# Learning neural network-based boundary conditions for kinetic plasma sheath dynamics

May 22, 2025

## 1 Normalized Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation

The governing kinetic equation for our study is the 1D1V Vlasov-Dougherty-Fokker-Planck equation in the "flexible plasma normalization" [2]:

$$\partial_t f_s + v \partial_x f_s + (\omega_c \tau) \frac{Z_s}{A_s} E \partial_v f_s = (\nu_p \tau) \sum_s \nu_{ss'} \partial_v \left( \frac{T_{ss'}}{m_s} \partial_v f_s + (v - u_{ss'}) f_s \right). \tag{1}$$

The normalization constants appearing in this equation are as follows:

- Z<sub>s</sub> and A<sub>s</sub> are the normalized charge and mass of species s, expressed in units of the proton charge and mass.
- $\omega_c \tau$  is the normalized reference proton cyclotron frequency in a field  $B_0$  which would give unit plasma beta:  $|B_0|^2/2\mu_0 = n_0 T_0$ .
- $\nu_n \tau$  is the normalized proton collision frequency.

For now we can take these normalization constants as given; we will need to concern ourselves with their definitions when we move on to translating a specific physical problem into our equation setup.

Equation (1) is coupled to the normalized Gauss's law,

$$\partial_x E = \frac{(\omega_p \tau)^2}{\omega_c \tau} \rho_c,\tag{2}$$

where

$$\rho_c = \sum_s Z_s \int f_s \, \mathrm{d}v \tag{3}$$

is the charge density. We will use the elliptic form of Gauss's law,

$$\partial_x^2 \phi = -\frac{(\omega_p \tau)^2}{\omega_c \tau} \rho_c,\tag{4}$$

with  $E = -\partial_x \phi$ .

#### 1.1 Collision operator

Suggest we use the collision parameters derived in [1]

# 2 Domain and boundary conditions

We'll use a physical domain of length  $L_x$ , which by convention extends from  $-L_x/2$  to  $L_x/2$ .

#### 2.1 Absorbing wall

The simplest boundary condition that will produce a Langmuir sheath is the absorbing wall boundary condition. At a spatial boundary  $x_b$  with outward normal vector  $\mathbf{n}(x_b)$ , we have

$$f_s^b(x_b, v) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{n}(x_b) \cdot v < 0} f_s(x_b, v), \tag{5}$$

where 1 is the indicator function.

# 3 Straightforward DLR approximation

Each species distribution function  $f_s$  receives a separate low-rank decomposition:

$$f_s \approx \sum_{ij} X_{si}(x,t) S_{sij}(t) V_{sj}(v,t). \tag{6}$$

In what follows we will omit species subscripts.

Given that we need to apply boundary conditions in x, which should be applied to  $K_j(x,t)$  rather than  $X_i(x,t)$ , we keep all spatial derivatives applied to K.

#### K step:

$$\partial_{t}K_{j}(x,t) + \sum_{l} \langle V_{j}, vV_{l} \rangle_{v} \, \partial_{x}K_{l}(x,t) + (\omega_{c}\tau) \frac{Z_{s}}{A_{s}} \sum_{l} \langle V_{j}, \partial_{v}V_{l} \rangle_{v} \, EK_{l}(x,t)$$

$$= \nu_{p}\tau \sum_{s'} \nu_{ss'} \sum_{l} \left[ \frac{T_{ss'}}{m_{s}} \left\langle V_{j} \partial_{v}^{2} V_{l} \right\rangle_{v} + \left\langle V_{j}, \partial_{v}(vV_{l}) \right\rangle_{v} - u_{ss'} \left\langle V_{j}, \partial_{v}V_{l} \right\rangle_{v} \right] K_{l}(x,t)$$

