white indicates high distances.)

- What in the data is the cause behind the distinctly bright rows?
- What causes the columns?

YourAnswer:

- Outliers and dislabelled data in the training set.
- Lack of variability and relevance of the features in the training set.

```
In [ ]: # Now implement the function predict labels and run the code below:
        # We use k = 1 (which is Nearest Neighbor).
        y_test_pred = classifier.predict_labels(dists, k=1)
        # Compute and print the fraction of correctly predicted examples
        num_correct = np.sum(y_test_pred == y_test)
        accuracy = float(num_correct) / num_test
        print('Got %d / %d correct => accuracy: %f' % (num_correct, num_test, accuracy))
        Got 137 / 500 correct => accuracy: 0.274000
        You should expect to see approximately 27% accuracy. Now lets try out a larger k, say k = 5:
In [ ]: y_test_pred = classifier.predict_labels(dists, k=5)
        num_correct = np.sum(y_test_pred == y_test)
        accuracy = float(num_correct) / num_test
        print('Got %d / %d correct => accuracy: %f' % (num_correct, num_test, accuracy))
        Got 145 / 500 correct => accuracy: 0.290000
        You should expect to see a slightly better performance than with k = 1.
In [ ]: # Now lets speed up distance matrix computation by using partial vectorization
        # with one loop. Implement the function compute_distances_one_loop and run the
        dists_one = classifier.compute_distances_one_loop(X_test)
        # To ensure that our vectorized implementation is correct, we make sure that it
        # agrees with the naive implementation. There are many ways to decide whether
        # two matrices are similar; one of the simplest is the Frobenius norm. In case
        # you haven't seen it before, the Frobenius norm of two matrices is the square
        # root of the squared sum of differences of all elements; in other words, reshape
        # the matrices into vectors and compute the Euclidean distance between them.
        difference = np.linalg.norm(dists - dists_one, ord='fro')
        print('One loop difference was: %f' % (difference, ))
        if difference < 0.001:</pre>
            print('Good! The distance matrices are the same')
        else:
            print('Uh-oh! The distance matrices are different')
        One loop difference was: 0.000000
        Good! The distance matrices are the same
In [ ]: # Now implement the fully vectorized version inside compute_distances_no_loops
        # and run the code
        dists_two = classifier.compute_distances_no_loops(X_test)
        # check that the distance matrix agrees with the one we computed before:
        difference = np.linalg.norm(dists - dists_two, ord='fro')
        print('No loop difference was: %f' % (difference, ))
```

```
print('Good! The distance matrices are the same')
        else:
            print('Uh-oh! The distance matrices are different')
        No loop difference was: 0.000000
        Good! The distance matrices are the same
In [ ]: # Let's compare how fast the implementations are
        def time_function(f, *args):
            Call a function f with args and return the time (in seconds) that it took to execute.
            import time
            tic = time.time()
            f(*args)
            toc = time.time()
            return toc - tic
        two_loop_time = time_function(classifier.compute_distances_two_loops, X_test)
        print('Two loop version took %f seconds' % two_loop_time)
        one_loop_time = time_function(classifier.compute_distances_one_loop, X_test)
        print('One loop version took %f seconds' % one_loop_time)
        no_loop_time = time_function(classifier.compute_distances_no_loops, X_test)
        print('No loop version took %f seconds' % no_loop_time)
        # You should see significantly faster performance with the fully vectorized implementation!
        # NOTE: depending on what machine you're using,
        # you might not see a speedup when you go from two loops to one loop,
        # and might even see a slow-down.
        Two loop version took 69.253576 seconds
```