S step:

$$\begin{split} \partial_{t}S_{ij}(x,t) + \sum_{l} \left\langle V_{j}, vV_{l} \right\rangle_{v} \left\langle X_{i}, \partial_{x}K_{l} \right\rangle_{x} + \left(\omega_{c}\tau\right) \frac{Z_{s}}{A_{s}} \sum_{kl} \left\langle V_{j}, \partial_{v}V_{l} \right\rangle_{v} \left\langle X_{i}, EX_{k} \right\rangle_{x} S_{kl}(t) \\ = \nu_{p}\tau \sum_{s'} \nu_{ss'} \sum_{kl} \left[ \frac{1}{m_{s}} \left\langle X_{i}, T_{ss'}X_{k} \right\rangle_{x} \left\langle V_{j}, \partial_{v}^{2}V_{l} \right\rangle_{v} + \delta_{ik} \left\langle V_{j}, \partial_{v}(vV_{l}) \right\rangle_{v} - \left\langle X_{i}, u_{ss'}X_{k} \right\rangle_{x} \left\langle V_{j}, \partial_{v}V_{l} \right\rangle_{v} \right] S_{kl}(x,t) \end{split}$$

L step:

$$\begin{split} \partial_t L_i(v,t) + \sum_k \left\langle X_i, \partial_x K_l \right\rangle_x v V_l(v,t) + \left( \omega_c \tau \right) \frac{Z_s}{A_s} \sum_k \left\langle X_i, E X_k \right\rangle_x \partial_v L_k(v,t) \\ = \nu_p \tau \sum_{s'} \nu_{ss'} \sum_k \left[ \frac{1}{m_s} \left\langle X_i, T_{ss'} X_k \right\rangle_x \partial_v^2 L_k(v,t) + \delta_{ik} \partial_v (v L_k(v,t)) - \left\langle X_i, u_{ss'} X_k \right\rangle_x \partial_v L_k(v,t) \right] \end{split}$$

The boundary conditions on  $K_j$  are the projection of the full distribution function BCs:

$$K_j^b(x_b) = \langle V_j, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{n}(x_b) \cdot v < 0} f_s(x_b, v) \rangle_v \tag{7}$$

$$= \left\langle V_j, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{n}(x_b) \cdot v < 0} \left( \sum_{kl} X_k(x_b) S_{kl} V_l(v) \right) \right\rangle_v \tag{8}$$

$$= \sum_{l} K_{l}(x_{b}) \left\langle V_{j}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{n}(x_{b}) \cdot v < 0} V_{l}(v) \right\rangle_{v}$$

$$(9)$$

### 3.1 Spatial discretization

- I suggest using a finite volume scheme with MUSCL-like slope-limited reconstruction. Such an approach is extremely robust if using a TVD limiter, efficient, and easy to implement.
- For the spatial numerical flux, I suggest we use the kinetic flux vector splitting method applied to the DLR of the Boltzmann equation in [huAdaptiveDynamicalLow2022]
- A similar numerical flux can be applied for the  $E\partial_v f$  terms.

#### 4 Circuit model

Series RLC circuit equations for a discharging capacitor. Let Q be the charge in the capacitor, C the capacitance, R the resistance, and L the inductance. The circuit current is  $I = \dot{Q}$ , the rate of change of the charge. The voltages of each component are related by

$$V_R + V_L + V_C + V_p = 0, (10)$$

where  $V_p$  is the voltage drop across the plasma, and  $V_R, V_L, V_C$  are the voltage drops across the resistor, inductor, and capacitor respectively. From the definition of capacitance we have  $V_C = Q/C$ . From Ohm's law we have  $V_R = IR = \dot{Q}R$ , and  $V_L = \dot{I}L = \ddot{Q}L$ .

# References

- [1] Evan Habbershaw et al. A Nonlinear, Conservative, Entropic Fokker-Planck Model for Multi-Species Collisions. Apr. 2024. arXiv: 2404.11775 [math-ph]. (Visited on 05/22/2024).
- S. T. Miller and U. Shumlak. "A Multi-Species 13-Moment Model for Moderately Collisional Plasmas".
   In: Physics of Plasmas 23.8 (Aug. 2016), p. 082303. ISSN: 1070-664X, 1089-7674. DOI: 10.1063/1.
   4960041. (Visited on 02/11/2022).