Cross-validation

One loop version took 83.951231 seconds No loop version took 0.374281 seconds


if difference < 0.001:</pre>


We have implemented the k-Nearest Neighbor classifier but we set the value k = 5 arbitrarily. We will now determine the best value of this hyperparameter with cross-validation.

```
num folds = 5
In [ ]:
       k_choices = [1, 3, 5, 8, 10, 12, 15, 20, 50, 100]
       X_train_folds = []
       y_train_folds = []
       # TODO:
       # Split up the training data into folds. After splitting, X_train_folds and
       # y_train_folds should each be lists of length num_folds, where
       # y_train_folds[i] is the label vector for the points in X_train_folds[i].
                                                                        #
       # Hint: Look up the numpy array_split function.
       # *****START OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)****
       avg_size = int(X_train.shape[0] / num_folds) # will abandon the rest if not divided evenly.
       for i in range(num_folds):
          X_train_folds.append(X_train[i * avg_size : (i+1) * avg_size])
          y_train_folds.append(y_train[i * avg_size : (i+1) * avg_size])
       # *****END OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)*****
```

```
# A dictionary holding the accuracies for different values of k that we find
# when running cross-validation. After running cross-validation,
# k_to_accuracies[k] should be a list of length num_folds giving the different
# accuracy values that we found when using that value of k.
k_to_accuracies = {}
# TODO:
# Perform k-fold cross validation to find the best value of k. For each
# possible value of k, run the k-nearest-neighbor algorithm num folds times,
# where in each case you use all but one of the folds as training data and the #
# last fold as a validation set. Store the accuracies for all fold and all
# values of k in the k_to_accuracies dictionary.
# *****START OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)****
for k in k_choices:
   accuracies = []
   for i in range(num_folds):
       # get the training set
       X_train_set = np.concatenate(X_train_folds[:i] + X_train_folds[i+1:])
       y_train_set = np.concatenate(y_train_folds[:i] + y_train_folds[i+1:])
       # get the validation set
       X_val_set = X_train_folds[i]
       y_val_set = y_train_folds[i]
       # train the classifier
       classifier.train(X_train_set, y_train_set)
       # predict the labels
       y_val_pred = classifier.predict(X_val_set, k=k)
       # compute the accuracy
       num_correct = np.sum(y_val_pred == y_val_set)
       accuracy = float(num_correct) / y_val_set.shape[0]
       accuracies.append(accuracy)
   k_to_accuracies[k] = accuracies
# *****END OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)****
# Print out the computed accuracies
for k in sorted(k_to_accuracies):
   for accuracy in k_to_accuracies[k]:
       print('k = %d, accuracy = %f' % (k, accuracy))
```

```
k = 1, accuracy = 0.263000
        k = 1, accuracy = 0.257000
        k = 1, accuracy = 0.264000
        k = 1, accuracy = 0.278000
        k = 1, accuracy = 0.266000
        k = 3, accuracy = 0.257000
        k = 3, accuracy = 0.263000
        k = 3, accuracy = 0.273000
        k = 3, accuracy = 0.282000
        k = 3, accuracy = 0.270000
        k = 5, accuracy = 0.265000
        k = 5, accuracy = 0.275000
        k = 5, accuracy = 0.295000
        k = 5, accuracy = 0.298000
        k = 5, accuracy = 0.284000
        k = 8, accuracy = 0.272000
        k = 8, accuracy = 0.295000
        k = 8, accuracy = 0.284000
        k = 8, accuracy = 0.298000
        k = 8, accuracy = 0.290000
        k = 10, accuracy = 0.272000
        k = 10, accuracy = 0.303000
        k = 10, accuracy = 0.289000
        k = 10, accuracy = 0.292000
        k = 10, accuracy = 0.285000
        k = 12, accuracy = 0.271000
        k = 12, accuracy = 0.305000
        k = 12, accuracy = 0.285000
        k = 12, accuracy = 0.289000
        k = 12, accuracy = 0.281000
        k = 15, accuracy = 0.260000
        k = 15, accuracy = 0.302000
        k = 15, accuracy = 0.292000
        k = 15, accuracy = 0.292000
        k = 15, accuracy = 0.285000
        k = 20, accuracy = 0.268000
        k = 20, accuracy = 0.293000
        k = 20, accuracy = 0.291000
        k = 20, accuracy = 0.287000
        k = 20, accuracy = 0.286000
        k = 50, accuracy = 0.273000
        k = 50, accuracy = 0.291000
        k = 50, accuracy = 0.274000
        k = 50, accuracy = 0.267000
        k = 50, accuracy = 0.273000
        k = 100, accuracy = 0.261000
        k = 100, accuracy = 0.272000
        k = 100, accuracy = 0.267000
        k = 100, accuracy = 0.260000
        k = 100, accuracy = 0.267000
In [ ]: # plot the raw observations
        for k in k_choices:
            accuracies = k_to_accuracies[k]
            plt.scatter([k] * len(accuracies), accuracies)
        # plot the trend line with error bars that correspond to standard deviation
        accuracies_mean = np.array([np.mean(v) for k, v in sorted(k_to_accuracies.items())])
        accuracies_std = np.array([np.std(v) for k,v in sorted(k_to_accuracies.items())])
        plt.errorbar(k choices, accuracies mean, yerr=accuracies std)
        plt.title('Cross-validation on k')
        plt.xlabel('k')
```





```
In []: # Based on the cross-validation results above, choose the best value for k,
    # retrain the classifier using all the training data, and test it on the test
    # data. You should be able to get above 28% accuracy on the test data.
    best_k = 10

classifier = KNearestNeighbor()
    classifier.train(X_train, y_train)
    y_test_pred = classifier.predict(X_test, k=best_k)

# Compute and display the accuracy
    num_correct = np.sum(y_test_pred == y_test)
    accuracy = float(num_correct) / num_test
    print('Got %d / %d correct => accuracy: %f' % (num_correct, num_test, accuracy))
```

Inline Question 2

Which of the following statements about k-Nearest Neighbor (k-NN) are true in a classification setting, and for all k? Select all that apply.

1. The decision boundary of the k-NN classifier is linear.

Got 144 / 500 correct => accuracy: 0.288000

- 2. The training error of a 1-NN will always be lower than or equal to that of 5-NN.
- 3. The test error of a 1-NN will always be lower than that of a 5-NN.
- 4. The time needed to classify a test example with the k-NN classifier grows with the size of the training set.

5. None of the above.

YourAnswer : 2 && 4

Your Explanation:

- 1. The decision boundary of a k-NN classifier depends on the distribution of the data points. So it can be linear or non-linear.
- 2. The 1-NN will always choose the nearest neighbor which is itself. So the training error will be 0. But the 5-NN will choose the 5 nearest neighbors. So the training error will be higher than 0.
- 3. The test error depends on the balance between bias and variance, and it's not guaranteed to be lower for a 1-NN.
- 4. We need to compute the distance between the test examples and

training examples. So the time of searching for these neighbors increases as the training set size increases.